S2430-1-U

ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE
OF THE
ILLINOIS COURTS

1986
ANNUAL REPORT
to the
SUPREME COURT OF ILLINOIS

REPORT OF THE
ACTING ADMINISTRATIVE DIRECTOR
WILLIAM M. MADDEN

Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts

William M. Madden
Acting Director
Supreme Court Building
Springfield 62701
217/782-7770

30 North Michigan Avenue
Chicago 60602
312/793-3250

To: The Honorable Chief Justice and the Justices of the Supreme Court of Illinois:

I tender herewith the annual report of the Administrative Office for calendar year 1986.

Sincerely,

William M Madden

William M. Madden
Acting Director

WMM/me

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL FROM WILLIAM M MADDEN 4
IN MEMORIAM 7
JUDICIAL RETIREMENTS 8
THE SUPREME COURT 9
  Jurisdiction and Organization 9
  Administrative and Supervisory Authority 9
  1986 Supreme Court Caseload Summary 9
  Clerk of the Supreme Court 9
  Supreme Court Marshal 9
  Reporter of Decisions 10
  In Memory of Justice Walter V. Schaefer 10
  Supreme Court Rules Committee 11
  New or Amended Rules Adopted by the Supreme Court 11
  Judicial Appointments by the Supreme Court 12
  Assignment of Retired Judges to Active Judicial Service 12
  1986 Annual Report of the Supreme Court to the General Assembly 12
THE APPELLATE COURT 29
  Jurisdiction and Organization 29
  1986 Appellate Court Caseload Summary 29
  Assignments to the Industrial Commission Division of Appellate Court 29
  Supreme Court Assignment of Judges to the Appellate Court 30
  Annual Meeting of the Illinois Appellate Court 30
  Administrative Committee of the Illinois Appellate Court 30
THE CIRCUIT COURTS 31
  Jurisdiction and Organization 31
  1986 Circuit Court Caseload Summary 31
  Conference of Chief Circuit Judges 31
  Out-of-Circuit Assignments 31
  Rule 295 Assignments 32
JUDICIAL ELECTIONS 32
  Judicial Retention Election 33
THE JUDICIAL CONFERENCE 35
  1986 Annual Meeting of the Illinois Judicial Conference 35
  1986 Associate Judge Seminar 35
  1986 New Judge Seminar 36
  1986 Regional Seminar Programs 37
  1986 Study Committee Reports 37
THE COURTS COMMISSION 39
THE ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE 40
  Introduction 40
  Personnel 40
  Accounting Division 41
  Probation Division 55
  Judicial Management Information Services 61
  Age of Pending Cases Reports 69
  Maintenance of Eavesdropping Reports 69
  Rule 39 — Appointment of Associate Judges 65
  Rule 215(d) — Impartial Medical Experts 66
  Rule 711 — Representation by Supervised Senior Law Students 70
Administration of Official Court Reporters 69
Secretariat 70

- Educational and Informational Functions 70
  - Circuit Clerk Training Program 70
  - Distribution of Supreme Court Opinion and Legislative Summaries 70
  - Publications of the Administrative Office 70
  - Membership in Organizations 71
- LEGISLATION AFFECTING THE COURTS 72

1986 CASELOADS, STATISTICAL RECORDS AND JUDICIAL OFFICERS

- SUPREME AND APPELLATE COURT DISTRICTS 75
- THE SUPREME COURT 76
  - Justices of the Supreme Court 76
  - Trend of Cases in the Supreme Court During 1986 77
- THE APPELLATE COURT 78
  - Judges of the Appellate Court 78
  - Trend of All Cases in the Appellate Court During 1986 79
  - All Cases Disposed of in the Appellate Court During 1986 80
  - All Cases Disposed of Without Opinion or Order Pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 23 During 1986 81
  - Time Lapse Between Date of Filing and Date of Disposition for All Cases Decided in the Appellate Court During 1986 82
  - Time Lapse Between Date Briefs Were Filed and Date of Disposition for Cases Decided in the Appellate Court During 1986 83
  - Abstract Summary of the Number of Opinions and Rule 23 Orders Written by Judges of the Appellate Court During 1986 84
- THE CIRCUIT COURTS 85
  - The Judicial Circuits 85
  - Circuit Court Judicial Officers 86
  - Ratio of Filings Per Judge in the Circuit Courts of Illinois During 1986 95
  - Trend of All Cases in the Circuit Courts of Illinois During 1986 96
  - Summary Report on Law Cases Disposed of in the Circuit Courts of Illinois During 1986 139
  - Summary Report on Law Cases Terminated by Verdict 139
  - Statistical Report on Law Jury Cases Disposed of During 1986 140
  - Dispositions in 1986 of Defendants Charged With a Felony 144
  - Sentences Imposed on Defendants Charged and Convicted of Felonies During 1986 148
  - Fiscal Year 1986 Total Financial Activity as Reported by the Clerks 154
  - Fiscal Year 1986 Fines, Add-on Penalties, Assessments, and Certain Fees Distributed by Clerks of the Circuit Courts 1986 157
  - 1986 Select Illinois Probation Departments' Statistics — Juvenile 167
  - 1986 Select Illinois Probation Departments' Statistics — Adult 186
- THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY 201
  - Organizational Chart 201
  - Trend of Cases in the Circuit Court of Cook County 203
  - 1986 Statistical Reports — Law Division 205
  - 1986 Statistical Reports — Domestic Relations Division 210
  - 1986 Statistical Reports — County Division 211
  - 1986 Statistical Reports — Probate Division 212
  - 1986 Statistical Reports — Juvenile Division 214
  - 1986 Statistical Reports — Felonies 217
  - 1986 Statistics — Misdemeanor, Ordinance & Conservation Violations 238
  - 1986 Statistics — Traffic 239
- Appendix A — Constitution of 1970: Article VI — The Judiciary 240
- Appendix B — Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts — Historical Development 243
- Appendix C — Judicial Salary Structure 243
- Appendix D — Genealogy of Judges of the Illinois Supreme Court 1818 — date 244

IN MEMORIAM

Supreme Court Justice

Walter V. Schaefer (Retired)	June 15, 1986

Circuit Judges

William Conway (Retired), 7th Circuit	February 27, 1986
Helen Rutkowski Dieringer (Retired), 15th	March 14, 1986
Irving W. Eiserman (Retired), Cook	September 2, 1986
Joseph Fleming (Retired), 20th Circuit	June 29, 1986
Robert C. Gill, 17th Circuit	August 31, 1986
Michael Kinney (Retired), 3rd Circuit	May 28, 1986
Jack I. Sperling (Retired), Cook County	January 10, 1986
Vincent W. Tondryk (Retired), Cook County	March 22, 1986
Richard Weiler, 16th Circuit	June 12, 1986
Frank X. Yackley, 13th Circuit	August 4, 1986
Michael Zlatnik, Cook County	August 30, 1986

Associate Judges

Robert Coney (Retired), 10th Circuit	September 23, 1986
Robert J. Downey, Cook County	April 19, 1986
Thomas Faulkner, 12th Circuit	November 12, 1986
David Dean Wilson, 9th Circuit	September 23, 1986

JUDICIAL RETIREMENTS

During 1986, a total of 36 Illinois judges left the judicial system. Several of these judges had reached the compulsory retirement age of 75 (Ill. Rev. Stat. ch. 37, par. 23.71)

Appellate Court Judges

Helen F. McGillicuddy, 1st District
December 1, 1986

Circuit Judges

Laurence L. Arnold, 2nd Circuit
December 1, 1986

Thomas R. Casey, Cook County
July 17, 1986

U.S. Collins, 9th Circuit
December 1, 1986

Robert J. Dempsey, Cook County
June 19, 1986

Ben Edelstein, Cook County
December 1, 1986

Michael Brennan Getty, Cook County
December 1, 1986

Jay M. Hanson, 14th Circuit
December 1, 1986

John F. Hechinger, Cook County
December 1, 1986

George A. Higgins, Cook County
December 1, 1986

Reginald J. Holzer, Cook County
May 20, 1986

John L. Hughes, 19th Circuit
November 30, 1986

William E. Johnson, 3rd Circuit
June 9, 1986

Matthew A. Jurczak, 5th Circuit
December 1, 1986

Lewis V. Morgan, Jr., 18th Circuit
December 1, 1986

Donald W. Morthland, 6th Circuit
December 31, 1986

Charles P. O'Connor, 12th Circuit
June 4, 1986

Paul W. Schnake, 16th Circuit
December 1, 1986

Harry A. Schrier, Cook County
December 1, 1986

Robert Sulski, Cook County
December 1, 1986

Robert L. Thornton, 11th Circuit
December 1, 1986

Howard S. White, 8th Circuit
December 1, 1986

Associate Judges

James W. Cadwell, 16th Circuit
April 30, 1986

Richard C. Christian, 19th Circuit
February 15, 1986

Roland J. DeMarco, 2nd Circuit
December 26, 1986

John Gitchoff, 3rd Circuit
April 1, 1986

Michael Howlett, Jr., Cook County
April 30, 1986

Paul A. Logli, 17th Circuit
November 25, 1986

Ivan Lovaas, 14th Circuit
December 30, 1986

James K. Marshall, 16th Circuit
April 1, 1986

Alan E. Morrill, Cook County
August 31, 1986

John D. Sullivan, 10th Circuit
August 1, 1986

John Verklan, 12th Circuit
September 5, 1986

Eugene R. Ward, Cook County
July 31, 1986

Alphonse F. Witt, 19th Circuit
July 31, 1986

THE SUPREME COURT

Jurisdiction and Organization

The Illinois Supreme Court is the highest court in the Illinois judicial system. Its jurisdiction is primarily appellate, but it has original jurisdiction in several categories of cases listed in the 1970 Constitution. It hears appeals from decisions both of the Appellate Court and of the Circuit Courts, and its appellate caseload consists of discretionary appeals and appeals as of right. For a more detailed description of the Court's jurisdiction, see sections 4 and 9 of article VI of the Constitution of 1970, in Appendix A.

Three of the seven justices of the Court are elected from the First Judicial District (Cook County) and one from each of the other four judicial districts. Justices are elected for 10 year terms. Four justices constitute a quorum and the concurrence of four is necessary for a decision. (Ill. Const. 1970, art. VI, secs. 2, 3 and 10.)

The Court is in session in Springfield for five terms each year during the months of January, March, May, September and November. At each term, the Court issues opinions, holds conferences, hears oral arguments, rules on motions, considers modifications to Supreme rules and meets with the Administrative Director to consider administrative and budgetary matters.

Administrative and Supervisory Authority

General administrative and supervisory authority over the unified Illinois judicial system is vested by the Constitution in the Supreme Court. Acting in accordance with the Court's rules, the Chief Justice, who is selected for a three year term, exercises this authority. The Court appoints an Administrative Director and staff to assist the Chief Justice in his duties. (Ill. Const. 1970, art. VI, sec. 16.) In addition to the general grant of administrative authority contained in section 16 of article VI, the Constitution also identifies specific administrative powers which the Court shall or may exercise. These powers include:

(1) Prescribing the number of appellate divisions in each judicial district;

(2) Assignment of judges to appellate divisions;

(3) Prescribing the time and place for appellate divisions to sit;

(4) Providing for the manner of appointing associate judges;

(5) Providing for matters assignable to associate judges;

(6) In the absence of a law, filling judicial vacancies by appointment;

(7) Prescribing rules of conduct for judges;

(8) Assignment of retired judges to judicial service;

(9) Appointment of an Administrative Director and staff;

(10) Temporary assignment of judges;

(11) Providing for an annual Judicial Conference and reporting thereon annually in writing to the General Assembly;

(12) Appointment of the Supreme Court Clerk and other non-judicial officers of the Court.

To complement these enumerated duties, the Court possesses other administrative functions pursuant to statute or which are inherent in the operation of the Court. The annual judicial budget prepared by the Administrative Director is approved by the Court. The Court employs three law clerks for each Justice as well as staff attorneys and other research department personnel. It selects a Marshal and Supreme Court Librarian. The Court also appoints the State Appellate Defender and two persons to the Appellate Defender Commission; a member of the Board of Commissioners of the Illinois Defender Project and the Board of Trustees of the Judges' Retirement System. From time to time, the Court appoints committees, as the need arises, to study and suggest amendments in substantive and procedural law, Supreme Court rules, and other matters affecting the administration of justice.

1986 Supreme Court Caseload Summary

During the 1986 terms, the seven justices handed down 156 full opinions and seven supervisory orders; ruled on 71 petitions for rehearing, and ruled on 1,617 petitions for leave to appeal. Of the petitions for leave to appeal, 129 or 8.7% were allowed. The court received 2,200 new filings in 1986 on the general docket, miscellaneous docket, and miscellaneous record and admitted 2,580 new lawyers to the practice of law in Illinois.

Clerk of the Supreme Court

Since July 19, 1982, Juleann Hornyak has served as Clerk of the Illinois Supreme Court. In general, the duties of the Clerk include the receipt and processing of filings and the maintenance of dockets, records, files and statistics on the activities of the Court. During 1986, the staff of the Clerk's office consisted of 15 full-time employees and 2 part-time employees.

The Supreme Court Marshal

Since February 8, 1976, the Supreme Court's Marshal has been Mr. Louie F. Dean. The Marshal attends each term of the Court and performs such duties, at the direction of the Court, which are usually performed by the county sheriff in the Circuit Courts.

Reporter of Decisions

The Supreme Court appointed Stephen D. Porter to serve as Reporter of Decisions for the Supreme and Appellate Courts effective January 1, 1976. The Reporter's office is located in Bloomington and is responsible for the editing and printing of the official reports of Supreme and Appellate Court opinions. Each year the Reporter supervises the publication of 25 paperback advance sheets and approximately 12 to 14 hard-bound volumes of the official reports. The Reporter's office also prepares the headnotes and index for the Supreme Court opinions.

In Memory of Justice Walter V. Schaefer

The Honorable Walter V. Schaefer, who retired in 1976, after serving as an Illinois Supreme Court Justice for twenty five years, died June 15, 1986, in Lake Forest, Illinois. He was eighty one years of age. He is survived by his wife, Marguerite; three sons, James M. Goff of Chicago, V. Barlow Goff of Sacramento, California, and Walter V. Schaefer, Jr., of Seattle, Washington; a daughter, Nancy Schaefer (Mrs. Chester V. Kamin) of Chicago; six grandchildren, and a great grandchild.

Justice Schaefer was born on December 10, 1904, in Grand Rapids, Michigan. He graduated from Hyde Park High School in Chicago. He received a Bachelor of Philosophy degree in 1926 and a Juris Doctor degree in 1928 and became a statutory draftsman with the Legislative Reference Bureau in Springfield, Illinois.

Between 1929 and 1934 he was a practicing attorney. During this period he had a major role in drafting and editing the Illinois Civil Practice Act which was adopted in 1934. He also was an associate editor of the Illinois Civil Practice Act Annotated.

In 1934 and 1935 he served as litigation attorney with the Agricultural Adjustment Administration in Washington, D.C., from 1935 to 1937 he was a member of the legal department of the Reconstruction Finance Corporation in Chicago, and from 1937 to 1940 he was an Assistant Corporation Counsel for the City of Chicago.

From 1940 to 1951 he was a professor of law at Northwestern University School of Law. He taught courses in evidence, civil procedure, agency, taxation, constitutional law, legal institutions, legal bibliography, moot court, real estate transactions and mortgages, and a property seminar.

From 1940 to 1946 he also was a commissioner with the Chicago Housing Authority, and in 1942 and 1943 served as a referee in bankruptcy for the United States District Court.

In 1948 he became top aide to former Governor Adlai E. Stevenson who appointed him to the Illinois Supreme Court in 1951 to fill the vacancy created by the death of Justice Francis S. Wilson. Justice Schaefer was elected to the Illinois Supreme Court in 1951; was subsequently elected in 1960, and was retained in 1970 as a justice of the new First Judicial District under the provisions of the amended Judicial Article of 1962.

He served as Chief Justice of the Illinois Supreme Court twice, was liaison justice to the Supreme Court Rules Committee, and was an early advocate of an annual judicial conference (which came to fruition in 1954 and continues today in the constitutional form as the Annual Illinois Judicial Conference). Justice Schaefer was Chairman of the Illinois Court Commission.

Justice Schaefer's impact on the law and the administration of justice in Illinois during his time on the bench is surely beyond the quantity of opinions rendered, yet statistics do provide some indication of his pervasiveness. His opinions are contained in 74 volumes of the Official Reports, 409 Ill. through 415 Ill., and 1 Ill. 2d through 64 Ill. 2d (advance sheet volume number 19, dated October 13, 1976). There the kind and number of Justice Schaefer's opinions are found:

Opinions for the Court (Majority)	916
Dissenting Opinions and Statements	123
Concurring and Special Concurring Opinions and Statements	11
Concurring in Part and Dissenting in Part Opinion	1
Total	1051

After Justice Schaefer's retirement in 1976, he became of counsel to a Chicago law firm. In 1977 he returned to Northwestern University to teach part time as the William M. Trumbull Lecturer on Judicial Administration. His most recent seminar on appellate procedure and judicial administration was given in the fall of 1985.

Justice Schaefer authored a book on the Illinois Civil Practice Act; he was the author of "Courts and the Commonplaces of Federalism" (1959); "The Suspect and Society, Criminal Procedure and Converging Constitutional Doctrines" (1967), and numerous articles in legal journals, the most recent of which appeared in the "Duke Law Journal" in 1985.

He was the Ernest Freund Lecturer at the University of Chicago in 1955; the Oliver Wendell Holmes Lecturer at Harvard University in 1956; the Edward J. James Lecturer at the University of Illinois in 1959; the Julius Rosenthal Foundation Lecturer at Northwestern University in 1966, and the Benjamin N. Cardozo Lecturer at New York University in 1967.

Justice Schaefer was a member of the faculty of the Appellate Judges' Seminar of the New York University School of Law from 1956 to 1972; a member of the faculty of the Salzburg Seminar in American Studies 1960 and 1971; a member of the Anglo-American Judicial Exchange team in 1961 and 1977, and American Guest to the Law Council of Australia in 1963. From 1965 to 1968 he was chairman of the American Bar Association Advisory Committee on Criminal Justice Standards. In 1985 he was judge in residence at the Francis Lewis Law Center of Washington and Lee University.

He was recognized as one of the most outstanding State Supreme Court Justices of the century.

Justice Schaefer received honorary degrees from Northwestern University, University of Chicago, John Marshall Law School, Lake Forest College, Notre Dame University, and DePaul University. His awards included the 1969 American Bar Association Gold Medal "for conspicuous service in the cause of American Jurisprudence", the 1977 American Judicature Society Herbert Harley Award for "outstanding service", and the 1977 Illinois State Bar Association Award of Merit for "Service to the Profession".

In 1979 "as a lasting tribute to a most eminent jurist", the editors of "The Northwestern Law Review" dedicated an issue to Justice Schaefer and published articles which contained comments about him by Justice William J. Brennan, Jr., Judge Carl McGowan, Justice Roger J. Traynor, Justice Daniel P. Ward, Professor Francis A. Allen, Albert E. Jenner, Jr. Esq., and Professor James A. Rohl.

Justice Schaefer was a member of the Council of the American Law Institute; an honorary fellow of the American College of Trial Lawyers, and a member of the American Academy of Arts and Sciences.

He possessed in the highest degree deep and perceptive insight into the larger function of law. He was highly regarded; a distinguished lecturer, a most honorable and able justice. As a professor and as a judge he often said there are three things a judge must keep in mind; fairness, fairness, and fairness. He made the practice of law a continuation of the learning process.

Supreme Court Rules Committee

The Supreme Court has a standing committee on rules. This Committee was first organized in 1963 in anticipation of the increased responsibility of the Supreme Court in the area of rule making under the 1964 constitutional amendment. During the calendar year 1986 the Committee was composed of the following members:

Professor Jo Desha Lucas, University of Chicago School of Law, Chairman
Murray R. Conzelman, Esq.
Lawrence Gunnels, Esq.
Hon. Harold L. Jensen
William J. Jovan, Esq.
Watts C. Johnson, Esq.
Sidney Karasik, Esq.
Fred Lambruschi, Esq.
Carl W. Lee, Esq.
Hon. Richard Mills
Hon. William R. Quinlan
Hon. Dom Rizzi
Peter M. Sfikas, Esq.
Hon. John E. Sype
Robert L. Stern, Esq.

Justice Thomas J. Moran of the Supreme Court of Illinois was the Supreme Court's Liaison to the Rules Committee during calendar year 1986. William M. Madden of the Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts served as secretary to the Committee.

Except when extraordinary matters must be considered, the Supreme Court Rules Committee meets in Chicago on the last Friday of February, April, June, October and December. The staggered meeting dates are intended to facilitate attendance by the Supreme Court's liaison justice.

During 1986, the Committee considered many proposals for changes in the Supreme Court Rules. Those proposals which were adopted by the Supreme Court are summarized in the following section. These matters were a small portion of the recommendations for change discussed at the Committee's meetings. Recommendations come from various sources. In some instances, the Supreme Court agrees upon a rule in principle and refers the proposal to the Committee to be placed into proper form. In other instances, proposals are prompted by court decisions, actions by Congress or the State General Assembly, or communications from the organized bar, law professors, individual attorneys or the public at large.

New or Amended Rules Adopted by the Illinois Supreme Court

In the exercise of its inherent power to adopt rules governing practice and procedure, supplemented by constitutional directives to exercise that authority in specific areas (Ill. Const. 1970, art. VI, secs. 4, 5, 6, 8, 13, 16 and 17), the Illinois Supreme Court adopted, amended or repealed the following rules of significant interest in 1986.

Rule 19

This rule was adopted on February 21, 1986 and became effective August 1, 1986. The rule requires a litigant raising a claim of unconstitutionality of a statute, ordinance or regulation to notify the appropriate public body. The public body may apply to intervene in the litigation, but it is not required to do so.

Rule 68

This rule was amended effective August 1, 1986 and details new requirements and procedures for judges filing declarations of economic interest with the Clerk of the Supreme Court.

Rule 187

This new rule was adopted, effective August 1, 1986, to provide for the timely filing of motions on *forum non conveniens* grounds (see *Bell v. Louisville & Nashville R. R. Co.* (1985), 106 Ill. 2d 135), and to standardize the procedure governing interstate and intrastate *forum non conveniens* motions.

Rule 315

Rule 315(b) was amended, effective August 1, 1986, regarding time and contents of a petition for leave to appeal.

Rule 608

Rule 608(a) was amended, effective August 1, 1986, to require the immediate preparation of a record on appeal upon the filing of a notice, without the need for any designation by the parties. The amendment expanded the portions of the circuit court record which must be included in the record on appeal. As amended, paragraph (a) also allows the filing of a supplemental record on appeal containing photographs of exhibits.

Rule 753

This rule was amended effective August 1, 1986 so that subparagraph (e)(1) no longer required that the Hearing Board report be reviewed in all cases wherein it recommends action by the Court.

Judicial Appointments by the Supreme Court

Article VI, section 12 of the Illinois Constitution of 1970 provides that, in the absence of a law providing for the filling of vacancies in the office of Supreme Court Justice, Appellate or Circuit judge, such vacancies may be filled by appointment of the Supreme Court. Exercising this authority, the Supreme Court, during 1986, made the following appointments of attorneys and sitting judges:

Carol Kamin Bellows, Cook County
Effective November 20

Daniel Doyle, 17th Circuit
Effective December 1

Michael B. Getty, Cook County
Effective December 1

John Gustafson, Cook County
Effective January 1

Jay Hanson, 14th Circuit
Effective January 1

Matthew A. Jurczak, 5th Circuit
Effective January 2

Lewis V. Morgan, Jr., 18th Circuit
Effective February 1

John O'Toole, Cook County
Effective December 1

Barry E. Puklin, 16th Circuit
Effective October 1

Paul Riley, 3rd Circuit
Effective December 22

Thomas W. Vinson, 12th Circuit
Effective July 1

Fred P. Wagner, 13th Circuit
Effective October 1

Charles H. Wilhelm, 9th Circuit
Effective February 1

Assignment of Retired Judges to Active Judicial Service

Article VI, section 15(a) of the Illinois Constitution of 1970 allows the Supreme Court to assign a retired judge to active service, with his consent. A retired associate judge may be assigned only as an associate judge. The following list shows those judges who were so assigned in 1986.

Appellate Court

Alfred E. Woodward	Second District (all year)

Circuit Court

Irving W. Eiserman	Cook County (January 1-July 1)
Morton C. Elden	Cook County (all year)
Hyman Feldman	Cook County (all year)
James A. Geroulis	Cook County (all year)
John McGury	Cook County (all year)
Benjamin Nelson	Cook County (all year)
Harry Stark	Cook County (all year)
Alfred Teton	Cook County (all year)
Raymond Trafelet	Cook County (all year)
Eugene L. Wachowski	Cook County (all year)

1986 Annual Report of the Supreme Court to the General Assembly

Article VI, section 17 of the Illinois Constitution of 1970 provides:

"The Supreme Court shall provide by rule for an annual judicial conference to consider the work of the courts and to suggest improvements in the administration of justice and shall report thereon annually in writing to the General Assembly . . ."

The text of the 1986 report submitted by the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court is set forth as follows:

SUPREME COURT
State of Illinois
CHIEF JUSTICE WILLIAM G. CLARK
Richard J. Daley Center
Chicago, Illinois 60602

January 31, 1986

Honorable Philip J. Rock, President
Senate of the State of Illinois
Capitol Building
Springfield, Illinois 62706

Honorable Michael J. Madigan, Speaker
House of Representatives
State of Illinois
Capitol Building
Springfield, Illinois 62706

Gentlemen:

The following report is submitted in accordance with section 17 of article VI of the Illinois Constitution of 1970 which provides: "The Supreme Court shall provide by rule for an annual judicial conference to consider the work of the courts and to suggest improvements in the administration of justice and shall report thereon annually in writing to the General Assembly***."

In making the suggestions contained in this and in prior reports, the Supreme Court is fully cognizant of the respective roles of the General Assembly and the courts, and does not intend to intrude upon the prerogatives of the General Assembly in determining what legislation should be enacted. It is gratifying, however, to note that the General Assembly over the years, especially last year, has acted to implement many of the suggestions made by the Court. I respectfully submit that the attached suggestions merit the consideration of the General Assembly.

Respectfully,

William G. Clark
Chief Justice

cc: Members of the General Assembly

The State Should Fully Fund the Operations of the Circuit Courts

The dream of a constitutionally unified State court system materialized in Illinois on January 1, 1964, when the amendment to the judicial article of the 1870 Constitution, adopted in 1962, took effect (Ill. Const. 1870, art. VI (1964).) The court system created then was of course refined with the adoption of the 1970 Constitution (Ill. Const. 1970, art. VI) but the basic court structure established by the 1962 judicial article amendment remains intact. The Illinois court system is universally acknowledged by legal scholars and practitioners as *the* model system in court structure and organization because the Constitution creates a supreme court having general administrative and supervisory authority over all courts, one appellate court, and a single trial court — the circuit courts — having original jurisdiction of virtually all justiciable matters. Yet, in one major respect, the realized dream is a mirage — full State funding of the operations of the circuit courts has not appeared.

Presently the State fully funds the entire operation of the supreme and appellate courts: the salaries of judicial and nonjudicial personnel, the operating expenses of those courts and their clerks' offices, costs associated with capital improvements and maintaining courthouses and judicial chambers, and so forth. However, when it comes to funding the circuit courts, the counties, not the State, must bear the major burden of financing the operations of those courts. It is true that some circuit court expenses are paid directly or indirectly out of State appropriations: for example, virtually all of the salaries of circuit and associate judges (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 53, pars. 3.2, 3.3), the salary of the chief circuit judge's administrative assistant (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 37, par. 72.4-1), the salaries of official court reporters (Pub. Act 84-1425, sec. 2, effective September 24, 1986), and a subsidy to counties for the operation of probation and court services departments (Pub. Act 84-1308, art. II, sec. 53, effective August 25, 1986); but the balance of the expenses to operate the circuit courts is a responsibility of the counties (see generally Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 34, par. 432). Such expenses borne by the counties range from pencil and paper purchases to courthouse construction and circuit-clerk office operation costs. We note parenthetically that chief judges, who are constitutionally responsible for administering their circuit courts (Ill. Const. art. VI, sec. 7(c)), have had disputes with county boards concerning adequate funding of their courts. See, e.g., *Knuepfer v. Fawell* (1983), 96 Ill. 2d 284, and *People ex rel. Bier v. Scholz* (1979), 77 Ill. 2d 12.

The annual fiscal year budget of this State is $20 billion, yet over the years less than 1% of the State Budget has been appropriated to the Supreme Court for the operations of all courts. The courts of Illinois, including the circuit courts, are State courts and the funding for their operations should come from appropriations made by the General Assembly. To be sure, full State funding of the circuit courts would be an additional expense to the State, but the cost would be spread among all of the citizens of Illinois, rather than just placing severe fiscal burdens on local taxpayers in the counties.

The Supreme Court is deeply cognizant that the exact cost of operating the circuit courts of Illinois is presently not fully known, principally because of the intricacies of county budgets, but some of the costs are known. For example, it is known, albeit based on admittedly incomplete reports, that the operational expenses of the 102 circuit clerks' offices are over $62 million. (See 1984 Annual Report of the Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts 160.) In some 27 states the State has assumed the primary responsibility for funding the trial courts, and the Supreme Court believes that it is time the State of Illinois seriously studies full State funding of our circuit courts. See generally Stout, Planning for Unified Court Budgeting, 69 Judicature 205 (December-January 1986), where the author describes implementation of unified court budgeting in the State of New York.

Too, we should be mindful that

> "The imposition upon the state of the obligation for all judicial salaries and expenses gives explicit constitutional sanction to the principle that judicial service, whatever may be the geographic areas from which judges are elected, is a state service. The administration of justice thus assumes coordinate status with constitutional state officers in Executive and Legislative Departments of government ***." Braden and Cohn, The Illinois Constitution: An Annotated and Comparative Analysis 372 (1969).

The Supreme Court again recommends that the General Assembly study State funding of the operations of the circuit courts with a view toward making the administration of justice in the circuit courts a State fiscal obligation, thereby realizing the dream of a constitutionally unified State court system in all respects.

The State Should Pay The Expenses Of Operating The Chief Judge's Office In Multi-County Circuits

The Illinois Constitution of 1970 places broad administrative authority in the chief circuit judge. To properly execute that authority, the chief judge needs personnel, office equipment, supplies and other items traditionally associated with management. In some multi-county circuits, the county boards contribute to a common fund to defray those expenses; in others they do not. In those circuits in which all counties do not contribute, an individual county board is reluctant to assume the full responsibility for paying the expenses of a chief judge's office which serves the management needs of counties within the circuit other than the chief judge's county of residence. Understandably, the county boards believe they cannot justify spending their county's taxpayers' funds for the expenses of the office of a chief judge who has circuit-wide management responsibilities. Most chief judges in multi-county circuits estimate the cost of operating their office to be modest.

The State pays the salary and travel expenses of each chief judge's administrative assistant (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 37, pars. 72.4-1; 72.4-2), but none of the other expenses associated with a chief judge's office is borne by the State. The Supreme Court believes that the expenses of the office of the chief judge in multi-county circuits should be paid out of State appropriations.

Many multi-county circuits present complex problems of administration which cannot be met with the scarce resources presently available to most chief circuit judges. Some of the larger counties (including three single-county circuits — Cook County, DuPage County and Will County) do provide some administrative support over and above the administrative assistant who is paid by the State, but by-and-large the chief judges must get along in an increasingly hostile economic environment with only the meager tools offered by the State.

The Supreme Court is aware that its recommendation made on this subject two years ago was favorably received and handily passed by the 84th General Assembly but vetoed by the Governor (see 1985 Final Legis. Synop. & Dig. 938-39 (House Bill 131)); however, we again recommend the adoption of a trial court administration program under which selected multi-county circuits, designated by the Supreme Court, could receive essential, State-supported administrative personnel, equipment and supplies to assist the chief judge to fulfill his constitutional mandate to exercise "general administrative authority over his court ***" (Ill. Const. art. VI, sec. 7(c)).

Clerks of the Circuit Courts Should Be Appointed

The clerks of the circuit courts of Illinois are not county officials, but are non-judicial members of the judicial branch of State government (*Drury v. County of McLean* (1982), 89 Ill. 2d 417), and, like the clerks of the supreme and appellate courts, they should be appointed.

The Supreme Court Committee on Clerks of Court in its final report to this Court recommended that clerks of the circuit courts be appointed by the circuit court judges:

> "While circuit clerks perform myriad duties requiring intelligence, discretion, good judgment and management talents, they are not responsible for formulating policy. Their principal responsibility is to faithfully execute policies set forth in statutes, rules, or orders of court — regardless of the reaction of the local electorate, not in response to it. The idea that a clerk could frustrate the policy objectives of the court he serves on the grounds that he is elected, and therefore 'responsible to the people,' is intolerable. Our Constitution vests general administrative authority over the circuit courts in the Chief Judge, subject only to the general administrative and supervisory power of the Supreme Court. The clerk is an integral part of the judicial team, as are court reporters, for example, and that he should be elected rather than appointed is a historical and political anomaly having little, if anything, to do with promoting the efficiency or effectiveness of his office. The committee, therefore, recommends that circuit clerks become appointed non-judicial officers of the state court system." Report of Committee on Clerks of Court (January 1974), p. 17.

The Supreme Court recognizes that the power to provide for either the election or the appointment of clerks of the circuit court is a matter within the exclusive jurisdiction of the General Assembly (Ill. Const. art. VI, sec. 18(b)). (Too, we observe that the Constitution provides that the General Assembly shall determine how the circuit court clerks' offices shall be funded (Ill. Const. art. VI, sec. 18(c)), and we note that the 83rd General Assembly adopted, in 1983, Senate Joint Resolution 54, which created a broad-based circuit court finance and budget committee to study and recommend "ways of [adequately] financing the office of Circuit Clerk in each county of the State;" however, no funds were appropriated for the committee's operation.) Nevertheless, the Supreme Court concurs with its Committee's recommendation that clerks of the circuit courts should be appointed by the circuit judges of the respective circuits and again urges the General Assembly to consider changing the law in that respect.

Judges Should Not Appoint Election Commissioners

In the Supreme Court's January 31, 1983, report to the General Assembly, the Court recommended that section 10-9 of the Election Code (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1981, ch. 46, par. 10-9) be amended to remove the requirement that chief judges make appointments to electoral boards. (See reprint of our 1983 report at 1982 Annual Report of the Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts 30-31.) The General Assembly responded favorably to our recommendation. With the enactment of "An Act to remove judges from electoral boards" (Pub. Act 83-995 (1983 Ill. Laws 6679 (effective December 13, 1983)), Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 46, par. 10-9), the General Assembly took another step in the direction of removing from the judiciary, as stated in our 1983 report, a non-judicial function which tended to involve judges in political matters.

Public Act 83-995, however, provided only a partial solution, for judges still are statutorily required to appoint members of certain municipal boards of election commissioners. Section 6-21 of the Election Code requires election commissioners of electoral boards in certain cities, villages, and incorporated towns to be "appointed by the circuit court in the county in which the municipality is located." (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 46, par. 6-21.) Section 6-21, like section 10-9 prior to its amendment, imposes non-judicial functions upon circuit judges and tends to involve them in political matters which can be better addressed by officials in branches of government other than the judicial branch.

The Supreme Court, therefore, recommends that the General Assembly remove from section 6-21 of the Election Code (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 46, par. 6-21) the non-judicial function that circuit judges appoint election commissioners of boards of election in municipalities having such boards, and provide instead that someone other than circuit judges make such appointments.

Statute Requiring Judicial Note on Certain Legislation Should be Followed by General Assembly

Statutes requiring that so-called impact notes be requested for certain types of legislation being considered by the General Assembly are beneficial to the legislative process: such statutes assist legislators in weighing the cost — fiscal and otherwise — against the benefits expected to be derived from the legislation, should it become law, by requiring specified State agencies to submit data on the impact of the legislation.

Perhaps no statute requiring an "impact note" is ignored or overlooked more often than "An Act requiring certain types of bills *** have provided a note indicating the effect thereof on the judicial system ***" (Judicial Note Act) (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 63, par. 42.61 *et seq.*). The essence of the Judicial Note Act is found in sections 1, 2, and 7 which, in substance, provide that every bill or amendment to a bill, "the purpose and effect of which is to increase or decrease the number of [judges], either directly or indirectly, shall have prepared for it" by the Supreme Court, when the bill's sponsor presents the bill to the Supreme Court, a judicial note "of the need of a change in the number of judges." See Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 63, pars. 42.61, 42.62, 42.67, and Pub. Act 84-1395, sec. 10, effective January 1, 1987.

This Court has recently been presented with situations which demonstrate the wisdom of the Judicial Note Act and the consequences when it is ignored. Chief circuit judges have asked the Supreme Court to allocate to their circuits additional associate judges from the limited "pool" of such judgeships the General Assembly has given to the Court (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 37, par. 160.2-1) because, in the main, their judgeship needs have been substantially increased by the following laws, none of which had a judicial note.

- Public Act 83-1517 (1984 Ill. Laws 4088, 4100-02 (effective July 1, 1985)) adding to section 4-2 of the Juvenile Court Act (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 37, par. 704-2) a "speedy adjudicatory hearing" provision. The effective date was delayed until April 1, 1986, by Public Act 84-12, (1985 Ill. Laws 248 (effective July 1, 1985)), and a "tolling" provision was added effective July 1, 1987, by Public Act 84-1428, sec. 4.
- Public Act 84-7 (1985 Ill. Laws 211 (effective August 15, 1985)) extensively amending the Code of Civil Procedure (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 110, par. 1-101 *et seq.*) by providing new judicial procedures in cases of "healing art malpractice." For example, the amendment would have required that a circuit judge be a member of and "preside over" a review panel in medical malpractice cases. The review panel would have been a procedure, not heretofore required, which necessitated additional judicial services. But see *Bernier v. Burris* (1986), 113 Ill. 2d 219.
- Public Act 84-272 (1985 Ill. Laws 2409, 2430-33 (effective January 1, 1986)) amending the Illinois Vehicle Code (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 95½, par. 1-100 *et seq.*) by requiring new judicial procedures in cases alleging a "drunk driving" offense. For example, in such cases a judicial hearing may be held to determine whether or not a "judicial driving permit" should be issued. See also Pub. Act 84-1394, sec. 5, effective September 18, 1986.
- Public Act 84-696 (1985 Ill. Laws 4437 (effective September 20, 1985)) amending the Illinois Domestic Violence Act (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 40, par. 2301-1 *et seq.*) by allowing a petitioner, when court is closed, to file a petition "before any available circuit judge or associate judge." In most instances, a "duty judge" will need to be available for such cases. The same provision is included in the Illinois Domestic Violence Act of 1986 (see Pub. Act 84-1305, art. II, sec. 217 (c) (1), effective August 21, 1986).

The Supreme Court is deeply concerned about the additional legislatively imposed responsibilities upon judges, without an assessment of the impact upon the judiciary as a whole, and again urges the General Assembly to invoke the Judicial Note Act (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 63, par. 42.61 *et seq.*) whenever the purpose or effect of a bill or bill amendment is to directly or indirectly increase, or decrease, the number of judges in Illinois.

Judges Pension Benefits And Funding Need Re-Examination

The Supreme Court believes that in two respects article 18 of the Illinois Pension Code, commonly called the Judges Pension System, needs to be re-examined: the method of computing a judge's annuity and the absence of a provision allowing a "cost of living" increase for a judge's spouse who is receiving a survivor's annuity. The Court believes, too, that the level of State contributions to the System requires re-evaluation.

Section 18-125 of the Code, as amended by Public Act 82-768 (1982 Ill. Laws 152, 159 (effective January 1, 1983)), provides in relevant part that as of July 1, 1982, the retirement annuity "for any [judge] in service on or after [July 1, 1982] shall be the *average salary for the final year of service* as a judge." (Emphasis added.) (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 108½, par. 18-125(d).) The validity of the amendment was challenged in *Felt v. Board of Trustees* (1985), 107 Ill. 2d 158, and the Court held the amendment unconstitutional as applied to judges in service on or before January 1, 1983. The Supreme Court believes that section 18-125, as amended by Public Act 82-768, is in need of reconsideration, and the Court again suggests that consideration be given to returning section 18-125 to its former state that a judge's retirement annuity be based upon his salary "on the last day of employment as a judge."

Under the existing statutes a surviving spouse of a judge who contributed to the survivor's annuity benefit is entitled to an annuity in an amount scheduled by law. (See Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 108½, pars. 18-123, 18-128, 18-128.01, 18-133.) If the judge-annuitant at the time of his or her death was receiving the "cost of living" allowance (automatic increase in retirement annuity) (Ill. Rev. Stat–1985, ch. 108½, par. 18-125.1), then the survivor's annuity will be based upon the annuity that the judge-annuitant "was receiving *immediately prior to his or her death, inclusive of annual increases* in the retirement annuity to the date of death" (emphasis added) (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 108½, par. 18-128.01(a)), but there is no provision in the statutes for future "cost of living" increases in the survivor's annuity. (In the case of a surviving spouse of a sitting judge the survivor's annuity is solely based on the judge's last salary or the annuity the judge would have been entitled to on the date of death. See Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 108½, par. 18-128.01(b).) The ravage of inflation is common knowledge, and its devastating effect on persons on fixed-incomes is well known. The survivors of a judge who had faithfully served in public office at a financial sacrifice should not have to wholly suffer the adverse economic consequences of inflationary spirals by seeing their static annuity being diminished for reasons beyond their control. The General Assembly has provided a one-time "cost of living" allowance in the survivor's benefits provided by other State retirement systems. (See, e.g., Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 108½, par. 16-143.1.) Our Court again urges that consideration be given to establishing a "cost of living" allowance for a judge's spouse who is receiving a survivor's annuity.

By law the State of Illinois is required to make contributions to the Judges Pension System through annual appropriations in amounts based upon a statutory formula (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 108½, par. 18-131), and payments of the "required State contributions *** are the obligations of the State ***." (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 108½, par. 18-132). The Board of Trustees of the Judges Retirement System of Illinois, which is responsible for administering the System, is required to submit an annual report. (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 108½, par. 18-147.) The "Forty-Fourth Annual Report" for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1985, the latest available published report, paints a dim picture, turning darker and darker as each year passes, concerning the actuarial soundness of the System, for the reason that the State has not appropriated its contributions at the level required by law. The report points out that, while the Board of Trustees has requested the level of appropriations necessary to adequately fund the System, as it is required by law to do (see Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 108½, par. 18-140), its appropriation requests "have been arbitrarily reduced . . . below the amounts specifically mandated . . . and required" by law; e.g., for the fiscal years ending June 30, 1985 and 1986, the Board requested $18.6 and $20.8 million, respectively, but less than 50% of the amounts requested were appropriated ($8.2 million for FY 85 and $9 million for FY 86). "In fact," states the report at pages 8-9, "for the fiscal year ended June 30, 1985, State contributions were *substantially below* the actual benefit payouts." (Emphasis added.) (See, generally, pages 6-9 of report.) The report notes further that the actuarially accepted rate of funding ("security ratio") for public pensions is 66⅔%, but as of June 30, 1985, the security ratio for the Judges Pension System stood at 22.9%, "the lowest of any public employee retirement system in the State of Illinois." (See page 7 and, generally, page 12 of report.) The report concludes at pages 36 and 37 that the 22.9% rate of funding is "extremely low" and "indicates that considerably larger appropriations by the State of Illinois *** must be made to meet the System's accrued and accruing pension liabilities." (The deep concern of the Board of Trustees is echoed by the Comptroller of this State who reports that the Illinois public pension systems, including the Judges Pension System, "may be headed for financial trouble unless state appropriations are returned to a higher level." See Comptroller's news-release attached to his "State of Illinois Fiscal Condition Report" (November 27, 1985).) The Supreme Court concurs with the report of the Board of Trustees, and we again urge the General Assembly to appropriate the State contributions in an amount sufficient to restore the fiscal health of the Judges Pension System.

The Supreme Court again invites the General Assembly to re-examine article 18 of the Illinois Pension Code (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 108½, par. 18-101 *et seq.*) and consider providing therein that a judge's annuity shall be based upon the judge's salary on the last day of judicial service and that the survivor's annuity be increased by a "cost of living" allowance, and the Court again recommends that the Judges Pension System be adequately funded out of State appropriations.

Obsolete Statute Providing for Election and Terms of Appellate Judges Should Be Repealed

In 1963, in anticipation of the effective date, January 1, 1964, of the 1962 amendment to the judicial article of the 1870 Illinois Constitution (Ill. Const. 1870, art. VI (1964)), section 1 of "An Act providing for the election and term of judges of the Appellate Court" (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 46, par. 555) was enacted into law. (See Ill. Ann. Stat., ch. 46, par. 555, Historical Note, at 403 (Smith-Hurd 1965).) The Act, of course, implemented that part of the newly adopted judicial article which created the appellate court by establishing the number of appellate judges to be elected in 1964 and the length of their terms.

Section 1 of the Act was implemented when candidates were elected to the appellate court in the 1964 general election. Accordingly, the statute has served the purpose for which it was enacted and is now obsolete. Furthermore, section 1 of "An Act in relation to the Appellate Court" (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 37, par. 25) establishes the number of appellate judges to be elected in each judicial district, and the 1970 Illinois Constitution establishes judges' terms of office (Ill. Const. 1970, art. VI, sec. 10).

The Supreme Court therefore recommends again that the General Assembly repeal, as it has been long implemented and is now obsolete, section 1 of "An Act providing for the election and terms of judges of the Appellate Court" (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 46, par. 555).

Statutes Providing For Direct Appellate Court Review of Administrative Agency Decisions Should Not Be Inconsistent with Supreme Court Rule 335

The 1970 Illinois Constitution provides, as did the 1870 Constitution (Ill. Const. 1870, art. VI (1964), sec. 7), that the "Appellate Court shall have such powers of direct review of administrative action as provided by law." (Ill. Const. 1970, art. VI, sec. 6.) The first such grant of direct appellate court review of agency action occurred when the General Assembly provided for direct appellate court review of orders of the Pollution Control Board, effective July 1, 1970, as provided in the Environmental Protection Act (see Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 111½, par. 1041). Following enactment of that Act, this Court adopted Supreme Court Rule 335, effective July 1, 1971, which sets forth procedures for direct appellate court review of administrative orders. (See, generally, Ill. Ann. Stat., ch. 110A, par. 335, Committee Comments, and Historical and Practice Notes, at 467-69 (Smith-Hurd 1985).) Since then, the General Assembly has provided for direct appellate court review of certain orders of six more administrative bodies. See Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 46, par. 9-22 (State Board of Elections); Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 48, par. 1611 (Illinois State and Illinois Local Labor Relations Boards); Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 48, par. 1716 (Illinois Educational Labor Relations Board); Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 68, par. 8-111 (Human Rights Commission); Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 111⅔, par. 10-201 (Illinois Commerce Commission).

Supreme Court Rule 335 is based upon the procedures followed under the Illinois Administrative Review Law (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 110, par. 3-101 *et seq.*), Illinois Supreme Court rules governing civil appeals (Supreme Court Rule 301 *et seq.*), and Federal rules relating to appellate review of administrative orders (Fed. R. App. P. 15 *et seq.*). It is a general rule which has been adopted by our Court so that it would be "unnecessary for the rule to be revised [when] the legislature provides *** for direct review by the Appellate Court" of administrative agency orders. See Ill. Ann. Stat., ch. 110A, par. 335, Committee Comments, at 467-68 (Smith-Hurd 1985).

The procedures provided in Rule 335 have worked well since its adoption in 1971; however, with the enactment of the Public Utilities Act, the Illinois Appellate Court has found inconsistencies in that Act's provisions for direct appellate court review of certain orders of the Illinois Commerce Commission (ICC) and Rule 335. In *Consumers Gas Co. v. Illinois Commerce Comm.* (1986), 144 Ill. App. 3d 229, the appellate court found that section 10-201(b) of the Act (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 111⅔, par. 10-201(b)) was inconsistent with Rule 335 in two respects. The court stated that Rule 335(a) "requires that a petition for review shall be filed with the appellate court unlike section 10-201(b) which requires that a notice of appeal shall be filed with the secretary of [ICC]. [Rule 335(b)] requires that the petitioner seeking appellate review serve a copy of the petition for review on the administrative agency and all other parties of record. In contrast, under section 10-201(b) it is the clerk of the appellate court who is served with a copy of the notice of appeal which was filed with [ICC] in the first instance." (144 Ill. App. 3d 229, 235.) The court further observed that while section 10-204(b) of the Act (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 111⅔, par. 10-204(b)) requires an evidentiary hearing on a motion for stay in the appellate court, Supreme Court Rule 335(g) does not. (144 Ill. App. 3d 229, 236-37.) The appellate court then found unconstitutional those portions of the Public Utilities Act which are inconsistent with Supreme Court Rule 335.

The *Consumers Gas Co.* decision illustrates the confusion that can result when direct appeal provisions which are inconsistent with Supreme Court Rule 335 are enacted. (*Cf. City of Benton Police Dept. v. Human Rights Comm.* (1986), 147 Ill. App. 3d 7.) The Supreme Court urges the General Assembly to re-examine the Public Utilities Act (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 111⅔, pars. 10-201, 10-204) and other statutes which provide for direct appeals to the appellate court from an administrative agency, and to consider providing therein, to the extent necessary, procedures which are not inconsistent with Rule 335.

The Legislative Scheme Allowing State's Attorney Fees Should Be Re-Examined

The criminal cost statute provides that a defendant who is convicted of an offense must pay the costs of his prosecution. (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 38, par. 180-3.) Section 8(a) of "An Act concerning fees and salaries ***" (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 53, par. 8(a)) establishes a schedule of State's Attorney fees, applicable principally to criminal prosecutions, which requires his fees to be "taxed as costs and to be collected from the defendant, if possible, upon conviction." Section 8(a) also states that a State's Attorney is entitled to appeal fees, which are to be assessed as costs when he successfully defends an appeal brought by a convicted criminal defendant. See also Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 110, par. 5-120.

In *People v. Nicholls* (1978), 71 Ill. 2d 166, this Court considered the above-cited statutes and other statutory provisions governing fees and costs, and we said that the allowance and recovery of costs, being unknown at common law, is wholly grounded in statutory law. We referred to the defendant's contention that section 8 (now section 8(a)) is obsolete because it was originally enacted to provide compensation to State's Attorneys but now the office of State's Attorney is a salaried position (see Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 53, pars. 7, 22a). We then stated, and have since reiterated in *In re W.W.* (1983), 97 Ill. 2d 53, 58, that "[i]n light of present-day county budgeting and accounting procedures, the provisions of section 8[(a)] [citation] relating to State's Attorney fees may appear to be a relic of another era which might well merit the attention of the legislature." (71 Ill. 2d 166, 179.) Too, the administrative committee of the Illinois Appellate Court has recommended, and this Court agrees, elimination of the State's Attorney appeal and *per diem* fees in section 8(a) which are taxed as costs against an unsuccessful criminal appellant (see *Nicholls* and *People v. Agnew* (1985), 105 Ill. 2d 275). See also *People v. Crete* (1985), 133 Ill. App. 3d 24, 34, *affirmed on other grounds* (1986), 113 Ill. 2d 156, where the appellate court noted that such fees are "considered obsolete in view of present day procedures."

Although section 8 was recently amended to allow a prosecution fee to a municipality for certain traffic convictions prosecuted by the municipal attorney (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 53, par. 8(b)), the Supreme Court again invites the General Assembly to re-examine section 8(a) (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 53, par. 8(a)) "in light of present-day county budgeting and accounting procedures" and to consider abolishing the State's Attorney trial and appeal fees provided therein.

Section 5-6-4(h) Of The Unified Code Of Corrections Should Be Amended To Prohibit Automatic Crediting Of Time Spent On Probation

Section 5-6-4(h) of the Unified Code of Corrections (Code) states that where a defendant is resentenced after revocation of his probation, conditional discharge or supervision, the "[t]ime served on probation, conditional discharge or supervision shall be credited by the court against a sentence of imprisonment or periodic imprisonment unless the court orders otherwise." (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 38, par. 1005-6-4(h); see also Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 38, par. 1005-6-4.1(h).) In *People v. Hollingsworth* (1982), 89 Ill. 2d 466, defendant's probation was revoked, and he was sentenced to a term of imprisonment. The sentencing judge's order was ambiguous in that the order credited against defendant's sentence of imprisonment the time he served in custody after his arrest for the probation violation but did not expressly say anything about time served on probation. Relying on *People v. Hills* (1980), 78 Ill. 2d 500, we held that "[i]f the court decides to deny credit for probation time, it should say so; the point should not be left to inference or interpretation. If the court does not expressly deny credit, the defendant is entitled to it under section 5-6-4(h) of the Unified Code of Corrections [citation], which contemplates that credit will usually be allowed." (89 Ill. 2d 466, 468.) Thus, if the order revoking probation, conditional discharge or supervision and sentencing defendant to imprisonment or periodic imprisonment is silent or ambiguous concerning unconfined probation time credit (see *People v. Scheib* (1979), 76 Ill. 2d 244), the time served while on probation will be automatically credited against the sentence of imprisonment. See also *People v. Goodman* (1984), 102 Ill. 2d 18, which permits credit under section 5-6-4(h) during the period probation, conditional discharge or supervision is tolled pursuant to section 5-6-4(a)(3) (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 38, par. 1005-6-4(a)(3)).

This Court believes the "automatic credit" provision of the Code would better serve the administration of justice if it were amended. As section 5-6-4(h) now stands, if, upon revoking defendant's probation, the judge sentences the defendant to short-term imprisonment, for example, and the sentencing order does not expressly say anything about probation time credit, or ambiguously says it, probation time credit will be given, even though such credit could make the sentence of imprisonment meaningless. Such an anomaly would defeat the purpose of the judge's sentence. Indeed, such a fact situation has been considered by the Illinois Appellate Court in several decisions. See *People v. Tarter* (1985), 131 Ill. App. 3d 703, where after revoking the defendant's conditional discharge the trial judge resentenced him to 14 days in jail which was rendered meaningless, a "most lugubrious" result, because the time he had already spent on conditional discharge exceeded 14 days, and *People v. Austin* (1983), 116 Ill. App. 3d 95, where defendant's sentence to 120 days in jail following probation revocation was rendered meaningless because time spent on probation had exceeded 120 days; see also *People v. Weatherall* (1985), 131 Ill. App. 3d 867, 870.

The Supreme Court, therefore, again recommends that the General Assembly consider amending section 5-6-4(h) of the Unified Code of Corrections (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 38, par. 1005-6-4(h)) to provide that, unless the sentencing court orders otherwise, time served on probation, conditional discharge or supervision shall *not* be credited against a sentence of imprisonment or periodic imprisonment.

Section 5-8-1(c) Of The Unified Code of Corrections Should Be Re-examined

In *People v. Crete* (1986), 113 Ill. 2d 156, this Court addressed the question of whether section 5-8-1(c) of the Unified Code of Corrections permits the sentencing court to reduce or modify a sentence of imprisonment when the motion therefor is timely filed but not ruled upon until more than 30 days after the defendant is sentenced. Section 5-8-1(c) provides: "The trial court may reduce or modify a sentence, but shall not increase the length thereof by order entered not later than 30 days from the date that sentence was imposed. This shall not enlarge the jurisdiction of the court for any other purpose." Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 38, par. 1005-8-1(c).

We examined the statute itself, considered the council commentary thereto, referred to appellate court decisions construing the statute, compared section 5-8-1(c) with a similar Federal provision, Rule 35(b) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, and concluded "with some reluctance" that section 5-8-1(c)'s "explicit provision that the reduction or modification shall be 'by order entered not later than 30 days from the date that sentence was imposed' requires the finding that the motion must be ruled upon within 30 days of imposition of sentence" (113 Ill. 2d 156, 162). We commented that the purpose of section 5-8-1(c) is to provide the sentencing court with a meaningful means to review and, if appropriate, to modify or reduce the sentence, and we noted reasons for strict enforcement of the statute as well as reasons for relaxation of strict enforcement; however, we said the "language is clear and must be given its effect as written" (113 Ill. 2d 156, 163). We then recommended that the statute be modified by "an amendment similar to that effected to the [speedy trial statute] by Public Act 79-842" (see Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 38, par. 103-5(f)) or a provision similar to that provided in amended Rule 35 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure (1985). 113 Ill. 2d 156, 163.

The Supreme Court recommends that the General Assembly re-examine section 5-8-1(c) of the Unified Code of Corrections (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 38, par. 1005-8-1(c)) and consider providing therein that, under certain circumstances, a timely motion to modify or reduce a sentence may be ruled upon more than 30 days following imposition of sentence.

Trial Judge Should Determine Matters To Be Included In Presentence Report In Minor Offenses

Section 5-3-1 of the Unified Code of Corrections (Code) requires, unless the "parties agree to the imposition of a specific sentence," that a defendant convicted of a felony shall not be sentenced without the sentencing judge first considering "a written presentence report of investigation," however, in other criminal cases the sentencing judge "may order" a presentence report. (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 38, par. 1005-3-1.) While such a report is mandatory in sentencing for a felony (*People v. Youngbey* (1980), 82 Ill. 2d 556; see also *People v. Harris* (1985), 105 Ill. 2d 290 (report required before resentencing in felony probation revocation cases)), it is not, by the terms of section 5-3-1, required in minor offense cases (*People v. Williams* (1977), 45 Ill. App. 3d 287). Section 5-3-2(a) of the Code sets forth the matters which the presentence report "shall" contain, including, generally, the defendant's criminal history, his family background, special resources in the community that might be available to assist in the defendant's rehabilitation, the impact of the offense upon the victim, defendant's status since arrest, etc. (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 38, par. 1005-3-2(a).) It is the content of the presentence report ordered by the sentencing judge, in his discretion, in minor offense cases, such as misdemeanors or traffic offenses, that causes some concern.

Probation officers, who are responsible for preparing the presentence report, and perhaps trial judges, view section 5-3-2(a) as requiring that the content of the report include all of the matters specified in the statute when the sentencing judge orders, in his discretion, a presentence report of a defendant convicted of a minor offense. Arguably that view is supported by case law. (*People v. Young* (1977), 52 Ill. App. 3d 671.) However, it is well recognized in this State, despite a recent trend to upgrade probation departments, that there is an insufficient number of probation officers and resources. Given these circumstances, probation officers devote most of their time and effort supervising felony probationers and preparing written presentence reports of investigation of defendants convicted of a felony, as required by section 5-3-1. The time and effort it takes a probation officer to prepare a presentence report of a defendant convicted of a minor offense, which includes all of the matters specified in section 5-3-2(a), obviously will lessen his or her availability to prepare presentence reports of defendants convicted of a felony. The sentencing judge would appear to be in the best position to know what matters he needs in a presentence report before sentencing a defendant convicted of a minor offense. Presumably, in most cases, such a report need not contain all of the matters required by section 5-3-2(a), and accordingly less time would be needed by a probation officer to prepare the report, allowing him or her to supervise, and prepare presentence reports, of felons.

The Supreme Court again recommends that the General Assembly consider providing that presentence reports, when ordered in minor offense cases, shall contain only the matters that the sentencing judge directs be included.

Persons Convicted Of A Minor Offense Should Be Allowed, Under Certain Conditions, To Expunge Their Criminal Records

In *People v. Bushnell* (1984), 101 Ill. 2d 261, our Court decided the question of whether a person, who led a law-abiding life for the 20 years following her conviction for a misdemeanor, could have her conviction record expunged. In *Bushnell* the defendant, in 1961, had been convicted of obtaining money under false pretenses, a misdemeanor, and was placed on probation for one year. She successfully completed probation. Then, in 1981, defendant petitioned the circuit court for an order to vacate her 1961 conviction so that she could then seek a court order to expunge her record of arrest and conviction. The circuit court considered that defendant had led a law-abiding life since her conviction and, in the interest of justice, granted her petition to vacate the conviction.

Our Court examined prior decisions of this Court and relevant statutory provisions, including section 5 of "An Act in relation to criminal identification and investigation" (See Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 38, par. 206-5). Section 5, of course, refers to expungement of arrest and arrest-related records of a person not convicted; it does not permit expungement of judgments of conviction. We therefore concluded that a court does not have jurisdiction to expunge a record containing a judgment of conviction. But we went on to say that " 'there are obvious advantages in purging oneself of the stigma and disabilities which attend a criminal conviction' [citation]. In addition, we find merit to [the] argument that a person who has led a law-abiding life for 20 years after a certain misdemeanor conviction should be able to rid himself of the criminal record. However, since there is no statutory authority nor a common law or constitutional basis to grant such relief, the issue should more appropriately be addressed to the legislature." 101 Ill. 2d 261, 268.

The Supreme Court again urges the General Assembly to provide statutory relief to persons who, having been convicted of certain minor offenses and having led a long law-abiding life thereafter, seek to expunge their criminal records.

Inaccurate Terminology In Speedy Trial Statute Should Be Corrected

Section 103-5 of the Code of Criminal Procedure of 1963, the so-called speedy trial statute, in several paragraphs refers to "an examination for competency ordered pursuant to Section 104-2 of this Act," "competency," and "incompetency." (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 38, pars. 103-5(a), (b), (e).) Section 104 2 of the Code, however, was repealed, effective January 1, 1973, some 14 years ago, and was ultimately replaced by section 104-10 *et seq.* (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 38, par. 104-10 *et seq.*). (See Ill. Ann. Stat., ch. 38, pars. 104-1 — 104-3, Historical Note, at 210 (Smith-Hurd 1980).) Furthermore, the relevant terminology in section 104-10 *et seq.* refers to examinations to determine "fitness" or "unfitness," rather than "competency" or "incompetency" as formerly provided in repealed sections 104-1 — 104-3.

Our appellate court has recently noted that the present standard of "fitness" must be equated with "incompetence" for purposes of the speedy trial statute and that "[u]nfortunately, as a result of legislative oversight the reference to 'Section 104-2' and an examination for 'competency' [in section 103-5] was never changed to correspond to the present statutory provision nor was this section amended to reflect the new terminology of 'fitness' instead of 'competency.' " *People v. Sonntag* (1984), 128 Ill. App. 3d 548, 555, and cases cited therein; see also *People v. Clark* (1986), 148 Ill. App. 3d 669, 676-77 (the word "competency" in section 103-5 "must be understood to refer to *** fitness" in section 104-10 *et seq.*), and dissenting opinion in *People v. Williams* (1985), 137 Ill. App. 3d 816, 820-21 (Welch, J., dissenting).

The Supreme Court agrees with the appellate court's assessment, and again recommends that the General Assembly consider amending section 103-5 of the Code of Criminal Procedure (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 38, par. 103-5) so that it refers to the appropriate provision and incorporates the proper terminology in section 104-10 *et seq.* of the Code (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 38, par. 104-10 *et seq.*).

The Eavesdropping Statute Should Be Re-Examined

Article 108A of the Code of Criminal Procedure of 1963 provides that a State's Attorney may secure approval from a "circuit judge" for an order authorizing or approving the use of an eavesdropping device. (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 38, par. 108A-1 *et seq.*) However, experience has shown that on occasion no circuit judge will be available to rule on an application for use of such devices. For example, all of the circuit judges might be attending the constitutionally mandated annual meeting of the Illinois Judicial Conference. (Ill. Const. art. VI, sec. 17.) In such situations, a hardship is worked on the State's Attorney who, it would seem, must wait for the return of a circuit judge in order to secure approval for the use of an eavesdrop.

To be noted, though, are pertinent provisions of the 1970 Illinois Constitution. Section 9 of article VI provides in part that "Circuit Courts shall have original jurisdiction of all justiciable matters ***." (Ill. Const. art. VI, sec. 9.) The judges, who sit in the circuit court and possess and exercise its original jurisdiction, are of course the circuit judges and associate judges. Section 8 of article VI, however, provides that the Supreme Court "shall provide by rule for matters to be assigned to Associate Judges." (Ill. Const. art. VI, sec. 8.) Our Rule 295 permits a chief judge to assign an associate judge to preside in any matters except the trial of felony cases. The rule then provides: "Upon a showing of need presented to the supreme court by the chief judge of a circuit, the supreme court may authorize the chief judge to make temporary assignments of individual associate judges to conduct trials of criminal cases in which the defendant is charged with an offense punishable by imprisonment for more than one year."

Considering the constitutional grant to the Circuit Courts of "original jurisdiction of all justiciable matters" which is exercised by both circuit and associate judges, the constitutional authority placed in this Court to determine matters assignable to associate judges, and our Rule 295, the Supreme Court again suggests the General Assembly consider re-examining article 108A of the Code of Criminal Procedure (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 38, par. 108A-1 *et seq.*).

There is another aspect of article 108A which is troublesome. Section 108A-11, as recently amended, requires State's Attorneys to file annually with the Supreme Court certain reports concerning the use of eavesdropping devices, and further requires this Court to file an annual eavesdropping report with the General Assembly. (Pub. Act 84-1395, sec. 6, effective January 1, 1987; Pub. Act 84-1428, sec. 6, effective July 1, 1987.) (Public Act 84-1395 provides that these reports are to be collected and compiled by the Supreme Court rather than by our administrative office as formerly provided in section 108A-11 (see Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 38, par. 108A-11); however, we have directed our administrative office to continue to collect and compile the reports.) These reports, however, arise from "the investigation of any felony" by law enforcement officials or agencies (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 38, par. 108A-1) and are related to the prosecution of criminal offenses. It would therefore appear appropriate that the reporting and report-collection responsibilities are better reposed in an executive branch law enforcement agency rather than in our Court. Too, we note, as we did in our January 31, 1983, annual report to the General Assembly (reprinted in 1982 Annual Report of the Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts 32), that " 'the proper relationship between the legislature and the court is one of cooperation and assistance' [citation] in matters concerning the administration of justice and functioning of our court and judicial system, but our constitutional duty to administer and supervise the courts, through the chief justice with the assistance of our administrative office [Ill. Const. art. VI, sec. 16], is greatly hindered when the General Assembly purports to mandate that the [Supreme Court] perform [administrative] functions as determined by the legislature."

For these reasons the Supreme Court again suggests that the General Assembly consider re-examining the reporting and report-collection requirements in section 108A-11 of the Code of Criminal Procedure as amended by Public Acts 84-1395 and 84-1428.

Statutory Provisions Relating To The Selection Of Jurors Should Be Uniform

As a result of this Court's decision in *People v. Jackson* (1977), 69 Ill. 2d 252, the General Assembly amended section 115-4(f) of the Code of Criminal Procedure of 1963 (Code). That section now reads: "After examination by the court the jurors may be examined, passed upon, accepted and tendered by opposing counsel as provided by Supreme Court rules." (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 38, par. 115-4(f).) The Supreme Court, in 1982, adopted Rule 434, now Rule 434(a), which provides: "In criminal cases the parties shall pass upon and accept the jury in panels of four, commencing with the State, unless the court, in its discretion, directs otherwise ***." (103 Ill. 2d R. 434(a).) See *People v. Moss* (1985), 108 Ill. 2d 270, 274.

However, similar and related sections in "An Act concerning jurors ***" (Jurors Act) (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 78, pars. 21, 23) were not amended and, accordingly, do not appear to be in complete harmony with section 115-4(f) of the Code and Supreme Court Rule 434(a). Section 21 of the Jurors Act provides for the examination of prospective jurors and for their selection in panels of four. Section 23 makes the provisions of section 21 applicable to "both civil and criminal cases." Thus, there appears to exist a conflict between sections 21 and 23 of the Jurors Act and section 115-4(f) of the Code of Criminal Procedure and to make the jury selection procedure in civil cases "as provided by Supreme Court rules."

Section 2-616(d) Of The Code Of Civil Procedure Requires Reconsideration

The Illinois Appellate Court has ruled that, in certain circumstances, the application of the "relation back" statute unfairly penalizes a plaintiff bringing an action against a land trust beneficiary. (*Foster v. Leong* (1985), 139 Ill. App. 3d 492.) The Supreme Court agrees with the appellate court and concurs with its call for corrective legislative action.

The relation-back statute, section 2-616(d) of the Code of Civil Procedure, allows a person not originally named as a defendant to an action to be added as a party after the statute of limitations has run, provided five conditions are met. Section 2-616(d), including the condition in question here, provides: "A cause of action against a person not originally named a defendant is not barred by lapse of time under any statute or contract prescribing or limiting the time within which an action may be brought or right asserted, if all the following terms and conditions are met: *** (4) *the person, within the time that the action might have been brought or the right asserted against him or her, knew that the original action was pending* and that it grew out of a transaction or occurrence involving or concerning him or her; ***." (Emphasis added.) Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 110, par. 2-616(d)(4).

In *Foster v. Leong* (1985), 139 Ill. App. 3d 492, the plaintiff brought a timely filed negligence action for injuries she sustained at a restaurant. A bank as land trustee was named defendant and was served after the statute of limitations had run. The bank identified the Leongs (defendants) as the land trust beneficiaries, and the bank was dismissed. Plaintiff then filed an amended complaint, naming defendants, which was dismissed because, the statute of limitations having expired, the condition specified in section 2-616(d)(4) was not met. The appellate court said that a land trustee sued within the statute of limitations but served after the statute has expired does not necessarily satisfy section 2-616(d)(4)'s condition that the beneficiary knew that the "original action was pending" within the statute of limitations period. The court noted that the mere filing of a suit against the land trustee is insufficient for the beneficiary to know that the action was pending and that, in effect, section 2-616(d)(4) "imposes an additional requirement on a plaintiff in that suit must not only be filed within the statute of limitations but service must be had upon the land trustee within the limitations period." (139 Ill. App. 3d 492, 495.) The court characterized the result as "unfairly penaliz[ing]" the plaintiff, and urged that section 2-616(d) "be amended so that the naming of the land trustee in effect names the beneficiary." 139 Ill. App. 3d 492, 495.

The Supreme Court commends to the General Assembly's attention the need for reconsideration of section 2-616(d) of the Code of Civil Procedure (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 110, par. 2-616(d).) in light of the "unfair penalty" resulting to a plaintiff in the circumstances above-described.

Statutes Governing Civil Motion Practice Should Be Clarified

Our courts continue to be confronted with a recurring problem in the application of the statutes governing civil motion practice when a party files a hybrid motion combining, in one motion, motions for dismissal and/or judgment under Code of Civil Procedure sections 2-615 (dismissal on pleadings), 2-619 (involuntary dismissal based upon defects or defenses), and 2-1005 (summary judgment). Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 110, pars. 2-615, 2-619, 2-1005.

The appellate court has aptly stated the problem:

> "We raise *** a problem which appears to be recurring with undesirable frequency. Both defendants in this case *** have filed what purport to be combined sections 2-615 and 2-619 motions. [Citation.] No effort is made in either to apply the specific motion to specific portions of the complaint, nor to otherwise delineate their intended application. This approach

> to motion practice creates a hybrid motion which disregards the differences in theory and application each motion possesses and the potential prejudice which may result from the continued utilization of such a combined motion procedure [citation] and constitutes a practice which our supreme court has expressly disapproved [citation]." (*Rothe v. Maloney Cadillac, Inc.* (1986), 142 Ill. App. 3d 937, 939, *appeal allowed*, S. Ct. Doc. 63693.)

As noted in *Rothe*, this Court has expressly disapproved "hybrid motions." See *Janes v. First Federal Savings & Loan Association* (1974), 57 Ill. 2d 398 (combining in a single motion motions to dismiss and for summary judgment).

The Supreme Court urges the General Assembly to clarify the application of sections 2-615, 2-619, and/or 2-1005 of the Code of Civil Procedure (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 110, pars. 2-615, 2-619, 2-1005) in reference to hybrid-combined motions by providing, for example, that if a combined motion is filed, the movant must clearly show which points the movant relies upon under section 2-615, under section 2-619, and under section 2-1005.

Applicability of the Unemployment Insurance Act To Closely Held Family Corporations Should Be Studied

Whether an officer-employee of a closely held family corporation, for whom the corporation made contributions to the unemployment trust fund, and who is otherwise eligible for unemployment benefits, is ineligible to receive unemployment compensation is a question this Court addressed in *Garland v. Department of Labor* (1984), 104 Ill. 2d 383. In *Garland* the Department of Labor (now the Department of Employment Security) denied unemployment benefits to plaintiffs, for the period they claimed unemployment, merely because during the period claimed they retained the status of corporate officers. Each plaintiff was an officer-employee of a closely held family corporation engaged in the construction business. Plaintiffs, as employees of the corporations, became unemployed solely because they were laid off as a result of the seasonal nature of the business, but they retained their status as corporate officers. Except for the retention of the corporate officer positions, there was no question that plaintiffs were eligible for benefits under the Unemployment Insurance Act (Act). See Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 48, par. 300 *et seq.*

The Court examined the Act in sections 100 (declaration of public policy), 206 (definition of employment), 234 (definition of wages), 239 (definition of unemployed individual), 1400 (payment of employer's contributions), and 2100 (handling of funds) (see Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 48, pars. 300, 316, 344, 349, 550, and 660), and concluded that plaintiffs were "unemployed individuals" and entitled to unemployment benefits. The Court specifically observed that the Act "contains no exclusionary provision which would deny benefits to an otherwise eligible claimant merely because he is an officer of a corporation." (104 Ill. 2d 383, 389.) However, in response to the contention that disallowing benefits to plaintiffs would "prevent such business owners and operators from manipulating their own employment status in order to subsidize the family (corporate) income with unemployment benefits," we noted that the appellate court (*Garland v. Department of Labor* (1984), 121 Ill. App. 3d 562, and *Scott v. Board of Review* (1984), 123 Ill. App. 3d 187) "considered this argument and concluded that the potential for abuse can only be eliminated by legislative action. We agree." (104 Ill. 2d 383, 391-92.) Furthermore, the concurring opinion commented that "the unemployment compensation system in its existing form is subject to manipulation and abuse by unscrupulous corporate owners and officers," that the Act is "not intended as a means of supplementing the income of corporate stockholders and officers in the form of unemployment benefits," and that the General Assembly should "reconsider the provisions of the Act as they pertain to assessments upon salaries of officer-employees in light of the potential for abuse." 104 Ill. 2d 383, 393 (Underwood, J., concurring, joined by Ryan, C.J.).

The Supreme Court again urges the General Assembly to study the provisions of the Unemployment Insurance Act (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 48, par. 300 *et seq.*) as they apply to officer-employees of closely held family corporations.

Procedures For Notice By Publications In Corporate Dissolution Cases Brought By The Attorney General Should Be Uniform

An action may be brought by the Attorney General under the Business Corporation Act of 1983 to dissolve a corporation (1) if the corporation's certificate of incorporation is obtained through fraud, (2) if the corporation has exceeded or abused its authority, or (3) if the corporation, its officers or directors have falsely or incompletely answered interrogatories propounded to them by the Secretary of State. (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 32, par. 12.50 (a).) If the Attorney General seeks to dissolve a corporation for abandonment of its corporate franchise, however, the action is brought under "An Act providing for the dissolution of corporations in certain cases" (Corporation Dissolution Act). Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 32, par. 190 *et seq.*

In proceedings under both acts, the circuit clerk's office causes the issuance of a summons as in other civil cases (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 32, pars. 12.60(a), 192). In a corporate dissolution action brought by the Attorney General under the Corporation Dissolution Act, if process is returned not found, then service by publication is made by the circuit clerk. (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 32, par. 192.) But, if process is returned not found in a corporate dissolution action brought by the Attorney General under the Business Corporation Act, service by publication is made in an entirely different manner. (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 32, par. 12.60(b).) First, "the Attorney General shall cause publication to be made," rather than the circuit clerk's office. Second, the Attorney General "may include in one notice the names of any number of corporations against which actions are then pending in the same court." Finally, notice is published at least once a week for two consecutive weeks, rather than for the three weeks specified in the Corporation Dissolution Act.

The existence of two separate methods of service by publication in corporate dissolution cases brought by the Attorney General's office causes needless confusion in circuit clerks' offices. The clerk must ascertain the statutory basis for a complaint in order to determine whether notice should conform to the Corporation Dissolution Act (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 32, par. 192) or whether the notice procedures of the Business Corporation Act (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 32, par. 12.60(b).) must be followed. The Supreme Court again urges the General Assembly to examine the statutory provisions governing service by publication in corporate dissolution cases with an eye toward establishing a single notice procedure to be followed by circuit clerks' offices in these cases.

The Election Code Provisions Governing Modification Of Boundaries Of Election Precincts By County Boards Should Be Clarified

The proper scope of authority granted to certain county boards for modifying the number and size of election precincts pursuant to sections 11-1 and 11-2 of the Election Code (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 46, pars. 11-1, 11-2) has been the subject of confusion and debate for a number of years. Our Court suggests that the General Assembly review sections 11-1 and 11-2 and provide a clarification of legislative intent, especially as to the permissible modification of election precinct boundaries and population by county boards.

The relationship between section 11-1 and section 11-2 of the Election Code has allowed for conflict of interpretation for many years. The issue of whether those two statutes allow for the county board to consolidate precincts has been a major point of controversy. The permissible limits of modification under these two statutory provisions have been the subject of at least two conflicting formal opinions of the Attorney General's office. (See 1976 Ill. Att'y Gen. Op. 139 and 1979 Ill. Att'y Gen. Op. 60.) Too, the Illinois Appellate Court has been called upon to interpret the consolidation issue of precincts under sections 11-1 and 11-2. (See *Town of Naples v. County of Scott* (1982), 111 Ill. App. 3d 186.) In concluding that the county board does not have the power to consolidate precincts under the Election Code, the majority opinion stated that the legislature should "reevaluate the relevant statutes for purposes of clarification and possible amendment, authorizing consolidation of election precincts ***" (111 Ill. App. 3d 186, 192), and the specially concurring opinion said, "The statutory language is confusing and *** urgently requires legislative clarification" (111 Ill. App. 3d 186, 194 (Green, J., specially concurring)).

The Supreme Court again urges the General Assembly to review and, where necessary, to clarify the authority given to certain county boards to modify election precincts pursuant to sections 11-1 and 11-2 of the Election Code.

Illinois Commerce Commission, Not Circuit Court, Should Determine Rates Charged By Municipal Utility To Consumers Outside Of Municipality

Should the circuit court, in absence of agreement between the parties, fix and determine the rates to be charged to consumers outside of a municipality's corporate limits for water pumped to them by a municipally owned and operated water utility? The Illinois Appellate Court thought not (see *Inland Real Estate Corp. v. Village of Palatine* (1982), 107 Ill. App. 3d 279, 284), and this Court agrees.

Two statutory provisions are implicated: section 11-117-4 of the Illinois Municipal Code (Code) and section 3-105 of the Public Utilities Act (Act). Section 11-117-4 of the Code provides in part that a municipality may sell water to consumers or users outside its corporate limits from a water plant owned and operated by the municipality, and for that purpose it may lay water mains, construct and operate pumping stations, etc., in which case, to allow the municipality a fair return to cover financing, construction, etc., the municipality and the party representing the consumers may enter into a contract for water rates to be charged; however, if the rates cannot be agreed upon, then "such rates shall be fixed and determined by the circuit court of the county in which the municipality which has financed, constructed, operated and maintained the improved [water] facilities is located." (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 24, par. 11-117-4.) Section 3-105 of the Act defines "public utility" and specifically excludes from the definition "public utilities that are owned and operated by any *** municipal corporation of this State ***." (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 111⅔, par. 3-105.) The Illinois Commerce Commission (ICC), of course, has general supervision over all public utilities, unless otherwise provided, including rate-making. See, generally, Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 111⅔, par. 4-101 *et seq.*

In *Inland Real Estate Corp.*, the appellate court ruled that section 3-105's predecessor, section 10.3 of the Act, eliminates from the Illinois Commerce Commission's jurisdiction and review municipally owned public utilities, and that no other language of the Act "manifests an intention of the legislature to provide otherwise or *** distinguishes municipal ownership of a utility within its corporate limits from ownership beyond its territorial boundaries." (107 Ill. App. 3d 279, 282.) The court said that section 10.3 (now section 3-105) is plain and unambiguous, and "[i]f the General Assembly had intended to create an exception for utilities owned by a municipality but located and serving customers outside its corporate limits, it has not so stated. *** Although we believe that such utilities should come within the authority of the ICC, we are of the opinion that any expansion of its jurisdiction to include municipally owned utilities beyond their corporate limits must come through the legislative process." (107 Ill. App. 3d 279, 284.) See also subsequent appeal after remand, 146 Ill. App. 3d 92, 100 (1986).

The Supreme Court concurs with the appellate court, and we add that the fixing and determination of utility rates, as provided in section 11-117-4 of the Code, is a responsibility better reposed in an executive or legislative agency which possesses special expertise, such as the Illinois Commerce Commission, rather than in the circuit court. The Court again invites the General Assembly to consider removing from section 11-117-4 of the Illinois Municipal Code (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 24, par. 11-117-4) the nonjudicial function that the circuit court shall fix and determine water utility rates, and, to the extent, necessary, amending section 11-117-4 of the Code and section 3-105 of the Public Utilities Act (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 111⅔, par. 3-105) by placing such function in the Illinois Commerce Commission.

The Use And Disclosure Of The "Rule Of 78's" In Consumer Loan Transactions Should Be Closely Examined

How extensively does the law require disclosure, in a consumer loan contract, of the amount of interest to be charged a borrower when the borrower prepays the loan? Is the mere reference in the contract to the "Rule of 78's" legally sufficient disclosure? These questions were addressed by the Court in *Lanier v. Associates Finance, Inc.* (1986), 114 Ill. 2d 1, and we concluded, after analyzing the relevant Federal and State laws, that the mere reference to the Rule of 78's in a consumer loan contract is legally sufficient disclosure. But we expressed grave concern about the apparent injustice resulting from the use of the Rule of 78's.

Pursuant to the Federal Truth in Lending Act (15 U.S.C. sec. 1601 *et seq.* (1982)) and Federal Regulation Z (12 C.F.R. sec. 226 (1981)), which implements the principles of the Truth in Lending Act, a lender in a consumer loan transaction must disclose in the loan agreement that, if the borrower prepays the loan, the borrower will receive a refund of the unearned finance charge. Typically, the lender discloses that the refund credit of interest charged for the period prepaid will be pursuant to the Rule of 78's method without explanation of how the Rule of 78's operates. The interest charged under the Rule of 78's is higher in the first months of the loan than in the last months and is greater than that provided in the actuarial method which measures true interest yield. Accordingly, under the Rule of 78's, refunds of unearned finance charges on prepayment of a loan are always lower than under the actuarial method.

In *Lanier v. Associates Finance, Inc.* (1986), 114 Ill. 2d 1, the Court ruled that disclosure under the Truth in Lending Act and Regulation Z does not require the lender to explain the operation of the Rule of 78's and that, because the disclosure required by the Illinois Consumer Fraud and Deceptive Business Practices Act (see Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 121½, par. 261 *et seq.*) is not more extensive than that required by the Truth in Lending Act and Regulation Z, the lender's mere reference to the Rule of 78's in the loan agreement, but lack of explanation of its operation, does not violate the Illinois Act. (114 Ill. 2d 1, 8-18.) However, in response to the contention that the Rule of 78's is harsh and violates the public policy of this State, the Court said: "[T]he decision to prohibit the use of the Rule of 78's in consumer credit transactions is not a matter for the courts, but rather involves policy decisions more properly addressed by the legislature. [Citation.] We decline, therefore, to restrict or prohibit use of the Rule of 78's on public policy grounds, but we urge the legislature to promptly consider this matter which reflects an apparent injustice under the law as it currently exists." 114 Ill. 2d 1, 18.

The Supreme Court urges the General Assembly to closely examine Illinois consumer credit statutes and the disclosure required thereunder and under the Federal Truth in Lending Act and Regulation Z, which has been substantially revised (see *Lanier v. Associates Finance, Inc.* (1986), 114 Ill. 2d 1, 12) insofar as that Act and Regulation apply to Illinois law, and to consider whether or not the use of the Rule of 78's should be restricted or prohibited.

The Reference To Supreme Court Rule 302(a) In Workers' Compensation And Occupational Diseases Acts Should Be Deleted

Section 19(f)(2) of both the Workers' Compensation Act and the Workers' Occupational Diseases Act (Acts) (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 48, pars. 138.19(f)(2), 172.54(f)(2)) provides that appeals from circuit court orders reviewing decisions of the Industrial Commission "shall be taken to the Supreme Court in accordance with Supreme Court Rule 302(a)."

Prior to February 1, 1984, Rule 302(a) provided: "Appeals from final judgments of circuit courts shall be taken directly to the Supreme Court *** (2) *in proceedings to review orders of the Industrial Commission* ***." (Emphasis added.) Effective February 1, 1984, however, Rule 302(a) was amended by deleting from subparagraph (2) the language emphasized above, and Rule 22 was amended to provide that such appeals be taken to the Industrial Commission division of the Illinois Appellate Court (94 Ill. 2d Rules 22(g), 302(a); *Yellow Cab Co. v. Jones* (1985), 108 Ill. 2d 330.) Thus, as provided in Supreme Court Rule 22(g), appeals from circuit court orders reviewing decisions of the Industrial Commission are now taken to the appellate court's Industrial Commission division, not to the Supreme Court. Obviously, the reference to our Court and Rule 302(a) in both Acts is now incorrect and misleading.

The Supreme Court again suggests that the General Assembly consider removing the reference to "Supreme Court" and "Supreme Court Rule 302(a)" presently contained in section 19(f)(2) of both the Workers' Compensation Act and Workers' Occupational Diseases Act (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 48, pars. 138.19(f)(2), 172.54(f)(2).)

Penalty Provisions Of The Workers' Compensation Act Are In Need Of Clarification

In *Board of Education v. Industrial Comm.* (1982), 93 Ill. 2d 1, and *Board of Education v. Industrial Comm.* (1982), 93 Ill. 2d 20, a majority of the Court in each decision ruled that the Industrial Commission's penalty awards to the injured employee for unreasonable delay in payment of compensation by the employer under sections 19(k) and 19(l) of the Workers' Compensation Act (Act) were not contrary to the manifest weight of the evidence. However, as pointed out in the dissenting opinion in each decision, the penalty provisions of the Act, sections 19(k) and 19(l), should be re-examined. See dissenting opinion in *Board of Education v. Industrial Comm.* (1982), 93 Ill. 2d 1, 14 (Ryan, C.J., dissenting, joined by Underwood & Moran, JJ.), and in *Board of Education v. Industrial Comm.* (1982), 93 Ill. 2d 20, 26 (Ryan, C.J., dissenting).

Section 19(k) of the Act states in relevant part that "where there has been any unreasonable or vexatious delay of payment *** of compensation ***, then the Commission may award compensation additional to that otherwise payable under this Act equal to 50% of the amount payable at the time of such award. Failure to pay compensation in accordance with [section 8(b)] shall be considered unreasonable delay." (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 48, par. 138.19(k).) Section 19(l) of the Act provides in pertinent part that where "the employer *** shall without good and just cause fail, neglect, refuse or unreasonably delay the payment of weekly compensation benefits *** during the period of temporary total disability *** the Commission shall allow to the employee additional compensation in the sum of $10 per day for each day that a weekly compensation payment has been so withheld or refused, provided that such additional compensation shall not exceed the sum of $2,500." (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 48, par. 138.19(l).) In the dissenting opinion in each *Board of Education* decision, it was observed that it appeared the penalties for failure to pay compensation for temporary total disability were assessed under both section 19(k) and section 19(l) for the same alleged delay or default of the employer (93 Ill. 2d 1, 15; 93 Ill. 2d 20, 26), and in *Board of Education v. Industrial Comm.* (1982), 93 Ill. 2d 20, 28, it was noted the Industrial Commission has with increasing frequency been awarding penalties under sections 19(k) and 19(l). (See also, e.g., *Continental Distributing Co. v. Industrial Comm.* (1983), 98 Ill. 2d 407, and *Tal Rauhoff Construction Co. v. Industrial Comm.* (1986), 149 Ill. App. 3d 892.) In the dissenting opinions, it was further observed that sections 19(k) and 19(l) of the Act "appear to be overlapping and confusing, and are in need of clarification by the General Assembly" (93 Ill. 2d 1, 14), and that "it is imperative that the legislature reconsider the various penalty provisions of the Workers' Compensation Act and clarify their applicability" (93 Ill. 2d 20, 27).

The Supreme Court again urges the General Assembly to reexamine sections 19(k) and 19(l) of the Workers' Compensation Act (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 48, pars. 138.19(k), 138.19(l)) and clarify when penalties may be assessed thereunder.

Legislative Guidelines Are Needed For Rehabilitation Programs Ordered Under The Workers' Compensation Act

In several cases that have come before our Court, we have considered the rehabilitation provision of section 8(a) of the Workers' Compensation Act (Act). (See, e.g., *Zenith Co. v. Industrial Comm.* (1982), 86 Ill. 2d 489, and *Kropp Forge Co. v. Industrial Comm.* (1981), 85 Ill. 2d 226.) In pertinent part section 8(a) requires that the employer pay for a work-related injured employee's necessary medical, surgical and hospital expenses, and further requires that the "employer shall also pay for treatment, instruction and training necessary for the physical, mental and vocational rehabilitation of the employee, including all maintenance costs and expenses incidental thereto. If as a result of the injury the employee is unable to be self-sufficient the employer shall further pay for such maintenance or institutional care as shall be required." Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 48, par. 138.8(a).

In *Hunter* the Industrial Commission, without taking evidence, ordered under section 8(a) of the Act the employer to provide all necessary medical expenses, treatment, instruction, and training necessary for the injured employee's physical, mental and vocational rehabilitation, including all maintenance costs and expenses, and necessary tuition costs and expenses to attend a university. This Court pointed out that, unlike workers' compensation statutes in other States, section 8(a) of the Illinois Act does not set forth a detailed scheme on the question of vocational rehabilitation but rather only states that the employer "shall also pay" for rehabilitative efforts when "necessary." The Court stated further that States, such as Maine, Maryland, Minnesota, Nebraska, and New Hampshire, have established procedures under which the injured employee is examined and evaluated by a public or local rehabilitation agency or by trained medical personnel of the State's compensation board, which then makes a recommendation as to whether rehabilitation assistance is necessary, and, if so, what it should be. We then stated that the "value of such a procedure is obvious. A court, rather than being compelled to gauge the necessity and value of a proposed rehabilitation program itself, is able to receive recommendations from trained rehabilitation personnel, which it can review." (86 Ill. 2d 489, 498.) We further stated that since Illinois does not have such a procedure, the nature and form of rehabilitation requested appears to be based on the claimant's wish unless, of course, he has received rehabilitation counseling through a public or private agency. To the same effect is our observation in *Zenith* where in paraphrasing *Hunter*, we said section 8(a) does not provide for "any statutory procedures to govern proposed rehabilitation programs." 91 Ill. 2d 278, 287.

The Supreme Court believes that the lack of legislative procedures to assist the courts and Commission in determining the extent of necessary vocational rehabilitation is a continuing concern. Too, our belief is shared by others. (See, e.g., Donlevy and Moriarty, Vocational Rehabilitation Needs Legislative Rehabilitation, 1 CBA Record 28 (1987); Kuster, Vocational Rehabilitation in Workers' Compensation: A New Perspective, 74 Ill. B.J. 334 (1986); Power and North, Rehabilitation in Illinois, 73 Ill. B.J. 323 (1985); Gianforte, Industrial Rehabilitation in Illinois — An Evolving Process, 71 Ill. B.J. 668 (1983).) Cases in which the issue is raised continue to be appealed. (See, e.g., *National Tea Co. v. Industrial Comm.* (1983), 97 Ill. 2d 424, *C.D. Turner & Sons,* *Inc. v. Industrial Comm.* (1983), 96 Ill. 2d 231, and *McLean Trucking Co. v. Industrial Comm.* (1983), 96 Ill. 2d 213.) In *National Tea Co.* we said, after quoting section 8(a): "The legislature has failed to set forth any procedures or standards to aid the Commission in determining the extent to which rehabilitation is 'necessary.' In view of the frequency with which this issue arises, it seems evident that some flexible guidelines should be established." (97 Ill. 2d 424, 431.) We then observed that the Commission has by rule taken a step in that direction but that the rule appeared to be applicable in limited situations. (97 Ill. 2d 424, 431.) And we noted, as we did in *Zenith Co. v. Industrial Comm.* (1982), 91 Ill. 2d 278, that in *Hunter Corp. v. Industrial Comm.* (1981), 86 Ill. 2d 489, we observed that other States by statute "require employees seeking rehabilitation to be evaluated by State medical personnel or by a rehabilitation agency. The examiner then recommends whether, and what form of, rehabilitation assistance is necessary. [Citation.] This procedure *** could prove invaluable in assessing the feasibility of a program in which the claimant wishes to participate. It will also alleviate the concerns that rehabilitation costs will be 'routinely' awarded [citation], or based solely upon the claimant's wishes. [Citation.]" 97 Ill. 2d 424, 432.

In this Court's most recent decision dealing with this subject, we once again pointed out the lack of statutory guidelines for determining the need for rehabilitation and the development of individualized rehabilitation programs, and we noted that generalized rehabilitation awards were incomplete decisions of the Commission and therefore not final determinations. (*International Paper Co. v. Industrial Comm.* (1984), 99 Ill. 2d 458, 464-66.) The Court then said:

> "We view, with concern, what appears to be a growing practice of the Commission to routinely order employers to pay for *** rehabilitation of employees before sufficient evidence is presented to enable the Commission to order a specific plan of rehabilitation. Determination of the specific program *** requires further deliberation by either the litigants or the arbitrator. If judicial review is allowed before this determination is made, the courts will invariably be faced with piecemeal review of such cases, as litigants dissatisfied with the [ordered] rehabilitation program repeat the entire administrative and judicial review process. It is not unusual, in [workers'] compensation cases, for five years to pass between the time of injury and final judicial determination. *** The piece-by-piece review process *** can only exacerbate what is already an intolerably long delay. We hold *** that decisions of the Industrial Commission which include generalized rehabilitation awards that require further determination as to the extent and nature of such rehabilitation are interlocutory and, therefore, not reviewable by the circuit court." (99 Ill. 2d 458, 466.)

See Donlevy and Moriarty, Vocational Rehabilitation Needs Legislative Rehabilitation, 1 CBA Record 28 (1987), 31, where the authors discuss the impact of our decision in *International Paper Co.*

The Supreme Court again recommends that the General Assembly examine whether rehabilitation counseling and procedures through public or private agencies should be provided for to assist the Industrial Commission and the courts where rehabilitation is contemplated under section 8(a) of the Workers' Compensation Act (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 48, par. 138.8(a)).

Statute Governing Modification Of Child Custody Judgments Should Be Re-Examined

Section 610 of the Illinois Marriage and Dissolution of Marriage Act (Act) controls the modification of child custody judgments and, where there was once certainty, there is now some confusion because of a recent amendment to section 610.

Prior to July 1, 1982, section 610(b) of the Act provided the standards to be used by the trial judge in proceedings to determine whether a prior child custody judgment should be modified. The standards applied to all modification proceedings, whether or not the prior custody judgment was made less than (section 610(a)) or more than (section 610(b)) two years before, although a motion to modify could be made in "emergency" situations within two years following the prior custody judgment but the section 610(b) standards applied at the hearing in which the modification question was ultimately determined. (See Ill. Rev. Stat. 1979, ch. 40, pars. 610(a), (b).) Effective July 1, 1982, however, section 610 was amended by Public Act 82-715 (1981 Ill. Laws 3813, 3814-15) to make the standards revised thereby applicable only to proceedings in which the custody judgment sought to be modified was made more than two years before. (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 40, par. 610(b).) In short, by prefacing section 610(b) with the amendatory phrase "After the expiration of the 2 year period following a custody judgment specified in [section 610(a)]," the legislature has removed from section 610(a) the standards in section 610(b) custody modification proceedings where the prior judgment was made less than two years before. Now there are no express statutory standards to guide trial judges in making modification decisions under section 610(a). But see Ill. Ann. Stat., ch. 40, par. 610, Supp. to Historical and Practice Notes, at 42-43 (Smith-Hurd 1986 (pocket part)) where it is intimated that the section 610(b) standards apply to section 610(a) modification hearings.

The Illinois Appellate Court discussed the effect of the 1982 amendment in *In re Custody of Carter* (1985), 137 Ill. App. 3d 439, and concluded that "through legislative oversight" the legislature "inadvertently failed to amend [section 610(a)] to state what standards to apply for *** motions filed within two years" (137 Ill. App. 3d 439, 442). (See also *In re Marriage of Clark* (1986), 149 Ill. App. 3d 613, 614-15, and *Mullins v. Mullins* (1986), 142 Ill. App. 3d 57, 70-72.) The Supreme Court again suggests the General Assembly re-examine section 610 of the Illinois Marriage and Dissolution of Marriage Act (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 40, par. 610) with a view toward expressly providing that the revised standards in section 610(b) apply to all modification proceedings commenced under section 610.

Procedures In The Mental Health And Developmental Disabilities Code For Involuntary Admission Following The First Admission Should Be Revised

Section 3-813 of the Mental Health and Developmental Disabilities Code (Code) provides that a person subject to involuntary admission and hospitalization may be initially admitted for up to 60 days which may be extended up to another 60 days, and such hospitalization may be further extended for additional 180-day periods. (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 91½, par. 3-813.) In situations where sequential orders of admission and hospitalization are entered by the circuit court and appeals are taken from one or more of the "interim" orders in the sequence, the appeal from one order pends in the reviewing court while a subsequent petition for extended hospitalization pends in the circuit court. The time frame in section 3-813 of the Code for filing subsequent petitions makes it impossible, as a practical matter, for the reviewing court to decide the appeal of an order before a subsequent petition must be filed. (An egregious example of the petition-appeal-subsequent petition situation is found in *People v. Lang* (1986), 113 Ill. 2d 407. See also *In re King* (1986), 148 Ill. App. 3d 741, 745.) In addition, no remedy is provided where a petition for admission and hospitalization is not heard by the circuit court within the statutory time frame. See Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 91½, pars. 3-706, 3-800(b); see also *In re King* (1986), 148 Ill. App. 3d 741.

Recently, the appellate court commented on the above-identified deficiencies in the Code and urged corrective legislative action. (*People v. Williams* (1986), 146 Ill. App. 3d 638, 640; *In re Williams* (1986), 140 Ill. App. 3d 708.) In *In re Williams* (1986), 140 Ill. App. 3d 708, 713, the court said: "We urge the legislature to review the procedures which have been mandated for civil commitments and to revise those provisions which have presented the State and the courts with *** difficulties *** We urge particular attention to the necessity of providing a means of dealing with petitions which overlap as a result of appellate review and of providing a remedy for a patient who does not receive a hearing within a reasonable time."

The Supreme Court agrees with the appellate court's conclusion that there is a need to provide a means of dealing with petitions which overlap as a result of appellate review and to provide a remedy for a patient who does not receive a hearing within a reasonable time, and we urge the General Assembly to consider revising the relevant provisions of the Mental Health and Developmental Disabilities Code (Ill. Rev. Stat. 1985, ch. 91½, par. 1-100 *et seq.*).

THE APPELLATE COURT

Jurisdiction and Organization

The Appellate Court is the intermediate court of review in the Illinois judicial system. Its jurisdiction is conferred upon it by article VI, section 6 of the Constitution, which is included in Appendix A. Generally, appeals from final judgments of a Circuit Court may be taken as a matter of right to Appellate Court, except in cases appealable directly to the Supreme Court. The Appellate Court may also exercise original jurisdiction when necessary to the complete determination of any case on review. Acting pursuant to article VI, section 6, the General Assembly has provided that "final orders or determinations" of the Pollution Control Board (Ill. Rev. Stat., ch. 111½, par. 1041), "judgments" of the State Board of Elections concerning disclosure of campaign contributions and expenditures (Ill. Rev. Stat., ch. 46, par. 9-22), and final orders of the Illinois State Labor Relations Board, the Illinois Local Labor Relations Board (Ill. Rev. Stat., ch. 48, pars. 1611, 1716) may be appealed directly to the Appellate Court.

Appellate Court judges are elected for 10 year terms (Ill. Const. 1970, art. VI, sec. 10). Exercising its authority under article VI, section 5, the General Assembly has mandated the election of 18 Appellate Court judges from the First District and 4 judges from each of the other four districts. Article VI, section 5 requires the Supreme Court to establish the organization of the Appellate Court. It has done so in its Rule 22.

According to that rule, the First District of the court sits in Chicago, the Second District in Elgin, the Third District in Ottawa, the Fourth District in Springfield and the Fifth District in Mt. Vernon. The Supreme Court prescribes by order the number of divisions in each district and for the assignment of judges to divisions. The presiding judge of each division, who is chosen for a one year term, designates judges of the division to sit in panels of three, and such a panel constitutes the division for purposes of rendering a decision in a case. Concurrence of two of those three judges is necessary to a decision.

Judges of each district appoint a clerk and other non-judicial officers. (Ill. Const. 1970, art. VI, sec. 18(a).) As of December 31, 1986, the Appellate Court clerks were Gilbert S. Marchman, First District; Loren J. Strotz, Second District; Joseph Fennessey, Third District; Darryl Pratscher, Fourth District; and Walter T. Simmons, Fifth District. As required by Supreme Court Rule 24, each district maintains a research department supervised by a director of research and staffed by the number of research attorneys designated by the Supreme Court.

1986 Appellate Court Caseload Summary

In 1986, the number of new cases docketed in the Appellate Court (7,427) exceeded any previous year's filings. This is the eighth consecutive year the filings have increased. The number of cases disposed of (7,112) is a slight increase (.02%) from 1985. There were 6,927 cases pending at the end of the year which also represents a slight increase (.08%) from the previous year. Of the 7,112 cases disposed of, 1,810 or 25% were disposed of by opinion while 2,772 or 39% were disposed of with a Rule 23 order.

Included in these numbers are the figures of the Industrial Commission Division of the Appellate Court of 93 new cases filed, 105 cases disposed of and 59 cases pending at the end of the year. Of the 105 cases disposed of, 49 were by opinion and 32 were by order, pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 23.

Assignments to Industrial Commission Division of Appellate Court

Effective February 1, 1984, the Supreme Court amended its rules and entered an order to re-route appeals from Circuit Court orders reviewing workers' compensation cases from the Supreme Court to the Appellate Court. Rule 302(a) was amended by repealing subparagraph (2) which provided that direct appeal was to be taken to the Supreme Court from Circuit Court judgments "in proceedings to review orders of the Industrial Commission."

Rule 22(g) created a five-judge Appellate Court panel known as the Industrial Commission Division of the court. The panel sits as a division in each district of the Appellate Court and may conduct its business at any location it chooses in Illinois. Five judges must participate in the decisions of this division and the concurrence of three is necessary to a decision.

Rule 315(a) was amended to provide an exception to a litigant's right to file a petition for leave to appeal in the Supreme Court from decisions of the Appellate Court. A petition for leave to appeal from a decision of the Industrial Commission Division shall not be filed unless at least two judges of that panel find that the case "involves a substantial question which warrants consideration by the Supreme Court."

During 1986 the following assignments to the Industrial Commission Division of the Appellate Court were made:

Hon. Alfred E. Woodward, 2nd District Appellate Court
  Effective September 21
Hon. John T. McCullough, (alternate member)
  4th District Appellate Court — Effective December 8
Hon. Joseph R. Spitz (alternate member)
  4th District Appellate Court — Effective December 8

Supreme Court Assignment of Judges To The Appellate Court

Article VI, Sections 15 and 16, of the 1970 Illinois Constitution allows the Supreme Court to assign a retired judge, with his consent, to judicial service, and to assign temporarily a sitting judge to any court, except that an associate judge may be assigned only as an associate judge.

During 1986, the Supreme Court made these assignments to the Illinois Appellate Court.

First District —	Hon. James C. Murray, Cook County Circuit Judge (January 20, 1986 to November 30, 1987)
Second District —	Hon. Marvin Dunn, 16th Circuit Circuit Judge (December 1, 1986 until further order)
	Hon. Lawrence D. Inglis, 19th Circuit Circuit Judge (December 1, 1986 until November 30, 1987)
	Hon. William Nash, 17th Circuit Circuit Judge (December 1, 1986 until December 1, 1987)
	Alfred Woodward, 18th Circuit Retired Circuit Judge (January 1, 1986 until further order)

Annual Meeting Of The Illinois Appellate Court

Supreme Court Rule 22(e) creates an Executive Committee of the Appellate Court and provides for meetings of all judges of that court. Traditionally, the Appellate Court holds an annual meeting during the latter part of the year.

On November 20, 1986, the Appellate Court held its annual meeting, with Judge William Nash (2nd District) presiding as chairman. In attendance were 33 appellate judges, a retired appellate judge, and staff of the Administrative Office of the Courts and the Reporter of Decisions' office.

Matters considered at the meeting included:

(1) Introduction of appellate judges newly appointed or assigned to the Appellate Court.

(2) A comprehensive report from the staff of the Administrative Office and the Reporter of Decisions' office about new procedures for electronically transferring opinions to the reporter's office and filing opinions in the clerk's office. Discussed also were communication capabilities of recently installed personal computers allowing "downstate" appellate judges to communicate with each other.

(3) Appointment of Appellate Court members to the Illinois Courts Commission. Appointed to the commission as members were Judges Francis Lorenz (1st District) and Allan Stouder (3rd District), and as alternates Judges David Linn (1st District) and Frederick Green (4th District).

Judge Glenn Johnson (1st District) was selected as the next chairman of the Illinois Appellate Court.

Administrative Committee Of The Illinois Appellate Court

The Administrative Committee of the Illinois Appellate Court, created by order of the Supreme Court, studies and recommends methods by which the Appellate Court might improve the processing of appeals. The Administrative Office is the secretary to the committee.

As of December 31, 1986, the members of the Administrative Committee were:

Hon. Tobias Barry (3rd District)
Hon. Calvin C. Campbell (1st District)
Hon. Frederick S. Green (4th District)
Hon. Charles E. Jones (5th District)
Hon. Daniel J. McNamara (1st District)
Hon. Philip G. Reinhard (2nd District)
Hon. John J. Sullivan (1st District)
Hon. Joseph H. Goldenhersh (Supreme Court Liaison)

During 1986 the Administrative Committee held one meeting and considered these matters:

(1) Discussed Uniform Appellate Rule 8 (Ill. Rev. Stat., ch. 110A, par. 908 requiring counsel to supply copies of opinions from foreign jurisdictions when they are cited during oral argument. The Committee concluded that the above-mentioned provision should be removed from the rule and recommended that the "downstate" districts of the Appellate Court so amend their rules.

(2) Discussed motion practice in the "downstate" districts of the Appellate Court when the judges are not in session at the appellate courthouse.

(3) Discussed whether citations to Illinois decisions in Appellate Court opinions should be to the Illinois Official Reports only or should include also the parallel citation to the North Eastern Reporter.

(4) Discussed the desirability of resuming the Supreme-Appellate court seminar.

THE CIRCUIT COURTS

Jurisdiction and Organization

The trial level court of general jurisdiction in Illinois is known as the Circuit Court. It has original jurisdiction of all justiciable matters, except: (1) in matters relating to redistricting of the General Assembly and to the ability of the Governor to serve or resume office; (2) where the Supreme Court exercises its discretionary original jurisdiction in cases relating to revenue, mandamus, prohibition or habeas corpus; and (3) by statute, the review of orders of the Pollution Control Board and certain orders of the State Board of Elections. There are no courts of special or limited jurisdiction in Illinois. (Ill. Const. 1970, art. VI, secs. 4 and 5.) No judge of the Circuit Court has the power to review the decision of another and there are no trials *de novo*.

The State is divided into 22 judicial circuits by statute (Ill. Rev. Stat., ch. 37, par. 72.1). Three circuits, Cook County and the 12th and 18th circuits consist of a single county. The other 19 judicial circuits are composed of two or more contiguous counties as provided by law. Each judicial circuit has but one, unified Circuit Court.

There are two categories of judges in the Circuit Courts: (1) circuit judges and (2) associate judges. All judges must be licensed attorneys. (Ill. Const. 1970, art. VI, sec. 11.) Circuit judges are initially elected, either on a circuit-wide basis or from the county where they reside. (Ill. Rev. Stat., ch. 37, pars. 72.2, 72.42-1.) They serve 6 year terms. (Ill. Const. 1970, art. VI, sec. 10.) In the Cook County Circuit, circuit judges are elected from the City of Chicago, from the entire county or from the area outside Chicago. (Ill. Rev. Stat., ch. 37, par. 72.42.)

The circuit judges in each circuit select by secret ballot a chief judge from their number to serve at their pleasure. Subject to the authority of the Supreme Court, the chief judge has general administrative authority over his court. (Ill. Const. 1970, art. VI, sec. 7.)

Associate judges are appointed for four year terms by the circuit judges in their respective circuits. (Ill. Const. 1970, art. VI, secs, 8, 10.) Like circuit judges, associate judges may exercise the full constitutional jurisdiction of the Circuit Court. However, Article VI, section 8 of the Constitution directs the Supreme Court to provide by rule for matters to be assigned to associate judges. The Court discharges this responsibility through Supreme Court Rule 295, discussed below.

1986 Circuit Court Caseload Summary

The number of cases filed in the Circuit Courts of Illinois during 1986, excluding "hang-on" (parking) tickets in District One (city of Chicago) of the Circuit Court of Cook County, was 3,797,007.

The number of cases disposed of in the Circuit Courts of Illinois was 3,930,199. Again these figures exclude "hang-on" (parking) tickets in District One (city of Chicago) of the Circuit Court of Cook County.

There were 863,081 cases pending at the end of 1986. Of this total, 44% of them were over 12 months old.

The total pending is an inventory decrease of 1,111 cases from the previous years end pending.

Conference Of Chief Circuit Judges

Pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 42, a Conference of the Chief Circuit Judges meets regularly to consider problems relating to the administration of the circuit court and such other matters as may, from time to time, be referred to the Conference by the Supreme Court. As of December 31, 1986, the chief circuit judges were:

1st Circuit — Hon. William A. Lewis
2nd Circuit — Hon. Henry Lewis
3rd Circuit — Hon. Philip J. Rarick
4th Circuit — Hon. Ronald A. Niemann
5th Circuit — Hon. Ralph S. Pearman
6th Circuit — Hon. Rodney A. Scott
7th Circuit — Hon. John W. Russell
8th Circuit — Hon. Edward B. Dittmeyer
9th Circuit — Hon. William Randolph
10th Circuit — Hon. Peter J. Paolucci
11th Circuit — Hon. Luther H. Dearborn
12th Circuit — Hon. Michael Orenic
13th Circuit — Hon. Alexander T. Bower
14th Circuit — Hon. L.E. Ellison
15th Circuit — Hon. John W. Rapp, Jr.
16th Circuit — Hon. Joseph M. McCarthy
17th Circuit — Hon. John C. Layng
18th Circuit — Hon. Carl F.J. Henninger
19th Citcuit — Hon. Fred A. Geiger
20th Circuit — Hon. Patrick J. Fleming
21st Circuit — Hon. Wayne P. Dyer
Cook County — Hon. Harry G. Comerford

Hon. Ben Miller was the liaison justice from the Supreme Court during calendar year 1986. In accordance with Supreme Court Rule 42, the Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts is the secretary of the Conference of Chief Circuit Judges. The Conference met in January, February, March, April, May, June, September and October 1986.

Out-of-Circuit Assignments

During 1986, the Administrative Director of the Illinois Courts, on behalf of the Supreme Court, approved 324 orders assigning downstate circuit and associate judges to the Circuit Court of

Cook County on a temporary basis. Each order typically assigns a judge to the Circuit Court of Cook County for a one or two week period. Through this assignment process, a total of 434 additional judge work weeks were obtained to assist in processing Cook County cases. This is the equivalent of the work of approximately 8 or 9 additional full-time judges.

In 1986, the Administrative Director also approved 62 orders assigning downstate circuit and associate judges to downstate circuits other than their home circuits. Like the Cook County assignments, these orders are for limited periods of time.

Rule 295 Assignments

Article VI, section 8 of the Illinois Constitution of 1970 authorizes the Supreme Court to "provide by rule for matters to be assigned to Associate Judges." In implementing this authority, the Supreme Court has provided in Rule 295 that an associate judge may hear any matter except the trial of criminal cases in which a defendant is charged with an offense punishable by imprisonment for more than one year. However, upon a showing of need, the Supreme Court may authorize the chief judge of a circuit to make temporary assignment of individual associate judges to conduct trials of those criminal cases.

In 1986, the Administrative Director, on behalf of the Supreme Court, approved 209 requests from the Chief Judge of the Circuit Court of Cook County to assign individual associate judges to hear criminal cases in which the defendant may be punished by imprisonment for more than one year. Each authorization was requested for a period of six months.

The Administrative Director also approved 164 requests from downstate chief judges for permission to assign associate judges to these criminal cases.

Judicial Elections

The results of the November 4, 1986 general election are set forth below. A single asterisk (*) means that the successful candidate was a sitting judicial officer who was elected to "higher" judicial office, e.g., sitting circuit judge elected to a judgeship in the Appellate Court, and a double asterisk (**) denotes that the successful candidate was a Supreme Court appointee to judicial office who was successful in the general election. Those elected took office December 1, 1986.

Candidates Elected Judge of Appellate Court

First District

(Vacancy of Thomas McGloon)
*William R. Quinlan (D., Chicago)

(Vacancy of James Mejda)
*Charles E. Freeman (D., Chicago)

(Vacancy of Maurice Perlin)
**Anthony Scariano (D., Park Forest)

(Vacancy of Philip Romiti)
*Mary Ann Grohwin McMorrow (D., Chicago)

(Vacancy of Kenneth Wilson)
*R. Eugene Pincham (D., Chicago)

Fourth District

(Vacancy of Ben Miller)
*James A. Knecht (R., Normal)

(Vacancy of Richard Mills)
*(Carl A. Lund (R., Paris)

Candidates Elected Judge of Circuit Court

Cook County Circuit

(Vacancy of Robert Collins)
**Aaron Jaffe (D., Skokie)

(Vacancy of Russell DeBow)
*Richard P. Berland (D., Northbrook)

(Vacancy of Richard LeFevour)
**Cornelius Francis Dore (D., Flossmoor)

Inside City of Chicago Only

(Vacancy of John Crowley)
Thomas Patrick Quinn (D., Chicago)

(Vacancy of George Higgins)
Miriam D. Balanoff (D., Chicago)

(Vacancy of John McGury)
*Blanche M. Manning (D., Chicago)

(Vacancy of Robert Sulski)
Irwin J. Solganick (D., Chicago)

(Vacancy of Vincent Tondryk)
Paddy McNamara (D., Chicago)

(Vacancy of Thomas Walsh)
+Joseph Edward McDermott (D., Chicago)
+Did not assume judicial office

(Vacancy of James Walton)
Leo E. Holt (D., Chicago)

(Vacancy of Arthur Zelezinski)
**Sidney A. Jones III (D., Chicago)

(Vacancy of Michael Zlatnik)
Richard E. Neville (D., Chicago)

Outside of Chicago Only

(Vacancy of Marion Burks)
Dan Weber (R., Westchester)

(Vacancy of Brian Duff)
*Anton J. Valukas (R., Palatine)

(Vacancy of Wayne Olson)
Alexander P. White (R., DesPlaines)

(Vacancy of Richard Petrarca)
*Patrick S. Grossi (R., Glenwood)

Second Circuit
Crawford County Only
(Vacancy of A. Hanby Jones)
David M. Correll (R., Robinson)

Edwards County Only
(Vacancy of Bruce Saxe)
John Lundmark (R., Albion)

Richland County Only
(Vacancy of Laurence Arnold)
Patrick "Pat" McLaughlin (D., Olney)

Fourth Circuit
(Vacancy of Paul Hickman)
**E.C. Eberspacher (D., Shelbyville)

(Vacancy of Frank Schniederjon)
**Richard H. Brummer (D., Effingham)

Fifth Circuit
(Vacancy of John Meyer)
*Rita B. Garman (R., Danville)

Eighth Circuit
Mason County Only
(Vacancy of Howard White)
Thomas L. Brownfield (D., Havana)

Ninth Circuit
McDonough County Only
(Vacancy of U.S. Collins)
*William D. Henderson (R., Macomb)

Tenth Circuit
(Vacancy of Ivan Yontz)
**Bruce W. Black (R., Pekin)

Eleventh Circuit
Logan County Only
(Vacancy of John McCullough)
Gerald G. Dehner (R., Lincoln)

Twelfth Circuit
(Additional Judgeship)
*William R. Penn (R., Joliet)

Thirteenth Circuit
(Vacancy of Leonard Hoffman)
**Louis James Perona (R., Spring Valley)

Fourteenth Circuit
(Vacancy of Conway Spanton)
Clarence A. Darrow (D., Rock Island)

Mercer County Only
(Vacancy of Gene McWhorter)
**Martin E. Conway, Jr. (R., Aledo)

Fifteenth Circuit
(Vacancy of James Bales)
**Tomas M. Magdich (R., Dixon)

Sixteenth Circuit
(Vacancy of John Krause)
**Michael O'Brien (R., St. Charles)

Kane County Only
(Vacancy of Paul Schnake)
*Melvin E. Dunn (R., Geneva)

Eighteenth Circuit
(Vacancy of William Hopf)
**Robert D. McLaren (R., Wheaton)

(Vacancy of Charles Norgle)
**Edward W. Kowal (R., Glen Ellyn)

DuPage County Only
(Vacancy of Edwin Douglas)
John W. Darrah (R., Bartlett)

(Vacancy of Bruce Fawell)
**William E. (Bill) Black (R., Downers Grove)

Nineteenth Circuit
Lake County Only
(Vacancy of John Hughes)
*John R. Goshgarian (R., Round Lake)

Twentieth Circuit
(Vacancy of Thomas O'Donnell)
**Richard A. Hudlin, IV (D., Fairview Hts.)

Washington County Only
(Vacancy of Francis Maxwell)
Lloyd A. Karmeier (R., Nashville)

Twenty-First Circuit
(Two Additional Judgeships)
James R. Blunk (R., Watseka)
*Daniel W. Gould (R., Kankakee)

Judicial Retention Election

The 1970 Constitution, article VI, section 12(d), provides that an elected judge may seek to be retained in judicial office upon expiration of his term of office. The affirmative vote of three-fifths (60%) of the electors voting on the question shall elect the judge to the office for a term.

The results of the retention ballot of the Nov. 4, 1986, general election are as follows:

Supreme Court Judges
First Judicial District
Hon. William G. Clark, 81.16%

Second Judicial District
Hon. Thomas J. Moran, 79%

Third Judicial District
Hon. Howard C. Ryan, 78.36%

Appellate Court Judge
First Judicial District
Hon. David Linn, 78.78%

Circuit Court Judges

First Judicial Circuit

Hon. Thomas W. Haney, 71.22%
Hon. Snyder Howell, 67.57%
Hon. Robert H. Howerton, 72.38%
Hon. Donald Lowery, 68.14%
Hon. Richard E. Richman, 60.94%
Hon. William H. South, 61.17%

Second Judicial Circuit

Hon. Larry O. Baker, 64.84%
Hon. Donald E. Garrison, 68.69%
Hon. Robert M. Keenan, Jr., 66.46%

Third Judicial Circuit

Hon. A. Andreas "Andy" Matoesian, 77.88%

Fourth Judicial Circuit

Hon. Dennis M. Huber, 74.98%
Hon. William D. Kelly, 73.48%

Fifth Judicial Circuit

Hon. Paul C. Komada, 70.64%
Hon. Carl A. Lund, 78.92%
Hon. Ralph S. Pearman, 78.91%

Sixth Judicial Circuit

Hon. Jerry L. Patton, 80.57%
Hon. John P. Shonkwiler, 75.18%

Seventh Judicial Circuit

Hon. Simon L. Friedman, 80.71%
Hon. Richard E. Mann, 79.76%
Hon. Gordon D. Seator, 79.09%

Eighth Judicial Circuit

Hon. Carson D. Klitz, 75.23%
Hon. Alfred L. Pezman, 78.16%

Ninth Judicial Circuit

Hon. Stephen C. Mathers, 79.79%
Hon. Max B. Stewart, 78.74%

Tenth Judicial Circuit

Hon. James McNabb Bumgarner, 72.77%
Hon. Robert E. Manning, 80.46%

Eleventh Judicial Circuit

Hon. William T. Caisley, 83.77%
Hon. William M. Roberts, 76.96%

Twelfth Judicial Circuit

Hon. Robert R. Buchar, 76.56%
Hon. Michael A. Orenic, 76.84%

Thirteenth Judicial Circuit

Hon. Alexander T. Bower, 77.25%
Hon. William P. Denny, 81.65%
Hon. Thomas R. Flood, 80.25%
Hon. Howard C. Wampler, 78.06%
Hon. Robert G. Wren, 75.5%

Fourteenth Judicial Circuit

Hon. L.E. Ellison, 77.43%
Hon. Susan B. Gende, 79.56%
Hon. Wilbur S. Johnson, 77.4%
Hon. Edward Keefe, 80.76%
Hon. John Donald O'Shea, 79.34%

Fifteenth Judicial Circuit

Hon. Thomas E. Hornsby, 82.71%
Hon. F. Lawrence Lenz, 80.94%
Hon. Lawrence A. Smith, Jr., 80.40%

Sixteenth Judicial Circuit

Hon. Rex F. Meilinger, 65.66%
Hon. James F. Quetsch, 75.11%

Seventeenth Judicial Circuit

Hon. John E. Sype, 82.59%

Nineteenth Judicial Circuit

Hon. William D. Block, 81.13%
Hon. Jack Hoogasian, 75.55%
Hon. Lawrence D. (Larry) Inglis, 80.84%

Twentieth Judicial Circuit

Hon. Joseph F. Cunningham, 78.89%
Hon. John J. Hoban, 64.49%

Twenty-First Judicial Circuit

Hon. Patrick M. Burns, 83.01%

Cook County Judicial Circuit

Hon. Frank W. Barbaro, 77.73%
Hon. Christy S. Berkos, 77.73%
Hon. Jerome T. Burke, 78.89%
Hon. Irwin Cohen, 76.10%
Hon. Ronald J. Crane, 78.87%
Hon. John W. Crilly, 77.5%
Hon. Brian L. Crowe, 78.22%
Hon. Richard L. Curry, 79.06%
Hon. Arthur L. Dunne, 70.81%
Hon. Charles J. Durham, 78.09%
Hon. Lester D. Foreman, 78.02%
Hon. Paul F. Gerrity, 78.42%
Hon. Louis J. Giliberto, 66.72%
Hon. Charles J. Grupp, 62.38%
Hon. Sophia H. Hall, 79.24%
Hon. Thomas A. Hett, 78.43%
Hon. Willard J. Lassers, 76.92%
Hon. Benjamin S. Mackoff, 75.86%
Hon. Edward H. Marsalek, 79.07%
Hon. Lester D. McCurrie, 78.47%
Hon. Irving R. Norman, 78.77%
Hon. Benjamin E. Novoselsky, 77.79%
Hon. Lawrence A. Passarella, 57.36%
Hon. William R. Quinlan, 79.43%
Hon. Thomas R. Rakowski, 80.3%
Hon. John W. Rogers, 78.89%
Hon. Allen F. Rosin, 55.82%
Hon. Frank V. Salerno, 56.09%
Hon. Stephen A. Schiller, 78.45%
Hon. Joseph Schneider, 76.58%
Hon. Harold A. Siegan, 70.94%
Hon. Earl F. Strayhorn, 72.87%
Hon. John V. Virgilio, 77.86%
Hon. Claude E. Whitaker, 78.13%
Hon. Daniel J. White, 72.42%
Hon. George J. Zimmerman, 62.56%

THE JUDICIAL CONFERENCE

The Illinois Constitution provides, in section 17 of article VI, that there shall be "an annual judicial conference to consider the work of the courts and to suggest improvements in the administration of justice." Supreme Court Rule 41 implements section 17 by establishing membership in the Conference, creating an Executive Committee to assist the Court in conducting the Conference, and appointing the Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts as secretary of the Conference.

The Judicial Conference membership includes the Supreme Court Justices, Appellate Court judges and Circuit Judges. The Supreme Court appoints six judges from Cook County and six judges from outside Cook County to serve three year terms on the Executive Committee.

As of December 31, 1986, the Executive Committee members were:

Hon. Charles J. Durham, Chairman
Hon. Anthony M. Peccarelli, Vice-Chairman
Hon. Michael C. Close
Hon. William Cousins, Jr.
Hon. Brian L. Crowe
Hon. Joseph F. Cunningham
Hon. Marvin D. Dunn
Hon. James C. Murray
Hon. Joseph Schneider
Hon. John M. Telleen
Hon. Wayne C. Townley, Jr.
Hon. Joseph H. Goldenhersh, Liaison

During 1986, the Executive Committee:

(1) Selected the site, topics and faculty for the 1986 annual program of the Illinois Judicial Conference.

(2) Monitored the work of the Associate Judge Seminar Coordinating Committee in planning the annual Associate Judge Seminar.

(3) Monitored the work of the Subcommittee on Judicial Education in planning the remainder of the 1985-86 Regional Seminar Series.

(4) Recommended that the Supreme Court establish a Study Committee on Voir Dire.

(5) Selected the members for the Conference's Study Committee on Protracted Litigation.

(6) Suggested that the Supreme Court approve an experimental project with Loyola University School of Law and its *Law Review*, whereby the *Law Review* would devote one of its issues each year for several years to the publication of Judicial Conference articles. The Court approved this proposal on a three-year trial basis in its May Term.

(7) Established, with the approval of the Supreme Court, a Subcommittee on Legislation, which is designed to review legislative proposals affecting the judicial system and make recommendations about those proposals to the Executive Committee for further recommendation to the Court.

(8) Accepted the work of the Committee to Study and Update Juvenile Forms Handbooks and transmitted it with approval to the Supreme Court. The Committee was discharged upon completion of its tasks.

(9) Began the work of updating the Conference's Handbook for Illinois Jurors and A Handbook for Grand Jurors in Illinois.

(10) Approved the recommendations of the Subcommittee on Judicial Education for the topics and faculty for the 1986-87 Regional Seminar Series beginning in October, 1986.

1986 Annual Meeting Of The Illinois Judicial Conference

The 33rd Annual Meeting of the Illinois Judicial Conference was conducted on Wednesday-Friday, September 3-5, 1986 at the Hyatt Regency Hotel in Chicago. Three hundred ninety-six of the four hundred eighteen judges of the circuit and reviewing courts were present.

Chief Justice William G. Clark presented a "state of the judiciary" address at the dinner session on the opening evening.

Justice Ben Miller presided at the Thursday luncheon program honoring recently retired judges.

Six 2½ hour seminar topics were offered on all three days of the program. The topics were:

Criminal Law
Domestic Relations
Evidence
Judicial Ethics and Conduct
Medical Malpractice
Work Product/Attorney-Client Privilege

Each of these topics was presented by committees comprised of judges assisted by professor-reporters from Illinois law schools. Attendance at the Judicial Ethics programs was mandatory for all attendants, who also selected three of the five remaining elective topics.

1986 Associate Judge Seminar

The Annual Associate Judge Seminar program is prepared by a twelve-member committee appointed by the Executive Committee with the approval of the Supreme Court. The Coordinating

Committee for the 1986 program consisted of the following judges

Hon Robert L Carter, Chairman
Hon Francis Barth, Vice-Chairman
Hon Lester A Bonaguro
Hon J David Bone
Hon Alan W Cargerman
Hon Loretta C Douglas
Hon Thomas P Durkin
Hon Jerry D Flynn
Hon Blanche M Manning
Hon Jane D Waller
Hon W Charles Witte
Hon William S Wood

The Associate Judge Seminar was presented at the Hyatt Regency Hotel in Chicago on Wednesday-Friday, March 5-7, 1986 Three hundred twenty of the three hundred thirty-nine associate judges were present

At the opening session on Wednesday afternoon, Judge Roy O Gulley, recently retired Director of the Administrative Office, shared with the attendants his experiences of three and a half decades of service as judge and court administrator Supreme Court Justice Joseph H Goldenhersh addressed the attendants at the Wednesday dinner Judge Charles E Jones of the Fifth District of the Appellate Court spoke at the Thursday luncheon program

Each seminar attendant elected to attend three of the following five topics prepared by judges and law professors

Contracts
Evidence
Judicial Ethics
Management of a Criminal Jury Trial
Recent Legislative Developments

Attendance at the Judicial Ethics presentations was mandatory

1986 New Judge Seminar

Under the direction of Justice Seymour Simon, the Supreme Court liaison to the new judge educational programs, the Subcommittee on Judicial Education prepared and presented the New Judge Seminar on December 9-12, 1986 at the Holiday Inn-Mart Plaza in Chicago Virtually the entire program was presented by judicial faculty

Seventy-three of the seventy-four judges who assumed judicial office since the date of the last New Judge Seminar (July 24-26, 1985) attended the program. Appellate, circuit and associate judges were in attendance

At the opening session program Justice Simon offered introductory remarks and Judge Charles J. Durham, Chairman of the Executive Committee of the Illinois Judicial Conference, described the general operation of the continuing education programs of the Conference Mr William M Madden gave an overview of the evolution and structure of the Illinois judicial system

The afternoon program on the first day of the seminar included an analysis of the Supreme Court Rules by Judge Dom J Rizzi of the First District Appellate Court, and a discussion of the practice and procedure in high volume courts by a panel of Cook County and downstate judges The evening session consisted of a presentation on the law of contempt by

Hon Earl Arkiss
Hon Alan W Cargerman
Hon John P Shonkwiler

The second day's program began with First District Appellate Judge Allen Hartman's traditional summary of the law and procedure governing motion practice This was followed by lectures on judgments and orders by Judge Robert S Hill and on domestic violence orders of protection by Judge Brent F Carlson

At luncheon on the second day, U S District Court Judge Charles R Norgle reflected on some of the common concerns of the new judge The afternoon session consisted of a panel discussion on judicial ethics The panel members were

Hon Tobias Barry
Hon Mel R Jiganti
Hon John E Sype

On the third day of the program five hours were dedicated to a session on trial practice at which video-tape materials prepared by the faculty members were used to create actual courtroom situations The faculty members for the session were

Hon Warren D Wolfson
Hon Robert J Steigmann
Hon Lawrence D Inglis
Hon Donald P O'Connell

Also included in the third day's sessions were presentations on handling mentally disturbed litigants, which was given by Judge Susan S Ruffolo and psychiatrist Dr James Cavanaugh, and on judicial stress and disability, which was given by Judges Harry E Clem and Warren D Wolfson

U S District Court Judge Susan Getzendanner addressed the attendants at the luncheon program on the third day The evening session that day featured a discussion group program, at which the attendants could address issues facing them as new judges, with the assistance of an experienced judge as discussion leader

The primary emphasis of the fourth day was on criminal law Judge Brian L Crowe spoke on recent developments in search and seizure, and Judges Blanche M Manning and Ronald A Niemann instructed the judges about the practical aspects of setting bonds A criminal law program was given by

Hon Gino L DiVito
Hon Robert H Howerton
Hon Roger J Kiley, Jr

Finally, Judges Thomas R. Fitzgerald and Carl F.J. Henninger discussed jury instructions, with an enactment of an actual instruction conference in a criminal case.

1986 Regional Seminar Programs

In 1986, the Judicial Conference conducted six programs on the 2½ day format instituted in 1976. The regional programs were selected, planned and monitored by the Subcommittee on Judicial Education. On December 31, 1986, its members were as follows:

Hon. Allen Hartman, Chairman
Hon. Brent F. Carlson
Hon. Robert L. Carter
Hon. Robert S. Hill
Hon. Carl A. Lund
Hon. George W. Unverzagt
Hon. Warren D. Wolfson

The six programs were:

DATE	TOPIC	SITE	ATTENDANCE
February 13-15	Constitutional Issues in the Criminal Case	Rockford	54
March 13-15	Civil Procedure in Illinois	Oak Brook	67
April 10-12	Constitutional Issues in the Criminal Case	Springfield	59
May 8-10	Domestic Relations	Rockford	76
October 30-November 1	Civil Procedure in Illinois	Rockford	33
November 13-15	Evidence	Effingham	36

The two seminars on Constitutional Issues in the Criminal Case were given by the following faculty:

Hon. Roger J. Kiley, Jr., Chairman
Hon. Alan W. Cargerman
Hon. Jeffrey W. O'Connor
Hon. Stephen A. Schiller
Prof. James P. Carey
Prof. Timothy P. O'Neill

These seminars featured the videotape of a multi-defendant felony trial, from the bond hearing through the sentencing hearing. Issues raised and discussed in these seminars involved the constitutional dimensions of a criminal trial, including the confrontation of witnesses, motions to suppress evidence, confessions, double jeopardy and others.

The seminar on Domestic Relations was offered with the following faculty:

Hon. Carl A. Lund, Chairman
Hon. Everette A. Braden
Hon. Robert L. Carter
Hon. Melvin E. Dunn
Hon. Susan S. Ruffolo
Prof. John E. Corkery
Prof. James M. Forkins

In the first day of the seminar, a videotape of a property disposition hearing was used to raise issues in maintenance and property valuation and distribution. For the remaining days of the seminar, the topics of tax consequences of divorce, bankruptcy, child support and custody, injunctive relief and jurisdiction were among the items presented.

The two seminars on Civil Procedure in Illinois were given by the following faculty:

Hon. Myron T. Gomberg, Chairman
Hon. Richard P. Goldenhersh
Hon. Robert S. Hill
Hon. Thomas J. O'Brien
Prof. Richard A. Michael
Prof. Charles R. Purcell

The broad topics of motions, sufficiency of pleadings and regulation of discovery were treated in this program, which was given in a traditional lecture format. The motions portion of the program considered motions to dismiss and amend pleadings, motions for summary judgment and motions to vacate and open judgments, among others. In the pleadings sessions, the elements of pleadings for economic loss, retaliatory discharge, willful and wanton conduct, contribution and other actions were discussed. The final day was devoted to the Supreme Court Rules governing discovery, along with discovery sanctions.

The seminar on Evidence was presented by:

Hon. George M. Marovich, Chairman
Hon. Robert H. Howerton, Vice-Chairman
Hon. William E. Black
Hon. Themis Karnezis
Hon. Stephen Spomer
Prof. James P. Carey
Prof. John E. Corkery

It followed an all-videotape format similar to that used in the criminal law seminars. In this seminar, though, one civil case and one criminal case were simulated. This allowed the attendants to compare and contrast the law of evidence applicable to criminal and civil trials concerning such matters as impeachment, hearsay, demonstrative evidence and the use of expert witnesses.

1986 Study Committees

In 1986, the Executive Committee reviewed the work product of one study committee, the Committee to Study and Update Juvenile Formbooks. This committee was charged with updating the juvenile forms which the Conference's Committee on Juvenile Problems drafted for Cook County and downstate in the 1970's.

The report of this study committee was not in a traditional narrative form, common to many study committee reports. Instead, the committee produced a compilation of 28 forms which may be used in any county in the State. After these forms were approved by the Executive Committee, they were sent to each State's Attorney in Illinois, along with many of the judges and probation officers who handle juvenile matters throughout the State. It is hoped that the work of this study committee will contribute to greater uniformity and efficiency in Illinois juvenile proceedings.

(The Illinois Judicial Conference is summarized in greater detail under separate cover in the 1986 Illinois Judicial Conference Report. Specific information on the various Conference programs is offered in greater detail in that report.)

THE COURTS COMMISSION

Since July 1, 1971, disciplinary proceedings against judicial officers in Illinois have been bifurcated. The Judicial Inquiry Board, composed of lay persons, lawyers and judges, conducts investigations against judges, files formal voted complaints against judges with the Courts Commission, and prosecutes those complaints before the Commission. The five judges who comprise the Commission hear those complaints, make findings, and enter dispositive orders of dismissal or of imposition of sanctions. A judge may be disciplined by removal from office, suspension with or without pay, retirement, censure or reprimand. Pursuant to [illegible] of the Commission, the Administrative Director is the Commission Secretary. For further information on the history of judicial discipline in Illinois, see the *1975 Annual Report to the Supreme Court of Illinois*, Pages 60-65 and the Prefatory Note, 1 Ill. Cts. Com., pages ix-xxii.

The judicial officers who have been appointed as members of the judicial disciplinary entities are, as of December 31, 1986:

Appointed by the Supreme Court to the Judicial Inquiry Board:
Circuit Judge Philip B. Benefiel, Second Judicial Circuit
Circuit Judge Edward H. Marsalek, Cook County

Appointed by the Supreme Court to the Courts Commission:
*Supreme Court Judge Thomas J. Moran (chairman)
*Circuit Judge James C. Murray, Cook County
*Circuit Judge Rodney A. Scott, Sixth Judicial Circuit
Circuit Judge Arthur L. Dunne, Cook County (alternate)
Circuit Judge John E. Sype, Seventeenth Judicial Circuit (alternate)

Appointed by the Appellate Court to the Courts Commission:
*Appellate Court Judge Francis S. Lorenz, First Judicial District
*Appellate Court Judge Allan L. Stouder, Third Judicial District
Appellate Court Judge David Linn, First Judicial District (alternate)
Appellate Court Judge Frederick S. Green, Fourth Judicial District (alternate)

*Present members of the Courts Commission.

During the period July 1, 1971 through December 31, 1986, the Judicial Inquiry Board had filed 40 formal complaints with the Courts Commission. The dispositions of the complaints by the Commission were as follows:

Respondents removed from office	— 3
Respondents suspended without pay	— 9
Respondents censured	— 3
Respondents reprimanded	— 8
Complaints dismissed	—15
Commission order expunged by Supreme Court	— 1
Complaints pending	— 1

During 1986, one formal complaint was filed by the Judicial Inquiry Board with the Courts Commission. No decisions were rendered by the Courts Commission during 1986.

Before reciting the nature of the single complaint filed in 1986 with the Courts Commission, two matters should be noted. Effective August 1, 1986, the Illinois Supreme Court extensively amended its rule and administrative order governing disclosure of a judge's economic interests and availability to the public of such disclosure. Amended Rule 68 and the administrative order thereunder require judges to file annually a detailed statement of economic interests and relationships with the Supreme Court Clerk, and provide that any person may examine or have a copy of a judge's statement. Judges in Illinois have been required by Supreme Court rule to file statements of economic interests since 1970. Amended Rule 68 makes the disclosure more comprehensive and available to the public. The second recent development is that on December 2, 1986, the Supreme Court adopted new rules of judicial conduct, effective January 1, 1987. The new Code of Judicial Conduct, Rules 61-67, is modeled on the American Bar Association Code of Judicial Conduct, modified to the extent necessary to be in accord with Illinois constitutional and statutory law.

The only complaint filed in 1986 with the Courts Commission, complaint 86-CC-1, charged in four counts a Cook County circuit judge with willful misconduct in office and with conduct that is prejudicial to the administration of justice and that brings the judicial office into disrepute in that he, while a judge, engaged in a fee-splitting arrangement with lawyers, heard cases in which he had a financial or other conflict of interest, and failed to report real estate income to the tax authorities or disclose it on his judicial statements of economic interests. The complaint alleged that the judge continued to receive a division of lawyers' fees long after he assumed the bench; that the judge heard and referred to other judges for hearing court cases involving real estate in which he had a financial interest; that the judge heard cases in which lawyers with whom he had business relationships appeared; that the judge did not report income from his real estate dealings to Federal and State tax agencies and did not disclose fully his income and financial relationships in his statements of economic interests; and that his conduct violated Supreme Court Rules 61(c)(4), 61(c)(12), 61(c)(21) through 61(c)(23), 66, and 68. The judge resigned June 19, 1986, the day before the complaint was filed, and in a separate action the supreme court concluded that the resignation was effective June 19 (see M.R. 4001 (June 30, 1986)). The Courts Commission is expected to render a decision in January of 1987.

THE ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE

Introduction

The Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts (see Appendix B for historical development) is established pursuant to article VI, section 16 of the Constitution of 1970, to assist the Chief Justice to carry out his duties in exercising the administrative and supervisory authority of the Supreme Court over all the courts. As that authority encompasses every aspect of the judicial system, the functions of the Administrative Office cannot be exhaustively delineated. However, these functions generally include personnel and fiscal management, continuing judicial education, maintenance of records and statistics, service as secretariat to committees and other organizations, liaison activity with the legislative and executive branches, management of court facilities and equipment, administration of programs under several Supreme Court Rules, research and planning. (Compare 1974 A.B.A. Standards Relating to Court Organization (Standard 1.41) (responsibilities of state court administrative offices).) Within each of these categories fall the specific duties of the Administrative Office which are reported in greater detail in this chapter.

Other functions of the Administrative Office are explained separately below. The office is charged by Supreme Court Rule 21(d) with keeping filed copies of Appellate Court and Circuit Court rules. Pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 68, the Administrative Director is the custodian of verified statements of economic interest which are filed annually by Illinois judges. Sealed statements filed under this rule may be opened only by the Supreme Court or by the Illinois Courts Commission when specifically authorized by the Supreme Court for use in proceedings of the Commission. Parties to an action may request from the Director information concerning unsealed lists of businesses in which a judge or members of his immediate family have a financial interest.

The Director and his staff appear before the appropriation committees of the General Assembly to testify concerning the State judicial budget, and they are frequently called upon to advise the judiciary committees on proposed legislation affecting the courts. They also address civic groups, bar associations, legislative commissions and court reform groups concerning court administration and the structure and operation of Illinois' unified court system. The educational responsibilities of the office additionally include answering telephone and mail inquiries from the general public about the court system.

Citizens, judges, lawyers, court administrators from other states, and persons from foreign nations visit the Administrative Office and the Illinois courts. An important task of the Administrative Office is to explain the Illinois court system to them and arrange visits to courthouses and with judges.

The work of the Administrative Office has been greatly expanded in the last decade with the addition of two new departments. In 1978, a Probation Division was created to establish standards and provide salary subsidies for probation officers. The Supreme Court approved the addition of Judicial Management Information Services to the Administrative Office in late 1980. This staff plans and coordinates the installation of automated recordkeeping systems throughout the court system. The activities of these branches are detailed further in this chapter.

Organization & Management Study

The Illinois Supreme Court has contracted with the National Center for State Courts to perform an organization and management study to aid the Supreme Court and the Administrative Director in structuring the future role of the Administrative Office. The study will focus on the current activities of the Administrative Office, the functional organization of the office, developing and defining a clear role for the office in the Illinois court system and what organizational changes may be needed to implement this role. The study was initiated in October 1986 with a planned completion date in late Spring, 1987.

Personnel

The Administrative Office maintains two locations — the headquarters in Springfield and a second office in Chicago. During 1986, the Administrative Office staff totaled 107. These positions were allocated as follows:

One Director (vacant)
One Deputy Director (acting director)
Five Assistant Directors
Two Staff Attorneys
One Supervisor of Accounting
Three Assistant Supervisors
Two Administrative Assistants
One Account Executive
Fourteen Accountants
Ten Secretaries
Two Statisticians
One File Clerk
One Messenger
Thirty-eight JMIS Specialists
One Supervisor of Probation
Twenty-four Probation Division Personnel

The Administrative Office, with the approval of the Supreme Court, contracted with Arthur Young and Company to conduct a personnel study for many of the non-judicial employees in the Judicial Branch. Arthur Young and Company is to provide assistance in the development of a salary administration program, performance appraisal system and a personnel policy manual. The study commenced in August 1986 and should be completed early in 1987.

Accounting Division

Over two decades have elapsed since the unified court system of Illinois, under the Judicial Article of 1962, became effective January 1, 1964. Enactment of the Article provided the potential for centralizing the fiscal management of the judiciary within the Administrative Office, and specifically in the Accounting Division.

Prior to 1964, certain judicial costs were paid either by the County or the Auditor of Public Accounts, State of Illinois. As the unification of the judicial system occurred, fiscal management became centralized within the Accounting Division. The charts which follow graphically demonstrate how a statewide fiscal management system gradually replaced the independent and uncoordinated methods of payment.

SUPREME COURT

Fiscal Year	Supreme Court Expenditures	Supreme Court Clerk's Expend	Supreme Court Research's Expend
1964[1]			
1965	752,161		
1966[1]			
1967	864,905		
1968[1]			
1969	1,029,221		
1970	652,144		
1971	696,418 [2]		
1972	873,750		
1973	996,899		
1974	1,026,765		
1975	1,136,733	201,599 [3]	
1976	1,399,888	206,870	
1977	1,512,528	220,437	
1978	1,625,387	246,681	
1979	1,910,933	250,538	
1980	2,029,322	267,937	
1981	2,191,376	285,366	63,305 [4]
1982	2,557,692	295,057	93,914
1983	2,480,703	352,882	128,624
1984	2,282,005	365,127	147,333
1985	2,881,038	368,383	157,467
1986	2,938,135	395,657	199,816

[1] During the period 1964-1969, the State of Illinois operated on a biennial basis. Annual appropriations became effective July 1, 1969.

[2] Includes $12,159 special bill for Reporter of Decisions.

[3] Operational costs of the Clerk's Office were assumed by the Accounting Division in 1975.

[4] Legislation provided for a Research Department effective July 1, 1981.

SUPREME COURT
ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE ILLINOIS COURTS

Fiscal Year	Administrative Office Expenditures	Judicial Management Information System	Judicial Conference
1964 [1]			
1965	287,273.		87,715.[1]
1966			
1967	432,165.		134,080.
1968			
1969	484,693.		146,495.
1970	354,156.		127,903.
1971	399,549.		117,188.
1972	447,501.		92,324.
1973	453,018.		59,974.
1974	510,092.		112,233.
1975	534,045.		159,172.
1976	584,890.		170,608.
1977	625,536.		200,215.
1978	687,024.		189,147.
1979	712,448.		224,754.
1980	802,694.		241,215.
1981	926,726.		277,708.
1982	926,029.	493,646.[2]	328,730.
1983	1,070,888.	806,050.	323,412.
1984	1,154,801.	1,461,062.	340,304.
1985	1,283,349.	1,785,898.	372,896.
1986	1,452,244.	2,915,852.	401,875.

[1] During the period 1964-1969, the State of Illinois operated on a biennial basis. Annual appropriations became effective July 1, 1969.

[2] Judicial Management Information System established July 1, 1982.

SUPREME COURT
ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE ILLINOIS COURTS
Miscellaneous Accounts

Fiscal Year	Travel-Cir Judges Expenditures	Travel — Shorthand Reporters	Transcription Fees
1964[1]		1	1
1965	172,150	61,623	207,471
1966			
1967	247,836	80,206	235,396
1968			
1969	366,001	90,390	349,406
1970	179,815	51,193	223,474
1971	214,979	55,746	278,634
1972	204,390	50,113	357,114
1973	215,465	53,311	399,889
1974	235,418	55,828	507,106
1975	274,981	64,935	574,964
1976	310,759	79,953	812,882
1977	278,528	73,630	780,674
1978	235,034	78,609	1,067,552
1979	322,023	72,373	1,066,562
1980	454,200	92,640	1,286,069
1981	448,505	94,040	1,424,900
1982	488,923	114,003	1,496,414
1983	494,390	113,889	1,561,916
1984	464,514	110,183	1,456,692
1985	528,779	112,509	1,308,767
1986	518,354	115,641	1,391,584

[1] During the period 1964-1969, the State of Illinois operated on a biennial basis. Annual appropriations became effective July 1, 1969.

SUPREME COURT
ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE ILLINOIS COURTS

Fiscal Year	Illinois Courts Commission	Impartial Medical	Ill. Jury (Pattern) Instruction
1964	[1]	[1]	[1]
1965	[1]	[1]	[1]
1966	[1]	[1]	[1]
1967	[1]	[1]	[1]
1968	[1]	[1]	[1]
1969	[1]	7,722 [3]	[1]
1970	[1]	4,355	3,244 [4]
1971	5,698 [2]	4,767	1,193
1972	873	6,181	151
1973	2,841	15,791	-0-
1974	8,981	14,477	1,992
1975	6,073	19,966	3,960
1976	3,004	18,140	9,527
1977	7,171	8,012	3,502
1978	1,139	11,619	4,222
1979	1,102	9,022	9,288
1980	11,951	9,662	6,304
1981	5,896	9,608	9,439
1982	2,980	6,106	8,405
1983	1,898	6,125	6,715
1984	5,224	5,089	7,510
1985	2,965	4,694	13,127
1986	-0-	2,178	13,167

[1] Services not established during this period.

[2] Illinois Courts Commission created by Constitutional Amendment effective July 1, 1971.

[3] Impartial Medical legislation effective July 1, 1969.

[4] Ill. Jury Instruction appropriation legislated July 1, 1970.

SUPREME COURT
ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE ILLINOIS COURTS

Fiscal Year	Probation-Operation Expenditures	Probation Training	Probation Subsidy	Probation Grants/Aid	Probation D.U.I.
1964	1	1	1	1	1
1965	1	1	1	1	1
1966	1	1	1	1	1
1967	1	1	1	1	1
1968	1	1	1	1	1
1969	1	1	1	1	1
1970	1	1	1	1	1
1971	1	1	1	1	1
1972	1	1	1	1	1
1973	1	1	1	1	1
1974	1	1	1	1	1
1975	1	1	1	1	1
1976	1	1	1	1	1
1977	1	1	1	1	1
1978	1	1	1	1	1
1979	91,689 2	48,838 2	3,115,735 2	1	4
1980	155,630	266,374	6,030,091	1	4
1981	178,199	309,334	6,131,901	1	4
1982	204,622	401,528	6,266,929	1	4
1983	199,129	422,098	6,394,400	1	4
1984	373,572	458,333	6,691,643	1,231,091 3	4
1985	582,313	469,333	7,241,818	7,177,197	4
1986	672,132	479,569	9,247,377	8,064,450	99,528 4

[1] Probation Division was not under the auspices of the Supreme Court.

[2] Probation Operation expenditures, training and subsidy legislation became effective July 1, 1979.

[3] Legislation to provide Probation Grants-in-Aid became effective July 1, 1984.

[4] Legislation to provide Probation D.U.I. became effective FY 86.

SUPREME COURT
ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE ILLINOIS COURTS

Fiscal Year	Out-of-State Education Programs	Court Reporters Recruitment	Appellate Co-Ordinator	Circ. Clerk Training	Circ. Clk. Stipend Additional Duties
1964	[1]	[1]	[1]	[1]	[1]
1965	[1]	[1]	[1]	[1]	[1]
1966	[1]	[1]	[1]	[1]	[1]
1967	[1]	[1]	[1]	[1]	[1]
1968	[1]	[1]	[1]	[1]	[1]
1969	[1]	[1]	[1]	[1]	[1]
1970	[1]	[1]	[1]	[1]	[1]
1971	[1]	[1]	[1]	[1]	[1]
1972	[1]	[1]	[1]	[1]	[1]
1973	[1]	[1]	[1]	[1]	[1]
1974	[1]	[1]	[1]	[1]	[1]
1975	[1]	2,626.[3]	[1]	[1]	[1]
1976	[1]	10,000.	[1]	[1]	[1]
1977	[1]	9,994.	[1]	[1]	[1]
1978	[1]	19,146.	[1]	[1]	[1]
1979	[1]	23,859.	[1]	[1]	[1]
1980	[1]	24,608.	[1]	[1]	[1]
1981	[1]	25,483.	[1]	[1]	[1]
1982	10,548.[2]	31,067.	62,577.[4]	[1]	[1]
1983	17,598.	34,979.	66,137.	[1]	[1]
1984	18,463.	32,564.	10,607.	[1]	[1]
1985	23,371.	34,008.	[4]	36,086.[5]	176,750.[5]
1986	16,795.	35,342.	[4]	5,700.	353,500.

---

[1] Services not established during this period.
[2] Appropriations authorized July 1, 1982 for Out-of-State Education Programs.
[3] Court Reporters' Recruitment inaugurated July 1, 1975.
[4] Appellate Co-ordinator appointed by Supreme Court July 1, 1982 on a pilot basis. Program discontinued in 1984.
[5] Circuit Clerk Training & Circuit Clerk Stipend for Additional Duties established in 1985.

SUPREME COURT
ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE ILLINOIS COURTS
Appellate Court — First District

Fiscal Year	Judges' Expenditures	Clerk's Expend	Research's Expend
1964			
1965			
1966			
1967	836,447[1]		
1968			
1969	957,233		
1970	512,296		
1971	608,368		
1972	623,233		
1973	741,860		
1974	637,771		
1975	941,718	358,860[2]	158,425[2]
1976	1,222,205	397,629	197,965
1977	1,212,142	386,667	180,229
1978	1,234,358	413,921	210,516
1979	1,298,080	417,076	208,770
1980	1,487,668	413,013	226,541
1981	1,623,868	441,441	275,689
1982	1,723,072	430,694	312,482
1983	1,768,842	443,970	353,195
1984	1,857,066	468,109	375,884
1985	1,942,327	468,708	434,115
1986	2,189,087	546,976	468,674

[1] During the period 1964-1969, the State of Illinois operated on a biennial basis. Annual appropriations became effective July 1, 1969

[2] Operational costs of the Clerk's and Research's office were assumed by the Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts July 1, 1975

SUPREME COURT
ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE ILLINOIS COURTS
Appellate Court — Second District

Fiscal Year	Judges' Expenditures	Clerk's Expend	Research's Expend
1964			
1965			
1966			
1967	86,458[1]		
1968			
1969	106,873		
1970	64,861		
1971	66,044		
1972	79,024		
1973	82,493		
1974	88,218		
1975	124,119	268,324[2]	
1976	152,150	287,506	30,461[3]
1977	141,934	309,874	37,451
1978	173,874	317,982	41,989
1979	175,119	375,267	79,248
1980	205,755	246,542	159,170
1981	231,540	288,564	191,397
1982	238,547	303,559	202,916
1983	245,567	357,693	221,522
1984	281,720	419,705	227,569
1985	315,337	365,170	236,451
1986	342,375	388,354	249,991

[1] During the period 1964-1969, the State of Illinois operated on a biennial basis. Annual appropriations became effective July 1, 1969.

[2] Operational costs of the Clerk's office were assumed by the Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts July 1, 1975.

[3] Legislation provided for a Research Department effective July 1, 1976.

SUPREME COURT
ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE ILLINOIS COURTS
Appellate Court — Third District

Fiscal Year	Judges' Expenditures	Clerk's Expend	Research's Expend
1964			
1965			
1966			
1967	84,170[1]		
1968			
1969	80,129		
1970	46,812		
1971	43,940		
1972	57,293		
1973	61,278		
1974	71,525		
1975	84,818	131,607[2]	
1976	101,180	151,068	
1977	109,943	138,521	
1978	121,066	175,536	
1979	124,554	177,782	23,741[3]
1980	141,323	180,585	49,032
1981	176,123	236,182	94,800
1982	201,229	226,183	119,265
1983	209,796	232,874	123,418
1984	187,206	241,589	137,248
1985	221,530	320,972	159,792
1986	255,445	322,063	168,402

[1] During the period 1964-1969, the State of Illinois operated on a biennial basis. Annual appropriations became effective July 1, 1969.

[2] Operational costs of the Clerk's office were assumed by the Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts July 1, 1975.

[3] Legislation provided for a Research Department effective July 1, 1979.

SUPREME COURT
ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE ILLINOIS COURTS
Appellate Court — Fourth District

Fiscal Year	Judges' Expenditures	Clerk's Expend.	Research's Expend.
1964			
1965			
1966			
1967	47,627[1]		
1968			
1969	53,162		
1970	29,200		
1971	31,660		
1972	57,794		
1973	44,809		
1974	48,326		
1975	77,791	136,401[2]	
1976	105,672	145,666	
1977	103,684	144,683	50,236[3]
1978	142,588	137,982	66,820
1979	125,219	144,914	60,779
1980	156,079	145,973	117,968
1981	163,272	157,872	139,366
1982	179,046	177,658	155,025
1983	192,402	191,016	172,758
1984	210,107	193,966	194,514
1985	220,297	204,962	203,409
1986	220,372	213,049	170,573

[1] During the period 1964-1969, the State of Illinois operated on a biennial basis. Annual appropriations became effective July 1, 1969.

[2] Operational costs of the Clerk's office were assumed by the Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts July 1, 1975.

[3] Legislation provided for a Research Department effective July 1, 1977.

SUPREME COURT
ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE ILLINOIS COURTS
Appellate Court — Fifth District

Fiscal Year	Judges' Expenditures	Clerk's Expend	Research's Expend
1964			
1965			
1966			
1967	91,669 [1]		
1968			
1969	83,452		
1970	47,317		
1971	46,679		
1972	56,406		
1973	57,783		
1974	59,205		
1975	79,174	167,905 [2]	
1976	97,303	175,418	
1977	89,065	162,764	43,061 [3]
1978	103,518	201,095	52,505
1979	132,597	191,484	75,305
1980	142,943	184,100	95,330
1981	176,032	194,218	105,756
1982	168,807	297,047	114,365
1983	182,085	275,642	108,122
1984	190,866	309,909	130,651
1985	197,398	285,914	145,110
1986	212,300	300,352	155,525

[1] During the period 1964-1969, the State of Illinois operated on a biennial basis. Annual appropriations became effective July 1, 1969.

[2] Operational costs of the Clerk's office were assumed by the Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts July 1, 1975.

[3] Legislation provided for a Research Department effective July 1, 1977.

SUPREME COURT
ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE ILLINOIS COURTS
JUDICIAL PAYROLL

Fiscal Year	Supreme Ct. Judges	Supreme Ct. Clerk	Appellate Judges	Clerk — 1st District	Clerk — Other 4 Dist.	Circuit Judges (C)	Circuit Judges (A)	Court Reporters	Assoc. Judges (M)	Ret. Judges Recalled	Admin. Secretaries	Law Clerks — Appl. Judges	Ct. Rep'rs Add'l (Cook)	Ct. Rep'rs Add'l (DuPage)	Cir. Judge Assigned
1964															
1965	315,000	15,000	340,322	26,250	75,766	4,166,746	4,780,534		3,823,152		114,750	258,000			
1966															
1967	465,286	20,000	1,193,896	15,000	120,000	5,521,274	6,645,522	Reg 3,195,329	4,557,332	2,746	186,462	364,151			
1968								P.D. Reg							
1969	525,000	24,512	1,671,909	36,458	126,386	6,704,912	7,294,813	P.D. 69,261 Reg 4,338,498	6,939,236	31,765	254,535	426,806			3,125
1970	266,088	20,000	897,020	20,000	72,000	3,794,794	4,610,756	P.D. 76,160 Reg 2,854,358	4,365,147	46,323	128,028	310,250			16,250
1971	280,000	20,000	922,024	20,000	72,000	3,873,121	4,643,743	P.D. 98,443 Reg 3,245,117	4,329,842	68,942	126,101	345,806	27,025		25,000
1972	280,000	20,000	962,841	20,000	72,000	4,053,720	5,285,251	P.D. 55,327 Reg 3,701,794	4,499,272	92,485	136,564	727,281	34,333		36,288
1973	287,291	22,083	1,058,728	21,250	76,315	4,190,919	5,406,694	P.D. 72,550 Reg 4,074,062	5,971,579	62,162	145,077	879,366	45,463		45,189
1974	297,499	25,000	1,199,999	21,000	84,000	4,433,739	5,720,602	P.D. 106,547 Reg 4,624,713	6,064,487	32,429	148,826	1,028,824	46,201		55,000
1975	297,499	25,000	1,293,615	25,000	92,000	4,405,687	5,690,143	P.D. 109,999 Reg 5,217,363	6,204,587	106,650	202,266	1,092,311	48,803		45,833
1976	348,188	27,500	1,507,190	27,000	100,000	5,629,494	7,478,888	P.D. 124,999 Reg 5,637,411	9,328,098	91,687	207,579	1,277,890	43,816		7,505
1977	349,999	27,500	1,486,215	27,000	100,000	5,749,217	7,761,743	P.D. 159,983 Reg 6,177,448	9,519,429	92,563	193,935	1,275,823	44,140		10,915
1978	344,293	27,500	1,481,761	27,000	100,000	6,270,974	8,047,685	P.D. 43,284 Reg 7,929,516	9,858,032	81,875	276,972	1,443,714	110,211	9,933	15,937
1979	382,666	29,958	1,622,159	29,458	109,500	7,455,716	9,289,410	P.D. 65,908 Reg 8,745,495	11,364,089	148,537	308,707	1,471,972	154,436	14,900	16,280
1980	405,999	30,950	1,643,218	30,408	112,667	8,464,932	10,373,391	P.D. 172,987 Reg 9,790,950	12,767,997	319,432	324,998	1,595,704	159,652	18,500	19,573
1981	405,999	32,792	1,677,528	32,192	128,766	8,454,295	10,315,686	P.D. 228,890 Reg 11,060,781	12,791,881	581,406	349,999	1,761,244	241,069	20,437	17,889
1982	405,999	33,630	1,748,997	34,008	136,033	8,503,691	10,465,200	P.D. 234,111 Reg 11,787,083	13,362,527	590,736	335,821	1,924,703	269,773	22,481	13,432
1983	465,499	35,500	2,064,707	35,000	140,000	9,659,598	12,039,148	P.D. 228,552 Reg 13,723,449	16,414,030	706,499	350,300	2,085,302	292,070	24,279	18,375
1984	525,000	35,500	2,369,346	35,000	140,000	11,060,963	13,547,210	P.D. 252,937 Reg 15,658,328	19,876,852	803,910	360,000	2,159,097	400,834	30,000	22,768
1985	525,000	50,000	2,259,459	45,000	180,000	10,961,752	13,508,278	P.D. 264,739 Reg 17,140,677	20,002,002	989,677	360,000	2,159,972	466,640	33,000	26,768
1986	595,000	50,000	2,301,960	45,000	180,000	12,343,797	14,173,797	P.D. 305,054 Reg 18,404,717	23,381,041	1,191,249	453,666	2,287,903	457,107	30,250	62,468

---

[1] During the period 1964-1969, the State of Illinois operated on a biennial basis. Annual appropriations became effective July 1, 1969.

[2] Establishment of Court Reporters' office — Cook County effective July 1, 1971.

[3] Establishment of Court Reporters' office — DuPage County effective July 1, 1978.

[4] Circuit Judges Assigned to Appellate Judgeships legislation effective July 1, 1969.

Charts

The preceding charts are intended to provide selective fiscal data on actual expenditures of the respective departments which are under the auspices of the Supreme Court of the State of Illinois. The charts reflect a period from January 1, 1964 through June 30, 1986.

PROBATION DIVISION

1986 was highlighted by activity to implement Public Act 84-823 (House Bill 777). With this enactment, Illinois took a further major step forward in providing a comprehensive and uniform system of probation and court services in the various circuits. This statute represents a state and local partnership and promotes the development of a coordinated community based justice system.

The major elements of the statute provided 100% reimbursement for salaries of chief managing officers, 100% reimbursement for salaries and travel of new probation officer and supervisor positions approved for "Basic Services", and $1000 reimbursement per month for salaries of remaining Basic Services positions and those approved by the Administrative Office for "New or Expanded Services".

This reimbursement to counties was contingent upon several factors including, all probation staff receiving a minimum salary of $17,000 and each department submitting an annual probation plan for approval and developing an approved compensation schedule.

Also included in P.A. 84-823 was the provision for the establishment of Individualized Services and Programs which provided reimbursement to counties for the purchase of services for adult and juvenile offenders. The appropriation for this provision was for one month funding only (June, 1987), and at the time of this publication, the program was not operational.

Compensation Plan/Performance Appraisal

One of the statutory conditions that must be met prior to the Supreme Court verifying reimbursement to the counties is that each department must have an established compensation schedule approved by the Supreme Court. Pursuant to statutory authority, the Probation Division developed standards for the approval of employee compensation schedules for probation and court services departments.

At the beginning of this year very few departments in Illinois had compensation schedules. The establishment of these schedules consistent with the standards required significant time from the Division's management and field staff. Intense field work was conducted to provide technical assistance to the Chief Circuit Judges, the directors of court services and county officials. By the end of this year over 90% of the departments had approved compensation schedules. Most of the departments that did not have approved compensation schedules are involved in labor negotiations which seriously complicate the development of compensation plans.

A required element in each department's compensation schedule is performance increments. Therefore, a necessary component of the approved compensation plan is a performance appraisal system. Most departments prior to this year had not utilized or implemented performance evaluation systems for performance pay. Generally, the systems that were developed during this year did not meet the guidelines established by the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission. As a result the Probation Division in conjunction with training staff under the Sangamon State University contract began working on a model criteria-based performance appraisal system that would be applicable to all probation and court services departments in Illinois.

DUI Program

The General Assembly appropriated $500,000.00 to permit the Probation Division to allocate and reimburse 40 positions statewide to provide specialized and increased supervision of DUI offenders on probation. The Division designed a program and allocated positions consistent with an analysis of the DUI volume in various jurisdictions in the State. At the end of 1986, DUI officers were supervising 932 DUI cases in twelve departments.

In an effort to monitor and respond to the impact of the new DUI law (P.A. 84-1394), the Division established a DUI Liaison Program. One individual was identified in each probation department and trained in DUI issues. The Probation Division cooperated with representatives of the Department of Alcoholism and Substance Abuse, the Secretary of State's Office and local service agencies in training DUI liaison officers.

At the request of the Conference of Chief Circuit Judges, the Probation Division drafted a set of suggested circuit court rules for the administration of DUI cases. These proposed rules were developed in consultation with the Illinois Department of Alcoholism and Substance Abuse and DUI officers from various probation departments. The draft rules were distributed to the Chief Circuit Judges and received a consensus approval for their use by local circuit courts in October.

Individualized Services and Programs

The Probation Division initiated activities in order to meet the requirements of Ill. Rev. Stat. Ch. 38, par. 204-8. Much of the activity was dedicated to the development of the standards and methodology necessary to implement a state-wide contractual service program consistent with the establishing statute, the Illinois Purchasing Act (and other relevant statutes) and accepted contract management practices.

By the end of the year, the Probation Division had accomplished a number of key objectives in the implementation of this program:

1. The first state-wide probation population needs assessment was performed (included four regional training events).
2. Policies and procedures were established for all phases of operations.
3. The preliminary requests for funds represented 86% of available funds.

4. Five regional training events were delivered on contract management.

5. Linkages were established with most Executive Branch State Agencies for coordination and technical assistance.

The legislature reduced funding for this program by pushing back the starting date from April 1, 1987 to June 1, 1987, resulting in one month's funding for FY' 87.

Pretrial Services Legislation

In April 1986, Representative John Cullerton introduced legislation to establish pretrial services in each circuit court in Illinois. This bill (H.B. 3573) was amendatorily vetoed by Governor Thompson September 19, 1986. The General Assembly voted to accept the amended bill December 2, 1986 and Governor Thompson certified the bill January 5, 1987, effective July 1, 1987.

P.A. 84-1449 (H.B. 3573) requires the establishment of pretrial services in each circuit court pursuant to forms and policies adopted by the Supreme Court. All pretrial personnel would be subject to the hiring and training requirements established by the Supreme Court for probation officers. The Supreme Court would be responsible for reimbursing counties for 100% of all approved costs for the operation of pretrial services.

The Probation Division prepared a fiscal note for House Bill 3573 projecting an annual cost of approximately $10,000,000.00 for FY' 87. Senate Bill 1808 included a request for $3,200,000 for the funding of pretrial services starting April 1, 1987. This requested appropriation was vetoed by Governor Thompson July 14, 1986.

Fiscal

The budgeting process requires the Division to project funding for reimbursement to 87 probation and court services departments based upon different funding levels for programs and services, varying county fiscal years, and 35 separate compensation schedules. The Division's FY' 86 appropriation amounted to $9,875,202 for 392 Grants-in-Aid, $5,000,000 for 40 DUI, and $9,750,000 for 1300 Salary Subsidies positions. The FY' 87 appropriation amounted to $11,199,300 for 442 Grants-in-Aid, $1,250,000 for 92 DUI, and $15,600,000 for 1,333 Salary Subsidies positions. Total State reimbursement for 1986 was $17,408,417. In addition to budgeting for projected salary reimbursements, the Division requests funds for required staff training, individualized services and programs, research and evaluation, and operating expenses.

Field audits of records of the county clerk, treasurer, and probation departments were conducted. The audits documented and verified the accuracy of reimbursements to counties for approved expenditures of probation services.

Allocation of Positions

Effective April 1, 1986, 50 additional positions were allocated statewide; 25 in Cook County, and 25 in the balance of the State. Of the positions allocated outside Cook County, half were to juvenile basic services and half to audit basic services. The allocations were based on an analysis of the workload in each department's supervisory and investigation responsibilities. For the juvenile positions, priority was given to home detention and intake programs operated under juvenile justice grant funding, which in some departments terminated on April 1, 1986. These programs were only continued with State reimbursement if the departments demonstrated a sufficient volume to justify the programs and agreed to modify the programs to comply with Administrative Office program guidelines.

Increased appropriations in state FY' 87 enabled the Probation Division to allocate additional positions effective December 1, 1986. A total of 22 workload positions (100% salary reimbursement) and 31 subsidy positions ($1,000 per month salary reimbursement) were allocated statewide. Allocations were based upon documented need for the positions according to the Probation Division's workload standards and program priorities.

Training

The Probation Division is responsible for coordinating the training of over 1,700 probation staff within the State of Illinois. During 1986, the majority of training was conducted via two contractual agreements within a total training budget of $686,000.

A new initiative occurred in Cook County when the responsibilities for training were moved from the Cook County Department of Personnel to the Chief Judge's Office effective July 1, 1986. This reorganization has resulted in improved efficiency as well as directing the expenditure of a larger percentage of the total budget of $140,100 to actual training costs.

Sangamon State University, through the Center for Legal Studies, provided training for the balance of state probation staff within a budget of $414,000. Highlights of training this year included the development and implementation of a regionalized training concept. Additionally, expanded detention training was offered on site at 10 detention centers thus allowing for individualization in the delivery of training.

Assistance in implementing new programs at the circuit level was provided, statewide, by the Probation Division via specific training in the areas of Individualized Services & Programs and the Adult Classification System.

Development of specialized training programs was an additional area of concentration for the Probation Division. A variety of workshops was held during 1986 which provided training in areas related to the role and function of Chief Managing Officers (CMO's). Other speciality workshops were designed and delivered for probation staff who are assigned the role of DUI liaison, or as DUI officers, Intensive Probation Supervision (IPS) officers, or Administration Assistants.

Adult Probation Classification System

The Probation Division completed a comprehensive review and revision of the policies and procedures for the Illinois Adult Probation Classification System. In September, the revised procedural manual was published and subsequently all adult probation officers, supervisors, and managers were trained. Statewide training was conducted by the Probation Division through a series of orientation sessions.

Intensive Probation Supervision

Intensive Probation Supervision (IPS) realized full program capacity in most of the existing adult units in the State during 1986. By the end of 1986, Intensive Probation Supervision programs were in operation in 12 Illinois jurisdictions.

Early during 1986, two problem areas within the program were identified, those being intensive probation caseloads being below the capacities of the programs and violation rates being too high. In the jurisdiction where it was determined an insufficient pool of appropriate IPS cases existed, the program capacity expectation was reduced with corresponding program unit staffing reductions. Some of the programs were enlarged in scope and the multi-county program of the First Circuit was changed to three individual one-county, one-officer operations with program capacities of 10 probationers per program.

At the end of 1985, 396 offenders had been sentenced to adult IPS in Illinois. By the end of 1986, there were an additional 678 probationers sentenced to IPS. The statewide capacity utilization rate was approximately 90% by the end of 1986.

The evaluation of Intensive Probation Supervision by the University of Illinois — Chicago was initially funded during 1985. The preliminary findings of that evaluation effort were favorable regarding the IPS program in Illinois. However, second year funding was not provided to the University. Therefore, the Probation Division will proceed with a limited internal evaluative effort during 1987.

A juvenile IPS program has been on-going in Cook County. By the end of 1986, 201 serious juvenile offenders had been placed in the Cook County program. The program has a capacity of 100 juvenile offenders.

A second year of specialized training for IPS officers was provided by Probation Division staff based on the training needs identified by the IPS programs.

Interstate Compact

The Probation Division is responsible for the administration of the Interstate Compact for adult and juvenile probationers. (Ill. Rev. Stat., Ch. 38, Par. 103-3-11 et. seq.) During calendar 1986 the Division processed transfer into Illinois of 315 juveniles and 1,636 adults; transfer to other state of 134 juveniles and 1,842 adults, and miscellaneous process transactions totalling 1,436 for juveniles and 15,479 for adults. This total of 20,842 Interstate Compact covenants represents a 19% increase over the 1985 workload.

Statistics

There were 1,470 professional probation staff and 531 clerical personnel employed in Illinois probation departments at the beginning of calendar year 1986. Illinois juvenile detention, excluding Cook County, employed 244 professional staff and 40 support staff.

Probation and court services budgets, excluding juvenile detention and child care totaled $49,400,482 for county fiscal year 1985-86. Juvenile detention budgets, excluding Cook County, for the same period totaled $7,481,841. Total statewide child care budgets were $3,832,158. The grand total of probation, child care and detention budgets for county FY' 85-86 was $60,714,481.

Illinois probation officers completed 15,031 adult presentence investigations and 9,816 other investigations during 1986. In addition, 8,600 juvenile social history investigations were completed along with 8,272 other juvenile investigations.

The adult probation caseload in Illinois totaled 77,507 cases on December 31, 1986. The December 1986 caseload was comprised of 29,499 felons, 12,142 misdemeanants, 11,968 DUI cases, and 2,712 traffic cases as well as 226 supervised pretrial release cases. In the twelve counties with Intensive Probation Supervision programs, the caseload at year-end totaled 468. The balance of 20,960 cases are administrative.

The juvenile caseload totaled 27,799 on December 31, 1986. This included 13,012 administrative cases. The bulk of these administrative cases are dependent/neglect wards of the Department of Child and Family Services. The status with that department is monitored by probation officers on an administrative rather than an active basis.

Adult probation violation statistics indicated that 11,537 violations were reported by probation departments to state's attorneys through 1986. Of these, 6,087 were technical violations and 5,450 were new offense violations. A finding of probation violations by the court occurred in 3,987 cases. Juvenile probation violation was entered in 2,917 cases. All probation violations reported exclude Cook County.

Illinois probation and court services departments reported restitution collections of $4,368,727, while juvenile collections totaled $340,495. Illinois courts ordered restitution payments on 11,038 adult offenders and 1,950 juvenile offenders. Through 1986, public service employment was ordered as a condition of probation or supervision for 19,000 offenders. 16,622 of these offenders were adult and 2,378 were juvenile. During calendar year 1986, 940,362 hours of public service were completed in Illinois. Of these hours, 874,553 were completed by adult offenders and 65,809 were completed by juvenile offenders.

An Annual Statistics Report was published by the Probation Division for 1985.

Hiring and Promotion Procedures for Probation and Court Services Personnel

The Probation Division receives over 200 applications per month for employment and promotion of probation/court service personnel. These applications are reviewed for qualifications and employment eligibility. An estimated 1,200 names were eligible for employment at the end of 1986.

The Division Staff drafted amendments to the minimum hiring and promotional standards which have been in effect since January of 1979. With the increase in the minimum salary for probation officers to $17,000 annually, it was appropriate to move toward more professional minimum requirements for entry into the field as well as for promotions. The Division also developed procedures which recognized state licenses for specialized professional groups such as attorneys, psychiatrists, and psychologists, who are on the staff of certain large court services departments.

Special Projects of the Probation Division

In May 1986, staff completed, at the request of the Chief Justice, an in-depth study of sentencing guidelines entitled *Sentencing Guidelines As A Response to Sentencing Reform: A Study And Some Observations*. The study looked at the sentencing guidelines development and implementation efforts in five states and reviewed the federal Sentencing Guidelines Commission's efforts to date. The study concentrated on the importance of the political process inherent in any sentencing reform effort and offered thirteen observations that should be considered by any jurisdiction contemplating the development and implementation of sentencing guidelines.

At the request of the Chief Judge of the Circuit Court of Cook County, the Probation Division assigned the Assistant Supervisor in the Division's Chicago office to provide consultation and technical assistance to the Chief Judge and the Acting Chief Probation Officer pending the appointment of a permanent Chief Probation Officer for the Cook County Adult Probation Department. The Supervisor of the Probation Division also provided direct consultation to the search committee in developing criteria for the recruitment and selection of a new Cook County Chief Probation Officer.

Development of Program Guidelines and Standards

Pursuant to statutory authority, the Division has developed guidelines and standards in the following areas: County Responsibility Standards (office space, equipment and supplies, support personnel, travel expenses), revised juvenile workload standards, and new juvenile intake and home detention standards. Work began on the development of standards for Public Service programs. The development of these standards involved input and review by local probation departments throughout the state.

Professional Probation Division Organizational Memberships

- American Correctional Association
- National Council on Crime and Delinquency
- American Probation and Parole Association
- National Association of Interstate Compact Administrators
- Illinois Correctional Association
- Illinois Probation and Court Services Association
- National Association of Probation Executives

Public Information and Education

The staff of the Division are frequently called upon to address civic groups, legislative committees, professional associations, and public forums. Organizations addressed during 1986 include:

- Illinois Correctional Association
- Illinois Probation and Court Services Association
- League of Women Voters of Illinois
- American Probation and Parole Association

POSITIONS APPROVED BY THE ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE ILLINOIS COURTS — PROBATION DIVISION FOR REIMBURSEMENT IN 1986

Circuit	County	(1) CMO	(2) WL	(3) IPS	(4) DUI	(5) SUBSIDIZED PROBATION OFFICERS	(6) SUBSIDIZED DETENTION OFFICERS	(7) ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANTS	(8) SUBSIDIZED GRANT POSITIONS	(9) TOTAL SUBSIDIZED POSITIONS
1st	Alexander	1	1							
	Jackson	1	4	1		4		1		5
	Johnson	1								
	Massac	1								
	Pulaski	1								
	Saline	1	2	1		3				3
	Union	1	1							
	Williamson	1	4	1	1	4				4
2nd	Crawford	1	1							
	Wayne	1	2							
	Franklin	1	3			2				2
	Jefferson	1	1							
	White	1	3							
3rd	Bond	1								
	Madison	5	10	6	3	12	20	1		33
4th	Christian	1	1			1				1
	Clay	1	1							
	Clinton	1	2							
	Effingham	1	1			1				1
	Fayette	1	1							
	Jasper	1								
	Marion	1	3		1	1				1
	Montgomery	1	1							
	Shelby	1								
5th	Coles	1				5		1		6
	Clark	1								
	Edgar	1				1				1
	Vermillion	1	6		1	9		1		10
6th	Champaign	3	3	3		18	9	1		28
	DeWitt	1				1				1
	Douglas	1				1				1
	Macon	1	4	3		6		1		7
	Moultrie	1								
	Piatt	1				1				1
7th	Greene	1								
	Jersey	1								
	Macoupin	1	2		1	2				2
	Morgan	1	1			3		1		4
	Sangamon Adult	1	3		2	8		1		9
	Sangamon Juvenile	4				7	23	1		31
8th	Adams	2	1			8	8	1		17
	Cass	1	1			1				1
	Mason	1				1				1
	Menard	1								
	Pike	1	1							
	Schuyler	1								

KEY

CMO — CHIEF MANAGING OFFICER

WL — ADULT OR JUVENILE PROBATION OFFICERS FOR INVESTIGATIVE AND SUPERVISION DUTIES

IPS — INTENSIVE PROBATION SUPERVISOR OFFICER

REMARKS

POSITIONS IN COLUMNS 1 THROUGH 4 ARE ELIGIBLE FOR 100% REIMBURSEMENT OF SALARY AND TRAVEL.

POSITIONS IN COLUMNS 5 THROUGH 8 ARE ELIGIBLE FOR A SALARY SUBSIDY OF $12,000 ANNUALLY.

COLUMN 9 REPRESENTS THE TOTAL OF COLUMNS 5, 6, 7 AND 8.

POSITIONS APPROVED BY THE ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE ILLINOIS COURTS – PROBATION DIVISION FOR REIMBURSEMENT IN 1986 (Continued)

Circuit	County	(1) CMO	(2) WL	(3) IPS	(4) DUI	(5) SUBSIDIZED PROBATION OFFICERS	(6) SUBSIDIZED DETENTION OFFICERS	(7) ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANTS	(8) SUBSIDIZED GRANT POSITIONS	(9) TOTAL SUBSIDIZED POSITIONS
9th	Fulton	1				1				1
	Hancock	1								
	Knox	2				2	10	1		13
	McDonough Juvenile	1				1				1
	Ninth Adult	1	6			4				5
	Warren	1				1				1
10th	Marshall	1								
	Peoria Juvenile	1				9				9
	Peoria Adult	1	5	1		11				11
	Peoria Detention	1	2				11			11
	Tazewell	1	2			10				10
11th	Ford	1								
	Livingston	1	1			3				3
	Logan	1	2			1				1
	McLean	1	4	1		11		1		12
	Woodford	1	1			1				1
12th	Will	1	7		1	9				9
13th	LaSalle	4	2		1	9	13			22
14th	Henry	1				7				7
	Mercer	1				3				3
	Rock Island	3	5		1	20		1		21
	Whiteside	1	1			7		1		8
15th	Carroll	1				1				1
	JoDaviess	1				1				1
	Lee	1	2			3				3
	Ogle	1	2			7				7
	Stephenson	1	2			7				7
16th	DeKalb/Kane/Kendall	6		2		47	22	2		71
17th	Boone	1				2				2
	Winnebago	5	6			27	13	1	2	43
18th	DuPage	7	9		4	56	21			77
19th	Lake	7	7	6	3	41	18			59
	McHenry	3	2		1	21		1	1	23
20th	St. Clair	4	8	3	1	16	17			34
21st	Iroquois	1				1				1
	Kankakee	1	5			4		1		5
Cook	Cook Adult	10	9	12		257				257
Cook	Cook Juvenile	23		12		291				291
Cook	Cook Social Service	7	11		14	96		1		97
Cook	Cook-Circuit Court	1								
	STATEWIDE TOTALS	165	165	56	35	1,091	187	21	3	1,302

KEY

CMO – CHIEF MANAGING OFFICER
WL – ADULT OR JUVENILE PROBATION OFFICERS FOR INVESTIGATIVE AND SUPERVISION DUTIES
IPS – INTENSIVE PROBATION SUPERVISOR OFFICER

REMARKS

POSITIONS IN COLUMNS 1 THROUGH 4 ARE ELIGIBLE FOR 100% REIMBURSEMENT OF SALARY AND TRAVEL
POSITIONS IN COLUMNS 5 THROUGH 8 ARE ELIGIBLE FOR A SALARY SUBSIDY OF $12,000 ANNUALLY
COLUMN 9 REPRESENTS THE TOTAL OF COLUMNS 5, 6, 7 AND 8

JUDICIAL MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEM

Processing more cases more efficiently has become an absolute necessity in the Illinois court system. Although the court system is constantly improving its case processing procedures and the level of judicial effort, new solutions to case recordkeeping and management need to be developed. In 1975, under the direction of the Supreme Court and the Administrative Director, the court system began to detail realistic plans for the future management and automation of court records.

Experiences from other states and within Illinois have indicated that the best way to approach court automation is to allow the people who will use the system — judges, clerks, probation officers, court administrators and agencies receiving information from the courts — and the people who will finance the system — legislators and county board members — to design the system through their individual input regarding ongoing activities, needs and problems. Comprehensive input of this nature can be translated into the technological specifications required for equipment procurement, system design and management recommendations for operational procedures. The Supreme Court adopted this participatory format as the foundation for building a judicial management information system in Illinois by, in 1978, creating a Judicial Management Advisory Committee to assist the Administrative Office in the development of a realistic management and automation approach.

In February 1980, after almost five years of studying automated systems in Illinois and other states, the Administrative Office supplemented the input provided by the Judicial Management Advisory Committee regarding the development of a state judicial information system plan by approving the contractual retainer of Arthur Young & Company to perform a Judicial Management Information System Study.

The Judicial Management Information System Study again followed a participatory format. In the course of the study, Arthur Young & Company interviewed 1,500 persons during visits to all of the twenty-one Judicial Circuits, the five Appellate Districts, the Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts, the Supreme Court of Illinois and related state agencies. Reviewed by the Judicial Management Advisory Committee at every stage of development, the product of this statewide collaboration and subsequent distillation of several alternative approaches was the Judicial Management Information System Plan.

The activities proposed in the Judicial Management Information System Plan were presented to the Supreme Court by the Administrative Director in the form of a four-year planning and implementation schedule which included equipment procurement, software development, studies and staff expansion. The plan included the recommendation that a unified Judicial Management Information System should begin with the Supreme and Appellate Courts, with subsequent implementation of a similar network at the circuit court level.

In December 1980, the Supreme Court approved the development of an information services component of the Administrative Office.

Judicial Management Information Services

Between January and March 1981, five people were hired — one management analyst, three data processing specialists and one secretary/trainer — to assist the project director and management analyst already on the staff of the Supreme Court Committee on Criminal Justice Programs. Another secretary/trainer was hired in May. Under the supervision of the Project Director, the staff was split into two teams.

The technical team, based in Springfield, assisted in developing a Request for Proposal (RFP). The RFP, issued on February 17, 1981, specified for vendors the scope of the Appellate Information System. Installation of prototype case recordkeeping and management systems in the First and Fourth Appellate Court Districts was specified as the first stage for system development. Case management was defined as including docket information on case records and events, operational lists and notices, management reports and statistics, financial accounts and administrative reports and transmittals.

Interested vendors were informed that a software package (PROMIS) developed by the Institute for Law and Social Research (INSLAW) had been selected to accomplish the case recordkeeping and management function. Vendors also were informed that the case recordkeeping and management system, after being tested in the First and Fourth Districts, would be expanded to the remaining three appellate districts. Additional functions were enumerated as possibilities for system development, including word processing, issues indexing, electronic mail, photocomposition, Illinois legal research and national legal research.

By March 19, 1981, participating vendors submitted proposals for addressing the various functions. The technical team, in conjunction with a technical review committee, evaluated each proposal and submitted findings to an Appellate Review and Evaluation Committee. On April 2, 1981, the Supreme Court Committee announced that, contingent upon successful contract negotiations, the award had been made to International Business Machines (IBM).

Contract negotiations were conducted during the months of April and May, resulting in a signed master contract on June 15, 1981. The IBM hardware that would support operations in the Fourth District was installed in Springfield at 840 South Spring Street on July 30. Less than two weeks later, the IBM hardware for the First District was installed in the Daley Center in Chicago.

Actual system implementation has resulted in the anticipated expansion of equipment and staff. By the end of 1985, Judicial Management Information Services maintained thirty-eight staff positions. The court network contains three computers (one operated remotely) located in two separate processing centers. The statewide communication network supports terminal-to-terminal, terminal-to-computer, and computer-to-computer traffic involving four hundred eighty-four terminals, printers, word processors and personal computers.

Appellate Information System

During the computer selection and installation period, the management team, based in Chicago, had been given the task of identifying Appellate Court procedures, documents and information requirements, specifically in the areas of records processing and maintenance, operational and statistical reports, and finance. While only operations in the First and Fourth Districts were to be automated in the first phase, the team had to verify that the proposed system for those two districts would be compatible with existing manual systems in the remaining districts. The verification was necessary to assure that, if approval were given, expansion of the projects into the other districts would be possible.

The study was divided into two parts. In the offices of the First and Fourth District Appellate Court Clerks, the team conducted an intensive review and documentation of procedures and paperflow. In the Second, Third and Fifth District offices, the team reviewed the procedures and paperflow to verify that projected expansion of the project was practicable. By June 30th, the team had spoken with virtually every employee in each of the five offices of the Appellate Court and had documented every event affecting a case from point of filing to disposition, except for the adjudicative process itself. By the conclusion of this exhaustive review, the team had confirmed that procedural variations among the districts were minor and, in no instance, did they present an obstacle in designing a single automated system for use by all the districts.

In September 1981, the management and technical teams jointly began the process of tailoring the PROMIS software package to conform with design specifications. An initial system was designed and, in December, representatives from all five districts of the Appellate Court met in Chicago to participate in a one-day system demonstration. Suggestions made during the demonstration subsequently were incorporated into system revisions.

Staff began training clerk personnel in the First and Fourth Districts during February 1982. The training expanded into the Second, Third and Fifth Districts in September 1982, November 1982 and February 1983, respectively. Training has been conducted on an individual basis, beginning with keyboard instruction and continuing with the entry and retrieval of docket information.

Since the automated system was designed to parallel the existing manual system, staff members had only to learn a new way of recording information rather than relearning their jobs. Within a short time of beginning training, staff members themselves were recommending minor design changes. These changes were added to the system, with further system changes to be held temporarily in suspension. In March 1982, clerk personnel from the First and Fourth Districts began entering assigned cases onto the operational system as part of their training and practice exercises. The Second District began the same process in November, followed by the Third District in December 1982, and the Fifth District in April 1983. Staff remained present in each office to assist in answering questions and to perform a quality review of information entered into the system.

The First and Fourth Districts now have an automated docket record for each 1982 case, and all districts have automated dockets for all cases filed after January 1, 1983. All districts maintained both manual and automated systems until such time as the Judges and Clerks were satisfied that the automated system functioned properly and that the people operating the system were comfortable with it. Following this test period, manual records gradually were retired until all case activities are posted solely on the automated system. The Fourth District discontinued creating new manual dockets beginning with the first Notice of Appeal filed in 1983. The Fifth District stopped creating new manual docket sheets in September 1983. In January 1984, the Third District stopped initiating new manual docket sheets and the First District followed suit in July 1984. By the end of 1983, all four downstate districts had backloaded all pending cases onto the automated system. The Fifth District, however, was the first district to reach (in January 1984) the critical stage wherein the records for all pending cases were maintained solely on the automated system. The Fourth District retired all remaining manual dockets in November 1985. By the end of 1987, the First, Second and Third Districts each had three cases still maintained on a manual docket.

Since 1982, Appellate Court staff have been submitting suggestions for system change. Some suggestions have been withdrawn and some proposals have been implemented. For instance, 161 requests for new or modified reports were completed during 1987. Recommendations requiring significant system change were deferred until such time as all districts had retired their manual dockets and operated in a completely automated environment. In preparation for the planned system revision, representatives from each district met together once in 1983 and once in 1984 to jointly review progress and proposed system alterations. During 1985 and 1986, five statewide and two work group meetings reviewed, as a foundation for redesign, case recordkeeping procedures, report and system documentation. During 1987, two statewide meetings (January and May) and four work group meetings considered the merits of alternate redesign proposals. By May, all five districts agreed in the selection of an approach which would require the use of a relational data base. Staff analyzed various alternatives and a recommendation was presented to the Supreme Court in November.

The successful use of word processing by the Supreme Court increased Appellate Court interest in having similar support. In 1986, the General Assembly funded office automation for the Appellate Court, and staff began the various tasks which needed completion prior to equipment installation. Site surveys of each office identified electrical and furniture needs and provided the foundation for bid specifications. Furniture bids were received by July 14, 1986. While downstate electrical work was completed by July 25, cabling and electrical work in the First District continued into September. In October, telecommunication lines for downstate offices were ordered.

After considerable research, personal computer equipment and software were selected on the basis of compatibility with both the computer equipment to which it would be linked and the 39 installed word processors to which it would communicate. The first personal computers arrived on July 7, and the last office installation was completed on August 13, 1986. A total of 133 personal computers was installed, the office of each justice received a minimum of two personal computers and two printers.

Office personnel required word processing training. Using a training manual developed in July, staff began instructing secretaries, law clerks and justices on August 11, 1986. By November 18, 145 individuals had received word processing training and electronic opinion preparation became a reality. Staff started a hot line service to respond to the inquiries which began to develop.

Supreme Court Information System

The implementation of the Supreme Court Information System began in September 1983, with the installation of stand-alone word processing equipment in the offices of each Supreme Court justice, the Clerk of the Supreme Court and the Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts. Personnel in each office received individual training in the operation of the word processor. After the personnel became comfortable with word processing, installations and training were expanded to include dial-up capabilities linking each location together with point-to-point electronic mail. By the end of 1983, opinions and memoranda created and electronically from one office to another. Since August 1986, personal computers have been installed as supplements or replacements for some of the original word processors.

Simultaneously with the original word processing/electronic mail equipment installation, staff began the detailed documentation and analysis of the activities performed in the office of the Clerk of the Supreme Court. Based on the information gathered, an automated docket system for the Supreme Court was designed during the summer of 1984. Training and initial data entry began in August and, commencing in December 1984, records of all new petitions for leave to appeal were maintained solely on an automated docket. Pending cases were backloaded into the system during October 1984 and the manual docket for petitions for leave to appeal was eliminated in February 1985. By April 1985, all capital cases were loaded into the system. The word processing equipment began to function as terminal devices for dial-up docket inquiry in January 1986.

During 1986, the automated docket system was expanded to provide additional notices, mandates and reports. Rule 68 and Corporation File applications were developed. The Supreme Court expanded system availability by approving the installation, on March 17, 1986, of a public access terminal. Staff assisted with the equipment and training required to open the new Chicago satellite office in June 1986, and legal research began to use an automated research subject code index in July 1986.

Circuit Information System Project

Over the last twenty years, partially through the use of grant funds, more than twenty counties established various automated data processing systems or applications to support court operations. Predictably, each of these systems developed along a separate path, using different consultants, equipment and programs. In view of these developments, the Supreme Court, on March 28, 1978, adopted the Judicial Management Information System Standards. These Standards are premised on the same considerations, such as uniformity, accuracy and reliability in recordkeeping and reporting, that prompted the development and adoption of the Supreme Court Administrative Order on Recordkeeping.

The Standards provide that any circuit plans for initiating or significantly modifying a judicial management information system must be approved by the Administrative Office. This provision was included to insure compliance with the Standards and establish a mechanism which can determine whether existing or proposed systems meet the information requirements of the circuit and the Administrative Office. The expectations of the Administrative Office are reflected in the Circuit Court Coding and Procedures Manuals and the disposition reporting project.

The Circuit Court Coding Manual provides codes, definitions and formats necessary to data administration. Initially, the Supreme Court Committee used grant funds to contract with SEARCH Group, Inc. for the development of the Coding Manual. The criminal and quasi-criminal segment of the Coding Manual was completed in 1980 and the civil segment (excluding juvenile) was finished in 1981. To ensure that the Coding Manual was comprehensive, realistic and valid, each item contained in it was reviewed by a subcommittee of the Judicial Management Advisory Committee. Modifications to the Coding Manual need to be added as changes occur in statute, rule, or practice — or in instances where alterations would enhance the entire system. Although lack of personnel resources prevented the preparation of timely amendments, staff now has been assigned to review the data elements contained in the Coding Manual. Changes needed to insure accuracy and consistency in disposition reporting have been given priority consideration.

Regularization of information gathering and dissemination procedures in the circuit courts, whether in manual or automated environments, is a necessary step toward the eventual goal of developing a comprehensive judicial management information system. Although standard codes and forms are critical for recordkeeping uniformity, the design of a statewide judicial management information system requires detail documentation of each step taken to record and process all official court events. Procedures manuals, written in detail, provide data processing technicians with the information necessary to begin automation of court applications while furnishing circuit clerks with a document useful in staff training or office operation.

The Circuit Court Procedures Manual was developed by Administrative Office staff in cooperation with selected counties and circuits throughout the State of Illinois. In 1981, staff began visiting cooperating counties in order to learn about existing procedures and practices. Procedures and forms were evaluated for effectiveness and footnoted with relevant statutory and Supreme Court Rule references. Documentation linking each procedure and form with the data elements contained in the Coding Manual was developed, as was a glossary of terms. Drafts of the criminal and quasi-criminal segment of the Circuit Clerk Procedures Manual were reviewed by a subcommittee of the Judicial Management Advisory Committee and a combined Procedures/Coding Manual for criminal case processing was released statewide in April 1983. In September 1983, staff began to work on a civil segment. During the development process, the Judicial Management Advisory Committee reviewed drafts encompassing all categories of civil recordkeeping and, in October 1986, formally recommended that the Director of the Administrative Office distribute the Civil Procedures Manual to all Circuit Clerks in Illinois.

The adoption of the criminal and quasi-criminal segment of the Procedures/Coding Manual formed the basis for the reporting of case dispositions by Circuit Clerks to the Department of State Police, the Secretary of State and the Department of Corrections using the information system capabilities of the Administrative Office. During 1983, the Secretary of State and the Director of the Department of State Police signed agreements with the Administrative Office indicating their willingness to establish automated disposition reporting. To prepare for disposition reporting, staff expanded several codes contained in the coding manual into an Offense Code Table which could be used by both state agencies and circuit courts. In October 1983, the Nineteenth Circuit produced the first test tape to be processed by the Administrative Office for transmission to the State Police. Problems were identified and solved, allowing the electronic transfer of information from the Nineteenth Circuit through the Administrative Office to the State Police to go into production during February 1984. In August 1984, test tapes from St. Clair County were processed by the Administrative Office and transmitted to the Secretary of State. By November 1984, automated disposition reporting to the Secretary of State also became a production system. Peoria went into production in November 1985, and DuPage began production in August 1986.

Circuit Information System

With the completion of the reviewing court systems, development of a circuit court system could be considered. Like the reviewing court systems, the circuit system will be comprehensively designed to replace all manual dockets, reports and notices. Quasi-criminal/traffic will be the first component implemented because the quasi-criminal segment of the Coding Manual can be used as a guide, traffic recordkeeping is relatively simple and the automation of traffic will provide a quick and viable return for the recipient circuit. The circuit court information system will start with the transfer of an application from a county in Illinois to a non-automated circuit. Once the prototype system proves successful, the Administrative Office anticipates making a request that the General Assembly provide funding to expand the service to other circuits. Expansion of the system will be based on the premise of statewide uniform recordkeeping.

The selection of the recipient circuit was based on certain criteria. The circuit had to have multiple counties, including a large metropolitan area and satellite rural counties, none of which are automated. The circuit could not be so large as to inundate a prototype. The judges and clerks in the circuit should work well together. Administrative Office staff from both Chicago and Springfield had to be able to easily access all counties. Based on these criteria, the Thirteenth Circuit was selected as the prototype site. On May 29, 1985, the Chief Judge of the Thirteenth Circuit, the Circuit Clerks from LaSalle, Bureau and Grundy Counties and the Director of the Administrative Office signed an agreement whereby the Administrative Office would revise and install a system, supplying data processing equipment and telecommunication lines.

In choosing the donor county, staff looked for a system which could be transferred to the computer at the Administrative Office, was well documented and used the data elements in the coding manual. Both DuPage and Lake Counties were viable candidates but, because the DuPage system was in the process of redesign, the recently redesigned Nineteenth Circuit system was selected to provide the application base.

During the last half of 1985, field staff worked in the Thirteenth Circuit, documenting in detail the different recordkeeping procedures used in each of the three counties. On March 13, 1986, the Chief Judge of the Thirteenth Circuit notified the Administrative Office that the three detailed analysis documents had been approved by the respective Circuit Clerks. Staff began bi-weekly meetings with the circuit user group, enabling it to select the most functional procedures to be used uniformly by all three offices in conjunction with the automated system. Staff also worked with representatives of Lake and McHenry Counties to better understand how the system was used in the Nineteenth Circuit.

After the agreement with the Thirteenth Circuit was signed, staff transferred the Nineteenth Circuit system onto the Administrative Office computer in Springfield and began to learn the applications. During 1986, applications were modified to incorporate changes identified as needed by the circuit user group. Test cases from the Thirteenth Circuit were loaded into the system and circuit representatives viewed a system demonstration in September.

The Administrative Office could not implement the circuit system until it installed additional computer power, procured peripheral equipment for the counties, and hired additional programmers. Although funds were available, the Administrative Office was not granted approval to procure equipment or hire staff. Two of the three project staff members then quit. On October 8, 1986, representatives from Bureau, Grundy and LaSalle Counties were informed that, due to loss of personnel and delay in acquisition of peripheral computer equipment, installations (with initial training targeted to commence January 1, 1987) would be delayed.

While waiting for further developments, the remaining staff member concentrated on redrafting the McHenry County traffic manual as a generic procedures manual designed to support the automated system.

Judicial Management Advisory Committee

Established by the adoption of the Judicial Management Information System Standards, the Judicial Management Advisory Committee has been working since 1978 to assist the Administrative Office in the development of a realistic information management and automation approach. Membership in the Judicial Management Advisory Committee is determined through appointments made by the Chief Judge of each Judicial Circuit. The Chief Judges, in making appointments, have been careful to insure that divergent views and different groups integral to the functioning of the court system are represented. As a consequence, committee membership includes judges, court administrators, circuit court clerks, administrative assistants, directors of court services, data processing managers and state's attorneys. The composition of the committee has helped foster communication, understanding and consensus on issues related to judicial management information systems.

The committee met in Sangamon and Madison Counties during the 1987 calendar year. At these meetings, the committee reviewed drafts of the Circuit Clerk Procedures Manual, amendments to the coding manual and developments in disposition reporting. In addition, the committee continued to explore some of the technological, financial, educational, interface and auditing questions which will be involved in the development of a statewide judicial management information system. Topics focused on information systems education targeted to help circuit judges and clerks who are interested in proceeding independently avoid some of the major pitfalls involved in system development and procurement. In this process, the Judicial Management Advisory Committee involved state agencies and local officials which use court information or support court operation.

ADMINISTRATION OF SUPREME COURT RULE 39 —
APPOINTMENT OF ASSOCIATE JUDGES

The number of associate judges in each circuit is determined both by population (one associate Judge for every 35,000 inhabitants or fraction thereof) and by need. Chief judges in circuits where litigation is particularly heavy may file with the Director of the Administrative Office a statement supporting the circuit's need for additional associate judges. The Director then makes a recommendation to the Supreme Court which may allocate additional "permissive" associate judgeships to the circuit.

Once an opening exists in the office of associate judge, the circuit judges must fill the vacancy by election. The elective procedure is contained in Supreme Court Rule 39. This process is somewhat different in circuits with a population over 500,000 and in less populous circuits, but the basic selection mechanism is the same. The chief judge certifies to the Director the names of the candidates. The Director places those names on ballots which he distributes to the circuit judges. He then tabulates the results and certifies them to the chief judge, maintaining the secrecy of the ballots.

In 1986, the Acting Director certified that the following attorneys were appointed as associate judges:

1st	William G. Schwartz
2nd	James V. Hill
3rd	Daniel J. Stack
4th	David Sauer
8th	Mark A. Schuering
9th	James B. Stewart David D. Wilson
10th	Brian Nemeno
11th	Harold J. Frobish
12th	Martin Rudman Raymond A. Bolden
13th	James A. Lanuti
16th	Douglas R. Engel Grant S. Wegner
18th	Robert E. Byrne Thomas Callum Roy F. Lawrence
19th	Wallace B. Dunn James C. Franz Michael J. Fritz John G. Radosevich Albert L. Wysocki

20th	Jerome F. Lopinot
Cook County	J. Martin Berry
	Thomas J. Condon
	Joan M. Corboy
	Abishi C. Cunningham
	Julia Dempsey
	Lawrence P. Fox
	Edwin A. Gausselin
	Curtis Heaston
	William J. Hibbler
	Ann Houser
	Phil Lieb
	Dan Locallo
	Robert W. Krop
	Charles May
	Jeffrey A. Malak
	Brendan J. McCooey
	Alfred J. Paul
	Michael J. Pope
	Daniel G. Welter
	William F. Ward, Jr.
	Walter Williams
	Michael C. Zissman

ADMINISTRATION OF SUPREME COURT RULE 215(D) — IMPARTIAL MEDICAL EXPERT

The Administrative Director is charged with the responsibility of administering Supreme Court Rule 215(d), which provides as follows:

"(d) Impartial Medical Experts.

(1) Examination Before Trial. At a reasonable time in advance of the trial, the court may on its own motion, or that of any party, order an impartial physical or mental examination of a party whose mental or physical condition is in issue, when in the court's discretion it appears that such an examination will materially aid in the just determination of the case. The examination shall be made by a member or members of a panel of physicians chosen for their special qualifications by the Illinois State Medical Society.

(2) Examination During Trial. Should the court at any time during the trial find that compelling considerations made it advisable to have an examination and report at that time, the court may in its discretion so order.

(3) Copies of Report. A copy of the report of examination shall be given to the court and to the attorneys for the parties.

(4) Testimony of Examining Physician. Either party or the court may call the examining physician or physicians to testify. Any physician so called shall be subject to cross-examination.

(5) Costs and Compensation of Physician. The examination shall be made, and the physician or physicians, if called, shall testify without cost to the parties. The court shall determine the compensation of the physician or physicians.

(6) Administration of Rule. The Administrative Director and the Deputy Administrative Director are charged with the administration of the rule."

The statistical summaries on the following pages provide a profile of the use of Rule 215(d) in the Circuit Courts, since its inception.

It should be explained again this year that the statistical breakdown is divided, necessarily, into the categories of "orders," "examinations" and "costs", which refer to those entered, performed or charged in the current year.

IMPARTIAL MEDICAL EXPERTS — SUPREME COURT RULE 215(d)
1986 STATISTICAL SUMMARY

<table>
<tr><td></td><td colspan="8">ORDERS</td><td>Totals</td></tr>
<tr><td>Orders Entered During 1986</td><td colspan="4">Downstate
2</td><td colspan="4">Cook County
8</td><td>10</td></tr>
<tr><td>ACTION</td><td colspan="4">Law-Injury
7</td><td colspan="4">Divorce
Child Custody
3</td><td>10</td></tr>
<tr><td>Specialties Required</td><td>Neurology
2</td><td colspan="2">Orthopedics
4</td><td colspan="2">Internal Medicine
1</td><td colspan="2">Psychiatry
2</td><td>Otolaryngology
1</td><td>10</td></tr>
<tr><td>Frequency of Use of Rule 215(d) By Judges</td><td colspan="4">7 Judges Ordered 215(d) Exams in 1 Case</td><td colspan="4">3 Judges Ordered 215(d) Exams in 2 Cases</td><td>7 Judges Ordered 215(d) Exams in a total of 10 Cases</td></tr>
<tr><td>Disposition of Orders Entered During 1986</td><td colspan="2">All Examinations in the Case Cancelled
0</td><td colspan="4">Order for Examinations Vacated
0</td><td colspan="2">All Examinations Ordered In the Case were Performed
10</td><td>10</td></tr>
<tr><td></td><td colspan="8">EXAMINATIONS</td><td></td></tr>
<tr><td>IME Examinations Scheduled in 1986</td><td colspan="2">Vacated by Order
0</td><td colspan="4">Examinations Cancelled for Other Reasons
0</td><td colspan="2">Examinations Actually Performed
(Downstate 2) (Cook County 10)</td><td>12</td></tr>
<tr><td>Specialties Required Exams Actually Performed</td><td>Neurology
2</td><td colspan="2">Orthopedics
4</td><td colspan="2">Internal Medicine
1</td><td colspan="2">Psychiatry
4</td><td>Otolaryngology
1</td><td>12</td></tr>
<tr><td>Number of Exams Performed by Individual IME — Frequency of Use Of Panelists</td><td colspan="2">7 I.M. Experts Performed 1 Exam</td><td colspan="4">3 I.M. Experts Performed 2 Exams</td><td colspan="2">1 I.M. Experts Performed 3 Exams</td><td>11 I.M. Experts Performed a Total of 16 Exams</td></tr>
<tr><td></td><td colspan="8">COST</td><td></td></tr>
<tr><td>Average Cost Per 1986 Case</td><td colspan="4">Downstate
$208.00</td><td colspan="4">Cook County
$274.00</td><td>$482.00</td></tr>
<tr><td>Average Cost Per 1986 Exam</td><td colspan="4">Downstate
$192.00</td><td colspan="4">Cook County
$219.00</td><td>$411.00</td></tr>
<tr><td>Total Cost Per 1986 Cases</td><td colspan="4">Downstate
$1102.00</td><td colspan="4">Cook County
$1553.00</td><td>$2655.00</td></tr>
</table>

CUMULATIVE STATISTICAL SUMMARY
January 1970 — December 1986

TOTAL ORDERS ENTERED — 724
TOTAL EXAMINATIONS PERFORMED — 1298

Orders Entered By Jurisdiction	Downstate 10		Attorney Registration 4		Judges Retirement System 2		Cook County 631	
Orders Entered By Nature	Mental Health 4	Probate 1	Juvenile 2	Adoption 4	Criminal 29	Divorce Child Custody 487	Paternity 1	Civil Personal Injury 204
Results of Scheduled Examinations	Cases Settled Before Trial 38		Cancelled Examinations 112		Examinations Performed 1111		Testimony Required at Trial 51	
Specialties Required Examinations Performed	Rheumatology 1	Hematology 1	Obstetrics 2	Cardiology 5	General Practice 8	Plastic Surgery 1	Pediatrics 1	Geriatrics 1
	Urology 3	Ophthalmology 10	Otolaryngology 8	Internal Medicine 33	Neurology 57	Orthopedics 88	Allergies 1	Psychiatry 1088
	Radiology 1							

Average Cost Per Exam[illegible]formed Including Ancillary Cost and Testimony
$201.07

AGE OF PENDING CASES REPORTS

Since June 30, 1979, the Supreme Court, through the Administrative Office, has required chief circuit judges, individual trial judges and circuit clerks to submit the following semi-annual reports on pending cases:

Chief Judges — Summary age of pending cases report for each county, which includes (1) number of untried felony cases pending; (2) number of untried felony cases more than 180 days old (over 5 years old in Cook County); (3) steps taken or to be taken to insure the prompt disposition of such cases; (4) number of cases dismissed under the "speedy trial statute," (Ill. Rev. Stat., ch. 38, par. 103-5); (5) number of untried law jury cases (over $15,000) pending; (6) number of untried law jury cases (over $15,000) more than 2 years old (over 7 years old in Cook County); (7) a report on any category of cases in which there is unusual delay noted; and (8) number of complaints from attorneys or citizens concerning delay in processing cases.

Trial Judges — (1) Individual reports on untried felony cases pending over 180 days (over 5 years old in Cook County); and (2) Individual reports on untried law jury cases (over $15,000) pending over 2 years (over 7 years old in Cook County).

Clerks — Composite age of pending cases report for all cases by category, except traffic, ordinance and conservation violations.

Maintenance Of Eavesdropping Reports

Section 108A-11 of the Code of Criminal Procedure of 1963 (Ill. Rev. Stat., ch. 38, par. 108A-11) requires the Administrative Office to collect information about orders entered under Illinois' eavesdropping statute (Ill. Rev. Stat., ch. 38, par. 108A-1 et seq.) and report that information to the General Assembly. Within 30 days of the expiration of an eavesdropping order, or within 30 days of the denial of an application for an order, the issuing or denying judge must report the following information to the Administrative Office: (1) whether any order, extension or subsequent approval of an emergency use of an eavesdropping device was requested; (2) the action taken on the request; (3) the period authorized in any order entered; (4) the felony specified in the order or applications; (5) the identity of the requesting law enforcement agency and approving State's Attorney; and (6) the facilities where the eavesdropping device was to be used.

In January of each year, the State's Attorney of each Illinois county must make a composite report on all eavesdropping orders entered in the circuit court of that county. That report must include (1) the information transmitted by judges in their reports; (2) the number of conversations and individuals overheard; (3) the cost and manpower required by use of an authorized eavesdropping device; (4) the number of arrests and trials resulting from those uses; (5) the number of motions to suppress the fruits of overheard conversations and the disposition of those motions, and (6) the number of convictions resulting from those uses. The Administrative Office compiles this information and transmits a report on it to the General Assembly each April.

In 1986, State's Attorneys and judges filed with the Administrative Office notices of 372 orders authorizing eavesdropping. Of these orders, 317 were original and 55 were extensions or modifications.

Authorized eavesdropping devices are typically used in conjunction with controlled substances offenses, although a wide variety or other felonies were investigated through eavesdropping this year. The most common devices employed were telephone wiretaps and body microphones on undercover agents. Residences, business premises and law enforcement offices were frequent locations of eavesdropping activity.

Administration of Official Court Reporters

As required by statute, the Administrative Office several times each year administers an Official Court Reporters' Proficiency Examination to determine the qualifications of applicants for the position of official court reporter.

The Official Court Reporter Proficiency Examination consists of two voice question and answer (Q & A) sections and a legal opinion section. Each test is dictated by professional readers.

Candidates who pass the Proficiency Examination may, if a vacancy exists, be appointed to the post of official court reporter by any chief judge of any circuit court.

By statute, the Supreme Court determines the number of official court reporters that each circuit may appoint. The Court may increase or decrease the number of court reporters in any circuit after considering various factors provided for in the statute (Ill. Rev. Stat. ch. 37, par. 653). During 1986 four official court reporter proficiency examinations were offered, two in Chicago and two in East Peoria, Illinois.

Each year the Administrative Office organizes and presents an Official Court Reporter Developmental Seminar to which all official court reporters are invited. The 1986 seminar was held on Friday-Saturday, June 6-7 at the Hyatt Regency O'Hare. On Friday afternoon, Acting Administrative Director William M. Madden answered questions from reporters. This session was followed by small group presentations from various institutions:

"Medical Malpractice"
MacCormick College

"Legal Terminology and Courtroom Procedure"
Chicago College of Commerce

"Word Processing for the Court Reporter"
Triton Community College

On Saturday morning an English workshop and Computer Training workshop took place. On that afternoon discussion centered on dealing with stress and how a breathalizer test is administered.

Secretariat

The Administrative Office serves as secretary to many judicial organizations and committees. In addition to arranging meetings, recording minutes and keeping records, the office acts as a fact finding body, does research, conducts surveys and apprises judges of recent developments in procedural and substantive law. Among the bodies served by the Administrative Office in a secretariat capacity are: (1) the Executive Committee of the Judicial Conference and its constituent committees, including the standing Subcommittee on Judicial Education, the New Judge Seminar Planning Committee, the Associate Judge Seminar Coordinating Committee and various study and seminar committees: (2) the Conference of Chief Judges; (3) the Courts Commission; (4) the Supreme Court Rules Committee; (5) the Judicial Management Advisory Committee; and (6) other study and advisory committees which may be appointed by the Supreme Court from time to time. The activities of these organizations are explained in greater detail in other sections of this report.

Administration of Supreme Court Rule 711 — Representation by Supervised Senior Law Students

Illinois Supreme Court Rule 711 provides for the temporary licensing of law students to appear in court, prepare pleadings or briefs, counsel with clients, negotiate in the settlement of claims and prepare and draft legal instruments. These services may be performed only for qualified agencies such as legal assistance programs, public defender offices and governmental offices, and the student must be supervised by a member of the Illinois bar. To be eligible for licensing, a student must be certified by his or her dean as having received credit for at least two-thirds of the hourly credits required for graduation and as being in good academic standing and eligible under the school's criteria to undertake the activities authorized by the rule.

In 1986, 603 licenses were issued. Since the adoption of Rule 711 in May, 1969, a total of 8,619 senior law students have participated in this legal internship program. The comparative chart below indicates the use of Rule 711 in the last six years.

Year	Law Students
1986	603
1985	570
1984	551
1983	551
1982	479
1981	477

1986 Circuit Clerk Training Program

During the months of May and June, the Administrative Office conducted five regional training programs for clerks of the circuit court on the topic of disposition reporting to the Department of State Police pursuant to Ill. Rev. Stat., ch. 38, par. 206-2.1.

A total of 135 persons from 78 counties attended one of the five programs offered at Effingham, Woodhull, North Aurora, Benton and Springfield. A copy of the training material and sample reporting forms were sent to each of the 24 counties unable to attend.

The comments and suggestions obtained from the participants were submitted to the Department of State Police for consideration in the redesign of the format used by circuit clerks to report criminal disposition information.

Distribution of Supreme Court Opinions and Legislative Summaries

Since April of 1975, the Administrative Office has regularly prepared and distributed synopses of select opinions filed by the Illinois Supreme Court. These summaries are distributed to each of the State's judicial officers within 2 to 10 days of the filing of the opinions by the Court. In 1986, 46 Supreme Court opinions were summarized.

The office also analyzes legislation affecting court personnel, the operation of the court system and substantive and procedural law. Digests of 46 Public Acts were sent to Illinois judges.

Publications of the Administrative Office

The Administrative Office publishes and/or distributes several books or pamphlets which are available to the public. These publications can be obtained by contacting the Springfield or Chicago office:

(1) A short History of the Illinois Judicial System;

(2) Manual on Recordkeeping;

(3) Annual Report of the Administrative Office;

(4) Annual and Biennial Reports of the Judicial Conference;

(5) Handbooks for jurors in grand jury proceedings, and in criminal and civil cases;

(6) A pamphlet on the history of the Supreme Court Building in Springfield;

(7) Interim Report Experimental Video-taping of Courtroom Proceedings;

(8) Rules of Procedure of the Illinois Courts Commission;

(9) Chief Circuit Judge's Manual on Guidelines for the Administration of Circuit Courts (draft form only);

(10) Benchbook (Criminal Cases) for Illinois Judges;

(11) Reading and Reference Materials used at seminars and conferences sponsored by the Judicial Conference;

(12) Report of the Supreme Court Committee on Video-taping Court Proceedings;

(13) Administrative Regulations Governing Court Reporters in Illinois Courts;

(14) Illinois Courtrooms, Bohn, William G., Supreme Court Commitee on Criminal Justice Programs (1972);

(15) Benchbook for Use in Juvenile Proceedings;

(16) Administrative Regulations Governing Minimum Qualifications for Illinois Probation Personnel;

(17) Administrative Policy Statements Governing Eligibility of Illinois Probation Personnel for State Subsidy and Related Matters;

(18) Illinois Statewide Judicial Facilities Project, Phase One Summary Report;

(19) Illinois Statewide Judicial Facilities Project, Phase Two Summary Report;

(20) Report of the Study on Bail Procedures of the Illinois Judicial Conference (1978);

(21) Judicial Management Information System Standards;

(22) Supplemental Report of the Study of the Study Committee on Bail Procedures (1980)

Membership in Organizations

The Director, Deputy Director, and Assistant Directors are members of a number of organizations concerned with improving the administration of justice. Current memberships include:

(1) Conference of State Court Administrators

(2) The American Judicature Society

(3) Illinois State Bar Association (and various committees and sections)

(4) American Bar Association

(5) Chicago Bar Association

(6) Chicago Council of Lawyers

(7) Illinois Defender Project (Board of Commissioners)

(8) Council of State Governments

(9) National Association of Court Managers

(10) Institute of Judicial Administration

(11) The Justinian Society of Lawyers

(12) The Appellate Lawyers Association

LEGISLATION AFFECTING THE COURTS

During 1986 numerous bills affecting various areas of procedure and substantive law were passed by the General Assembly.

Clerks of Court

P.A. 84-1235 amends various Acts concerning licensing and regulation of certain professions, including the Illinois Private Detective, Private Alarm, and Private Security Act of 1983 (ch. 111, par. 2679). EFFECTIVE JULY 29, 1986, adds in par. 2679(a) that when person licensed under Act "is convicted of a felony in any jurisdiction, the clerk of the court shall report such conviction to the [Dept. of Registration and Education] ***."

Clerks Fee Statute

P.A. 84-1353 and P.A. 84-1354 amends downstate circuit clerk's fee statute (ch. 25, par. 27.1) BOTH EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 1987. P.A. 84-1353 adds following new fees in ch. 25: 10 cents for each page in excess of 200 pages for forwarding original documents in connection with appeal (new par. 27.1(p)(4)); $10 for correcting case number or title on any document (new par. 27.1(v)); $4 for each year record search made (new par. 27.1(w)); $2 for each page of printout of automated records (new par. 27.1(x)); $2 for each alias summons (new par. 27.1(y)); $15 for each expungement petition filed (new par. 27.1(z)). P.A. 84-1354 revises par. 27.1(u)(3) regarding annual $36 fee required to be paid to clerk in maintenance and child support matters by deduction of same from said payment: fee of "up to" $36 "may" be deducted "if authorized *** by county board" and "shall be deposited" in clerk's maintenance and support fund which is to be used by clerk to further his efforts in collecting such funds; this Public Act also amends ch. 40, par. 705(6) to reflect changes made in ch. 25, par. 27.1(u)(3).

Court Administration

P.A. 84-1395 amends various statutes which refer to the Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts. EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 1987. Amends ch. 25, pars. 27.1-27.3; ch. 37, pars. 72.4-1, 72.4-2, 160.2-1, 653, 654, 654.1, 657, 658, 706-1, 706-2, 706-7; ch. 38, pars. 104-27, 108A-11, 113-3, 204-1a, 204-7, 204-8; ch. 40, pars. 709, 712; ch. 53, pars. 3.2, 3.3; ch. 63, 42.62, 42.65; ch. 95½, par. 6-204; ch. 110, par. 2-1019; ch. 111, par. 6206; ch. 116, par. 43.104: wherever the phrases "Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts," "Director" of that office, or like phrases appear in the statutes they are replaced with "Supreme Court" or deleted.

Judges and Court Reporters

P.A. 84-1425 amends certain statutes affecting number of associate judges and salaries of official court reporters (ch. 37, pars. 160.2, 658). EFFECTIVE SEPTEMBER 24, 1986. Amends par. 160.2 by providing that the minimum number of associate judges for single county circuits appointed using the population formula "shall be 14" and the minimum number of such judges in a two-county circuit having a population of 275,000 — 300,000 "shall be 10." Amends par. 658 by increasing official court reporters' maximum salary to not more than $35,250 effective July 1, 1986, and $37,250 effective July 1, 1987, and by increasing part-time reporters' maximum half-day salary from $36 to $60.

Judges Pension

P.A. 84-1472 amends judges pension provision (ch. 108½, par. 18-125.1). EFFECTIVE JANUARY 23, 1987. Amends par. 18-125.1 ("cost of living" increase in pension) by reopening option to elect cost of living benefit, until April 1, 1987, to judges in service on or after July 1, 1986, and adds new provision: "Any [retired judge] who has become eligible to receive the maximum [pension] and who resumes service as a judge after receiving a [judicial pension] shall have the amount of his or her [pension] increased by 3% of the originally granted [pension] for each year of such resumed service, beginning in January of the year next following the date of such resumed service, upon subsequent termination of such resumed service."

1986

CASE LOADS

AND

STATISTICAL RECORDS

JUDICIAL OFFICERS

OF THE

STATE OF ILLINOIS


1
2
3
4
5
JO DAVIESS
STEPHENSON
WINNEBAGO
BOONE
McHENRY
LAKE
CARROLL
OGLE
DE KALB
KANE
COOK
DU PAGE
WHITESIDE
LEE
KENDALL
WILL
HENRY
BUREAU
LA SALLE
GRUNDY
ROCK ISLAND
MERCER
PUTNAM
KANKAKEE
KNOX
STARK
MARSHALL
LIVINGSTON
WARREN
PEORIA
WOODFORD
IROQUOIS
HENDER-SON
FULTON
McLEAN
HANCOCK
McDONOUGH
TAZEWELL
FORD
VERMILION
MASON
CHAMPAIGN
SCHUYLER
LOGAN
DE WITT
ADAMS
MENARD
PIATT
BROWN
CASS
MACON
MORGAN
SANGAMON
DOUGLAS
EDGAR
PIKE
SCOTT
MOULTRIE
CHRISTIAN
COLES
SHELBY
GREENE
MACOUPIN
CLARK
CAL-HOUN
MONTGOMERY
CUMBERLAND
FAYETTE
EFFINGHAM
JASPER
JERSEY
MADISON
BOND
CLAY
CRAWFORD
RICHLAND
LAWRENCE
MARION
CLINTON
ST CLAIR
WAYNE
WABASH
EDWARDS
WASHINGTON
JEFFERSON
MONROE
HAMILTON
WHITE
RANDOLPH
PERRY
FRANKLIN
JACKSON
SALINE
GALLATIN
WILLIAMSON
UNION
JOHNSON
POPE
HARDIN
ALEX-ANDER
PULASKI
MASSAC

JUDICIAL DISTRICTS
FOR THE ELECTION OF
SUPREME COURT JUDGES AND
APPELLATE COURT JUDGES

JUSTICES OF THE SUPREME COURT OF ILLINOIS

(December 31, 1986)

FIRST DISTRICT

Daniel P. Ward
Chicago
William G. Clark
Chicago
Seymour Simon
Chicago

SECOND DISTRICT

Thomas J. Moran
Waukegan

THIRD DISTRICT

Howard C. Ryan
Tonica

FOURTH DISTRICT

Ben Miller
Springfield

FIFTH DISTRICT

Joseph H. Goldenhersh
Belleville

TREND OF CASES IN THE SUPREME COURT DURING 1986

People's Cases General Docket	Pending at Start	Filed	Allowed	Disposed Of	Pending at End	Inventory Increase (+) Decrease (−)
Petitions for Leave to Appeal	141	792	NA	744	189	+48
Petitions Allowed	21	NA	49	36	34	+13
Motions for Direct Appeal	2	2	NA	3	1	−1
Motions Allowed	4	NA	1	3	1	−3
Original Action Motions	7	18	NA	21	4	−3
Motions Allowed	1	NA	7	4	4	+3
Motions for Supervisory Orders	2	13	NA	12	3	+1
Motions Allowed	0	NA	2**	2	0	NC
Direct Appeal — Statute Invalid	7	32	NA	16	23	+16
Direct Appeal — Capital	54	22	NA	19*	57	+3
Direct Appeal — Capital P.C. R651	3	9	NA	2	10	+7
Disciplinary Cases	9	17	NA	11	15	+6
Certificates of Importance	0	0	0	0	0	NC
Miscellaneous	0	14	NA	14	0	NC
Total People's Cases	251	919	59	887	341	+90

Civil Cases General Docket	Pending at Start	Filed	Allowed	Disposed Of	Pending at End	Inventory Increase (+) Decrease (−)
Petitions for Leave to Appeal	157	708	NA	742	123	−34
Petitions Allowed	50	NA	80	104	26	−24
Motions for Direct Appeal	7	23	NA	19	11	+4
Motions Allowed	5	NA	9	6	8	+3
Original Action Motions	1	38	NA	35	4	+3
Motions Allowed	2	NA	3	2	3	+1
Motions for Supervisory Orders	3	21	NA	24	0	−3
Motions Allowed	0	NA	5	5	0	NC
Direct Appeal — Statute Invalid	11	9	NA	14	6	−5
Certificates of Importance	0	1	NA	0	1	+1
Miscellaneous	0	8	NA	8	0	NC
Total Civil Cases	236	808	97	959	182	−54

Miscellaneous Cases						
Miscellaneous Record	46	345	NA	323	68	+22
Miscellaneous Docket	23	128	NA	145	6	−17
Total Miscellaneous Cases	69	473	NA	468	74	+5

Total All Cases	556	2200	156	2314	597	+41

*58037 — Rehearing was allowed, resubmitted pending on advisement

**Supervisory order was allowed when opinion was filed. See 62355

JUDGES OF THE APPELLATE COURT OF ILLINOIS

(December 31, 1986)

FIRST DISTRICT

First Division

Robert C. Buckley
Calvin C. Campbell
John M. O'Connor, Jr.
William R. Quinlan

Second Division

Michael Bilandic
Allen Hartman
Anthony Scariano
John J. Stamos

Third Division

Charles G. Freeman
Daniel J. McNamara
Dom J. Rizzi
William S. White

Fourth Division

Mel R. Jiganti
Glenn T. Johnson
David Linn
Mary Ann McMorrow

Fifth Division

Francis S. Lorenz
James C. Murray
R. Eugene Pincham
John J. Sullivan

SECOND DISTRICT

Marvin D. Dunn
William V. Hopf
Lawrence Inglis
George W. Lindberg
William R. Nash
Philip G. Reinhard
George Unverzagt
Alfred E. Woodward

THIRD DISTRICT

Tobias Barry
James D. Heiple
Albert Scott
Allan L. Stouder
William B. Wombacher

FOURTH DISTRICT

Frederick S. Green
James A. Knecht
Carl A. Lund
John T. McCullough
Donald Morthland
Joseph R. Spitz
Albert Webber, III

FIFTH DISTRICT

Moses Harrison, II
Charles E. Jones
John M. Karns, Jr.
George Kasserman, Jr.
Thomas M. Welch

TRENDS OF CASES IN THE APPELLATE COURT
1986

Appellate District		Number of Cases Pending January 1, 1986	Number of Cases Filed During Year	Number of Cases Reinstated	Number of Cases Disposed of	Number of Cases Disposed of By Opinion	Number of Cases Disposed of By Rule 23 Order	Number of Cases Pending December 31, 1986	Inventory Increase (+) Decrease (−)
First	Civil	1577 (31)	1851 (20)	34	1813 (43)	492 (20)	424 (13)	1649 (8)	+ 49
	Criminal	1963	1743	141	1528	198	820	2319	+ 356
Second	Civil	585 (5)	695 (10)	8	701 (9)	234 (4)	237 (3)	587 (6)	+ 3
	Criminal	436	493	6	466	111	251	469	+ 33
Third	Civil	304 (9)	439 (27)	2 (2)	436 (24)	158 (11)	112 (5)	309 (14)	+ 10
	Criminal	300	391		391	88	189	300	0
Fourth	Civil	279 (11)	571 (24)	13	579 (12)	168 (5)	222 (5)	284 (23)	+ 17
	Criminal	223	325	6	354	87	216	200	− 23
Fifth	Civil	381 (13)	515 (12)	3	455 (17)	152 (9)	130 (6)	444 (8)	+ 58
	Criminal	277	311	3	284	73	139	307	+ 30
Totals	Civil	3126 (69)	4071 (93)	60 (2)	3984 (105)	1204 (49)	1125 (32)	3273 (59)	+ 137
	Criminal	3199	3263	156	3023	557	1615	3595	+ 396

( ) Denotes Industrial Commission Division cases

CASES DISPOSED OF IN THE APPELLATE COURT
1986

Appellate District	Type of Case	METHOD OF DISPOSITION															TOTALS
		Affirmed		Reversed		Affirmed in Part and/or Reversed in Part		Reversed and Remanded		Modified		Vacated and/or Remanded		Dismissed		Disposed Of Without Opinion Or Order*	
		By Opinion	By Order*	By Opinion	By Order*	By Opinion	By Order*	By Opinion	By Order*	By Opinion	By Order*	By Opinion	By Order*	By Opinion	By Order*		
First	Civil	289	284	29	19	72	27	101	70	4	1	5	6	12	30	907	1856
	Criminal	120	663	5	14	26	61	46	63	1	5	0	8	0	6	510	1528
Second	Civil	125	140	21	14	38	23	48	45	0	0	2	0	4	18	232	710
	Criminal	73	167	4	7	15	18	18	53	0	0	1	1	0	5	104	466
Third	Civil	93	86	12	5	22	8	41	14	0	0	1	0	0	4	174	460
	Criminal	42	145	3	3	20	23	19	13	0	0	3	4	1	1	114	391
Fourth	Civil	82	155	16	12	33	25	37	24	0	0	0	4	5	7	191	591
	Criminal	56	180	6	2	13	16	10	7	0	0	2	5	0	6	51	354
Fifth	Civil	64	80	15	6	34	13	44	23	0	0	3	14	1	0	175	472
	Criminal	34	86	5	4	19	39	14	7	0	0	1	1	0	2	72	284
Total	Civil	653	745	93	56	199	96	271	176	4	1	11	24	22	59	1679	4089
	Criminal	325	1241	23	30	93	157	107	143	1	5	7	19	1	20	851	3023

*Pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 23 Figures include Industrial Commission Division cases

CASES DISPOSED OF WITHOUT OPINION OR ORDER PURSUANT TO SUPREME COURT RULE 23

1986

Appellate District	Type of Case	METHOD OF DISPOSITION WITHOUT OPINION OR ORDER: Dismissed: Motion of Appellant	Dismissed: Motion of Appellee	Dismissed: Stipulation of Parties	Dismissed on Court's Own Motion: Failure to Comply With Rules/Orders	Dismissed on Court's Own Motion: Lack of Jurisdiction No Final Appealable Order	Dismissed on Court's Own Motion: Other	Leave¹ to Appeal Denied	Motion² for Leave to File Late Notice of Appeal Denied	Remanded With Direction For Further Proceeding	Dismissed in the Trial Court	Bad Order Entered	Confession of Error	Transferred to Proper Court	Other Dispositions	Totals
First	Civil	162	131	78	353	4	12	84	5	51	16	0	0	9	2	907
	Criminal	51	12	0	396	1	4	1	4	0	1	31	2	6	1	510
Second	Civil	63	25	14	97	1	1	21	0	1	8	0	0	0	1	232
	Criminal	28	15	0	47	0	2	4	0	0	2	2	0	3	1	104
Third	Civil	71	10	20	47	0	0	11	1	0	12	0	0	2	0	174
	Criminal	70	1	0	23	0	3	1	0	4	4	1	0	2	5	114
Fourth	Civil	62	21	18	53	10	1	10	1	0	13	0	2	0	0	191
	Criminal	17	0	1	15	5	2	0	3	0	2	3	2	1	0	51
Fifth	Civil	48	14	14	27	12	1	25	0	1	31	0	0	1	1	175
	Criminal	24	4	0	13	3	0	0	4	9	5	3	0	5	2	72
Total	Civil	406	201	144	577	27	15	151	7	53	80	0	2	12	4	1679
	Criminal	190	32	1	494	9	11	6	11	13	14	40	4	17	9	851

Figures include Industrial Commission Division cases

¹Includes Denial of Permissive Interlocutory

²Includes Denial of Motion to File Late Record

TIME LAPSE BETWEEN DATE OF FILING AND DATE OF DISPOSITION FOR ALL CASES DECIDED IN THE APPELLATE COURT DURING 1986

Appellate District	Type of Case	Time Elapsed						Totals
		Under 6 Months	6-12 Months	1-1½ Years	1½-2 Years	2-3 Years	Over 3 Years	
First	Civil	621	740	291	111	81	12	1856
	Criminal	260	506	452	176	103	31	1528
Second	Civil	213	212	252	25	4	0	706
	Criminal	69	172	164	43	10	7	465
Third	Civil	150	264	37	4	0	0	455
	Criminal	110	235	44	4	3	0	396
Fourth	Civil	203	382	6	0	0	0	591
	Criminal	53	291	9	1	0	0	354
Fifth	Civil	143	175	108	30	16	0	472
	Criminal	72	100	78	18	16	0	284
Total	Civil	1330	1773	694	170	101	12	4080
	Criminal	564	1304	747	242	132	38	3027

TIME LAPSE BETWEEN DATE BRIEFS WERE FILED AND DATE OF DISPOSITION FOR CASES DECIDED IN THE APPELLATE COURT DURING 1986

Appellate District	Type of Case	Time Elapsed						Totals
		Under 6 Months	6-12 Months	1-1½ Years	1½-2 Years	2-3 Years	Over 3 Years	
First	Civil	524	298	72	38	21	0	953
	Criminal	620	127	30	10	9	0	796
Second	Civil	131	316	24	2	0	0	473
	Criminal	194	89	6	2	0	0	291
Third	Civil	209	91	5	0	0	0	305
	Criminal	231	53	5	0	0	0	289
Fourth	Civil	393	30	0	0	0	0	423
	Criminal	297	13	0	0	0	0	310
Fifth	Civil	218	93	25	1	0	0	337
	Criminal	168	26	10	3	0	0	207
Total	Civil	1475	828	126	41	21	0	2491
	Criminal	1510	308	51	15	9	0	1893

ABSTRACT SUMMARY OF THE NUMBER OF OPINIONS AND RULE 23 ORDERS WRITTEN BY JUDGES OF THE APPELLATE COURT

1986

	Opinions						
Appellate District	Majority	Per Curiam	Specially Concurring	Dissenting	Supplemental	Total	Rule 23 Orders
First	636 (20)	0	7	37 (2)	1	681 (22)	1169 1 Dissent 11 Supplmntl (13)
Second	322 (4)	0	1	11 (1)	3	337 (5)	425 3 Sp. Concur 3 Dissents 1 Supplmntl (3)
Third	222 (10)	0	6 (1)	21 (2)	4	253 (13)	273 4 Dissents 2 Supplmntl (5)
Fourth	234 (5)	0	6 (1)	15 (1)	1	256 (7)	415 1 Sp. Concur 3 Dissents 5 Supplmntl (5)
Fifth	216 (9)	0	5	45 (1)	3	269 (10)	254 1 Sp. Concur 12 Dissents 1 Supplmntl (6)
Total	1630 (48)	0	25 (2)	129 (7)	12	1796 (57)	2536 5 Sp. Concur 23 Dissents 20 Supplmntl (32)


JO DAVIESS
STEPHENSON
WINNEBAGO
BOONE
MCHENRY
LAKE
17
19
CARROLL
OGLE
15
DE KALB
KANE
COOK
16
DU PAGE
18
WHITESIDE
LEE
KENDALL
WILL
HENRY
BUREAU
LA SALLE
GRUNDY
12
ROCK ISLAND
14
13
MERCER
KANKAKEE
PUTNAM
KNOX
STARK
MARSHALL
LIVINGSTON
WARREN
PEORIA
IROQUOIS
21
9
WOODFORD
10
HENDER-SON
FULTON
MCLEAN
HANCOCK
MCDONOUGH
TAZEWELL
FORD
11
VERMILION
CHAMPAIGN
MASON
LOGAN
DE WITT
SCHUYLER
ADAMS
MENARD
PIATT
MACON
8
BROWN
CASS
MORGAN
SANGAMON
6
DOUGLAS
EDGAR
PIKE
SCOTT
7
MOULTRIE
5
CHRISTIAN
COLES
SHELBY
GREENE
MACOUPIN
CLARK
CAL-HOUN
MONTGOMERY
CUMBERLAND
FAYETTE
EFFINGHAM
JASPER
JERSEY
4
MADISON
BOND
CRAWFORD
CLAY
RICHLAND
LAWRENCE
3
MARION
CLINTON
ST CLAIR
WAYNE
EDWARDS
WABASH
20
JEFFERSON
2
WASHINGTON
MONROE
HAMILTON
WHITE
RANDOLPH
PERRY
FRANKLIN
JACKSON
SALINE
GALLATIN
WILLIAMSON
UNION
JOHNSON
POPE
HARDIN
1
ALEX-ANDER
PULASKI
MASSAC

JUDICIAL CIRCUITS
FOR THE ELECTION OF
CIRCUIT COURT JUDGES

CIRCUIT COURT JUDICIAL OFFICERS OF ILLINOIS
(December 31, 1986)

FIRST CIRCUIT
Circuit Judges

William A. Lewis, Chief Judge

Donnie D. Bigler
Bill F. Green
Thomas W. Haney
Michael J. Henshaw
Louis G. Horman
Snyder Howell
Robert H. Howerton
~~Donald~~ Lowery
George Oros
Richard E. Richman
William H. South
Stephen Spomer
James Williamson

Associate Judges

Arlie O. Boswell, Jr.
Terry Foster
David C. Nelson
William G. Schwartz
David W. Watt, Jr.

SECOND CIRCUIT
Circuit Judges

Henry Lewis, Chief Judge

Larry O. Baker
Philip B. Benefiel
David M. Correll
Don A. Foster
Donald E. Garrison
Robert S. Hill
Terrence J. Hopkins
Robert M. Keenan, Jr.
Lehman Krause
Loren P. Lewis
John I. Lundmark
Patrick F. McLaughlin
David L. Underwood
Robert W. Whitmer

Associate Judges

*Roland J. DeMarco
Leo T. Desmond
James V. Hill
Bruce D. Irish

*Retired 12/26/86

THIRD CIRCUIT
Circuit Judges

Philip Rarick, Chief Judge

Horace L. Calvo
Charles Chapman
John L. DeLaurenti
Andreas A. Matoesian
George J. Moran
P.J. O'Neill
P.E. Riley

Associate Judges

Nicholas G. Byron
Wendell Durr
Edward C. Ferguson
George Filcoff
Jonathan Isbell
Lola P. Maddox
Michael J. Meehan
Charles V. Romani, Jr.
Daniel J. Stack
Clayton R. Williams

FOURTH CIRCUIT

Circuit Judges

Ronald Niemann, Chief Judge

Dennis L. Berkbigler
Richard H. Brummer
Edward C. Eberspacher
Joseph L. Fribley
Arthur Henken
Dennis M. Huber
William D. Kelley
Robert W. Matoush
Vernon L. Plummer, II
Rolland F. Tipsword
W.R. Todd
Michael R. Weber

Associate Judges

Don E. Beane, Jr.
Richard G. Hodson
Mark M. Joy
David Sauer
David W. Slater
Robert F.A. Stocke

FIFTH CIRCUIT

Circuit Judges

Ralph S. Pearman, Chief Judge

Caslon K. Bennett
Rita B. Garman
Paul C. Komada
James K. Robinson
Richard E. Scott
Joseph R. Spitz
William J. Sunderman
James R. Watson
Paul M. Wright

Associate Judges

Joseph C. Moore, II
Jos. P. Skowronski, Jr.
Ashton C. Waller

SIXTH CIRCUIT

Circuit Judges

Rodney Scott, Chief Judge

William C. Calvin
John L. Davis
Harold L. Jensen
W.B. Kranz
Frank W. Lincoln
George S. Miller
Donald W. Morthland
Jerry L. Patton
John P. Shonkwiler
Robert J. Steigmann
Creed D. Tucker

Associate Judges

Harry E. Clem
John R. DeLaMar
Scott B. Diamond
Jeffrey B. Ford
Paul M. Francis
James A. Hendrian
Donald R. Parkinson
Arthur F. Powers, Jr.
Warren A. Sappington
John G. Townsend

SEVENTH CIRCUIT

Circuit Judges

John W. Russell, Chief Judge

Richard J. Cadagin
Joseph E. Cavanagh
Claude J. Davis
Simon L. Friedman
Joseph P. Koval
Richard E. Mann
Jerry S. Rhodes
Thomas G. Roady, Jr.
Gordon Seator
Raymond L. Terrell

Associate Judges

David J. Bone
John B. Crain
James P. Fox
Thomas G. Russell
Philip Schickedanz
Dennis L. Schwartz
Jeanne E. Scott
Stuart H. Shiffman

EIGHTH CIRCUIT

Circuit Judges

Edward Dittmeyer, Chief Judge

Thomas L. Brownfield
Cecil J. Burrows
Dennis K. Cashman
Robert W. Cook
Carson D. Klitz
Lyle E. Lipe
Alfred L. Pezman
Fred W. Reither
David K. Slocum
Robert Welch

Associate Judges

Paul A. Kolodziej
Mark A. Schuering
Arthur R. Strong
Virgil W. Timpe
John C. Wooleyhan

NINTH CIRCUIT

Circuit Judges

William L. Randolph, Chief Judge

Stephen G. Evans
William D. Henderson
Scott I. Klukos
Stephen C. Mathers
Daniel J. Roberts
Albert Scott
Max B. Stewart
Charles H. Wilhelm

Associate Judges

Kenneth L. Bath
Harry C. Bulkeley
Lewis D. Murphy
Richard A. Porter
Richard C. Ripple
James B. Stewart

TENTH CIRCUIT

Circuit Judges

Peter Paolucci, Chief Judge

Bruce W. Black
James M. Bumgarner
Robert J. Cashen
Donald C. Courson
Stephen J. Covey
Richard E. Eagleton
John A. Gorman
Robert Manning, Jr.
Calvin Stone

Associate Judges

Brett C. Bode
Charles A. Covey
Thomas G. Ebel
Arthur H. Gross
Joe B. McDade
Brian M. Nemenoff
Jackson P. Newlin
Charles J. Perrin
William J. Reardon
John A. Whitney
William H. Young

ELEVENTH CIRCUIT

Circuit Judges

Luther Dearborn, Chief Judge

Richard M. Baner
William T. Caisley
Keith Campbell
Gerald G. Dehner
Charles E. Glennon
William M. Roberts
Wayne C. Townley, Jr.

Associate Judges

David L. Coogan
Mark W. Dalton
William D. DeCardy
Charles H. Frank
John P. Freese
Harold J. Frobish
Joseph H. Kelley
W. Charles Witte

TWELFTH CIRCUIT

Circuit Judges

Michael A. Orenic, Chief Judge

Robert R. Buchar
Herman S. Haase
William R. Penn
Angelo F. Pistilli
Thomas W. Vinson

Associate Judges

Raymond A. Bolden
Vincent J. Cerri
John F. Cirricione
Thomas M. Ewert
Bruce D. Falk
Edwin B. Grabiec
Rodney B. Lechwar
Michael H. Lyons
Martin Rudman
Edward D. Smith

THIRTEENTH CIRCUIT

Circuit Judges

Alexander T. Bower, Chief Judge

William P. Denny
Thomas R. Flood
Louis J. Perona
Fred P. Wagner
Howard C. Wampler
Robert G. Wren

Associate Judges

Robert L. Carter
Donald E. Norton
James A. Lanuti
Richard R. Wilder
James J. Wimbiscus
John David Zwanzig

FOURTEENTH CIRCUIT

Circuit Judges

L.E. Ellison, Chief Judge

Joseph F. Beatty
Robert Castendyck
Martin E. Conway, Jr.
Clarence A. Darrow
David DeDoncker
Susan B. Gende
Wilbur S. Johnson
Edward Keefe
Jeffrey W. O'Connor
John D. O'Shea
John M. Telleen

Associate Judges

Clarke C. Barnes
Thomas C. Berglund
Michael P. Brinn
John B. Cunningham
Dennis DePorter
*Ivan Lovaas
William K. O'Connor
Frederick P. Patton
Timothy J. Slavin
Ronald C. Taber

*Retired 12/30/86

FIFTEENTH CIRCUIT

Circuit Judges

John W. Rapp, Jr. Chief Judge

Thomas E. Hornsby
F.L. Lenz
Tomas Magdich
Francis X. Mahoney
John L. Moore
Harold D. Nagel
Lawrence Smith, Jr.

Associate Judges

Barry Anderson
Alan W. Cargerman
Eric S. DeMar
Richard E. DeMoss
Martin D. Hill
John E. Payne

SIXTEENTH CIRCUIT

Circuit Judges

Joseph M. McCarthy, Chief Judge

Wilson D. Burnell
Patrick J. Dixon
Marvin D. Dunn
Melvin E. Dunn
John A. Leifheit
Rex F. Meilinger
John L. Nickels
Michael F. O'Brien
Barry E. Puklin
James F. Quetsch

Associate Judges

Michael J. Colwell
William H. Ellsworth
Douglas R. Engel
Robert P. Grometer
Thomas E. Hogan
Robert C. Jenkins
Richard D. Larson
Gene Nottolini
John L. Petersen
Timothy Q. Sheldon
Grant S. Wegner
James M. Wilson

SEVENTEENTH CIRCUIT

Circuit Judges

John C. Layng, Chief Judge

Harris H. Agnew
Daniel D. Doyle
David A. Englund
William R. Nash
David F. Smith
John E. Sype

Associate Judges

Robert G. Coplan
Robert J. French
Frederick J. Kapala
Gayln W. Moehring
Michael R. Morrison
John W. Nielsen
Alford R. Penniman
K. Craig Peterson
Bradner C. Riggs
Richard W. Vidal

EIGHTEENTH CIRCUIT

Circuit Judges

Carl F.J. Henninger, Chief Judge

William E. Black
John J. Bowman
John M. Darrah
Helen C. Kinney
Edward W. Kowal
Robert D. McLaren
Robert A. Nolan
Anthony M. Peccarelli
John S. Teschner

Associate Judges

Edmund P. Bart
Robert E. Byrne
Thomas E. Callum
Margaret O. Coffin
Kevin P. Connelly
Robert A. Cox
Philip J.R. Equi
Francis W. Faris, Jr.
Michael R. Galasso
Andrew C. Hayton
Donald J. Hennessy
Frederick Henzi
James W. Jerz
Roy F. Lawrence
S. Keith Lewis
Richard A. Lucas
Ronald B. Mehling
John J. Nelligan
Maryellen Provenzale
Charles E. Ruth
S. Bruce Scidmore
Charles W. Spencer
Duane G. Walter

NINETEENTH CIRCUIT

Circuit Judges

Fred A. Geiger, Chief Judge

William D. Block
Henry L. Cowlin
Bernard E. Drew, Jr.
John R. Goshgarian
Roland A. Herrmann
Jack Hoogasian
Lawrence D. Inglis
Charles F. Scott
Michael J. Sullivan

Associate Judges

Ward S. Arnold
Terrence J. Brady
Wallace B. Dunn
Conrad F. Floeter
James C. Franz
Michael John Fritz
Harry D. Hartel, Jr.
William F. Homer
Susan F. Hutchinson
E. Thomas Lang
Raymond J. McKoski
Gary Neddenriep
George W. Pease
Haskell M. Pitluck
John G. Radosevich
Emilio V. Santi
Thomas Schermerhorn
Alvin I. Singer
Henry C. Tonigan, III
Jane D. Waller
Stephen E. Walter
Albert L. Wysocki

TWENTIETH CIRCUIT

Circuit Judges

Patrick J. Fleming, Chief Judge

Robert Bastien
Carl H. Becker
Joseph F. Cunningham
Richard R. Goldenhersh
John J. Hoban
Richard A. Hudlin
Dennis R. Jacobsen
Lloyd Karmeier
Stephen M. Kernan
William Starnes

Associate Judges

Robert L. Craig
James K. Donovan
Jan V. Fiss
Jerry D. Flynn
Jerome F. Lopinot
Earle McCaskill
Sheila O'Brien
Michael J. O'Malley
Robert J. Saunders
Roger M. Scrivner
Glenn C. Stevens
Milton Wharton

TWENTY-FIRST CIRCUIT

Circuit Judges

Wayne C. Dyer, Chief Judge

James R. Blunk
Patrick M. Burns
Robert L. Dannehl
Daniel W. Gould
John F. Michela

Associate Judges

Roger A. Benson
Dwight W. McGrew
Edward A. McIntire

COOK COUNTY

Circuit Judges

Harry G. Comerford, Chief Judge

Wayne Andersen
Earl Arkiss
James M. Bailey
Peter Bakakos
Miriam D. Balanoff
Ronald J.P. Banks
Frank W. Barbaro
Carole K. Bellows
Vincent Bentivenga
Christy S. Berkos
Richard B. Berland
Edwin Berman
Walter B. Bieschke
Robert V. Boharic
Anthony Bosco
John M. Breen, Jr.
Martin F. Brodkin
Clarence Bryant
Henry A. Budzinski
Jerome T. Burke
Philip J. Carey
Thomas P. Cawley
David Cerda
Arthur J. Cieslik
Michael C. Close
Irwin Cohen
William Cousins, Jr.

*Retired Judge Recalled
§Assigned Appellate

Ronald Crane
John W. Crilly
Brian L. Crowe
John J. Crown
Richard L. Curry
Robert E. Cusack
Michael F. Czaja
Cornelius F. Dore, Jr.
Arthur L. Dunne
Charles J. Durham
*Morton C. Elden
Glynn T. Elliott, Jr.
Paul R. Elward
Robert D. Ericsson
Richard J. Fitzgerald
Thomas R. Fitzgerald
Thomas E. Flanagan
Lester D. Foreman
Allen A. Freeman
Marion W. Garnett
Lawrence Genesen
James A. Geocaris
*James A. Geroulis
Paul F. Gerrity
Michael Brennan Getty
Louis J. Giliberto
Kenneth E. Gillis
Francis Glowacki
Myron T. Gomberg
Leonard R. Grazian
Albert Green

Patrick S. Grossi
Charles J. Grupp
John W. Gustafson
Sophia H. Hall
Arthur N. Hamilton
Jacques Heilingoetter
Thomas A. Hett
James J. Heyda
Lawrence P. Hickey
Edward C. Hofert
Leo E. Holt
Mary Heftel Hooton
John N. Hourihane
Louis J. Hyde
Aaron Jaffe
Thomas J. Janczy
§Mel Richard Jiganti
Eddie C. Johnson
Sidney A. Jones III
Michael S. Jordan
Richard H. Jorzak
Donald Joyce
Aubrey F. Kaplan
Daniel J. Kelley
Roger J. Kiley, Jr.
Herman Knell
Marilyn R. Komosa
Walter J. Kowalski
Franklin I. Kral
Rosemary D. LaPorta
Willard J. Lassers

Jerome Lerner
Leonard Levin
Daniel J. Lynch
Robert G. Mackey
Benjamin S. Mackoff
Francis J. Mahon
Thomas J. Maloney
Blanch M. Manning
George M. Marovich
Edward H. Marsalek
John H. McCollum
Carl McCormick
Lester D. McCurrie
John J. McDonnell
John A. McElligott
*John P. McGury
Paddy McNamara
Jill K. McNulty
Howard M. Miller
Angelo D. Mistretta
Anthony S. Montelione
Gerald S. Murphy
James E. Murphy
§James C. Murray
*Benjamin Nelson
Odas Nicholson
Irving R. Norman
Benjamin Novoselsky
Thomas J. O'Brien
Donald P. O'Connell
Paul A. O'Malley
Frank Orlando
John J. O'Toole
Romie J. Palmer
William E. Peterson
Frank R. Petrone
Albert S. Porter
Will P. Prendergast
James S. Quinlan, Jr.
Thomas P. Quinn
Thomas R. Rakowski
Ellis E. Reid
Monica D. Reynolds
John W. Rogers
Joseph A. Salerno
Richard L. Samuels
Gerald L. Sbarboro
Stephen A. Schiller
Joseph Schneider
Anthony J. Scotillo
David J. Shields
Harold A. Siegan
Frank M. Siracusa
Robert L. Sklodowski
Dean J. Sodaro
Raymond C. Sodini
Irvin J. Solganick
Pasquale A. Sorrentino
*Harry S. Stark
Jack G. Stein
Adam N. Stillo
Earl E. Strayhorn
@Frank G. Sulewski
Harold W. Sullivan
James E. Sullivan
Fred G. Suria, Jr.
*Alfred B. Teton
Lucia T. Thomas
Michael P. Toomin
Dean M. Trafelet
*Raymond E. Trafelet
James Traina
John P. Tully
Anton J. Valukas
John V. Virgilio
*Eugene L. Wachowski
Alfred T. Walsh
Daniel S. Weber
Claude E. Whitaker
Alexander P. White
Daniel John White
Willie Whiting
Warren D. Wolfson
Joseph M. Wosik
James A. Zafiratos
George J. Zimmerman

*Retired Judge Recalled
§Assigned Appellate
@Deceased 11/22/86

Associate Judges

John J. Ahern
Charles A. Alfano
Harry B. Aron
Charles I. Barish
Francis Barth
Ronald R. Bartkowicz
Robert P. Bastone
John J. Beatty
Samuel S. Berger
John M. Berry
Janice L. Bierman
Michael B. Bolan
Lester A. Bonaguro
John E. Bowe
Everette A. Braden
Harry R. Buoscio
Edward R. Burr
Francis P. Butler
Robert Cahill
Eugene E. Campion
Brent F. Carlson
Lawrence W. Carroll
Joseph N. Casciato
Donna L. Cervini
James J. Chrastka
George Z. Chrones
Carl J. Cipalla
Kenneth J. Cohen
Thomas J. Condon
Mary M. Conrad
Joan M. Corboy
Peter F. Costa
Rosaland M. Crandell
Abishi C. Cunningham
Ronald S. Davis
Julia Quinn Dempsey
Barbara J. Disko
John J. Divane
Gino L. DiVito
James G. Donegan
Loretta C. Douglas
Richard E. Dowdle
Thomas P. Durkin
Edward M. Fiala, Jr.
Howard L. Fink
William Fitzpatrick
John M. Flaherty
Glenn C. Fowlkes
Lawrence P. Fox
Paul R. Foxgrover
Nello P. Gamberdino
Sheldon C. Garber
Jerome Garoon
Edwin A. Gausselin
Marvin E. Gavin
Francis A. Gembala
Will E. Gierach
Daniel P. Glecier
Rene Goier
Francis X. Golniewicz
Calvin H. Hall
Joseph W. Handy
James L. Harris
Miriam E. Harrison
Curtis Heaston
William J. Hibbler
Ronald A. Himel
Earl B. Hoffenberg
Thomas E. Hoffman

Martin F Hogan, Jr
Dennis M Horan
Ann Houser
Cornelius Houtsma, Jr
Arthur L Janura, Jr
Evelyn F Johnson
Themis N Karnezis
Howard R Kaufman
Richard A Kavitt
John T Keleher
R S Kelly
William A Kelly
Thaddeus L Kowalski
Robert W Krop
Richard A LaCien
John G Laurie
Charles C Leary
Mitchell Leikin
Philip S Lieb
Clarence S Lipnick
Daniel M Locallo
Charles M Loverde
Joseph M Macellaio
John K Madden
Ronald E Magnes
Jeffrey A Malak
John J Mannion
Wendell P Marbly
Charles M May
Brendan J McCooey
James P McCourt
Martin E McDonough
Michael E McNulty
James J Meehan
Frank W Meekins
Matthew J Moran
Patrick J Morse
John E Morrissey
James V Murphy, II
Michael J Murphy
Richard E Neville
Robert F Nix
Julia M Nowicki
Stuart A Nudelman
Daniel J O'Brien
John T O'Donnell
Ronald W Olson
Marcia B Orr
Donald D Panarese
Alfred J Paul
Saul Anthony Perdomo
Arthur C Perivolidis
Nicholas T Pomaro
Michael J Pope
Charles E Porcellino
Simon S Porter
Paul P Preston
Francis J Reilly
Robert Retke
Ralph Reyna
Wayne D Rhine
Edwin J Richardson
Adolphus D Rivers
Gerald T Rohrer
Joseph H Romano
Arthur Rosenblum
George W Rothschild
Susan S Ruffolo
Marvin H Ruttenberg
John R Ryan
R A Salzman
Norman Sands
Harvard T Savage
James M Schreier
Joseph R Schwaba
Anne O'Laughlin Scott
Thomas W Sherard
Philip M Sheridan
Robert M Smierciak
John M Sorrentino
Stewart D Spitzer
Marjan P Staniec
Bruno J Tassone
Mary Jane Theis
Morris Topol
Joseph J Urso
William F Ward, Jr
Cyril J Watson
Jack Arnold Welfeld
Daniel G Welter
Gene Wilens
Walter M Williams
Gerald T Winiecki
William S Wood
Robert R Wooldridge
Leo F Wrenn
Thomas J Wynn
Stephen R Yates
Nicholas Zagone
Michael C Zissman

RATIO OF FILINGS PER JUDGE IN THE CIRCUIT COURTS OF ILLINOIS DURING 1986

Circuit	Number of Counties	Population 1980 Census (Official Count)	Land Area (Square Miles)	Total Number of Cases Filed During 1986	Number of Judges*			Number of Cases Filed Per Judge
					Circuit	Associate	Total	
1st	9	212,393	3,242	50,742	14	5	19	2,670
2nd	12	215,509	4,796	43,168	15	4	19	2,270
3rd	2	263,895	1,114	67,007	8	9	17	3,942
4th	9	247,907	5,425	49,611	12	6	18	2,756
5th	5	197,914	2,885	43,167	10	3	13	3,321
6th	6	368,776	3,178	85,999	12	10	22	3,909
7th	6	306,616	3,485	81,737	11	8	19	4,302
8th	8	156,437	3,918	28,400	11	5	16	1,775
9th	6	197,464	3,904	38,490	9	6	15	2,566
10th	5	360,497	2,129	83,528	10	11	21	3,978
11th	5	240,917	3,863	67,406	8	8	16	4,213
12th	1	324,460	847	93,447	6	10	16	5,840
13th	3	178,835	2,453	35,251	7	6	13	2,712
14th	4	309,192	2,492	75,529	12	10	22	3,433
15th	5	174,501	3,136	38,762	8	6	14	2,769
16th	3	390,231	1,472	120,461	11	12	23	5,237
17th	2	279,514	803	85,225	7	10	17	5,013
18th	1	658,177	331	197,478	10	23	33	5,984
19th	2	588,096	1,068	202,500	10	22	32	6,328
20th	5	358,338	2,652	94,160	11	12	23	4,094
21st	2	135,902	1,800	31,086	6	3	9	3,454
Downstate Total	101	6,165,271	54,993	1,613,154	208	189	397	4,063
Cook County	1	5,253,190	954	2,052,152**	177	168	345	5,948
State Total	102	11,418,461	55,947	3,665,306**	385	357	742	4,940

*Count taken on December 31, 1986.

**Does not include Circuit Court of Cook County — District One (City of Chicago) "hang-on" (parking) tickets.

TREND OF ALL CASES IN THE CIRCUIT

Circuit	County		Law Over $15,000		Law $15,000 or less		Chancery	Miscellaneous Remedy	Eminent Domain	Tax	Municipal Corporations	Mental Health	Dissolution of
			Jury	Non-Jury	Jury	Non-Jury							
1st	Alexander	Pending at Start	15	14	1	46	38	15	0	24	2	0	3
		Filed	2	25	1	92	7	47	0	7	0	0	8
		Reinstated	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Transferred	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Net Added	2	25	1	92	7	47	0	7	0	0	8
		Disposed of	3	15	1	99	15	46	0	6	0	0	7
		Pending at End*	14	24	1	39	30	16	0	25	2	0	4
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	73%	33%	0	59%	70%	56%	0	72%	100%	0	51%
		Inventory (+ or −)	−1	+10	−	−7	−8	+1	0	+1	0	0	+1
1st	Jackson	Pending at Start	142	70	4	110	81	30	14	19	0	0	13
		Filed	90	68	2	246	64	53	1	23	0	1	28
		Reinstated	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	
		Transferred	+2	−2	+5	−5	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Net Added	92	66	7	241	65	53	3	23	0	1	28
		Disposed of	76	59	6	224	70	46	6	31	0	1	30
		Pending at End*	158	77	5	127	76	37	11	11	0	0	11
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	56%	39%	40%	13%	39%	41%	91%	0	0	0	21%
		Inventory (+ or −)	+16	+7	+1	+17	−5	+7	−3	−8	−	−	−1
1st	Johnson	Pending at Start	13	19	2	17	27	6	0	2	1	0	3
		Filed	11	11	1	25	8	32	0	2	0	0	10
		Reinstated	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Transferred	+5	−5	+1	−1	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Net Added	16	6	2	24	8	32	0	2	0	0	10
		Disposed of	9	12	2	29	18	33	0	2	0	0	10
		Pending at End*	20	13	2	12	17	5	0	2	1	0	3
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	82%	69%	50%	17%	65%	40%	0	50%	100%	0	21%
		Inventory (+ or −)	+7	−6	0	−5	−10	−1	0	0	0	0	−
1st	Massac	Pending at Start	17	8	3	16	41	13	0	18	2	0	3
		Filed	17	7	0	31	21	34	0	2	1	0	13
		Reinstated	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Transferred	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Net Added	17	7	0	31	21	34	0	2	1	0	13
		Disposed of	19	8	2	37	34	34	0	18	2	0	13
		Pending at End*	15	7	1	10	28	13	0	2	1	0	3
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	20%	43%	100%	30%	54%	15%	0	0	0	0	14%
		Inventory (+ or −)	−2	−1	−2	−6	−13	0	0	−16	−1	0	2
1st	Pope	Pending at Start	6	7	0	6	7	1	0	2	0	0	12
		Filed	1	7	0	9	5	8	0	0	1	0	22
		Reinstated	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Transferred	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Net Added	1	7	0	9	5	8	0	0	1	0	22
		Disposed of	3	4	0	3	5	8	0	1	0	0	22
		Pending at End*	3	11	0	12	7	1	0	1	1	0	12
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	100%	45%	0	50%	71%	0	0	100%	0	0	8%
		Inventory (+ or −)	−3	+4	0	+6	0	0	0	−1	+1	0	0
1st	Pulaski	Pending at Start	13	2	0	12	15	9	0	7	1	1	19
		Filed	7	5	0	14	22	15	0	3	1	0	51
		Reinstated	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
		Transferred	0	0	+1	−1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Net Added	7	5	1	13	22	15	0	3	1	0	52
		Disposed of	8	1	0	17	19	14	0	8	1	0	56
		Pending at End*	12	6	1	8	18	10	0	2	1	1	15
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	58%	33%	100%	38%	17%	40%	0	100%	100%	100%	13%
		Inventory (+ or −)	−1	+4	+1	−4	+3	+1	0	−5	0	0	−4

*Figure adjusted by reason of a physical inventory in an amount equal to the amount by which the number pending at end differs from the amount reported pending at start + or − intervening transactions

COURTS DURING 1986

Family	Juvenile	Felony	Misdemeanors	Small Claims	Probate	Ordinance Violations	Traffic Violations	Conservation Violations	Total		County	Circuit
72	38	41	114	18	189	—	—	—	658	Pending at Start	Alexander	1st
207	62	142	226	126	54	1	2,549	90	3,721	Filed		
1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	Reinstated		
0	−5	+5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
208	57	149	226	126	54	1	2,549	90	3,729	Net Added		
177	63	118	216	114	58	0	2,180	73	3,254	Disposed of		
103	37	42	129	30	186	—	—	—	727	Pending at End		
57%	38%	14%	26%	7%	74%	—	—	—	51%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
+31	−1	−11	+15	+12	−3	—	—	—	+69	Inventory (+ or −)		
137	75	231	279	246	350	—	—	—	1,920	Pending at Start	Jackson	1st
231	74	386	471	1,066	113	1,705	9,232	230	14,341	Filed		
0	0	45	0	0	0	0	0	0	48	Reinstated		
0	0	−5	+5	0	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
231	74	426	476	1,066	113	1,705	9,232	230	14,389	Net Added		
312	119	360	590	986	133	1,927	10,217	209	15,674	Disposed of		
56	30	297	164	326	344	—	—	—	1,834	Pending at End		
13%	10%	27%	33%	12%	72%	—	—	—	35%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
−81	−45	+66	−115	+80	−6	—	—	—	−86	Inventory (+ or −)		
4	13	28	37	20	46	—	—	—	274	Pending at Start	Johnson	1st
33	33	91	126	136	28	3	2,472	64	3,177	Filed		
0	0	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	6	Reinstated		
0	0	−20	+21	0	0	0	0	0	+1	Transferred		
33	33	74	150	136	28	3	2,472	64	3,184	Net Added		
30	21	74	138	120	13	8	2,358	87	3,056	Disposed of		
7	25	28	48	36	61	—	—	—	315	Pending at End		
14%	16%	0	6%	8%	59%	—	—	—	29%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
+3	+12	0	+11	+16	+15	—	—	—	+41	Inventory (+ or −)		
19	27	21	28	29	99	—	—	—	376	Pending at Start	Massac	1st
88	47	91	179	125	19	69	2,516	23	3,409	Filed		
0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	4	Reinstated		
0	0	−22	+22	0	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
88	47	71	203	125	19	69	2,516	23	3,413	Net Added		
87	63	58	174	113	30	69	2,528	33	3,446	Disposed of		
20	11	34	57	41	88	—	—	—	365	Pending at End		
35%	18%	6%	11%	22%	81%	—	—	—	35%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
1	−16	13	29	12	−11	—	—	—	−11	Inventory (+ or −)		
3	7	26	30	11	44	—	—	—	162	Pending at Start	Pope	1st
8	3	38	36	23	7	9	369	15	561	Filed		
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Reinstated		
0	0	−4	+4	0	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
8	3	34	40	23	7	9	369	15	561	Net Added		
6	2	45	51	26	13	2	321	13	525	Disposed of		
5	8	15	19	8	38	-	—	—	141	Pending at End		
60%	75%	7%	53%	13%	84%	—	—	—	52%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
+2	+1	−11	−11	−3	−6	—	—	—	−21	Inventory (+ or −)		
29	8	11	27	18	93	—	—	—	265	Pending at Start	Pulaski	1st
93	12	51	70	96	34	1	2,816	36	3,327	Filed		
0	0	7	1	0	1	0	0	0	10	Reinstated		
0	0	−13	+13	0	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
93	12	45	84	96	35	1	2,816	36	3,337	Net Added		
51	11	46	76	95	23	1	2,930	35	3,392	Disposed of		
71	9	10	35	19	106	—	—	—	324	Pending at End		
32%	78%	10%	37%	47%	71%	—	—	—	47%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
+42	+1	−1	+8	+1	+13	—	—	—	+59	Inventory (+ or −)		

TREND OF ALL CASES IN THE CIRCUIT

			Law Over $15,000		Law $15,000 or less								
Circuit	County		Jury	Non Jury	Jury	Non Jury	Chancery	Miscellaneous Remedy	Eminent Domain	Tax	Municipal Corporations	Mental Health	Dissolution of
1st	Saline	Pending at Start	64	49	4	88	53	62	0	32	0	0	6
		Filed	39	34	12	103	53	30	1	27	1	0	24
		Reinstated	5	7	0	11	6	6	0	4	0	0	1
		Transferred	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Net Added	44	41	12	114	59	36	1	31	1	0	26
		Disposed of	42	44	8	158	70	92	0	50	1	0	25
		Pending at End*	66	46	8	44	42	6	0	13	0	0	8
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	59%	35%	0	14%	24%	50%	0	0	0	0	19%
		Inventory (+ or −)	+2	−3	+4	−44	−11	−56	0	−19	0	0	+1
1st	Union	Pending at Start	50	13	3	24	39	41	0	10	0	12	3
		Filed	29	18	0	48	21	23	0	12	0	505	13
		Reinstated	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Transferred	+1	−1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Net Added	30	17	0	48	21	23	0	12	0	505	13
		Disposed of	28	10	1	32	17	39	0	6	0	509	12
		Pending at End*	55	18	3	40	45	26	0	17	0	8	4
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	56%	61%	100%	38%	71%	62%	0	47%	0	0	42%
		Inventory (+ or −)	+5	+5	0	+16	+6	−15	0	+7	0	−4	+7
1st	Williamson	Pending at Start	232	86	14	88	114	51	2	2	4	1	151
		Filed	102	81	9	238	93	47	1	2	2	0	399
		Reinstated	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Transferred	+13	−13	+4	−4	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Net Added	115	68	13	242	93	47	1	2	2	0	399
		Disposed of	130	38	15	226	141	66	4	2	5	1	376
		Pending at End*	217	116	12	96	66	32	0	2	1	0	174
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	57%	53%	33%	20%	35%	28%	0	50%	0	0	17%
		Inventory (+ or −)	−15	+30	−2	+8	−48	−19	−2	0	−3	−1	+23
1st	Circuit Total	Pending at Start	552	268	31	407	415	228	16	116	10	14	524
		Filed	298	256	25	806	294	289	3	78	6	506	1,458
		Reinstated	5	7	0	11	7	6	2	4	0	0	25
		Transferred	21	−21	11	−11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Net Added	324	242	36	806	301	295	5	82	6	506	1,483
		Disposed of	318	191	35	825	389	378	10	124	9	511	1,444
		Pending at End*	560	318	33	388	329	146	11	75	7	9	563
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	56%	46%	33%	24%	46%	41%	91%	43%	57%	11%	23%
		Inventory (+ or −)	+8	+50	+2	−19	−86	−82	−5	−41	−3	−5	+39
2nd	Crawford	Pending at Start	42	62	5	136	123	43	5	32	0	0	130
		Filed	17	32	0	97	61	30	4	6	0	3	160
		Reinstated	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Transferred	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Net Added	17	32	0	97	61	30	4	6	0	3	160
		Disposed of	20	24	2	62	46	23	1	17	0	3	200
		Pending at End*	39	70	3	171	138	50	8	21	0	0	90
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	69%	73%	100%	69%	70%	64%	63%	100%	0	0	67%
		Inventory (+ or −)	−3	+8	−2	+35	+15	+7	+3	−11	0	0	−40
2nd	Edwards	Pending at Start	4	32	0	54	77	15	1	5	11	0	25
		Filed	1	24	0	28	35	6	0	5	1	0	47
		Reinstated	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Transferred	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Net Added	1	24	0	28	35	6	0	5	1	0	47
		Disposed of	1	31	0	61	70	10	0	1	11	0	61
		Pending at End*	4	25	0	21	42	11	1	9	1	0	11
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	75%	48%	0	43%	50%	55%	100%	44%	0	0	18%
		Inventory (+ or −)	0	−7	0	−33	−35	−4	0	+4	−10	0	−14

---

*Figure adjusted by reason of a physical inventory in an amount equal to the amount by which the number pending at end differs from the amount reported pending at start + or − intervening transactions

COURTS DURING 1986

Family	Juvenile	Felony	Misdemeanors	Small Claims	Probate	Ordinance Violations	Traffic Violations	Conservation Violations	Total		County	Circuit
86	57	88	127	221	0	—	—	—	1,000	Pending at Start	Saline	1st
86	61	203	120	1055	65	805	2,642	29	5,608	Filed		
4	4	22	7	91	8	75	253	2	524	Reinstated		
0	0	−21	+21	0	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
90	65	204	148	1,146	73	880	2,895	31	6,132	Net Added		
133	70	222	172	1,042	94	721	2,935	30	6,134	Disposed of		
43	52	70	103	225	150	—	—	—	948	Pending at End		
35%	21%	6%	42%	11%	51%	—	—	—	29%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
−43	−5	−18	−24	+4	+150	—	—	—	−52	Inventory (+ or −)		
22	19	83	116	89	0	—	—	—	557	Pending at Start	Union	1st
56	33	110	183	292	58	6	2,723	37	4,290	Filed		
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Reinstated		
0	0	−14	+14	0	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
56	33	96	197	292	58	6	2,723	37	4,290	Net Added		
48	19	91	172	279	35	2	2,449	39	3,905	Disposed of		
28	33	88	136	105	0	—	—	—	645	Pending at End		
50%	39%	35%	51%	40%	89%	—	—	—	65%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
+6	+14	+5	+20	+16	0	—	—	—	+88	Inventory (+ or −)		
65	7	128	285	211	364	—	—	—	1,805	Pending at Start	Williamson	1st
177	63	313	820	1,114	132	97	8,495	123	12,308	Filed		
0	0	43	30	0	0	0	0	0	0	Reinstated		
0	0	−38	+38	0	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
177	63	318	888	1,114	132	97	8,495	123	12,308	Net Added		
200	36	258	723	1,098	89	76	8,420	154	12,058	Disposed of		
42	34	188	450	227	378	—	—	—	2,035	Pending at End		
33%	0	11%	22%	1%	70%	—	—	—	33%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
−23	+27	+60	+165	+16	+14	—	—	—	+230	Inventory (+ or −)		
437	251	657	1,043	863	1,185	—	—	—	7,017	Pending at Start	Circuit Total	1st
979	388	1,425	2,231	4,033	510	2,696	33,814	647	50,742	Filed		
5	4	124	43	91	9	75	253	2	673	Reinstated		
0	0	−142	143	0	0	—	—	—	+1	Transferred		
984	392	1,407	2,417	4,124	519	2,771	34,067	649	51,416	Net Added		
1,044	404	1,272	2,312	3,873	488	2,806	34,338	673	51,444	Disposed of		
375	239	772	1,141	1,017	1,351	—	—	—	7,334	Pending at End		
38%	25%	19%	73%	13%	75%	—	—	—	40%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
−62	−12	+115	+98	+154	+166	—	—	—	+317	Inventory (+ or −)		
92	32	39	123	318	451	—	—	—	1,633	Pending at Start	Crawford	2nd
48	29	101	251	592	112	238	2,631	42	4,454	Filed		
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Reinstated		
0	0	−21	+21	0	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
48	29	80	272	592	112	238	2,631	42	4,454	Net Added		
48	20	99	273	542	96	199	2,474	30	4,179	Disposed of		
92	41	20	122	368	467	—	—	—	1,700	Pending at End		
61%	61%	10%	67%	55%	76%	—	—	—	66%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
0	+9	−19	−1	+50	+16	—	—	—	+67	Inventory (+ or −)		
27	27	14	88	110	126	—	—	—	616	Pending at Start	Edwards	2nd
33	10	39	67	115	23	12	681	34	1,161	Filed		
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Reinstated		
0	0	−4	+4	0	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
33	10	35	71	115	23	12	681	34	1,161	Net Added		
40	36	29	129	105	88	10	665	18	1,366	Disposed of		
20	1	19	31	120	61	—	—	—	377	Pending at End		
20%	100%	11%	48%	71%	77%	—	—	—	56%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
−7	−26	+5	−57	+10	−65	—	—	—	−239	Inventory (+ or −)		

TREND OF ALL CASES IN THE CIRCUIT

Circuit	County		Law Over $15,000		Law $15,000 or less		Chancery	Miscellaneous Remedy	Eminent Domain	Tax	Municipal Corporations	Mental Health	Dissolution of Marriage
			Jury	Non-Jury	Jury	Non-Jury							
2nd	Franklin	Pending at Start	172	48	7	186	177	41	0	16	6	2	138
		Filed	101	39	0	128	76	40	2	5	6	2	372
		Reinstated	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Transferred	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Net Added	101	40	0	128	76	40	2	5	6	2	372
		Disposed of	85	43	2	215	144	41	0	8	5	3	356
		Pending at End*	188	45	5	99	109	40	2	13	7	1	154
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	45%	58%	60%	31%	37%	28%	0	85%	57%	0	5%
		Inventory (+ or −)	+16	−3	−2	−87	−68	−1	+2	−3	+1	−1	+16
2nd	Gallatin	Pending at Start	23	6	3	55	31	2	0	28	0	0	26
		Filed	10	6	0	14	19	14	0	6	0	1	22
		Reinstated	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Transferred	+1	−1	+1	−1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Net Added	11	5	1	13	19	14	0	6	0	1	22
		Disposed of	10	3	1	47	9	12	0	25	0	1	16
		Pending at End*	24	8	5	21	41	4	0	9	0	0	32
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	38%	63%	80%	76%	63%	50%	0	89%	0	0	72%
		Inventory (+ or −)	+1	+2	+2	−34	+10	+2	0	−19	0	0	+6
2nd	Hamilton	Pending at Start	15	14	3	15	35	10	0	0	0	0	16
		Filed	4	13	0	14	19	4	0	0	0	0	39
		Reinstated	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Transferred	+1	−1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Net Added	5	12	0	14	19	4	0	0	0	0	39
		Disposed of	4	12	2	17	24	7	0	0	0	0	40
		Pending at End*	16	14	1	12	30	7	0	0	0	0	15
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	73%	60%	100%	50%	50%	71%	0	0	0	0	40%
		Inventory (+ or −)	+1	0	−2	−3	−5	−3	0	0	0	0	−1
2nd	Hardin	Pending at Start	13	10	1	4	16	12	0	17	0	1	21
		Filed	5	3	0	4	7	3	7	4	0	0	31
		Reinstated	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Transferred	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Net Added	5	3	0	4	7	3	7	4	0	0	31
		Disposed of	1	5	1	5	6	3	0	16	0	0	35
		Pending at End*	17	8	0	3	17	12	7	7	0	1	15
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	71%	88%	0	67%	76%	75%	0	57%	0	100%	47%
		Inventory (+ or −)	+4	−2	−1	−1	+1	0	7	−10	0	0	−6
2nd	Jefferson	Pending at Start	105	80	9	282	105	30	8	44	4	0	112
		Filed	61	52	1	164	70	50	4	3	1	6	329
		Reinstated	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Transferred	+7	−7	+1	−1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Net Added	68	45	2	163	70	50	4	3	1	6	329
		Disposed of	50	34	2	131	52	31	0	6	0	3	293
		Pending at End*	123	91	9	314	123	49	12	41	5	3	148
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	79%	87%	0	76%	66%	61%	100%	93%	80%	0	54%
		Inventory (+ or −)	+18	+11	0	+32	+18	+19	+4	−3	+1	+3	+36
2nd	Lawrence	Pending at Start	34	40	3	67	73	33	1	26	0	0	84
		Filed	15	13	1	48	28	21	0	7	0	0	103
		Reinstated	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Transferred	+2	−2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Net Added	17	11	1	48	28	21	0	7	0	0	103
		Disposed of	14	27	0	65	38	21	0	29	0	0	138
		Pending at End*	37	24	4	50	63	33	1	4	0	0	49
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	57%	71%	75%	42%	73%	61%	100%	50%	0	0	33%
		Inventory (+ or −)	+3	−16	+1	−17	−10	0	0	−22	0	0	−35

*Figure adjusted by reason of a physical inventory in an amount equal to the amount by which the number pending at end differs from the amount reported pending at start + or − intervening transactions.

Family	Juvenile	Felony	Misdemeanors	Small Claims	Probate	Ordinance Violations	Traffic Violations	Conservation Violations	Total		County	Circuit
129	30	83	121	124	0	—	—	—	1,280	Pending at Start	Franklin	2nd
160	70	210	586	599	142	18	4,791	125	7,472	Filed		
0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	Reinstated		
0	0	− 23	+ 23	0	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
160	70	187	609	599	143	18	4,791	125	7,474	Net Added		
79	50	167	531	506	154	17	5,015	132	7,553	Disposed of		
210	50	121	181	217	0	—	—	—	1,442	Pending at End		
26%	14%	15%	13%	27%	68%	—	—	—	37%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
+ 81	+ 20	+ 38	+ 60	+ 93	0	—	—	—	+ 162	Inventory (+ or −)		
20	19	25	168	43	226	—	—	—	675	Pending at Start	Gallatin	2nd
27	9	30	105	125	31	64	908	23	1,414	Filed		
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	Reinstated		
0	0	− 8	+ 8	0	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
27	9	22	113	125	31	64	908	23	1,416	Net Added		
30	8	26	124	106	154	161	817	119	1,669	Disposed of		
17	20	21	157	62	102	—	—	—	523	Pending at End		
71%	85%	62%	82%	48%	72%	—	—	—	71%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
− 3	+ 1	− 4	− 11	+ 19	− 124	—	—	—	− 152	Inventory (+ or −)		
4	7	20	21	70	74	—	—	—	304	Pending at Start	Hamilton	2nd
27	3	26	61	148	32	3	706	19	1,118	Filed		
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Reinstated		
0	0	0	+ 1	0	0	0	0	0	1	Transferred		
27	3	26	62	148	32	3	706	19	1,119	Net Added		
15	4	32	73	156	38	2	693	17	1,136	Disposed of		
16	6	14	8	62	68	—	—	—	269	Pending at End		
25%	67%	21%	13%	55%	60%	—	—	—	53%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
+ 12	− 1	− 6	− 13	− 8	− 6	—	—	—	− 35	Inventory (+ or −)		
19	19	15	26	72	42	—	—	—	288	Pending at Start	Hardin	2nd
15	5	18	26	32	19	0	296	5	480	Filed		
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Reinstated		
0	0	− 6	+ 6	0	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
15	5	12	32	32	19	0	296	5	480	Net Added		
6	5	26	40	43	16	0	228	5	441	Disposed of		
28	23	1	14	61	45	—	—	—	259	Pending at End		
54%	74%	0	14%	61%	64%	—	—	—	60%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
9	4	− 14	− 12	− 11	+ 3	—	—	—	− 29	Inventory (+ or −)		
111	72	82	259	665	73	—	—	—	2,041	Pending at Start	Jefferson	2nd
144	56	188	396	894	101	264	5,489	82	8,355	Filed		
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Reinstated		
0	0	− 13	+ 13	0	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
144	56	175	409	894	101	264	5,489	82	8,355	Net Added		
111	63	165	347	1,170	81	234	5,254	58	8,085	Disposed of		
144	65	93	318	389	85	—	—	—	2,012	Pending at End		
68%	52%	39%	71%	29%	85%	—	—	—	59%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
+ 33	− 7	+ 11	+ 59	− 276	+ 12	—	—	—	− 29	Inventory (+ or −)		
77	21	36	158	200	564	—	—	—	1,417	Pending at Start	Lawrence	2nd
59	20	78	295	254	62	60	2,198	59	3,321	Filed		
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Reinstated		
0	0	− 13	+ 13	0	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
59	20	65	308	254	62	60	2,198	59	3,321	Net Added		
42	12	62	362	182	35	58	2,116	62	3,263	Disposed of		
94	29	39	104	272	591	—	—	—	1,394	Pending at End		
78%	66%	8%	23%	63%	91%	—	—	—	70%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
+ 17	+ 8	+ 3	− 54	+ 72	+ 27	—	—	—	− 23	Inventory (+ or −)		

TREND OF ALL CASES IN THE CIRCUIT

Circuit	County		Law Over $15,000		Law $15,000 or less		Chancery	Miscellaneous Remedy	Eminent Domain	Tax	Municipal Corporations	Mental Health	Dissolution of Marriage
			Jury	Non-Jury	Jury	Non-Jury							
2nd	Richland	Pending at Start	46	69	4	93	55	15	0	10	3	0	52
		Filed	20	20	0	130	34	16	0	4	0	3	101
		Reinstated	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Transferred	+ 5	− 5	+ 4	− 4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Net Added	25	19	4	126	34	16	0	4	0	3	101
		Disposed of	19	18	2	103	27	8	0	0	0	3	111
		Pending at End*	52	67	6	116	62	23	0	14	3	0	42
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	60%	85%	100%	56%	61%	52%	0	71%	100%	0	50%
		Inventory (+ or −)	+ 6	− 2	+ 2	+ 23	+ 7	+ 8	0	+ 4	0	0	− 10
2nd	Wabash	Pending at Start	20	14	11	26	54	13	0	8	0	0	62
		Filed	5	31	0	64	23	18	0	1	1	1	101
		Reinstated	0	2	0	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	39
		Transferred	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Net Added	5	33	0	67	24	18	0	1	1	1	140
		Disposed of	8	26	7	49	46	20	0	9	1	0	139
		Pending at End*	17	21	4	44	32	11	0	0	0	1	63
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	70%	43%	25%	7%	53%	36%	0	0	0	0	44%
		Inventory (+ or −)	− 3	+ 7	− 7	+ 18	− 22	− 2	0	− 8	0	+ 1	+ 1
2nd	Wayne	Pending at Start	30	40	5	94	92	11	0	4	2	0	39
		Filed	12	55	4	121	75	16	0	0	0	0	113
		Reinstated	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Transferred	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Net Added	12	55	4	121	75	16	0	0	0	0	113
		Disposed of	12	33	3	92	46	16	0	0	1	0	96
		Pending at End*	28	61	5	119	121	12	0	4	1	0	53
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	61%	44%	60%	54%	56%	75%	0	100%	100%	0	55%
		Inventory (+ or −)	− 2	+ 21	0	+ 25	+ 29	+ 1	0	0	− 1	0	+ 14
2nd	White	Pending at Start	30	42	4	68	76	11	10	14	3	0	63
		Filed	21	26	0	65	68	9	1	0	1	0	123
		Reinstated	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Transferred	+ 2	− 2	+ 1	− 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Net Added	23	24	1	64	68	9	1	0	1	0	123
		Disposed of	12	28	2	54	41	8	5	0	1	0	118
		Pending at End*	41	38	3	78	103	12	6	14	3	0	68
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	51%	55%	67%	51%	51%	75%	83%	100%	100%	0	22%
		Inventory (+ or −)	+ 11	− 4	− 1	+ 10	+ 27	+ 1	− 4	0	0	0	+ 5
2nd	Circuit Total	Pending at Start	534	457	55	1,080	914	236	25	204	29	3	768
		Filed	272	314	6	877	515	227	18	41	10	16	1,541
		Reinstated	0	4	2	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	39
		Transferred	18	− 18	7	− 7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Net Added	290	300	15	873	516	227	18	41	10	16	1,580
		Disposed of	236	284	24	901	549	200	6	111	19	13	1,603
		Pending at End*	586	472	45	1,048	881	264	37	136	20	6	740
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	56%	66%	78%	59%	58%	56%	65%	85%	75%	17%	41%
		Inventory (+ or −)	+ 52	+ 15	− 10	− 32	− 33	+ 28	+ 12	− 68	− 9	+ 3	− 28
3rd	Bond	Pending at Start	27	18	2	11	17	4	0	6	0	0	25
		Filed	7	18	1	20	17	10	0	2	0	7	67
		Reinstated	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Transferred	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Net Added	7	18	1	20	17	10	0	2	0	7	67
		Disposed of	12	7	0	9	10	5	0	0	0	7	53
		Pending at End*	27	21	4	18	20	6	0	8	0	0	21
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	67%	52%	75%	22%	55%	50%	0	75%	0	0	38%
		Inventory (+ or −)	0	+ 3	+ 2	+ 7	+ 3	+ 2	0	+ 2	0	0	− 4

*Figure adjusted by reason of a physical inventory in an amount equal to the amount by which the number pending at end differs from the amount reported pending at start + or − intervening transactions.

COURTS DURING 1986

Family	Juvenile	Felony	Misdemeanors	Small Claims	Probate	Ordinance Violations	Traffic Violations	Conservation Violations	Total		County	Circuit
59	22	39	117	182	217	—	—	—	983	Pending at Start	Richland	2nd
69	18	138	474	581	63	100	2,700	32	4,503	Filed		
0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	Reinstated		
0	0	− 12	+ 12	0	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
69	18	126	486	581	63	100	2,700	32	4,505	Net Added		
58	20	101	415	564	49	72	2,986	16	4,572	Disposed of		
70	20	64	189	199	231	—	—	—	1,158	Pending at End		
40%	45%	19%	10%	42%	74%	—	—	—	49%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
+ 11	− 2	+ 25	+ 72	+ 17	+ 14	—	—	—	+ 175	Inventory (+ or −)		
51	49	22	128	95	184	—	—	—	737	Pending at Start	Wabash	2nd
53	48	72	321	286	43	174	1,331	46	2,619	Filed		
1	0	2	5	2	1	0	0	0	56	Reinstated		
0	0	− 8	+ 8	0	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
54	48	66	334	288	44	174	1,331	46	2,675	Net Added		
18	35	57	313	282	38	84	1,094	22	2,248	Disposed of		
87	62	31	150	101	190	—	—	—	814	Pending at End		
66%	50%	3%	31%	5%	90%	—	—	—	47%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
+ 36	+ 13	+ 9	+ 22	+ 6	+ 6	—	—	—	77	Inventory (+ or −)		
41	13	23	51	313	149	—	—	—	907	Pending at Start	Wayne	2nd
70	40	59	130	415	51	40	2,327	49	3,577	Filed		
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Reinstated		
0	0	− 4	+ 4	0	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
70	40	55	134	415	51	40	2,327	49	3,577	Net Added		
40	34	50	134	362	52	38	2,390	31	3,430	Disposed of		
69	21	29	57	346	154	—	—	—	1,080	Pending at End		
52%	52%	21%	35%	76%	77%	—	—	—	63%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
+ 28	+ 8	+ 6	+ 6	+ 33	+ 5	—	—	—	173	Inventory (+ or −)		
35	32	18	36	131	209	—	—	—	782	Pending at Start	White	2nd
89	33	108	278	444	67	32	3,294	35	4,694	Filed		
0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	Reinstated		
0	0	− 6	+ 6	0	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
89	33	104	284	444	67	32	3,294	35	4,696	Net Added		
86	39	71	269	448	126	32	3,160	35	4,535	Disposed of		
38	26	51	51	127	150	—	—	—	809	Pending at End		
50%	27%	16%	8%	14%	71%	—	—	—	45%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
+ 3	− 6	+ 33	+ 15	− 4	− 59	—	—	—	+ 27	Inventory (+ or −)		
665	343	416	1,296	2,323	2,315	—	—	—	11,663	Pending at Start	Circuit Total	2nd
794	341	1,067	2,990	4,485	746	1,005	27,352	551	43,168	Filed		
1	0	4	6	2	2	0	0	0	64	Reinstated		
0	0	− 118	119	0	0	0	0	0	+ 1	Transferred		
795	341	953	3,115	4,487	748	1,005	27,352	551	43,233	Net Added		
573	326	885	3,010	4,466	927	907	26,892	545	42,477	Disposed of		
885	364	503	1,382	2,324	2,144	—	—	—	11,837	Pending at End		
56%	50%	20%	35%	43%	79%	—	—	—	81%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
+ 220	+ 21	+ 87	+ 86	+ 1	− 171	—	—	—	+ 174	Inventory (+ or −)		
19	15	44	105	146	134	—	—	—	573	Pending at Start	Bond	3rd
22	23	66	156	268	51	12	1,218	39	2,004	Filed		
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Reinstated		
0	0	− 5	+ 5	0	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
22	23	61	161	268	51	12	1,218	39	2,004	Net Added		
11	17	72	184	221	37	7	1,282	27	1,961	Disposed of		
12	14	24	69	169	141	—	—	—	554	Pending at End		
67%	64%	38%	55%	49%	72%	—	—	—	56%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
− 7	− 1	− 20	− 36	+ 23	+ 7	—	—	—	− 19	Inventory (+ or −)		

TREND OF ALL CASES IN THE CIRCUIT

Circuit	County		Law Over $15,000		Law $15,000 or less		Chancery	Miscellaneous Remedy	Eminent Domain	Tax	Municipal Corporations	Mental Health	Dissolution of
			Jury	Non-Jury	Jury	Non-Jury							
3rd	Madison	Pending at Start	1,918	690	228	557	413	291	82	0	0	14	1,07
		Filed	564	2,239	50	646	374	445	40	0	0	635	1,71
		Reinstated	15	11	5	7	4	3	1	0	0	0	
		Transferred	+456	−456	+70	−70	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Net Added	1,035	1,794	125	583	378	448	41	0	0	635	1,71
		Disposed of	960	311	126	455	360	400	25	0	0	642	1,53
		Pending at End*	1,993	2,173	227	685	431	339	98	0	0	7	1,25
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	62%	20%	58%	48%	54%	45%	67%	0	0	0	59
		Inventory (+ or −)	+75	+1,483	−1	+128	+18	+48	+16	0	0	−7	+18
3rd	Circuit Total	Pending at Start	1,945	708	230	568	430	295	82	6	0	14	1,10
		Filed	571	2,257	51	666	391	455	40	2	0	642	1,78
		Reinstated	15	11	5	7	4	3	1	0	0	0	
		Transferred	456	−456	70	−70	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Net Added	1,042	1,812	126	603	395	458	41	2	0	642	1,78
		Disposed of	972	318	126	464	370	405	25	0	0	649	1,59
		Pending at End*	2,020	2,194	231	703	451	345	98	8	0	7	1,27
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	62%	20%	66%	47%	54%	45%	67%	75%	0	0	58
		Inventory (+ or −)	+75	+1,486	+1	+135	+21	+50	+16	+2	0	−7	+17
4th	Christian	Pending at Start	61	40	4	86	118	26	6	12	35	45	9
		Filed	25	30	0	116	56	23	3	1	0	10	21
		Reinstated	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Transferred	+4	−4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Net Added	29	26	0	116	56	23	3	1	0	10	21
		Disposed of	28	20	2	90	46	11	3	0	0	0	19
		Pending at End*	62	46	2	112	128	38	6	13	35	55	11
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	56%	50%	100%	57%	67%	58%	100%	92%	100%	82%	33
		Inventory (+ or −)	+1	+6	−2	+26	+10	+12	0	+1	0	+10	+2
4th	Clay	Pending at Start	21	21	3	25	68	5	0	34	0	0	3
		Filed	11	11	0	47	35	22	2	4	0	0	9
		Reinstated	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Transferred	+4	−4	+2	−2	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Net Added	15	7	2	45	35	22	2	4	0	0	9
		Disposed of	13	18	2	43	65	21	1	29	0	0	10
		Pending at End*	23	10	3	27	38	6	1	9	0	0	1
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	48%	70%	67%	30%	39%	33%	0	67%	0	0	16
		Inventory (+ or −)	+2	−11	0	+2	−30	+1	+1	−25	0	0	−
4th	Clinton	Pending at Start	38	34	3	133	54	6	3	27	4	1	6
		Filed	38	31	2	83	45	25	2	4	2	0	9
		Reinstated	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Transferred	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Net Added	38	31	2	83	45	25	2	4	2	0	9
		Disposed of	30	23	3	106	64	26	4	18	1	0	11
		Pending at End*	46	42	2	110	35	5	1	13	5	1	4
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	33%	45%	50%	55%	43%	20%	0	69%	80%	100%	35
		Inventory (+ or −)	+8	+8	−1	−23	−19	−1	−2	−14	+1	0	−
4th	Effingham	Pending at Start	73	34	8	79	27	15	0	5	2	0	5
		Filed	36	26	2	81	32	55	2	11	2	1	15
		Reinstated	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Transferred	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Net Added	36	26	2	81	32	55	2	11	2	1	15
		Disposed of	38	27	7	73	23	51	2	10	0	0	14
		Pending at End*	71	33	3	87	36	19	0	6	4	1	7
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	54%	61%	100%	62%	39%	42%	0	50%	50%	0	29
		Inventory (+ or −)	−2	−1	−5	+8	+9	+4	0	+1	+2	+1	+1

*Figure adjusted by reason of a physical inventory in an amount equal to the amount by which the number pending at end differs from the amount reported pending at start + or − intervening transactions.

COURTS DURING 1986

Family	Juvenile	Felony	Misdemeanors	Small Claims	Probate	Ordinance Violations	Traffic Violations	Conservation Violations	Total		County	Circuit
2,329	485	283	3,602	2,295	2,699	—	—	—	16,964	Pending at Start	Madison	3rd
1,301	665	1,035	5,870	6,485	740	4,324	37,736	137	65,003	Filed		
0	0	63	0	0	0	0	0	0	109	Reinstated		
0	0	− 135	+ 135	0	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
1,301	665	963	6,005	6,485	740	4,324	37,736	137	65,112	Net Added		
1,142	807	847	5,630	5,692	564	4,268	37,002	104	60,892	Disposed of		
2,488	343	399	3,977	3,088	2,875	—	—	—	20,381	Pending at End		
83%	3%	4%	39%	19%	77%	—	—	—	48%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
+ 159	− 142	+ 116	+ 375	+ 793	+ 176	—	—	—	+ 3,417	Inventory (+ or −)		
2,348	500	327	3,707	2,441	2,833	—	—	—	17,537	Pending at Start	Circuit Total	3rd
1,323	688	1,101	6,026	6,753	791	4,336	38,954	176	67,007	Filed		
0	0	63	0	0	0	0	0	0	109	Reinstated		
0	0	− 140	140	0	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
1,323	688	1,024	6,166	6,753	791	4,336	38,954	176	67,116	Net Added		
1,153	824	919	5,814	5,913	601	4,275	38,304	131	62,853	Disposed of		
2,500	357	423	4,046	3,257	3,016	—	—	—	20,935	Pending at End		
83%	5%	6%	39%	20%	76%	—	—	—	48%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
+ 152	− 143	+ 96	+ 339	+ 816	+ 183	—	—	—	+ 3,398	Inventory (+ or −)		
41	72	25	100	270	638	—	—	—	1,671	Pending at Start	Christian	4th
122	111	95	382	828	184	58	3,737	274	6,272	Filed		
0	0	3	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	Reinstated		
0	0	− 6	+ 6	0	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
122	111	92	394	828	184	58	3,737	274	6,272	Net Added		
105	100	75	398	731	264	60	3,974	259	6,358	Disposed of		
58	83	42	96	367	580	—	—	—	1,840	Pending at End		
31%	35%	5%	5%	59%	70%	—	—	—	57%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
+ 17	+ 11	+ 17	− 4	+ 97	− 58	—	—	—	+ 169	Inventory (+ or −)		
8	8	22	48	248	280	—	—	—	826	Pending at Start	Clay	4th
53	20	59	127	277	87	11	1,129	42	2,028	Filed		
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Reinstated		
0	0	− 1	+ 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
53	20	58	128	277	87	11	1,129	42	2,028	Net Added		
45	21	69	146	438	127	11	1,152	18	2,326	Disposed of		
16	7	11	30	87	240	—	—	—	527	Pending at End		
13%	14%	0	23%	43%	73%	—	—	—	52%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
+ 8	− 1	− 11	− 18	− 161	− 40	—	—	—	− 299	Inventory (+ or −)		
43	13	31	142	584	810	—	—	—	1,992	Pending at Start	Clinton	4th
62	46	109	572	342	107	3	3,937	245	5,753	Filed		
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Reinstated		
0	0	− 9	+ 9	0	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
62	46	100	581	342	107	3	3,937	245	5,753	Net Added		
62	50	94	566	786	439	12	3,950	209	6,559	Disposed of		
43	9	37	131	140	479	—	—	—	1,147	Pending at End		
30%	0	5%	0	36%	84%	—	—	—	53%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
0	− 4	+ 6	− 11	− 444	− 331	—	—	—	− 845	Inventory (+ or −)		
66	11	48	162	103	317	—	—	—	1,008	Pending at Start	Effingham	4th
96	33	116	535	638	121	22	5,431	8	7,407	Filed		
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Reinstated		
0	0	− 9	+ 9	0	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
96	33	107	544	638	121	22	5,431	8	7,407	Net Added		
79	32	116	494	618	88	25	5,010	7	6,847	Disposed of		
83	12	39	212	123	350	—	—	—	1,149	Pending at End		
61%	33%	5%	30%	6%	68%	—	—	—	46%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
+ 17	+ 1	− 9	+ 50	+ 20	+ 33	—	—	—	+ 141	Inventory (+ or −)		

Circuit	County		Law Over $15,000		Law $15,000 or less		Chancery	Miscellaneous Remedy	Eminent Domain	Tax	Municipal Corporations	Mental Health	Dissolution of
			Jury	Non Jury	Jury	Non Jury							
4th	Fayette	Pending at Start	37	37	4	59	112	60	1	26	9	1	14
		Filed	23	29	11	72	45	30	0	7	2	2	16
		Reinstated	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Transferred	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Net Added	23	29	11	72	45	30	0	7	2	2	16
		Disposed of	31	30	10	47	40	42	0	10	5	0	16
		Pending at End*	29	36	5	84	117	48	1	23	6	3	13
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	48%	28%	60%	55%	78%	94%	100%	87%	67%	33%	72%
		Inventory (+ or −)	− 8	− 1	+ 1	+ 25	+ 5	− 12	0	− 3	− 3	+ 2	−
4th	Jasper	Pending at Start	18	12	2	49	87	6	0	3	0	0	2
		Filed	5	22	1	29	32	6	1	2	0	0	4
		Reinstated	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Transferred	+ 1	− 1	+ 1	− 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Net Added	6	21	2	28	32	6	1	2	0	0	4
		Disposed of	15	26	4	64	72	9	0	2	0	0	5
		Pending at End*	9	7	0	13	47	3	1	3	0	0	1
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	56%	43%	0	15%	49%	0	0	67%	0	0	40%
		Inventory (+ or −)	− 9	− 5	− 2	− 36	− 40	− 3	+ 1	0	0	0	− 1
4th	Marion	Pending at Start	87	121	18	57	137	49	3	60	2	0	22
		Filed	101	61	5	121	67	38	9	3	0	0	29
		Reinstated	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Transferred	+ 10	− 10	+ 1	− 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Net Added	111	51	6	120	67	38	9	3	0	0	29
		Disposed of	89	96	5	101	139	67	6	62	2	0	36
		Pending at End*	132	56	6	72	65	16	3	1	0	0	16
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	28%	25%	33%	29%	32%	38%	0	100%	0	0	34%
		Inventory (+ or −)	+ 45	− 65	− 12	+ 15	− 72	− 33	0	− 59	− 2	0	− 6
4th	Montgomery	Pending at Start	56	57	6	83	61	31	1	34	1	0	7
		Filed	29	56	1	62	39	69	1	13	0	5	20
		Reinstated	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Transferred	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Net Added	29	56	1	62	39	69	1	13	0	5	20
		Disposed of	28	48	5	69	32	69	1	22	1	5	20
		Pending at End*	52	60	2	76	68	31	1	25	0	0	7
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	58%	28%	100%	54%	49%	23%	100%	64%	0	0	8%
		Inventory (+ or −)	− 4	+ 3	− 4	− 7	+ 7	0	0	− 9	− 1	0	−
4th	Shelby	Pending at Start	29	16	0	28	38	97	4	13	6	3	3
		Filed	12	12	2	42	16	21	0	11	3	5	8
		Reinstated	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Transferred	0	0	+ 1	− 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Net Added	12	12	3	41	16	21	0	11	3	5	8
		Disposed of	11	14	1	36	8	6	0	12	3	9	9
		Pending at End*	30	14	2	33	46	112	4	12	6	7	2
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	60%	36%	50%	33%	72%	86%	100%	42%	100%	43%	
		Inventory (+ or −)	+ 1	− 2	+ 2	+ 5	+ 8	+ 15	0	− 1	0	+ 4	− 1
4th	Circuit Total	Pending at Start	420	372	48	599	702	295	18	214	59	50	74
		Filed	280	278	24	653	367	289	20	56	9	23	1,35
		Reinstated	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Transferred	19	− 19	5	− 5	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Net Added	299	259	29	648	367	289	20	56	9	23	1,35
		Disposed of	283	302	39	629	489	302	17	165	12	14	1,45
		Pending at End*	454	304	25	614	580	278	18	105	56	67	65
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	45%	39%	64%	50%	57%	67%	67%	70%	91%	75%	37
		Inventory (+ or −)	+ 34	− 68	− 23	+ 15	− 122	− 17	0	− 109	− 3	+ 17	− 9

---

*Figure adjusted by reason of a physical inventory in an amount equal to the amount by which the number pending at end differs from the amount reported pending at start + or − intervening transactions

COURTS DURING 1986

Family	Juvenile	Felony	Misdemeanors	Small Claims	Probate	Ordinance Violations	Traffic Violations	Conservation Violations	Total		County	Circuit
85	11	23	127	357	269	—	—	—	1,358	Pending at Start	Fayette	4th
42	65	92	289	588	123	41	3,315	73	5,016	Filed		
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Reinstated		
0	0	-3	+3	0	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
42	65	89	292	588	123	41	3,315	73	5,016	Net Added		
64	65	81	326	617	123	35	3,415	63	5,173	Disposed of		
63	11	31	93	328	269	—	—	—	1,285	Pending at End		
75%	0	10%	10%	62%	64%	—	—	—	60%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
-22	0	+8	-34	-29	0	—	—	—	-73	Inventory (+ or -)		
18	32	20	40	89	80	—	—	—	483	Pending at Start	Jasper	4th
41	43	50	151	152	43	35	1,814	41	2,513	Filed		
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Reinstated		
0	0	-14	+14	0	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
41	43	36	165	152	43	35	1,814	41	2,513	Net Added		
35	56	38	181	209	63	37	1,757	39	2,664	Disposed of		
24	19	18	24	32	60	—	—	—	275	Pending at End		
46%	26%	11%	4%	6%	58%	—	—	—	35%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
+6	-13	-2	-16	-57	-20	—	—	—	-208	Inventory (+ or -)		
373	67	91	247	154	1,013	—	—	—	2,702	Pending at Start	Marion	4th
136	91	167	405	780	169	126	8,342	29	10,942	Filed		
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Reinstated		
0	0	-13	+13	0	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
136	91	154	418	780	169	126	8,342	29	10,942	Net Added		
445	84	159	415	781	644	111	6,982	25	10,577	Disposed of		
122	60	62	254	153	538	—	—	—	1,700	Pending at End		
67%	37%	10%	54%	7%	71%	—	—	—	47%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
-251	-7	-29	+7	-1	-475	—	—	—	-1,002	Inventory (+ or -)		
59	9	52	97	184	409	—	—	—	1,215	Pending at Start	Montgomery	4th
98	67	117	400	783	137	45	4,792	101	7,017	Filed		
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Reinstated		
0	0	-6	+6	0	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
98	67	111	406	783	137	45	4,792	101	7,017	Net Added		
92	46	101	309	786	124	41	4,297	82	6,364	Disposed of		
65	30	52	194	181	422	—	—	—	1,330	Pending at End		
40%	10%	10%	26%	9%	72%	—	—	—	42%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
+6	+21	0	+97	-3	+13	—	—	—	115	Inventory (+ or -)		
44	34	22	55	148	280	—	—	—	850	Pending at Start	Shelby	4th
51	37	43	210	391	121	7	1,467	124	2,663	Filed		
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Reinstated		
0	0	-2	+2	0	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
51	37	41	212	391	121	7	1,467	124	2,663	Net Added		
66	54	72	200	437	121	5	1,327	127	2,607	Disposed of		
25	18	14	62	102	280	—	—	—	787	Pending at End		
44%	22%	43%	0	22%	62%	—	—	—	51%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
-19	-16	-8	+7	-46	0	—	—	—	-63	Inventory (+ or -)		
737	257	334	1,018	2,137	4,096	—	—	—	12,105	Pending at Start	Circuit Total	4th
701	513	848	3,071	4,779	1,092	348	33,964	937	49,611	Filed		
0	0	3	6	0	9	0	0	0	9	Reinstated		
0	0	-63	63	0	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
701	513	788	3,140	4,779	1,092	348	33,964	937	49,620	Net Added		
993	508	805	3,061	5,403	1,993	337	31,864	829	49,501	Disposed of		
499	249	306	1,096	1,513	3,218	—	—	—	10,040	Pending at End		
52%	27%	9%	25%	38%	71%	—	—	—	51%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
-238	-8	-28	+78	-624	-878	—	—	—	-2,065	Inventory (+ or -)		

TREND OF ALL CASES IN THE CIRCUIT

Circuit	County		Law Over $15,000		Law $15,000 or less		Chancery	Miscellaneous Remedy	Eminent Domain	Tax	Municipal Corporations	Mental Health	Dissolution of
			Jury	Non-Jury	Jury	Non-Jury							
5th	Clark	Pending at Start	18	27	2	31	39	11	0	14	0	1	50
		Filed	15	24	1	43	24	6	0	8	0	0	101
		Reinstated	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Transferred	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Net Added	16	24	1	43	24	6	0	8	0	0	101
		Disposed of	7	16	1	30	13	4	0	7	0	1	84
		Pending at End*	27	35	2	44	50	13	0	15	0	0	67
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	52%	57%	50%	43%	62%	62%	0	53%	0	0	45%
		Inventory (+ or −)	+9	+8	0	+13	+11	+2	0	1	0	−1	+17
5th	Coles	Pending at Start	173	124	3	193	98	116	5	56	4	12	170
		Filed	86	41	3	149	28	55	0	21	0	0	365
		Reinstated	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Transferred	+1	−1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Net Added	87	40	3	149	28	55	0	21	0	0	365
		Disposed of	74	77	2	106	35	46	1	20	0	0	325
		Pending at End*	186	87	4	236	91	125	4	57	4	12	210
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	58%	67%	25%	70%	85%	83%	100%	79%	100%	100%	42%
		Inventory (+ or −)	+13	−37	+1	+43	−7	+9	−1	+1	0	0	+40
5th	Cumberland	Pending at Start	8	20	1	20	34	0	1	4	0	0	57
		Filed	15	17	2	27	16	2	0	4	0	0	66
		Reinstated	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Transferred	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Net Added	15	17	2	27	16	2	0	4	0	0	66
		Disposed of	8	10	2	22	6	2	0	1	0	0	57
		Pending at End*	15	27	1	25	44	0	1	7	0	0	66
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	47%	55%	100%	53%	74%	0	100%	57%	0	0	71%
		Inventory (+ or −)	+7	+7	0	+5	+10	0	0	+1	0	0	+9
5th	Edgar	Pending at Start	41	24	3	84	35	19	3	5	0	6	58
		Filed	26	8	0	50	22	26	0	1	0	1	154
		Reinstated	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Transferred	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Net Added	26	8	0	50	22	26	0	1	0	1	154
		Disposed of	24	13	1	44	20	24	2	0	0	0	130
		Pending at End*	43	19	2	90	37	21	1	6	0	7	82
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	67%	74%	100%	79%	70%	90%	100%	83%	0	86%	49%
		Inventory (+ or −)	+2	−5	−1	+6	+2	+2	−2	+1	0	+1	+24
5th	Vermilion	Pending at Start	269	86	29	252	122	91	42	46	0	184	415
		Filed	156	60	9	492	117	95	13	73	1	51	588
		Reinstated	5	3	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
		Transferred	+5	−5	+6	−6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Net Added	166	58	15	488	117	96	13	73	1	51	588
		Disposed of	151	47	9	383	134	93	20	35	1	204	688
		Pending at End*	284	98	35	356	105	94	35	84	0	31	315
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	50%	56%	63%	49%	30%	61%	71%	36%	0	3%	33%
		Inventory (+ or −)	+15	+12	+6	+104	−17	+3	−7	+38	0	−153	−100
5th	Circuit Total	Pending at Start	509	281	38	580	328	237	51	125	4	203	750
		Filed	298	150	15	761	207	184	13	107	1	52	1,274
		Reinstated	6	3	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
		Transferred	+6	−3	+6	−5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Net Added	310	150	21	758	207	185	13	107	1	52	1,274
		Disposed of	264	163	15	585	208	169	23	63	1	205	1,284
		Pending at End*	555	266	44	751	327	253	41	169	4	50	740
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	54%	61%	61%	59%	60%	75%	76%	54%	100%	38%	42%
		Inventory (+ or −)	+46	−15	+6	+171	−1	+16	−10	+44	—	−153	−10

---

*Figure adjusted by reason of a physical inventory in an amount equal to the amount by which the number pending at end differs from the amount reported pending at start + or − intervening transactions

COURTS DURING 1986

Family	Juvenile	Felony	Misdemeanors	Small Claims	Probate	Ordinance Violations	Traffic Violations	Conservation Violations	Total		County	Circuit
45	12	37	139	152	366	—	—	—	944	Pending at Start	Clark	5th
59	37	70	257	509	94	7	4,206	98	5,559	Filed		
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	Reinstated		
0	0	− 6	+ 6	0	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
59	37	64	263	509	94	7	4,206	98	5,560	Net Added		
53	27	80	310	490	194	6	3,913	94	5,330	Disposed of		
51	22	21	92	171	266	—	—	—	876	Pending at End		
27%	23%	0	61%	21%	70%	—	—	—	49%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
+ 6	+ 10	− 16	− 47	+ 19	− 100	—	—	—	− 68	Inventory (+ or −)		
140	65	42	139	540	0	—	—	—	1,880	Pending at Start	Coles	5th
178	89	231	618	1,192	175	1,038	6,836	164	8,213	Filed		
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Reinstated		
0	0	− 26	+ 26	0	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
178	89	205	644	1,192	175	1,038	6,836	164	8,213	Net Added		
152	94	150	584	1,050	174	997	6,479	152	10,518	Disposed of		
166	60	97	199	682	0	—	—	—	2,220	Pending at End		
68%	73%	17%	20%	55%	83%	—	—	—	64%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
+ 26	− 5	+ 55	+ 60	+ 142	0	—	—	—	+ 340	Inventory (+ or −)		
58	30	43	129	50	0	—	—	—	455	Pending at Start	Cumberland	5th
23	9	28	58	135	61	3	1,904	31	2,401	Filed		
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Reinstated		
0	0	− 3	+ 3	0	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
23	9	25	61	135	61	3	1,904	31	2,401	Net Added		
2	2	21	38	109	32	2	1,770	18	2,102	Disposed of		
79	37	47	152	76	0	—	—	—	577	Pending at End		
73%	84%	68%	85%	59%	0	—	—	—	63%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
+ 21	+ 7	+ 4	+ 23	+ 26	0	—	—	—	+ 122	Inventory (+ or −)		
143	100	55	154	242	534	—	—	—	1,506	Pending at Start	Edgar	5th
111	63	85	294	422	128	21	1,993	59	3,464	Filed		
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	90	0	90	Reinstated		
0	0	− 25	+ 25	0	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
111	63	60	319	422	128	21	1,993	59	3,554	Net Added		
113	55	60	323	376	107	20	1,958	48	3,318	Disposed of		
141	108	46	146	288	555	—	—	—	1,592	Pending at End		
72%	74%	46%	48%	65%	79%	—	—	—	70%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
− 2	+ 8	− 9	− 8	+ 46	+ 21	—	—	—	+ 86	Inventory (+ or −)		
1,049	298	261	721	832	1,408	—	—	—	6,105	Pending at Start	Vermilion	5th
866	118	337	704	1,509	358	1,443	13,374	110	20,474	Filed		
0	0	0	0	13	0	0	0	0	26	Reinstated		
0	0	− 77	+ 77	0	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
866	118	260	781	1,522	358	1,443	13,374	110	20,500	Net Added		
621	271	201	894	1,261	280	2,672	12,255	90	20,310	Disposed of		
1,294	145	322	608	1,095	1,486	—	—	—	6,387	Pending at End		
75%	57%	43%	43%	59%	79%	—	—	—	61%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
+ 245	− 153	+ 61	− 113	+ 263	+ 78	—	—	—	+ 282	Inventory (+ or −)		
1,435	505	438	1,282	1,816	2,308	—	—	—	10,890	Pending at Start	Circuit Total	5th
1,237	316	751	1,931	3,767	816	2,512	28,313	462	43,167	Filed		
0	0	2	0	13	0	0	90	0	117	Reinstated		
0	0	− 109	+ 137	0	0	0	0	0	32	Transferred		
1,237	316	644	2,068	3,780	816	2,512	28,403	462	43,316	Net Added		
941	449	512	2,149	3,286	787	3,697	26,375	402	41,578	Disposed of		
1,731	372	533	1,197	2,312	2,307	—	—	—	11,652	Pending at End		
73%	65%	36%	46%	55%	79%	—	—	—	62%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
+ 296	− 133	+ 95	− 85	+ 496	− 1	—	—	—	+ 762	Inventory (+ or −)		

TREND OF ALL CASES IN THE CIRCUIT

Circuit	County		Law Over $15,000		Law $15,000 or less		Chancery	Miscellaneous Remedy	Eminent Domain	Tax	Municipal Corporations	Mental Health
			Jury	Non-Jury	Jury	Non-Jury						
6th	Champaign	Pending at Start	523	194	26	407	168	88	20	11	1	50
		Filed	266	136	5	464	150	111	29	3	1	75
		Reinstated	9	2	1	4	1	3	1	0	0	0
		Transferred	+ 22	− 22	+ 13	− 13	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Net Added	297	116	19	455	151	114	30	3	1	75
		Disposed of	271	158	20	468	140	121	17	8	2	114
		Pending at End*	552	154	25	393	179	81	33	6	0	11
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	55%	50%	48%	43%	52%	72%	45%	67%	0	0
		Inventory (+ or −)	+ 29	− 40	− 1	− 14	+ 11	− 7	+ 13	− 5	− 1	− 39
6th	DeWitt	Pending at Start	15	15	0	18	10	12	7	0	0	0
		Filed	25	12	2	124	22	16	0	3	0	1
		Reinstated	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Transferred	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Net Added	25	13	2	124	22	16	0	3	0	1
		Disposed of	14	10	0	104	14	19	8	1	0	1
		Pending at End*	26	18	2	38	18	9	2	2	0	0
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	25%	22%	33%	0	8%	11%	100%	0	0	0
		Inventory (+ or −)	+ 11	+ 3	+ 2	+ 20	+ 8	− 3	− 5	+ 2	0	0
6th	Douglas	Pending at Start	28	19	3	56	32	15	0	0	0	0
		Filed	18	11	2	61	20	10	0	0	0	0
		Reinstated	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Transferred	+ 2	− 2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Net Added	20	9	2	61	20	10	0	0	0	0
		Disposed of	22	15	4	54	29	13	0	0	0	0
		Pending at End*	27	12	0	58	25	11	0	0	0	0
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	44%	42%	0	48%	64%	45%	0	0	0	0
		Inventory (+ or −)	− 1	− 7	− 3	+ 2	− 7	− 4	0	0	0	0
6th	Macon	Pending at Start	324	156	9	829	260	68	12	74	52	22
		Filed	221	121	8	836	242	96	1	3	48	163
		Reinstated	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Transferred	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Net Added	221	121	8	836	242	96	1	3	48	163
		Disposed of	223	100	1	779	219	98	12	47	55	174
		Pending at End*	322	177	6	886	283	66	1	30	45	11
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	58%	55%	33%	41%	32%	42%	100%	100%	44%	45%
		Inventory (+ or −)	− 2	+ 21	− 3	+ 57	+ 23	− 2	− 11	44	− 7	− 11
6th	Moultrie	Pending at Start	28	12	1	19	28	9	0	46	0	0
		Filed	11	12	0	41	22	8	0	1	0	0
		Reinstated	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Transferred	+ 2	− 2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Net Added	13	10	0	41	22	8	0	1	0	0
		Disposed of	11	7	1	39	12	9	0	0	0	0
		Pending at End*	30	15	0	21	38	8	0	47	0	0
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	67%	60%	0	62%	63%	63%	0	98%	0	0
		Inventory (+ or −)	+ 2	+ 3	− 1	+ 2	+ 10	− 1	0	+ 1	0	0
6th	Piatt	Pending at Start	10	9	1	19	16	4	0	8	0	0
		Filed	9	19	1	33	13	12	9	6	0	0
		Reinstated	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Transferred	+ 1	− 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Net Added	10	18	1	33	13	12	9	6	0	0
		Disposed of	0	24	1	42	17	11	0	1	0	0
		Pending at End*	16	7	1	10	12	5	9	13	0	0
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	50%	29%	100%	30%	67%	20%	0	62%	0	0
		Inventory (+ or −)	+ 6	− 2	0	− 9	− 4	+ 1	+ 9	+ 5	0	0

*Figure adjusted by reason of a physical inventory in an amount equal to the amount by which the number pending at end differs from the amount reported pending at start + or − intervening transactions

COURTS DURING 1986

Family	Juvenile	Felony	Misdemeanors	Small Claims	Probate	Ordinance Violations	Traffic Violations	Conservation Violations	Total		County	Circuit
555	196	243	312	3,170	2,159	—	—	—	8,721	Pending at Start	Champaign	6th
887	257	853	1,394	4,634	427	1,995	22,450	39	35,163	Filed		
6	0	30	37	25	0	2	0	0	122	Reinstated		
0	0	−4	+4	0	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
893	257	879	1,435	4,659	427	1,997	22,450	39	35,285	Net Added		
715	179	793	1,390	4,585	254	1,586	22,640	39	34,482	Disposed of		
734	274	338	352	3,245	2,342	—	—	—	9,323	Pending at End		
40%	61%	2%	2%	55%	82%	—	—	—	56%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
+179	+78	+95	+40	+75	+183	—	—	—	+602	Inventory (+ or −)		
12	7	27	49	36	249	—	—	—	480	Pending at Start	DeWitt	6th
75	69	82	334	278	82	58	2,967	280	4,551	Filed		
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	Reinstated		
0	0	−18	+18	0	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
75	69	64	352	278	82	58	2,967	280	4,553	Net Added		
64	54	69	328	259	78	54	2,621	250	4,048	Disposed of		
24	22	22	73	55	255	—	—	—	610	Pending at End		
0	0	0	3%	0	77%	—	—	—	48%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
+12	+15	−5	+24	+19	+6	—	—	—	+130	Inventory (+ or −)		
47	16	18	51	185	208	—	—	—	760	Pending at Start	Douglas	6th
107	15	70	164	392	113	38	4,280	540	5,934	Filed		
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Reinstated		
0	0	−7	+7	0	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
107	15	63	171	392	113	38	4,280	540	5,934	Net Added		
78	12	56	146	353	60	43	3,859	373	5,217	Disposed of		
63	16	25	76	200	261	—	—	—	836	Pending at End		
35%	75%	0	18%	46%	61%	—	—	—	46%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
+16	0	+7	+25	+15	+53	—	—	—	+76	Inventory (+ or −)		
404	136	124	627	1,782	1,719	—	—	—	7,100	Pending at Start	Macon	6th
821	479	644	2,185	3,725	484	832	23,135	80	35,003	Filed		
0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	Reinstated		
0	0	−130	+130	0	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
821	479	515	2,315	3,725	484	832	23,135	80	35,004	Net Added		
694	377	546	2,415	3,709	351	802	19,428	66	31,016	Disposed of		
531	238	93	527	1,798	1,852	—	—	—	7,327	Pending at End		
55%	12%	5%	22%	36%	77%	—	—	—	47%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
+127	+102	−31	−100	+16	+133	—	—	—	+227	Inventory (+ or −)		
15	6	14	33	33	196	—	—	—	470	Pending at Start	Moultrie	6th
49	25	40	166	235	73	0	1,699	78	2,517	Filed		
0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Reinstated		
0	0	−4	+4	0	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
49	25	42	170	235	73	0	1,699	78	2,517	Net Added		
46	22	38	184	234	49	0	1,677	87	2,479	Disposed of		
18	9	18	19	34	221	—	—	—	502	Pending at End		
22%	50%	50%	26%	18%	72%	—	—	—	60%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
+3	+3	+4	−14	+1	+25	—	—	—	+32	Inventory (+ or −)		
14	11	30	76	83	0	—	—	—	344	Pending at Start	Piatt	6th
35	80	65	269	242	59	10	1,865	10	2,831	Filed		
0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	Reinstated		
0	0	−21	+21	0	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
35	80	44	290	243	59	10	1,865	10	2,832	Net Added		
37	77	42	307	271	56	9	1,820	5	2,833	Disposed of		
12	14	32	59	55	0	—	—	—	289	Pending at End		
33%	7%	0	3%	16%	72%	—	—	—	41%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
−2	+3	+2	−17	−28	0	—	—	—	−55	Inventory (+ or −)		

TREND OF ALL CASES IN THE CIRCUIT

Circuit	County		Law Over $15,000 Jury	Law Over $15,000 Non-Jury	Law $15,000 or less Jury	Law $15,000 or less Non-Jury	Chancery	Miscellaneous Remedy	Eminent Domain	Tax	Municipal Corporations	Mental Health
6th	Circuit Total	Pending at Start	928	405	40	1,348	514	196	39	139	53	72
		Filed	550	311	18	1,559	469	253	39	16	49	239
		Reinstated	9	3	1	4	1	5	1	0	0	0
		Transferred	+27	−25	+12	−14	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Net Added	586	289	31	1,549	470	256	40	16	49	239
		Disposed of	541	314	27	1,486	431	271	37	57	57	289
		Pending at End*	973	383	34	1,406	555	180	45	98	45	22
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	55%	51%	46%	41%	43%	57%	40%	90%	44%	23%
		Inventory (+ or −)	+45	−22	−6	+58	+41	−16	+6	−41	−8	−50
7th	Greene	Pending at Start	5	46	0	20	16	0	0	0	0	0
		Filed	3	28	2	30	11	12	0	16	0	4
		Reinstated	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Transferred	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Net Added	3	28	2	30	11	12	0	16	0	4
		Disposed of	3	25	0	26	22	10	0	2	0	4
		Pending at End*	5	45	2	24	5	2	0	14	0	0
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	40%	11%	0	17%	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Inventory (+ or −)	0	−1	+2	+4	−11	+2	0	+14	0	0
7th	Jersey	Pending at Start	47	39	6	36	18	17	0	0	0	1
		Filed	38	21	21	34	20	20	1	1	0	2
		Reinstated	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Transferred	+1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Net Added	39	21	21	34	20	20	1	1	0	2
		Disposed of	43	28	20	38	11	22	1	0	0	3
		Pending at End*	43	32	7	32	27	15	0	1	0	0
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	70%	44%	29%	13%	41%	80%	0	0	0	0
		Inventory (+ or −)	−4	−7	+1	−4	+9	−2	0	+1	0	−1
7th	Macoupin	Pending at Start	82	109	11	254	119	32	2	41	13	4
		Filed	48	61	6	135	39	15	2	33	1	0
		Reinstated	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Transferred	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Net Added	48	61	6	135	39	15	2	33	1	0
		Disposed of	23	32	6	44	27	5	1	16	2	0
		Pending at End*	107	136	11	332	131	42	2	58	12	4
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	65%	67%	82%	74%	78%	91%	50%	64%	92%	100%
		Inventory (+ or −)	+25	+27	0	+78	+12	+10	0	+17	−1	0
7th	Morgan	Pending at Start	49	21	2	57	29	5	0	0	0	0
		Filed	28	42	4	160	38	42	0	3	0	9
		Reinstated	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Transferred	+7	−7	+2	−2	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Net Added	35	35	6	158	38	42	0	3	0	9
		Disposed of	25	31	5	164	43	43	0	3	0	8
		Pending at End*	59	25	3	51	24	4	0	0	0	1
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	56%	24%	0	8%	8%	0	0	0	0	0
		Inventory (+ or −)	+10	+4	+1	−6	−5	−1	0	0	0	+1
7th	Sangamon	Pending at Start	712	714	33	872	687	537	13	143	3	0
		Filed	261	249	24	1,655	257	265	15	18	3	389
		Reinstated	0	0	0	20	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Transferred	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Net Added	261	249	24	1,675	257	265	15	18	3	389
		Disposed of	308	211	20	1,668	125	250	8	17	1	389
		Pending at End*	675	752	45	916	819	552	20	144	5	0
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	64%	71%	31%	36%	75%	66%	65%	92%	60%	0
		Inventory (+ or −)	−37	+38	+12	+44	+132	+15	+7	+1	+2	0

*Figure adjusted by reason of a physical inventory in an amount equal to the amount by which the number pending at end differs from the amount reported pending at start + or − intervening transactions

Family	Juvenile	Felony	Misdemeanors	Small Claims	Probate	Ordinance Violations	Traffic Violations	Conservation Violations	Total		County	Circuit
1,047	372	456	1,148	5,289	4,531	—	—	—	17,875	Pending at Start	Circuit Total	6th
1,974	925	1,754	4,512	9,506	1,238	2,933	56,396	1,027	85,999	Filed		
7	0	37	37	26	1	2	0	0	133	Reinstated		
0	0	− 176	+ 176	0	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
1,981	925	1,615	4,725	9,532	1,239	2,935	56,396	1,027	86,132	Net Added		
1,634	721	1,544	4,770	9,411	848	2,494	52,045	820	80,075	Disposed of		
1,382	573	528	1,106	5,387	4,931	—	—	—	18,887	Pending at End		
45%	36%	2%	13%	46%	78%	—	—	—	52%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
+ 335	+ 201	+ 72	− 42	+ 98	+ 400	—	—	—	+ 1,012	Inventory (+ or −)		
16	14	25	14	35	287	—	—	—	534	Pending at Start	Greene	7th
37	8	47	82	175	71	16	1,614	66	2,301	Filed		
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	Reinstated		
0	0	− 13	+ 13	0	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
37	8	34	95	175	71	16	1,614	66	2,305	Net Added		
44	18	38	84	188	51	14	1,650	71	2,358	Disposed of		
9	4	21	25	22	314	—	—	—	515	Pending at End		
22%	0	0	0	0	82%	—	—	—	53%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
− 7	− 10	− 4	+ 11	− 13	+ 27	—	—	—	− 19	Inventory (+ or −)		
11	31	58	91	41	393	—	—	—	825	Pending at Start	Jersey	7th
35	81	101	350	356	71	17	3,007	186	4,460	Filed		
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Reinstated		
0	0	− 23	+ 23	− 1	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
35	81	78	373	355	71	17	3,007	186	4,460	Net Added		
22	56	78	348	294	74	5	2,679	132	3,952	Disposed of		
24	56	58	116	102	390	—	—	—	939	Pending at End		
21%	21%	9%	4%	10%	86%	—	—	—	48%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
+ 13	+ 25	0	+ 25	+ 61	− 3	—	—	—	+ 114	Inventory (+ or −)		
285	162	65	239	559	884	—	—	—	3,040	Pending at Start	Macoupin	7th
118	94	183	502	1,122	238	123	5,816	78	8,916	Filed		
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Reinstated		
0	0	− 38	+ 38	0	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
118	94	145	540	1,122	238	123	5,816	78	8,916	Net Added		
21	95	107	475	961	159	78	5,253	72	7,583	Disposed of		
382	171	103	304	773	940	—	—	—	3,783	Pending at End		
71%	53%	22%	42%	59%	78%	—	—	—	65%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
+ 97	+ 9	+ 38	+ 65	+ 214	+ 56	—	—	—	+ 743	Inventory (+ or −)		
40	14	18	35	96	499	—	—	—	907	Pending at Start	Morgan	7th
143	56	146	505	868	152	288	5,027	26	7,742	Filed		
0	0	2	6	0	0	0	0	0	8	Reinstated		
0	0	− 31	+ 31	0	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
143	56	117	542	868	152	288	5,027	26	7,750	Net Added		
160	55	92	522	843	139	264	4,570	41	7,207	Disposed of		
23	15	30	55	121	512	—	—	—	971	Pending at End		
4%	0	0	0	0	74%	—	—	—	44%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
− 17	+ 1	+ 12	+ 20	+ 25	+ 13	—	—	—	+ 64	Inventory (+ or −)		
1,091	383	397	606	3,156	2,570	—	—	—	12,345	Pending at Start	Sangamon	7th
1,563	288	760	2,091	9,227	723	182	38,171	87	57,429	Filed		
0	0	73	22	43	0	0	0	0	158	Reinstated		
0	0	− 190	+ 190	0	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
1,563	288	643	2,303	43	0	0	0	0	57,587	Net Added		
1,166	119	649	2,022	8,462	453	110	32,942	79	50,009	Disposed of		
1,488	559	391	887	3,825	2,840	—	—	—	14,519	Pending at End		
63%	63%	18%	32%	34%	78%	—	—	—	54%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
+ 397	+ 176	− 6	+ 281	+ 669	+ 270	—	—	—	2,174	Inventory (+ or −)		

TREND OF ALL CASES IN THE CIRCUIT

Circuit	County		Law Over $15,000 Jury	Law Over $15,000 Non-Jury	Law $15,000 or less Jury	Law $15,000 or less Non-Jury	Chancery	Miscellaneous Remedy	Eminent Domain	Tax	Municipal Corporations	Mental Health	Dissolution of
7th	Scott	Pending at Start	8	8	0	10	0	3	2	2	0	1	1
		Filed	3	12	0	22	11	4	2	1	0	0	2
		Reinstated	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Transferred	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Net Added	3	12	0	22	11	4	2	1	0	0	2
		Disposed of	8	12	0	20	5	5	2	3	0	1	2
		Pending at End*	3	8	0	12	6	2	2	0	0	0	1
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	33%	38%	0	33%	17%	50%	100%	0	0	0	36
		Inventory (+ or −)	−5	0	0	+2	+6	−1	0	−2	0	−1	
7th	Circuit Total	Pending at Start	903	937	52	1,249	869	594	17	186	16	6	75
		Filed	381	413	57	2,036	376	358	20	72	4	404	1,91
		Reinstated	0	0	0	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Transferred	+8	−7	+2	−2	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Net Added	389	406	59	2,058	376	358	20	72	4	404	1,91
		Disposed of	410	439	51	1,960	233	335	12	41	3	405	1,64
		Pending at End*	892	998	68	1,367	1,012	617	24	217	17	5	99
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	63%	65%	43%	43%	72%	67%	67%	78%	82%	80%	48
		Inventory (+ or −)	−11	+61	+16	+118	+143	+23	+7	+31	+1	−1	+24
8th	Adams	Pending at Start	118	42	12	106	63	21	1	4	0	31	16
		Filed	57	76	2	191	106	83	13	10	0	64	42
		Reinstated	2	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	2
		Transferred	+6	−6	+2	−2	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Net Added	65	70	4	191	107	83	13	10	0	64	4
		Disposed of	78	52	11	202	95	81	1	8	0	46	4
		Pending at End	104	61	5	95	75	23	13	6	0	49	1
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	52%	26%	40%	36%	12%	17%	0	83%	0	59%	26
		Inventory (+ or −)	−14	+19	−7	−11	+12	+2	+12	+2	0	+18	−
8th	Brown	Pending at Start	6	8	1	18	21	4	0	1	0	1	
		Filed	2	19	0	32	12	2	1	0	0	0	
		Reinstated	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	
		Transferred	+2	−2	+1	−1	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Net Added	4	17	1	31	15	2	1	0	0	0	
		Disposed of	8	12	2	30	13	4	0	1	0	1	
		Pending at End	2	13	0	19	23	2	1	0	0	0	
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	0	31%	0	26%	61%	100%	0	0	0	0	27
		Inventory (+ or −)	−4	+5	−1	+1	+2	−2	+1	−1	0	−1	+
8th	Calhoun	Pending at Start	8	7	0	1	6	3	1	0	0	0	
		Filed	2	7	0	3	9	5	0	2	1	0	
		Reinstated	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Transferred	+2	−2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Net Added	4	5	0	3	9	5	0	2	1	0	
		Disposed of	5	4	0	1	6	5	1	2	1	0	
		Pending at End	8	8	0	3	9	3	0	0	0	0	
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	50%	38%	0	33%	22%	33%	0	0	0	0	20
		Inventory (+ or −)	0	+1	0	+2	+3	0	−1	0	0	0	−
8th	Cass	Pending at Start	38	11	1	20	16	10	0	3	0	0	
		Filed	13	11	2	32	20	19	0	8	0	0	
		Reinstated	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Transferred	+1	−1	+1	−1	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Net Added	14	10	3	31	20	19	0	8	0	0	
		Disposed of	28	14	0	25	18	18	0	7	0	0	
		Pending at End	24	7	2	28	18	11	0	4	0	0	
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	58%	14%	50%	21%	33%	55%	0	50%	0	0	29
		Inventory (+ or −)	−14	−4	+1	+8	+2	+1	0	+1	0	0	−

*Figure adjusted by reason of a physical inventory in an amount equal to the amount by which the number pending at end differs from the amount reported pending at start + or − intervening transactions.

COURTS DURING 1986

Family	Juvenile	Felony	Misdemeanors	Small Claims	Probate	Ordinance Violations	Traffic Violations	Conservation Violations	Total		County	Circuit
9	3	13	15	10	80	—	—	—	175	Pending at Start	Scott	7th
12	15	9	81	70	42	6	563	11	889	Filed		
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Reinstated		
0	0	− 1	+ 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
12	15	8	82	70	42	6	563	11	889	Net Added		
15	13	17	80	47	30	0	463	5	751	Disposed of		
6	5	4	17	33	92	—	—	—	201	Pending at End		
83%	0	0	0	58%	65%	—	—	—	50%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
− 3	+ 2	− 9	+ 2	+ 23	+ 12	—	—	—	+ 26	Inventory (+ or −)		
1,452	607	576	1,000	3,897	4,713	—	—	—	17,826	Pending at Start	Circuit Total	7th
1,908	542	1,246	3,611	11,818	1,297	632	54,198	454	81,737	Filed		
0	0	75	28	43	0	0	0	0	170	Reinstated		
0	0	− 296	+ 296	− 1	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
1,908	542	1,025	3,931	11,860	1,297	632	54,198	454	81,907	Net Added		
1,428	356	981	3,531	10,795	906	471	47,557	400	71,860	Disposed of		
1,932	810	607	1,404	4,876	5,088	—	—	—	20,928	Pending at End		
63%	56%	16%	29%	36%	78%	—	—	—	55%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
+ 480	+ 203	+ 31	+ 404	+ 979	+ 375	—	—	—	+ 3,102	Inventory (+ or −)		
194	40	124	290	533	732	—	—	—	2,473	Pending at Start	Adams	8th
346	121	274	574	1,759	260	1,738	7,259	133	13,492	Filed		
1	1	6	12	0	2	—	—	—	52	Reinstated		
0	0	− 81	+ 81	0	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
347	122	199	667	1,759	262	1,738	7,259	133	13,544	Net Added		
366	118	205	776	1,692	320	1,698	7,379	152	13,737	Disposed of		
175	44	118	181	600	674	—	—	—	2,379	Pending at End		
46%	45%	10%	20%	28%	70%	—	—	—	41%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
− 19	+ 4	− 6	− 109	+ 67	− 58	—	—	—	− 94	Inventory (+ or −)		
4	10	33	26	58	95	—	—	—	295	Pending at Start	Brown	8th
14	12	22	72	95	35	8	766	42	1,157	Filed		
0	0	0	0	21	0	0	0	0	24	Reinstated		
0	0	− 6	+ 6	0	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
14	12	16	78	116	35	8	766	42	1,181	Net Added		
13	14	34	76	108	35	9	773	44	1,198	Disposed of		
5	8	15	28	66	96	—	—	—	289	Pending at End		
40%	63%	47%	14%	59%	67%	—	—	—	47%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
+ 1	− 2	− 18	+ 2	+ 8	+ 1	—	—	—	− 6	Inventory (+ or −)		
2	2	16	20	18	66	—	—	—	157	Pending at Start	Calhoun	8th
9	15	11	57	27	18	0	346	64	588	Filed		
0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	5	Reinstated		
0	0	− 3	+ 3	0	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
9	15	8	60	31	18	0	346	64	588	Net Added		
7	10	16	67	38	23	0	402	55	657	Disposed of		
4	7	8	13	11	61	—	—	—	140	Pending at End		
25%	0	75%	8%	36%	72%	—	—	—	49%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
+ 2	+ 5	− 8	− 7	− 7	− 5	—	—	—	− 17	Inventory (+ or −)		
18	2	27	36	86	167	—	—	—	465	Pending at Start	Cass	8th
88	39	87	286	304	62	11	1,723	30	2,802	Filed		
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Reinstated		
0	0	− 10	+ 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
88	39	77	296	304	62	11	1,723	30	2,802	Net Added		
79	34	71	296	335	71	10	1,689	28	2,796	Disposed of		
27	7	33	36	55	158	—	—	—	434	Pending at End		
19%	0	12%	6%	20%	66%	—	—	—	39%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
+ 9	+ 5	+ 6	0	− 31	− 9	—	—	—	− 31	Inventory (+ or −)		

TREND OF ALL CASES IN THE CIRCUIT

Circuit	County		Law Over $15,000		Law $15,000 or less		Chancery	Miscellaneous Remedy	Eminent Domain	Tax	Municipal Corporations	Mental Health	Dissolution of
			Jury	Non-Jury	Jury	Non-Jury							
8th	Mason	Pending at Start	31	13	1	21	35	7	0	0	2	0	31
		Filed	6	18	2	58	47	62	1	11	0	0	102
		Reinstated	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Transferred	+3	−3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Net Added	9	16	2	59	47	62	1	11	0	0	102
		Disposed of	26	10	1	51	42	54	0	6	0	0	101
		Pending at End	14	19	2	29	40	15	1	5	2	0	32
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	71%	21%	50%	24%	23%	27%	0	0	100%	0	19%
		Inventory (+ or −)	−17	+6	+1	+8	+5	+8	+1	+5	0	0	+1
8th	Menard	Pending at Start	9	2	0	11	18	4	0	1	0	0	20
		Filed	11	5	2	17	17	14	0	4	0	1	51
		Reinstated	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Transferred	0	0	+2	−2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Net Added	11	5	4	15	17	14	0	4	0	1	51
		Disposed of	9	4	3	14	20	12	0	3	0	1	42
		Pending at End	11	3	1	12	15	6	0	2	0	0	29
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	27%	0	0	17%	53%	0	0	100%	0	0	28%
		Inventory (+ or −)	+2	+1	+1	+1	−3	+2	0	+1	0	0	+9
8th	Pike	Pending at Start	32	25	6	31	40	22	0	6	2	7	35
		Filed	30	39	0	60	36	21	22	10	3	5	119
		Reinstated	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Transferred	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Net Added	30	39	0	60	36	21	22	10	3	5	119
		Disposed of	19	40	4	57	45	29	3	4	2	4	110
		Pending at End	43	24	2	34	31	14	19	12	3	8	44
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	47%	17%	100%	32%	39%	36%	0	17%	67%	88%	23%
		Inventory (+ or −)	+11	−1	−4	+3	−9	−8	+19	+6	+1	+1	+9
8th	Schuyler	Pending at Start	10	6	1	9	17	0	0	2	0	0	1
		Filed	4	11	0	14	11	7	3	2	2	0	49
		Reinstated	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Transferred	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Net Added	4	11	0	14	11	7	3	2	2	0	49
		Disposed of	5	7	1	15	11	2	1	2	0	0	44
		Pending at End	9	10	0	8	17	5	2	2	2	0	14
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	56%	50%	0	50%	59%	0	0	5%	0	0	21%
		Inventory (+ or −)	−1	+4	−1	−1	0	+5	+2	0	+2	0	+1
8th	Circuit Total	Pending at Start	252	114	22	217	216	71	2	17	4	39	307
		Filed	125	186	8	407	258	213	40	47	6	70	849
		Reinstated	3	1	0	3	4	0	0	0	0	0	25
		Transferred	+14	−12	+6	−5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Net Added	142	175	14	405	262	213	40	47	6	70	870
		Disposed of	178	143	22	395	250	205	6	33	3	52	862
		Pending at End	215	145	12	228	228	79	36	31	7	57	319
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	51%	26%	50%	31%	31%	28%	0	39%	57%	63%	25%
		Inventory (+ or −)	−37	+31	−10	+11	+12	+8	+34	+14	+3	+18	+8
9th	Fulton	Pending at Start	39	17	2	27	48	9	0	18	0	0	28
		Filed	37	26	1	95	70	21	2	7	0	3	243
		Reinstated	10	1	1	0	1	3	0	2	0	0	9
		Transferred	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Net Added	47	27	2	95	71	24	2	9	0	3	252
		Disposed of	40	26	0	89	84	14	0	17	0	3	211
		Pending at End	46	18	4	33	35	19	2	9	0	0	69
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	46%	28%	50%	6%	3%	32%	0	56%	0	0	6%
		Inventory (+ or −)	+7	+1	+2	+6	−13	+10	+2	+9	0	0	+4

---

*Figure adjusted by reason of a physical inventory in an amount equal to the amount by which the number pending at end differs from the amount reported pending at start + or − intervening transactions.

COURTS DURING 1986

Family	Juvenile	Felony	Misdemeanors	Small Claims	Probate	Ordinance Violations	Traffic Violations	Conservation Violations	Total		County	Circuit
42	28	40	68	57	274	—	—	—	650	Pending at Start	Mason	8th
85	85	116	386	222	92	10	2,611	37	3,951	Filed		
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	Reinstated		
0	0	− 22	+ 22	0	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
85	85	94	408	222	92	10	2,611	37	3,953	Net Added		
92	56	60	348	194	118	8	2,533	34	3,734	Disposed of		
35	57	71	128	85	246	—	—	—	781	Pending at End		
26%	30%	23%	22%	11%	68%	—	—	—	37%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
− 7	+ 29	+ 31	+ 60	+ 28	− 28	—	—	—	+ 131	Inventory (+ or −)		
12	5	11	5	102	135	—	—	—	335	Pending at Start	Menard	8th
36	22	31	65	265	49	3	936	28	1,557	Filed		
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Reinstated		
0	0	− 8	+ 8	0	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
36	22	23	73	265	49	3	936	28	1,557	Net Added		
40	22	24	64	275	54	3	887	12	1,489	Disposed of		
8	5	10	14	92	130	—	—	—	338	Pending at End		
50%	20%	0	0	14%	67%	—	—	—	38%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
− 4	0	− 1	+ 9	− 10	− 5	—	—	—	+ 3	Inventory (+ or −)		
32	31	57	90	94	728	—	—	—	1,238	Pending at Start	Pike	8th
62	45	71	186	329	95	63	2,223	77	3,496	Filed		
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Reinstated		
0	0	− 4	+ 4	0	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
62	45	67	190	329	95	63	2,223	77	3,496	Net Added		
69	36	64	153	353	74	45	2,116	44	3,271	Disposed of		
25	40	60	127	70	749	—	—	—	1,305	Pending at End		
40%	40%	42%	45%	30%	90%	—	—	—	67%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
− 7	+ 9	+ 3	+ 37	− 24	+ 21	—	—	—	+ 67	Inventory (+ or −)		
26	1	14	50	13	122	—	—	—	284	Pending at Start	Schuyler	8th
25	33	25	99	98	43	3	846	86	1,357	Filed		
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Reinstated		
0	0	− 1	+ 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
25	33	24	100	98	43	3	846	86	1,357	Net Added		
17	30	9	100	90	61	3	738	38	1,174	Disposed of		
34	4	29	50	21	104	—	—	—	311	Pending at End		
47%	0	45%	68%	14%	70%	—	—	—	54%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
+ 8	+ 3	+ 15	0	+ 8	− 18	—	—	—	+ 27	Inventory (+ or −)		
330	119	322	585	961	2,319	—	—	—	5,897	Pending at Start	Circuit Total	8th
665	372	637	1,725	3,099	654	1,836	16,710	497	28,400	Filed		
1	1	6	12	25	2	0	0	0	83	Reinstated		
0	0	− 135	+ 135	0	0	0	0	0	+ 3	Transferred		
666	373	508	1,872	3,124	656	1,836	16,710	497	28,486	Net Added		
683	320	483	1,880	3,085	756	1,776	16,517	407	28,056	Disposed of		
313	172	344	577	1,000	2,218	—	—	—	5,977	Pending at End		
41%	34%	24%	28%	25%	76%	—	—	—	47%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
− 17	+ 53	+ 22	− 8	+ 39	− 101	—	—	—	+ 80	Inventory (+ or −)		
48	21	30	36	170	391	—	—	—	884	Pending at Start	Fulton	9th
166	104	151	377	633	229	352	4,215	141	6,837	Filed		
0	0	0	0	16	0	0	0	0	43	Reinstated		
0	0	− 5	+ 5	0	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
166	104	146	382	649	229	352	4,215	141	6,880	Net Added		
167	78	116	347	715	173	246	3,871	143	6,340	Disposed of		
39	23	52	66	104	541	—	—	—	1,060	Pending at End		
13%	4%	0	4%	2%	62%	—	—	—	39%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
− 9	+ 2	+ 22	+ 30	− 66	+ 150	—	—	—	+ 176	Inventory (+ or −)		

TREND OF ALL CASES IN THE CIRCUIT

Circuit	County		Law Over $15,000		Law $15,000 or less		Chancery	Miscellaneous Remedy	Eminent Domain	Tax	Municipal Corporations	Mental Health
			Jury	Non-Jury	Jury	Non-Jury						
9th	Hancock	Pending at Start	26	16	5	31	43	12	0	3	0	0
		Filed	4	35	0	71	15	32	6	2	0	3
		Reinstated	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Transferred	1	−1	3	−3	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Net Added	5	34	3	68	15	32	6	2	0	3
		Disposed of	7	21	6	60	28	34	4	4	0	0
		Pending at End	24	29	5	39	30	10	2	1	0	3
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	63%	24%	80%	26%	50%	3%	0	0	0	0
		Inventory (+ or −)	−2	+13	0	+8	−13	−2	+2	−2	0	+3
9th	Henderson	Pending at Start	9	7	1	16	9	3	0	3	0	0
		Filed	3	7	0	21	15	6	2	4	0	0
		Reinstated	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Transferred	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Net Added	3	7	0	21	15	6	2	4	0	0
		Disposed of	4	1	1	17	8	3	0	5	0	0
		Pending at End	8	12	0	20	16	6	2	2	0	0
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	75%	50%	0	65%	19%	33%	0	50%	0	0
		Inventory (+ or −)	−1	+5	−1	+4	+7	+3	+2	−1	0	0
9th	Knox	Pending at Start	119	44	21	175	128	81	0	49	0	18
		Filed	41	45	2	271	100	136	0	23	0	18
		Reinstated	5	4	0	7	2	3	0	0	0	0
		Transferred	5	−5	7	−7	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Net Added	51	44	9	271	102	139	0	23	0	18
		Disposed of	83	42	14	282	126	133	0	17	0	28
		Pending at End	88	46	8	163	108	81	0	60	0	2
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	61%	59%	50%	51%	58%	35%	0	90%	0	0
		Inventory (+ or −)	−31	+2	−13	−12	−20	0	0	+11	0	−16
9th	McDonough	Pending at Start	26	33	2	33	42	18	0	4	0	0
		Filed	10	49	11	67	55	52	1	18	0	0
		Reinstated	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Transferred	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Net Added	10	49	11	67	55	52	1	18	0	0
		Disposed of	17	36	6	55	34	51	2	15	0	0
		Pending at End	31	47	7	53	67	19	8	22	0	0
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	52%	36%	43%	42%	27%	42%	0	18%	0	0
		Inventory (+ or −)	+5	+14	+5	+20	+25	+1	+8	+18	0	0
9th	Warren	Pending at Start	32	21	1	33	31	4	0	12	0	1
		Filed	21	23	3	39	33	19	0	13	0	0
		Reinstated	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Transferred	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Net Added	21	23	3	39	33	19	0	13	0	0
		Disposed of	22	20	1	53	32	19	0	23	0	1
		Pending at End	31	24	3	19	32	4	0	2	0	0
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	52%	38%	0	16%	28%	0	0	0	0	0
		Inventory (+ or −)	−1	∓3	+2	−14	+1	0	0	−10	0	−1
9th	Circuit Total	Pending at Start	251	138	32	315	301	127	0	89	0	19
		Filed	116	185	17	564	288	266	11	67	0	24
		Reinstated	15	5	1	7	3	6	0	2	0	0
		Transferred	+6	−6	+10	−10	—	—	—	—	—	—
		Net Added	137	184	28	561	291	272	11	69	0	24
		Disposed of	173	146	28	556	312	254	6	81	0	32
		Pending at End	228	176	27	327	288	139	14	96	0	5
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	54%	40%	46%	41%	38%	34%	0	70%	0	0
		Inventory (+ or −)	−23	+38	−5	+12	−13	+12	+14	+7	—	−14

---

*Figure adjusted by reason of a physical inventory in an amount equal to the amount by which the number pending at end differs from the amount reported pending at start + or − intervening transactions

COURTS DURING 1986

Family	Juvenile	Felony	Misdemeanors	Small Claims	Probate	Ordinance Violations	Traffic Violations	Conservation Violations	Total		County	Circuit
40	28	35	67	105	466	—	—	—	927	Pending at Start	Hancock	9th
78	62	124	181	369	121	29	2,146	72	3,464	Filed		
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Reinstated		
0	0	− 42	+ 42	0	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
78	62	82	223	369	121	29	2,146	72	3,464	Net Added		
81	24	76	253	333	87	14	2,107	67	3,315	Disposed of		
37	66	41	37	141	504	—	—	—	1,024	Pending at End		
24%	27%	10%	19%	23%	8%	—	—	—	53%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
− 3	+ 38	+ 6	− 30	+ 36	+ 38	—	—	—	+ 97	Inventory (+ or −)		
21	12	14	32	43	171	—	—	—	357	Pending at Start	Henderson	9th
40	31	58	167	119	38	230	1,185	67	2,034	Filed		
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Reinstated		
0	0	− 11	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
40	31	47	178	119	38	230	1,185	67	2,034	Net Added		
15	26	38	178	133	56	144	1,300	68	2,031	Disposed of		
46	17	25	44	29	153	—	—	—	403	Pending at End		
39%	0	8%	20%	52%	78%	—	—	—	57%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
+ 25	+ 5	+ 11	+ 12	− 14	− 18	—	—	—	+ 46	Inventory (+ or −)		
113	15	77	138	460	1,505	—	—	—	3,168	Pending at Start	Knox	9th
300	51	156	928	1,122	291	1,172	8,167	57	13,256	Filed		
0	0	0	1	4	4	0	0	0	38	Reinstated		
0	0	− 4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
300	51	152	933	1,126	295	1,172	8,167	57	13,294	Net Added		
345	47	153	1,045	1,111	244	1,273	8,565	57	13,959	Disposed of		
72	41	57	151	340	1,591	—	—	—	3,013	Pending at End		
31%	59%	11%	51%	49%	84%	—	—	—	61%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
− 41	+ 26	− 20	+ 13	− 120	+ 86	—	—	—	+ 155	Inventory (+ or −)		
95	16	49	181	213	250	—	—	—	1,030	Pending at Start	McDonough	9th
161	38	137	896	718	162	587	4,497	76	7,682	Filed		
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Reinstated		
0	0	− 9	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
161	38	128	905	718	162	587	4,497	76	7,682	Net Added		
172	33	131	676	467	136	512	3,849	78	6,415	Disposed of		
84	21	50	431	464	250	—	—	—	1,624	Pending at End		
38%	19%	18%	17%	33%	47%	—	—	—	30%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
− 11	+ 5	+ 1	+ 250	+ 251	0	—	—	—	+ 594	Inventory (+ or −)		
26	19	24	55	129	227	—	—	—	651	Pending at Start	Warren	9th
87	80	87	433	431	100	267	3,416	27	5,181	Filed		
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Reinstated		
0	0	− 18	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
87	80	69	451	431	100	267	3,416	27	5,181	Net Added		
89	77	53	434	423	63	152	3,177	21	4,769	Disposed of		
24	22	38	74	137	265	—	—	—	704	Pending at End		
4%	9%	0	1%	35%	69%	—	—	—	39%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
− 2	+ 3	+ 14	+ 19	+ 8	+ 38	—	—	—	+ 53	Inventory (+ or −)		
343	111	229	509	1,120	3,010	—	—	—	7,017	Pending at Start	Circuit Total	9th
832	366	713	2,982	3,392	941	2,637	23,626	440	38,490	Filed		
0	0	0	1	20	4	—	—	—	81	Reinstated		
—	—	− 89	+ 89	—	—	—	—	—	0	Transferred		
832	366	624	3,072	3,412	945	2,637	23,626	440	38,571	Net Added		
869	285	567	2,933	3,182	759	2,341	22,869	434	36,829	Disposed of		
302	190	263	803	1,215	3,304	—	—	—	7,828	Pending at End		
29%	26%	8%	19%	32%	75%	—	—	—	49%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
− 41	+ 79	+ 34	+ 294	+ 95	+ 294	—	—	—	+ 811	Inventory (+ or −)		

TREND OF ALL CASES IN THE CIRCUIT

Circuit	County		Law Over $15,000		Law $15,000 or less		Chancery	Miscellaneous Remedy	Eminent Domain	Tax	Municipal Corporations	Mental Health	Dissolution of
			Jury	Non-Jury	Jury	Non-Jury							
10th	Marshall	Pending at Start	33	3	1	12	23	5	0	3	1	0	17
		Filed	10	10	0	16	21	19	1	2	0	0	65
		Reinstated	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Transferred	+2	-2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Net Added	12	8	0	16	21	19	1	2	0	0	65
		Disposed of	25	7	0	13	24	12	1	1	0	0	51
		Pending at End	18	6	1	15	20	12	0	4	1	0	31
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	61%	17%	100%	33%	30%	33%	0	50%	100%	0	16%
		Inventory (+ or −)	−15	+1	0	+3	−3	+7	0	+1	0	0	+14
10th	Peoria	Pending at Start	943	208	76	401	351	197	31	122	0	12	522
		Filed	422	223	33	784	511	326	8	142	0	561	1,146
		Reinstated	42	15	23	37	12	6	5	0	0	0	37
		Transferred	+25	−25	+27	−27	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Net Added	489	213	83	794	523	332	13	142	0	561	1,183
		Disposed of	582	244	70	752	512	268	16	99	0	561	1,112
		Pending at End	850	177	89	443	362	261	28	165	0	12	593
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	56%	43%	62%	23%	31%	44%	82%	30%	0	0	29%
		Inventory (+ or −)	−93	−31	+13	+42	+11	+64	−3	+41	0	0	+71
10th	Putnam	Pending at Start	16	6	2	9	11	3	0	20	0	0	18
		Filed	10	6	1	8	10	7	0	3	0	0	20
		Reinstated	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Transferred	0	0	+1	−1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Net Added	10	6	2	7	11	7	0	3	0	0	20
		Disposed of	12	4	1	8	7	5	0	15	0	0	19
		Pending at End	14	8	3	8	15	5	0	8	0	0	19
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	29%	63%	67%	63%	53%	20%	0	63%	0	0	63%
		Inventory (+ or −)	−2	+2	+1	−1	+4	+2	0	−12	0	0	+1
10th	Stark	Pending at Start	4	4	0	9	17	3	0	15	0	0	6
		Filed	0	12	0	9	13	3	0	2	0	0	28
		Reinstated	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Transferred	+1	−1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Net Added	1	11	0	9	13	3	0	2	0	0	28
		Disposed of	3	8	0	11	14	6	0	6	0	0	23
		Pending at End	2	7	0	7	16	0	0	11	0	0	11
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	50%	75%	0	57%	56%	0	0	91%	0	0	18%
		Inventory (+ or −)	−2	+3	0	−2	−1	−3	0	−4	0	0	+5
10th	Tazewell	Pending at Start	293	52	26	147	237	85	5	69	0	0	192
		Filed	171	73	9	331	310	110	3	36	0	0	742
		Reinstated	4	1	1	7	0	0	1	0	0	0	3
		Transferred	+11	−11	+10	−10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Net Added	186	63	20	328	310	110	4	36	0	0	745
		Disposed of	180	61	27	385	313	101	3	32	0	0	728
		Pending at End	299	56	19	88	234	94	6	73	0	0	209
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	50%	57%	32%	11%	36%	41%	67%	89%	0	0	10%
		Inventory (+ or −)	+6	+4	−7	−59	−3	+9	+1	+4	0	0	+17
10th	Circuit Total	Pending at Start	1,289	273	105	578	639	293	36	229	1	12	755
		Filed	613	324	43	1,148	865	465	12	185	0	561	2,001
		Reinstated	46	16	24	44	13	6	6	0	0	0	40
		Transferred	+39	−37	+38	−30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Net Added	698	303	105	1,162	878	471	18	185	0	561	2,041
		Disposed of	802	324	98	1,169	870	392	20	153	0	561	1,931
		Pending at End	1,183	254	112	561	647	372	34	261	1	12	861
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	54%	41%	57%	23%	34%	41%	79%	50%	100%	0	24%
		Inventory (+ or −)	−106	−19	+7	−17	+8	+79	−2	+32	—	—	+10

---

*Figure adjusted by reason of a physical inventory in an amount equal to the amount by which the number pending at end differs from the amount reported pending at start + or − intervening transactions

Family	Juvenile	Felony	Misdemeanors	Small Claims	Probate	Ordinance Violations	Traffic Violations	Conservation Violations	Total		County	Circuit
38	15	16	15	124	157	—	—	—	463	Pending at Start	Marshall	10th
31	28	24	141	236	50	16	1,412	21	2,103	Filed		
0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	Reinstated		
0	0	− 10	+ 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
31	28	14	151	236	51	16	1,412	21	2,104	Net Added		
34	22	28	152	271	60	17	1,384	15	2,137	Disposed of		
35	21	2	14	89	148	—	—	—	417	Pending at End		
60%	38%	0	0	10%	70%	—	—	—	42%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
− 3	+ 6	− 14	− 1	− 35	− 9	—	—	—	− 46	Inventory (+ or −)		
924	359	154	538	1,729	4,356	—	—	—	10,923	Pending at Start	Peoria	10th
1,990	533	753	2,305	7,582	753	3,115	34,651	121	55,959	Filed		
10	43	1	0	57	0	0	0	0	288	Reinstated		
0	0	− 44	+ 44	0	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
2,000	576	710	2,349	7,639	753	3,115	34,651	121	56,247	Net Added		
1,195	660	734	2,612	7,393	1,123	2,895	39,969	80	60,877	Disposed of		
1,729	275	215	275	1,975	3,986	—	—	—	11,435	Pending at End		
27%	47%	9%	32%	10%	83%	—	—	—	44%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
+ 805	− 84	+ 61	− 263	+ 246	− 370	—	—	—	+ 512	Inventory (+ or −)		
7	3	1	5	10	57	—	—	—	168	Pending at Start	Putnam	10th
13	6	13	20	63	22	24	416	19	661	Filed		
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	Reinstated		
0	0	− 3	+ 3	0	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
13	6	10	17	63	22	24	416	19	662	Net Added		
7	6	7	22	62	32	11	387	17	622	Disposed of		
13	3	4	6	11	47	—	—	—	164	Pending at End		
46%	67%	0	50%	18%	60%	—	—	—	51%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
+ 6	0	+ 1	+ 1	+ 1	− 10	—	—	—	− 4	Inventory (+ or −)		
6	7	2	25	10	92	—	—	—	200	Pending at Start	Stark	10th
17	20	9	65	61	44	13	424	27	747	Filed		
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Reinstated		
0	0	− 2	+ 2	0	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
17	20	7	67	61	44	13	424	27	747	Net Added		
12	13	5	61	57	42	8	375	17	661	Disposed of		
11	14	4	31	14	94	—	—	—	222	Pending at End		
45%	21%	25%	6%	0	62%	—	—	—	44%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
+ 5	+ 7	+ 2	+ 6	+ 4	+ 2	—	—	—	+ 22	Inventory (+ or −)		
683	103	98	254	411	1,971	—	—	—	4,626	Pending at Start	Tazewell	10th
616	207	318	655	1,472	407	1,398	17,157	43	24,058	Filed		
0	0	10	65	2	3	30	0	9	136	Reinstated		
0	0	− 47	+ 47	0	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
616	207	281	767	1,474	410	1,428	17,157	52	24,194	Net Added		
529	147	234	701	1,619	275	1,391	15,895	63	22,684	Disposed of		
770	163	145	320	266	2,106	—	—	—	4,848	Pending at End		
79%	40%	3%	16%	0.8%	82%	—	—	—	59%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
+ 87	+ 60	+ 47	+ 66	− 145	+ 135	—	—	—	+ 222	Inventory (+ or −)		
1,658	487	271	837	2,284	6,633	—	—	—	16,380	Pending at Start	Circuit Total	10th
2,667	794	1,117	3,186	9,414	1,276	4,566	54,060	231	83,528	Filed		
10	43	11	65	59	4	30	0	9	426	Reinstated		
0	0	− 106	+ 106	0	0	0	0	0	+ 10	Transferred		
2,677	837	1,022	3,357	9,473	1,280	4,596	54,060	240	83,964	Net Added		
1,777	848	1,008	3,548	9,402	1,532	4,342	58,010	192	86,981	Disposed of		
2,558	476	370	646	2,355	6,381	—	—	—	17,086	Pending at End		
43%	43%	7%	26%	9%	82%	—	—	—	50%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
+ 900	− 11	+ 99	− 191	+ 71	− 252	—	—	—	+ 706	Inventory (+ or −)		

TREND OF ALL CASES IN THE CIRCUIT

Circuit	County		Law Over $15,000		Law $15,000 or less		Chancery	Miscellaneous Remedy	Eminent Domain	Tax	Municipal Corporations	Mental Health	Dissolution of
			Jury	Non Jury	Jury	Non-Jury							
11th	Ford	Pending at Start	36	26	2	31	19	11	0	4	0	1	35
		Filed	12	12	8	24	21	12	12	2	0	2	68
		Reinstated	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Transferred	+ 1	− 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Net Added	13	11	8	24	21	12	12	2	0	2	68
		Disposed of	22	19	0	26	14	11	3	0	0	1	71
		Pending at End	29	16	2	37	26	13	9	6	0	1	32
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	62%	69%	100%	54%	65%	23%	0	67%	0	100%	59%
		Inventory (+ or −)	− 7	− 10	0	+ 6	+ 7	+ 2	+ 9	+ 2	0	0	− 3
11th	Livingston	Pending at Start	76	23	12	34	63	37	1	6	0	3	109
		Filed	48	19	7	114	38	64	7	7	0	2	202
		Reinstated	2	1	1	5	0	4	0	0	0	0	2
		Transferred	+ 4	− 4	+ 3	− 3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Net Added	54	16	11	116	38	68	7	7	0	2	204
		Disposed of	49	21	12	121	43	67	1	3	0	0	194
		Pending at End	81	19	13	28	57	38	7	10	0	5	117
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	42%	42%	23%	11%	70%	45%	0	60%	0	60%	34%
		Inventory (+ or −)	+ 5	− 4	+ 1	− 6	− 6	+ 1	+ 6	+ 4	0	+ 2	+ 8
11th	Logan	Pending at Start	73	32	3	29	60	16	3	4	5	0	123
		Filed	30	18	3	65	24	41	1	1	0	4	232
		Reinstated	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Transferred	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Net Added	30	18	3	65	24	41	1	1	0	4	232
		Disposed of	32	19	3	64	19	41	0	1	0	4	263
		Pending at End	71	31	3	30	65	16	4	4	5	0	92
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	63%	77%	0	47%	71%	31%	75%	100%	100%	0	15%
		Inventory (+ or −)	− 2	− 1	0	+ 1	+ 5	0	1	0	0	0	− 31
11th	McLean	Pending at Start	440	148	30	251	254	59	13	16	1	0	199
		Filed	155	116	15	461	155	95	12	6	1	35	666
		Reinstated	14	11	0	88	4	0	1	0	0	0	3
		Transferred	+ 20	− 20	+ 18	− 18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Net Added	189	107	33	531	159	95	13	6	1	35	669
		Disposed of	223	66	26	560	131	88	9	13	1	33	585
		Pending at End	406	191	35	222	282	66	17	9	1	2	283
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	65%	61%	40%	27%	62%	48%	41%	44%	100%	0	8%
		Inventory (+ or −)	− 34	+ 43	+ 5	− 29	+ 28	+ 7	+ 4	− 7	0	+ 2	+ 84
11th	Woodford	Pending at Start	35	15	0	19	57	2	0	2	2	0	35
		Filed	24	11	3	40	52	9	7	12	1	3	127
		Reinstated	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Transferred	+ 1	− 1	+ 1	− 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Net Added	25	10	4	39	52	9	7	12	1	3	127
		Disposed of	29	10	3	48	66	11	7	3	2	1	113
		Pending at End	31	15	1	10	43	0	0	11	1	2	49
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	35%	67%	100%	20%	12%	0	0	0	0	0	8%
		Inventory (+ or −)	− 4	0	+ 1	− 9	− 14	− 2	0	+ 9	− 1	+ 2	+ 14
11th	Circuit Total	Pending at Start	660	244	47	364	453	125	17	32	8	4	501
		Filed	269	176	36	704	290	221	39	28	2	46	1,295
		Reinstated	16	12	1	93	4	4	1	0	0	0	5
		Transferred	+ 26	− 25	+ 21	− 22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Net Added	311	163	58	775	294	225	40	28	2	46	1,300
		Disposed of	355	135	44	819	273	218	20	20	3	39	1,226
		Pending at End	618	272	54	327	473	133	37	40	7	10	573
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	60%	62%	35%	30%	60%	43%	27%	45%	86%	40%	18%
		Inventory (+ or −)	− 42	+ 28	+ 7	− 37	+ 20	+ 8	+ 20	+ 8	− 1	+ 6	+ 72

---

*Figure adjusted by reason of a physical inventory in an amount equal to the amount by which the number pending at end differs from the amount reported pending at start + or − intervening transactions

COURTS DURING 1986

Family	Juvenile	Felony	Misdemeanors	Small Claims	Probate	Ordinance Violations	Traffic Violations	Conservation Violations	Total		County	Circuit
36	10	6	35	71	276	—	—	—	599	Pending at Start	Ford	11th
36	46	66	160	170	70	29	2,174	7	2,931	Filed		
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Reinstated		
0	0	− 10	+ 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
36	46	56	170	170	70	29	2,174	7	2,931	Net Added		
34	41	40	141	135	79	34	2,078	7	2,756	Disposed of		
38	15	22	64	106	267	—	—	—	683	Pending at End		
68%	27%	0	22%	45%	77%	—	—	—	57%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
+ 2	+ 5	+ 16	+ 29	+ 35	− 9	—	—	—	+ 84	Inventory (+ or −)		
112	37	83	162	125	1,020	—	—	—	1,903	Pending at Start	Livingston	11th
134	112	251	455	874	221	133	5,959	35	8,682	Filed		
0	0	8	0	32	0	0	0	0	55	Reinstated		
0	0	− 38	+ 38	0	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
134	112	281	493	906	221	133	5,959	35	8,737	Net Added		
122	110	216	491	869	299	111	5,385	32	8,146	Disposed of		
124	39	88	164	155	946	—	—	—	1,891	Pending at End		
76%	31%	3%	12%	4%	79%	—	—	—	55%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
+ 12	+ 2	+ 5	+ 2	+ 30	− 74	—	—	—	− 12	Inventory (+ or −)		
71	15	35	69	77	1,019	—	—	—	1,654	Pending at Start	Logan	11th
109	57	114	234	523	182	30	6,573	25	8,266	Filed		
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Reinstated		
0	0	− 2	+ 2	0	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
109	57	112	236	523	182	30	6,573	25	8,266	Net Added		
137	64	86	229	509	146	32	5,194	36	6,879	Disposed of		
43	8	61	76	111	1,055	—	—	—	1,675	Pending at End		
44%	0	10%	8%	28%	85%	—	—	—	67%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
− 28	− 7	+ 26	+ 7	+ 14	+ 36	—	—	—	+ 21	Inventory (+ or −)		
195	48	332	683	566	1,093	—	—	—	4,328	Pending at Start	McLean	11th
390	188	561	2,058	3,066	405	1,370	32,937	64	42,756	Filed		
27	2	72	39	439	0	19	1,782	3	2,504	Reinstated		
0	0	− 22	+ 22	0	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
417	190	611	2,119	3,505	405	1,389	34,719	3	45,260	Net Added		
396	187	612	1,854	3,458	360	1,500	31,862	63	42,027	Disposed of		
216	51	341	948	613	1,138	—	—	—	4,821	Pending at End		
47%	10%	9%	4%	8%	71%	—	—	—	36%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
+ 21	+ 3	+ 9	+ 265	+ 47	+ 45	—	—	—	+ 493	Inventory (+ or −)		
14	14	35	39	50	0	—	—	—	319	Pending at Start	Woodford	11th
67	25	51	210	144	149	4	3,817	15	4,771	Filed		
0	0	2	13	0	0	0	12	0	27	Reinstated		
0	0	− 7	+ 7	0	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
67	25	46	230	144	149	4	3,829	15	4,798	Net Added		
45	26	68	236	155	156	4	3,503	11	4,497	Disposed of		
36	13	13	33	39	0	—	—	—	297	Pending at End		
31%	15%	23%	0	36%	48%	—	—	—	32%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
+ 22	− 1	− 22	− 6	− 11	0	—	—	—	− 22	Inventory (+ or −)		
428	124	491	988	909	3,408	—	—	—	8,803	Pending at Start	Circuit Total	11th
736	428	1,043	3,117	4,777	1,027	1,566	51,460	146	67,406	Filed		
27	2	82	52	471	0	19	1,794	3	2,586	Reinstated		
0	0	− 79	+ 79	0	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
763	430	1,046	3,248	5,248	1,027	1,585	53,254	149	69,992	Net Added		
734	428	1,022	2,951	5,126	1,040	1,681	48,022	149	64,305	Disposed of		
457	126	525	1,285	1,024	3,406	—	—	—	9,367	Pending at End		
55%	18%	8%	14%	15%	76%	—	—	—	46%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
+ 29	+ 2	+ 34	+ 297	+ 115	− 2	—	—	—	+ 564	Inventory (+ or −)		

TREND OF ALL CASES IN THE CIRCUIT

Circuit	County		Law Over $15,000		Law $15,000 or less		Chancery	Miscellaneous Remedy	Eminent Domain	Tax	Municipal Corporations	Mental Health	Dissolution of
			Jury	Non-Jury	Jury	Non-Jury							
12th	Will	Pending at Start	673	291	65	592	588	220	33	237	1	0	1,01
		Filed	537	435	17	3,035	704	667	30	435	3	132	1,62
		Reinstated	41	13	6	37	21	4	2	0	1	0	1
		Transferred	+ 177	– 177	+ 59	– 58	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Net Added	755	271	82	3,014	725	671	32	435	4	132	1,64
		Disposed of	560	255	59	2,867	771	532	38	261	3	129	1,32
		Pending at End	868	307	88	739	542	359	27	411	2	3	1,33
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	34%	21%	38%	32%	23%	19%	4%	28%	0	0	31
		Inventory (+ or –)	+ 195	+ 16	+ 23	+ 147	– 46	+ 139	– 6	+ 174	+ 1	+ 3	+ 31
12th	Circuit Total	Pending at Start	673	291	65	592	588	220	33	237	1	0	1,01
		Filed	537	435	17	3,035	704	667	30	435	3	132	1,62
		Reinstated	41	13	6	37	21	4	2	0	1	0	1
		Transferred	+ 177	– 177	+ 59	– 58	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Net Added	755	271	82	3,014	725	671	32	435	4	132	1,64
		Disposed of	560	255	59	2,867	771	532	38	261	3	129	1,32
		Pending at End	868	307	88	739	542	359	27	411	2	3	1,33
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	34%	21%	38%	32%	23%	19%	4%	29%	0	0	31
		Inventory (+ or –)	+ 195	+ 16	+ 23	+ 147	– 46	+ 139	– 6	+ 174	+ 1	+ 3	+ 31
13th	Bureau	Pending at Start	99	17	6	29	42	11	6	5	1	0	6
		Filed	45	39	1	98	59	59	5	18	1	1	15
		Reinstated	7	1	3	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	
		Transferred	+ 2	– 1	+ 3	– 3	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Net Added	54	39	7	98	61	59	5	18	1	1	15
		Disposed of	69	36	8	103	58	57	5	20	2	1	16
		Pending at End	84	20	5	24	45	13	6	3	0	0	5
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	57%	15%	40%	0	40%	23%	67%	33%	0	0	23
		Inventory (+ or –)	– 15	+ 3	– 1	– 5	+ 3	+ 2	0	– 2	– 1	0	–
13th	Grundy	Pending at Start	101	43	30	48	38	29	0	16	1	2	10
		Filed	47	39	1	74	35	31	26	24	2	3	23
		Reinstated	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Transferred	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Net Added	50	39	1	74	35	31	26	24	2	3	23
		Disposed of	56	16	7	59	29	28	0	2	0	2	22
		Pending at End	105	52	31	164	71	35	26	19	3	3	9
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	55%	62%	96%	83%	75%	64%	0	94%	33%	67%	42
		Inventory (+ or –)	+ 4	+ 9	+ 1	+ 116	+ 33	+ 6	+ 26	+ 3	+ 2	+ 1	–
13th	LaSalle	Pending at Start	500	53	40	134	100	60	3	31	0	0	18
		Filed	281	66	20	394	118	188	13	37	2	16	66
		Reinstated	7	0	1	12	1	3	0	5	0	0	
		Transferred	+ 16	– 16	+ 14	– 14	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Net Added	304	50	35	392	119	191	13	42	2	16	66
		Disposed of	259	47	29	389	102	159	10	23	1	16	67
		Pending at End	541	59	46	138	117	92	6	50	1	0	17
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	53%	37%	57%	18%	30%	11%	0	54%	0	0	11
		Inventory (+ or –)	+ 41	+ 6 –	+ 6	+ 4	+ 17	+ 32	+ 3	+ 19	+ 1	0	–
13th	Circuit Total	Pending at Start	700	113	76	211	180	100	9	52	2	2	34
		Filed	373	144	22	566	212	278	44	79	5	20	1,04
		Reinstated	17	1	4	15	3	3	0	5	0	0	
		Transferred	+ 18	– 18	+ 17	– 16	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Net Added	408	127	43	565	215	281	44	84	5	20	1,05
		Disposed of	384	99	44	551	189	244	15	45	3	19	1,05
		Pending at End	730	131	82	326	233	140	38	72	4	3	32
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	55%	43%	69%	50%	46%	35%	10%	53%	25%	67%	22
		Inventory (+ or –)	+ 30	+ 18	+ 6	+ 115	+ 53	+ 40	+ 29	+ 20	+ 2	+ 1	– 1

---

*Figure adjusted by reason of a physical inventory in an amount equal to the amount by which the number pending at end differs from the amount reported pending at start + or – intervening transactions.

COURTS DURING 1986

Family	Juvenile	Felony	Misdemeanors	Small Claims	Probate	Ordinance Violations	Traffic Violations	Conservation Violations	Total		County	Circuit
325	249	718	686	1,643	2,209	—	—	—	9,549	Pending at Start	Will	12th
1,345	312	1,212	2,912	6,706	555	5,698	66,922	162	93,447	Filed		
49	6	158	0	122	0	19	3,112	0	3,609	Reinstated		
0	0	0	0	– 1	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
1,394	318	1,370	2,912	6,827	555	5,717	70,034	162	97,056	Net Added		
1,338	429	1,289	2,867	6,961	322	5,102	65,208	148	90,468	Disposed of		
381	138	799	731	1,509	2,442	—	—	—	10,682	Pending at End		
23%	25%	19%	9%	2%	79%	—	—	—	34%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
+ 56	– 111	+ 81	+ 45	– 134	+ 233	—	—	—	+ 1,133	Inventory (+ or –)		
325	249	718	686	1,643	2,209	—	—	—	9,549	Pending at Start	Circuit Total	12th
1,345	312	1,212	2,912	6,706	555	5,698	66,922	162	93,447	Filed		
49	6	158	0	122	0	19	3,112	0	3,609	Reinstated		
0	0	0	0	– 1	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
1,394	318	1,370	2,912	6,827	555	5,717	70,034	162	97,056	Net Added		
1,338	429	1,289	2,867	6,961	322	5,102	65,208	148	90,468	Disposed of		
381	138	799	731	1,509	2,442	—	—	—	10,682	Pending at End		
23%	25%	19%	9%	2%	79%	—	—	—	34%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
+ 56	– 111	+ 81	+ 45	– 134	+ 233	—	—	—	+ 1,133	Inventory (+ or –)		
37	46	22	75	115	1,025	—	—	—	1,598	Pending at Start	Bureau	13th
99	65	81	517	741	201	58	5,836	88	8,165	Filed		
2	0	2	3	26	2	0	27	0	79	Reinstated		
0	0	– 6	+ 6	– 1	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
101	65	77	526	766	203	58	5,863	88	8,244	Net Added		
100	86	79	517	794	192	65	5,719	106	8,180	Disposed of		
38	25	20	84	87	1,036	—	—	—	1,543	Pending at End		
45%	24%	5%	0	3%	82%	—	—	—	63%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
+ 1	– 21	– 2	+ 9	– 28	+ 11	—	—	—	– 55	Inventory (+ or –)		
153	88	35	319	86	315	—	—	—	1,404	Pending at Start	Grundy	13th
105	99	92	636	241	109	132	4,105	136	6,168	Filed		
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	Reinstated		
0	0	– 8	+ 8	0	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
105	99	92	636	241	109	132	4,105	136	6,171	Net Added		
79	86	77	633	233	79	133	4,231	124	6,096	Disposed of		
171	102	44	338	88	307	—	—	—	1,657	Pending at End		
74%	51%	41%	61%	32%	68%	—	—	—	62%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
+ 18	+ 14	+ 9	+ 19	+ 2	– 8	—	—	—	+ 253	Inventory (+ or –)		
116	142	88	230	450	1,515	—	—	—	3,646	Pending at Start	LaSalle	13th
449	246	252	1,061	2,228	437	1,171	13,086	191	20,918	Filed		
3	1	7	50	78	23	0	1	0	198	Reinstated		
0	0	– 46	+ 46	0	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
452	247	213	1,157	2,306	460	1,171	13,087	191	21,116	Net Added		
464	228	193	1,151	2,223	450	1,122	12,873	198	20,611	Disposed of		
104	161	108	236	533	1,525	—	—	—	3,895	Pending at End		
26%	20%	2%	6%	5%	75%	—	—	—	44%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
– 12	+ 19	+ 20	+ 6	+ 83	+ 10	—	—	—	+ 249	Inventory (+ or –)		
306	276	145	624	651	2,855	—	—	—	6,648	Pending at Start	Circuit Total	13th
653	410	425	2,214	3,210	747	1,361	23,027	415	35,251	Filed		
5	1	9	53	104	25	0	28	0	280	Reinstated		
0	0	– 60	+ 60	– 1	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
658	411	374	2,327	3,313	772	1,361	23,055	415	35,531	Net Added		
643	400	349	2,301	3,250	721	1,320	22,823	428	34,887	Disposed of		
313	288	172	658	708	2,868	—	—	—	7,095	Pending at End		
55%	31%	11%	36%	8%	77%	—	—	—	52%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
+ 7	+ 12	+ 27	+ 34	+ 57	+ 13	—	—	—	+ 447	Inventory (+ or –)		

TREND OF ALL CASES IN THE CIRCUIT

Circuit	County		Law Over $15,000 Jury	Law Over $15,000 Non-Jury	Law $15,000 or less Jury	Law $15,000 or less Non-Jury	Chancery	Miscellaneous Remedy	Eminent Domain	Tax	Municipal Corporations	Mental Health	Dissolution of
14th	Henry	Pending at Start	118	61	5	56	107	40	4	2	0	0	79
		Filed	20	42	0	92	95	66	10	5	0	10	253
		Reinstated	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Transferred	+6	−6	+3	−3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Net Added	26	36	3	89	95	66	10	5	0	10	253
		Disposed of	48	46	4	102	113	68	4	6	0	10	216
		Pending at End	96	53	4	43	89	38	10	1	0	0	71
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	78%	66%	25%	37%	43%	53%	40%	0	0	0	27%
		Inventory (+ or −)	−22	−8	−1	−13	−18	−2	+6	−1	0	0	−8
14th	Mercer	Pending at Start	33	34	4	42	28	2	0	0	0	0	3
		Filed	9	20	0	29	51	9	0	1	0	1	9
		Reinstated	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Transferred	0	0	+1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Net Added	9	20	1	29	51	9	0	1	0	1	9
		Disposed of	16	25	2	46	37	8	0	1	0	1	6
		Pending at End	33	29	3	22	42	3	0	0	0	0	6
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	64%	69%	67%	77%	45%	67%	0	0	0	0	48%
		Inventory (+ or −)	0	−5	−1	−20	+14	+1	0	0	0	0	+2
14th	Rock Island	Pending at Start	513	180	50	644	286	147	34	68	0	0	47
		Filed	210	189	11	868	385	172	52	92	0	3	1,02
		Reinstated	10	2	0	4	4	1	0	2	0	0	
		Transferred	+21	−21	+20	−20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Net Added	241	170	31	852	389	173	52	94	0	3	1,03
		Disposed of	261	156	28	900	353	198	27	103	0	3	99
		Pending at End	491	196	48	601	322	122	59	59	0	0	51
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	62%	48%	67%	46%	36%	55%	37%	27%	0	0	40%
		Inventory (+ or −)	−22	+16	−2	−43	+36	−25	+25	−9	0	0	+4
14th	Whiteside	Pending at Start	149	61	4	104	80	49	2	28	0	0	22
		Filed	43	79	1	221	67	60	1	19	0	11	37
		Reinstated	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Transferred	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Net Added	43	79	1	221	67	60	1	19	0	11	37
		Disposed of	41	92	0	183	82	45	2	14	0	11	35
		Pending at End	141	63	5	91	65	39	1	28	0	0	19
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	63%	58%	20%	34%	53%	48%	0	64%	0	0	42%
		Inventory (+ or −)	−8	+2	+1	−13	−15	−10	−1	0	0	0	−2
14th	Circuit Total	Pending at Start	813	336	63	846	501	238	40	98	0	0	80
		Filed	282	330	12	1,210	598	307	63	117	0-	25	1,74
		Reinstated	10	2	0	4	4	1	0	2	0	0	
		Transferred	+27	−27	~~+24~~	−23	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Net Added	319	305	36	1,191	602	308	63	119	0	25	1,75
		Disposed of	366	319	34	1,231	585	319	33	124	0	25	1,66
		Pending at End	761	341	60	757	518	202	70	88	0	0	84
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	64%	55%	64%	45%	40%	53%	38%	38%	0	0	40%
		Inventory (+ or −)	−52	+5	−3	−89	+17	−36	+30	−10	0	0	+3
15th	Carroll	Pending at Start	16	36	2	34	32	12	3	46	1	1	4
		Filed	11	30	0	41	33	8	1	13	0	0	7
		Reinstated	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Transferred	+5	−5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Net Added	16	25	0	41	33	8	1	13	0	0	7
		Disposed of	13	21	1	42	34	6	1	9	0	0	8
		Pending at End	19	40	1	33	31	14	3	50	1	1	3
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	68%	35%	100%	39%	23%	79%	67%	74%	100%	100%	21%
		Inventory (+ or −)	+3	+4	−1	−1	−1	+2	0	+4	0	0	−

*Figure adjusted by reason of a physical inventory in an amount equal to the amount by which the number pending at end differs from the amount reported pending at start + or − intervening transactions

COURTS DURING 1986

Family	Juvenile	Felony	Misdemeanors	Small Claims	Probate	Ordinance Violations	Traffic Violations	Conservation Violations	Total		County	Circuit
139	11	84	154	220	1,132	—	—	—	2,212	Pending at Start	Henry	14th
132	108	199	670	717	256	352	11,518	71	14,616	Filed		
0	0	3	3	1	0	0	0	0	7	Reinstated		
0	0	−63	+63	0	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
132	108	139	736	718	256	352	11,518	71	14,623	Net Added		
215	88	112	685	755	254	319	10,559	80	13,729	Disposed of		
56	31	111	205	183	1,134	—	—	—	2,125	Pending at End		
50%	0	29%	35%	17%	80%	—	—	—	60%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
−83	+20	+27	+51	−37	+2	—	—	—	−87	Inventory (+ or −)		
38	27	90	149	138	249	—	—	—	867	Pending at Start	Mercer	14th
74	29	96	198	337	83	72	1,251	42	2,395	Filed		
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Reinstated		
0	0	−3	+3	−1	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
74	29	93	201	336	83	72	1,251	42	2,395	Net Added		
52	28	66	190	320	73	55	1,212	38	2,236	Disposed of		
60	28	124	160	154	259	—	—	—	977	Pending at End		
53%	54%	59%	76%	55%	74%	—	—	—	64%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
+22	+1	+34	+11	+16	+10	—	—	—	+110	Inventory (+ or −)		
518	33	444	1,706	1,248	2,770	—	—	—	9,115	Pending at Start	Rock Island	14th
660	184	670	2,627	3,760	635	2,061	32,285	245	46,133	Filed		
0	0	16	361	16	0	165	1,263	7	1,860	Reinstated		
0	0	−35	+35	0	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
660	184	651	3,023	3,776	635	2,226	33,548	252	47,993	Net Added		
676	180	680	2,918	3,790	441	2,353	32,896	240	47,193	Disposed of		
502	37	415	1,811	1,234	2,964	—	—	—	9,378	Pending at End		
67%	8%	35%	32%	20%	81%	—	—	—	52%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
−16	+4	−29	+105	−14	+194	—	—	—	+263	Inventory (+ or −)		
268	60	85	332	331	1,408	—	—	—	3,181	Pending at Start	Whiteside	14th
179	115	217	844	1,497	237	383	7,878	159	12,385	Filed		
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Reinstated		
0	0	−29	+29	0	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
179	115	188	873	1,497	237	383	7,878	159	12,385	Net Added		
258	132	177	903	1,252	202	320	7,276	163	11,504	Disposed of		
195	43	91	273	398	1,425	—	—	—	3,051	Pending at End		
63%	13%	19%	45%	34%	86%	—	—	—	63%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
−73	−17	+6	−59	−67	+17	—	—	—	−130	Inventory (+ or −)		
963	131	703	2,341	1,937	5,559	—	—	—	15,375	Pending at Start	Circuit Total	14th
1,045	436	1,182	4,339	6,311	1,211	2,868	52,932	517	75,529	Filed		
0	0	19	364	17	0	165	1,263	7	1,867	Reinstated		
0	0	−130	+130	−1	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
1,045	436	1,071	4,833	6,327	1,211	3,033	54,195	524	77,396	Net Added		
1,201	428	1,035	4,696	6,117	970	3,047	51,943	521	74,662	Disposed of		
813	139	741	2,449	1,969	5,782	—	—	—	15,531	Pending at End		
64	17%	36%	37%	25%	82%	—	—	—	56%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
−150	+8	+38	+108	+32	+223	—	—	—	+156	Inventory (+ or −)		
50	14	16	103	80	366	—	—	—	858	Pending at Start	Carroll	15th
53	49	52	282	227	87	94	1,676	116	2,849	Filed		
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Reinstated		
0	0	−1	+1	0	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
53	49	51	283	227	87	94	1,676	116	2,849	Net Added		
31	40	55	237	242	53	91	1,626	100	2,686	Disposed of		
72	23	12	149	65	400	—	—	—	952	Pending at End		
60%	26%	0	52%	15%	80%	—	—	—	59%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
+22	+9	−4	+46	−15	+34	—	—	—	+94	Inventory (+ or −)		

TREND OF ALL CASES IN THE CIRCUIT

Circuit	County		Law Over $15,000		Law $15,000 or less		Chancery	Miscellaneous Remedy	Eminent Domain	Tax	Municipal Corporations	Mental Health	Dissolution of
			Jury	Non-Jury	Jury	Non-Jury							
15th	Jo Daviess	Pending at Start	18	24	0	47	44	9	2	12	0	0	4
		Filed	9	42	2	54	45	24	0	45	0	0	10
		Reinstated	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Transferred	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Net Added	9	42	2	54	45	24	0	45	0	0	10
		Disposed of	10	23	0	57	38	14	2	46	0	0	10
		Pending at End	17	43	2	44	51	19	0	11	0	0	4
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	59%	33%	0	30%	41%	16%	0	9%	0	0	21
		Inventory (+ or −)	−1	+19	+2	−3	+7	+10	−2	−1	0	0	+
15th	Lee	Pending at Start	55	42	5	83	75	26	1	1	1	9	9
		Filed	37	53	2	119	49	48	6	1	0	26	18
		Reinstated	5	0	2	4	3	2	0	0	0	0	−
		Transferred	+6	−6	+4	−3	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Net Added	48	47	8	120	52	50	6	1	0	26	18
		Disposed of	26	41	11	125	58	50	3	3	0	17	18
		Pending at End	82	40	3	79	66	24	4	0	1	20	9
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	48%	40%	33%	42%	46%	43% —	25%	0	100%	50%	25%
		Inventory (+ or −)	+27	−2	−2	−4	−9	−2	+3	−1	0	+11	+
15th	Ogle	Pending at Start	52	47	8	63	38	17	2	27	0	0	11
		Filed	43	54	0	128	52	41	2	27	0	3	23
		Reinstated	4	3	0	4	1	1	0	0	0	0	
		Transferred	+6	−6	+1	−1	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Net Added	53	51	1	131	53	42	2	27	0	3	23
		Disposed of	26	58	6	135	54	44	3	31	0	3	22
		Pending at End	78	40	3	59	37	15	1	23	0	0	12
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	51%	38%	100%	15%	27%	33%	0	13%	0	0	18%
		Inventory (+ or −)	+26	−7	−5	−4	−1	−2	−1	−4	0	0	+1
15th	Stephenson	Pending at Start	58	41	8	71	32	29	2	6	0	2	13
		Filed	40	31	3	132	44	31	0	31	1	7	22
		Reinstated	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	
		Transferred	+2	−2	+1	−1	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Net Added	42	29	4	131	46	31	0	31	1	7	22
		Disposed of	39	21	11	100	37	34	3	15	1	8	20
		Pending at End	69	46	8	88	40	22	0	22	0	0	14
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	57%	64%	63%	39%	44%	56%	0	22%	0	0	33
		Inventory (+ or −)	+11	+5	0	+17	+8	−7	−2	+16	0	−2	+1
15th	Circuit Total	Pending at Start	199	190	23	298	221	93	10	92	2	12	42
		Filed	140	210	7	474	223	152	9	117	1	36	82
		Reinstated	9	3	2	8	6	3	0	0	0	0	
		Transferred	+19	−19	+6	−5	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Net Added	168	194	15	477	229	155	9	117	1	36	82
		Disposed of	114	164	29	459	221	148	12	104	1	28	79
		Pending at End	265	209	17	303	225	94	8	106	2	21	45
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	53%	42%	55%	34%	38%	45%	44%	43%	0	52%	25
		Inventory (+ or −)	+66	+19	−6	+5	+4	+1	−2	+14	—	+9	+3
16th	DeKalb	Pending at Start	197	73	19	211	112	51	8	11	0	0	23
		Filed	64	85	3	301	61	84	4	15	2	12	34
		Reinstated	2	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	
		Transferred	+14	−14	+4	−4	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Net Added	80	71	8	297	62	84	4	15	2	12	34
		Disposed of	66	59	7	281	83	71	5	16	2	11	35
		Pending at End	212	88	20	225	91	64	7	10	0	1	21
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	67%	48%	75%	56%	65%	44%	86%	100%	0	0	34
		Inventory (+ or −)	+15	+15	+1	+14	−21	+13	−1	−1	0	+1	−1

---

*Figure adjusted by reason of a physical inventory in an amount equal to the amount by which the number pending at end differs from the amount reported pending at start + or − intervening transactions.

COURTS DURING 1986

Family	Juvenile	Felony	Misdemeanors	Small Claims	Probate	Ordinance Violations	Traffic Violations	Conservation Violations	Total		County	Circuit
27	52	21	88	75	286	—	—	—	747	Pending at Start	Jo Daviess	15th
63	32	100	261	326	84	245	2,779	159	4,376	Filed		
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Reinstated		
0	0	− 25	+ 25	0	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
63	32	75	286	326	84	245	2,779	159	4,376	Net Added		
53	75	43	294	291	72	285	2,637	158	4,198	Disposed of		
37	9	50	83	94	298	—	—	—	806	Pending at End		
24%	33%	12%	25%	7%	76%	—	—	—	43%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
+ 10	− 43	+ 29	− 5	+ 19	+ 12	—	—	—	+ 59	Inventory (+ or −)		
54	18	47	297	407	608	—	—	—	1,819	Pending at Start	Lee	15th
110	151	250	1,244	1,310	137	57	8,640	65	12,492	Filed		
1	1	10	4	9	7	—	—	—	49	Reinstated		
0	0	− 40	+ 40	− 1	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
111	152	220	1,288	1,318	144	57	8,640	65	12,541	Net Added		
96	131	176	1,112	1,185	127	46	7,714	58	11,159	Disposed of		
66	49	79	434	554	637	—	—	—	2,233	Pending at End		
46%	18%	7%	29%	18%	81%	—	—	—	43%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
+ 12	+ 31	+ 32	+ 137	+ 147	+ 29	—	—	—	+ 414	Inventory (+ or −)		
15	32	32	167	43	282	—	—	—	936	Pending at Start	Ogle	15th
173	82	88	385	429	149	361	5,574	222	8,048	Filed		
2	0	2	2	1	2	0	0	0	23	Reinstated		
0	0	− 3	+ 3	0	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
175	82	87	390	430	151	361	5,574	222	8,071	Net Added		
141	85	76	380	390	140	371	5,374	200	7,737	Disposed of		
49	29	43	177	83	296	—	—	—	1,060	Pending at End		
16%	0	23%	27%	0	57%	—	—	—	32%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
+ 34	− 3	+ 11	+ 10	+ 40	+ 14	—	—	—	+ 124	Inventory (+ or −)		
105	61	56	180	290	816	—	—	—	1,895	Pending at Start	Stephenson	15th
241	114	294	976	915	187	775	6,877	78	10.997	Filed		
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	Reinstated		
0	0	− 15	+ 15	0	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
241	114	279	991	915	187	775	6,877	78	10,999	Net Added		
188	121	178	904	907	141	646	6,100	75	9,736	Disposed of		
155	49	147	261	298	877	—	—	—	2,231	Pending at End		
23%	0	1%	3%	13%	82%	—	—	—	45%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
+ 50	− 12	+ 91	+ 81	+ 8	+ 61	—	—	—	+ 336	Inventory (+ or −)		
251	177	172	835	895	2,358	—	—	—	6 255	Pending at Start	Circuit Total	15th
640	428	784	3,148	3,207	644	1,532	25,546	640	38,762	Filed		
3	1	12	6	10	9	0	0	0	74	Reinstated		
0	0	− 84	+ 84	− 1	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
643	429	712	3,238	3,216	653	1,532	25,546	640	38,836	Net Added		
509	452	528	2,927	3,015	533	1,439	23,451	591	35,516	Disposed of		
379	159	331	1,104	1,094	2,508	—	—	—	7,282	Pending at End		
33%	11%	7%	26%	14%	78%	—	—	—	44%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
+ 128	− 18	+ 159	+ 269	+ 199	+ 150	—	—	—	+ 1,027	Inventory (+ or −)		
51	24	116	629	260	528	—	—	—	2,521	Pending at Start	DeKalb	16th
180	151	299	1,383	1,057	163	551	13,229	53	18,040	Filed		
0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	8	Reinstated		
0	0	− 4	+ 4	0	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
180	151	295	1,387	1,057	165	551	13,229	53	18,048	Net Added		
164	157	211	989	940	157	688	10,939	61	15,264	Disposed of		
67	18	192	729	377	536	—	—	—	2,856	Pending at End		
42%	11%	28%	19%	31%	74%	—	—	—	43%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
+ 16	− 6	+ 76	+ 100	+ 117	+ 8	—	—	—	335	Inventory (+ or −)		

TREND OF ALL CASES IN THE CIRCUIT

Circuit	County		Law Over $15,000		Law $15,000 or less		Chancery	Miscellaneous Remedy	Eminent Domain	Tax	Municipal Corporations	Mental Health
			Jury	Non-Jury	Jury	Non-Jury						
16th	Kane	Pending at Start	1,098	531	48	540	431	158	35	241	5	55
		Filed	562	450	42	3,373	500	359	33	150	1	1,055
		Reinstated	42	8	0	136	6	0	2	2	0	0
		Transferred	+ 24	− 24	+ 12	− 12	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Net Added	628	434	54	3,497	506	359	35	152	1	1,055
		Disposed of	593	374	41	3,084	497	276	27	235	6	894
		Pending at End	1,061	548	43	719	444	210	37	150	2	157
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	53%	43%	40%	13%	34%	28%	35%	39%	100%	32%
		Inventory (+ or −)	− 37	+ 17	− 5	+ 179	+ 13	+ 52	+ 2	− 91	− 3	+ 102
16th	Kendall	Pending at Start	52	32	5	51	40	10	1	18	0	5
		Filed	24	44	1	73	40	19	0	5	1	0
		Reinstated	1	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
		Transferred	+ 6	− 6	+ 1	− 1	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Net Added	31	40	2	72	41	19	0	5	1	0
		Disposed of	31	23	1	135	46	14	0	9	0	0
		Pending at End	52	50	4	89	35	15	1	14	1	5
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	60%	48%	75%	34%	37%	60%	100%	71%	0	100%
		Inventory (+ or −)	0	+ 18	− 1	+ 38	− 5	+ 5	0	− 4	+ 1	0
16th	Circuit Total	Pending at Start	1,347	636	72	802	583	219	44	270	5	60
		Filed	650	579	46	3,847	601	462	37	170	4	1,067
		Reinstated	45	10	1	136	8	0	2	2	0	0
		Transferred	+ 44	− 40	+ 17	− 21	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Net Added	739	549	64	3,962	609	462	39	172	4	1,067
		Disposed of	690	456	49	3,500	626	361	32	260	8	905
		Pending at End	1,325	686	67	1,033	570	289	45	174	3	163
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	56%	44%	52%	24%	39%	33%	44%	45%	67%	34%
		Inventory (+ or −)	− 22	+ 50	− 5	+ 231	− 13	+ 70	+ 1	− 96	− 2	+ 103
17th	Boone	Pending at Start	49	37	5	55	56	13	4	0	0	5
		Filed	14	35	2	127	27	20	0	1	0	8
		Reinstated	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Transferred	+ 5	− 5	+ 3	− 3	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Net Added	19	30	5	124	27	20	0	1	0	8
		Disposed of	23	39	5	129	37	18	2	1	0	8
		Pending at End	45	28	5	50	46	15	2	0	0	5
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	60%	46%	20%	30%	72%	33%	100%	0	0	100%
		Inventory (+ or −)	− 4	− 9	0	− 5	− 10	+ 2	− 2	0	0	0
17th	Winnebago	Pending at Start	772	341	39	1,384	387	185	9	68	3	4
		Filed	344	334	4	2,491	378	160	7	115	1	342
		Reinstated	11	22	0	22	7	4	0	2	0	0
		Transferred	+ 47	− 47	+ 24	− 24	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Net Added	402	309	28	2,489	385	164	7	117	1	342
		Disposed of	350	199	30	2,142	406	171	5	93	3	338
		Pending at End	824	451	37	1,741	366	178	11	92	1	8
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	55%	50%	49%	39%	42%	49%	18%	40%	100%	0
		Inventory (+ or −)	+ 52	+ 110	− 2	+ 357	− 21	− 7	+ 2	+ 24	− 2	+ 4
17th	Circuit Total	Pending at Start	821	378	44	1,439	443	198	13	68	3	9
		Filed	358	369	6	2,618	405	180	7	116	1	350
		Reinstated	11	22	0	22	7	4	0	2	0	0
		Transferred	+ 52	− 52	+ 27	− 27	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Net Added	421	339	33	2,613	412	184	7	118	1	350
		Disposed of	373	238	35	2,271	443	189	7	94	3	346
		Pending at End	869	479	42	1,791	412	193	13	92	1	13
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	55%	50%	41%	39%	45%	50%	67%	40%	50%	38%
		Inventory (+ or −)	+ 48	+ 101	− 2	+ 352	− 31	− 5	—	+ 24	− 2	+ 4

*Figure adjusted by reason of a physical inventory in an amount equal to the amount by which the number pending at end differs from the amount reported pending at start + or − intervening transactions.

COURTS DURING 1986

Family	Juvenile	Felony	Misdemeanors	Small Claims	Probate	Ordinance Violations	Traffic Violations	Conservation Violations	Total		County	Circuit
1,198	240	377	894	1,217	1,948	—	—	—	10,139	Pending at Start	Kane	16th
805	430	961	5,792	6,876	619	6,341	64,904	98	95,450	Filed		
18	0	0	0	227	3	0	0	0	444	Reinstated		
0	0	−168	+168	0	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
823	430	793	5,960	7,103	622	6,341	64,904	98	95,894	Net Added		
648	402	795	5,935	6,484	442	4,811	74,328	93	101,594	Disposed of		
1,178	302	364	1,651	1,349	1,933	—	—	—	11,243	Pending at End		
64%	30%	18%	10%	5%	73%	—	—	—	35%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
−20	+62	−13	+757	+132	−15	—	—	—	+1,104	Inventory (+ or −)		
57	55	32	149	83	118	—	—	—	818	Pending at Start	Kendall	16th
72	94	130	314	279	60	33	5,502	59	6,971	Filed		
2	0	3	37	3	0	0	0	0	50	Reinstated		
0	0	−15	+15	0	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
74	94	118	366	282	60	33	5,502	59	7,021	Net Added		
68	93	89	397	267	57	14	5,412	58	6,852	Disposed of		
63	56	65	114	98	121	—	—	—	877	Pending at End		
62%	46%	8%	12%	16%	64%	—	—	—	39%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
+6	+1	+33	−35	+15	+3	—	—	—	+59	Inventory (+ or −)		
1,306	319	525	1,672	1,560	2,594	—	—	—	13,478	Pending at Start	Circuit Total	16th
1,057	675	1,390	7,489	8,212	842	6,925	83,635	210	120,461	Filed		
20	0	3	37	230	5	—	—	—	502	Reinstated		
0	0	−187	+187	0	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
1,077	675	1,206	7,713	8,442	847	6,925	83,635	210	120,963	Net Added		
880	652	1,095	7,321	7,691	656	5,513	90,679	212	123,710	Disposed of		
1,308	376	621	2,494	1,824	2,590	—	—	—	14,976	Pending at End		
63%	32%	20%	13%	11%	73%	—	—	—	37%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
+2	+57	+96	+822	+264	−4	—	—	—	+1,498	Inventory (+ or −)		
47	124	36	212	147	259	—	—	—	1,145	Pending at Start	Boone	17th
107	40	97	728	491	84	98	9,357	12	11,424	Filed		
0	2	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	Reinstated		
0	0	−5	+5	0	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
107	42	100	733	491	84	98	9,357	12	11,434	Net Added		
122	32	102	701	502	86	73	9,022	42	11,105	Disposed of		
32	134	34	244	136	257	—	—	—	1,144	Pending at End		
22%	68%	3%	33%	10%	74%	—	—	—	44%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
−15	+10	−2	+32	−11	−2	—	—	—	−1	Inventory (+ or −)		
2,348	285	572	3,634	6,055	3,589	—	—	—	20,910	Pending at Start	Winnebago	17th
1,517	571	1,551	8,231	5,757	685	1,213	48,196	244	73,801	Filed		
0	0	9	11	0	1	0	0	0	92	Reinstated		
0	0	−445	+445	0	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
1,517	571	1,115	8,687	5,757	686	1,213	48,196	244	73,893	Net Added		
931	508	998	6,029	5,932	396	1,279	44,913	216	66,542	Disposed of		
2,934	348	769	6,212	5,880	4,024	—	—	—	25,171	Pending at End		
64%	15%	25%	41%	54%	90%	—	—	—	54%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
+586	+63	+197	+2,578	−175	+435	—	—	—	+4,261	Inventory (+ or −)		
2,395	409	608	3,846	6,202	3,848	—	—	—	22,055	Pending at Start	Circuit Total	17th
1,624	611	1,648	8,959	6,248	769	1,311	57,553	256	85,225	Filed		
0	2	17	11	0	1	0	0	0	102	Reinstated		
0	0	−450	+450	0	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
1,624	613	1,215	9,420	6,248	770	1,311	57,553	256	85,327	Net Added		
1,053	540	1,100	6,730	6,434	482	1,352	53,935	258	77,647	Disposed of		
2,966	482	803	6,456	6,016	4,281	—	—	—	26,315	Pending at End		
64%	28%	24%	41%	53%	89%	—	—	—	53%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
+571	+73	+195	+2,610	−186	+433	—	—	—	+4,260	Inventory (+ or −)		

TREND OF ALL CASES IN THE CIRCUIT

Circuit	County		Law Over $15,000		Law $15,000 or less		Chancery	Miscellaneous Remedy	Eminent Domain	Tax	Municipal Corporations	Mental Health
			Jury	Non-Jury	Jury	Non-Jury						
18th	DuPage	Pending at Start	1,416	636	130	1,394	1,293	434	119	887	6	28
		Filed	729	1,307	30	5,209	1,000	730	95	2,889	13	110
		Reinstated	6	4	1	28	6	164	0	0	0	0
		Transferred	+ 621	− 621	+ 146	− 146	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Net Added	1,356	690	177	5,091	1,006	894	95	2,889	13	110
		Disposed of	1,241	654	149	4,708	1,212	878	101	2,766	8	131
		Pending at End	1,531	672	158	1,777	1,087	450	113	1,010	11	7
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	37%	24%	38%	16%	47%	44%	39%	75%	45%	0
		Inventory (+ or −)	+ 115	+ 36	+ 28	+ 383	− 206	+ 16	− 6	+ 123	+ 5	− 21
18th	Circuit Total	Pending at Start	1,416	636	130	1,394	1,293	434	119	887	6	28
		Filed	729	1,307	30	5,209	1,000	730	95	2,889	13	110
		Reinstated	6	4	1	28	6	164	0	0	0	0
		Transferred	+ 621	− 621	+ 146	− 146	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Net Added	1,356	690	177	5,091	1,006	894	95	2,889	13	110
		Disposed of	1,241	654	149	4,708	1,212	878	101	2,766	8	131
		Pending at End	1,531	672	158	1,777	1,087	450	113	1,010	11	7
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	37%	24%	38%	16%	47%	44%	39%	75%	45%	0
		Inventory (+ or −)	+ 115	+ 36	+ 28	+ 383	− 206	+ 16	− 6	+ 123	+ 5	− 21
19th	Lake	Pending at Start	1,265	498	126	949	745	177	58	238	5	0
		Filed	648	860	58	3,062	748	484	66	13	18	36
		Reinstated	87	61	13	135	72	10	9	2	1	0
		Transferred	+ 347	− 347	+ 91	− 91	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Net Added	1,082	574	162	3,106	820	494	75	15	19	36
		Disposed of	1,068	537	131	2,851	903	442	53	134	15	31
		Pending at End	1,279	537	157	1,202	662	229	80	119	9	0
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	42%	21%	38%	13%	30%	35%	23%	90%	11%	0
		Inventory (+ or −)	+ 14	+ 39	+ 31	+ 253	− 83	+ 52	+ 22	−119	+ 4	0
19th	McHenry	Pending at Start	374	171	28	365	241	98	13	27	3	0
		Filed	159	212	44	762	309	103	87	7	4	2
		Reinstated	1	8	2	9	2	0	0	1	1	0
		Transferred	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Net Added	160	220	46	771	311	103	87	8	5	2
		Disposed of	161	236	14	763	309	115	26	5	7	2
		Pending at End	365	155	60	373	244	86	74	30	1	0
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	49%	32%	50%	46%	35%	44%	5%	77%	0	0
		Inventory (+ or −)	− 9	− 16	+ 32	+ 8	+ 3	− 12	+ 61	+ 3	− 2	0
19th	Circuit Total	Pending at Start	1,639	669	154	1,314	986	275	71	265	8	0
		Filed	807	1,072	102	3,824	1,057	587	153	20	22	38
		Reinstated	88	69	15	144	74	10	9	3	2	0
		Transferred	+ 347	− 345	+ 91	− 93	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Net Added	1,242	796	208	3,875	1,131	597	162	23	24	38
		Disposed of	1,229	773	145	3,614	1,212	557	79	139	22	33
		Pending at End	1,644	692	217	1,575	906	315	154	149	10	0
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	43%	23%	41%	20%	31%	38%	14%	87%	10%	0
		Inventory (+ or −)	+ 5	+ 23	+ 63	+ 261	− 80	+ 40	+ 83	− 116	+ 2	—
20th	Monroe	Pending at Start	44	29	4	32	22	9	3	11	2	0
		Filed	24	13	6	46	17	21	1	5	5	0
		Reinstated	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Transferred	+ 5	− 5	+ 3	− 3	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Net Added	29	8	9	43	17	21	1	5	5	0
		Disposed of	30	23	3	36	15	24	1	12	3	0
		Pending at End	39	18	8	41	24	6	3	4	4	0
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	46%	72%	25%	39%	63%	17%	100%	25%	0	0
		Inventory (+ or −)	− 5	− 11	+ 4	+ 9	+ 2	− 3	0	− 7	+ 2	0

---

*Figure adjusted by reason of a physical inventory in an amount equal to the amount by which the number pending at end differs from the amount reported pending at start + or − intervening transactions

COURTS DURING 1986

Family	Juvenile	Felony	Misdemeanors	Small Claims	Probate	Ordinance Violations	Traffic Violations	Conservation Violations	Total		County	Circuit
619	308	1,173	3,402	2,597	1,546	—	—	—	17,851	Pending at Start	DuPage	18th
1,411	721	2,197	6,677	8,280	1,122	14,536	146,918	40	197,478	Filed		
0	0	123	5	0	0	17	404	0	769	Reinstated		
0	0	−102	+102	0	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
1,411	721	2,218	6,784	8,280	1,122	14,553	147,322	40	198,247	Net Added		
1,271	676	2,551	8,192	7,921	1,117	14,634	156,891	52	208,677	Disposed of		
759	353	831	2,171	2,956	1,550	—	—	—	17,250	Pending at End		
39%	30%	7%	22%	10%	55%	—	—	—	29%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
+140	+45	−342	−1,231	+359	+4	—	—	—	−601	Inventory (+ or −)		
619	308	1,173	3,402	2,597	1,546	—	—	—	17,851	Pending at Start	Circuit Total	18th
1,411	721	2,197	6,677	8,280	1,122	14,536	146,918	40	197,478	Filed		
0	0	123	5	0	0	17	404	0	769	Reinstated		
0	0	−102	+102	0	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
1,411	721	2,218	6,784	8,280	1,122	14,553	147,322	40	198,247	Net Added		
1,271	676	2,551	8,192	7,921	1,117	14,634	156,891	52	208,677	Disposed of		
759	353	831	2,171	2,956	1,550	—	—	—	17,250	Pending at End		
39%	30%	7%	22%	10%	55%	—	—	—	29%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
+140	+45	−342	−1,231	+359	+4	—	—	—	−601	Inventory (+ or −)		
963	126	462	729	2,158	2,352	—	—	—	12,078	Pending at Start	Lake	19th
2,052	351	2,044	3,208	6,727	1,016	12,286	119,107	807	156,192	Filed		
15	0	390	631	66	19	0	0	0	1,583	Reinstated		
0	0	−431	+431	0	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
2,067	351	2,003	4,270	6,793	1,035	12,286	119,107	807	157,775	Net Added		
1,869	376	1,887	4,109	7,534	804	10,191	109,658	741	146,012	Disposed of		
1,161	101	578	890	1,417	2,583	—	—	—	12,226	Pending at End		
33%	3%	7%	11%	1%	72%	—	—	—	31%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
+198	−25	+116	+161	−741	+231	—	—	—	+148	Inventory (+ or −)		
97	73	273	615	1,203	697	—	—	—	4,715	Pending at Start	McHenry	19th
427	177	1,230	2,012	2,410	325	2,407	34,503	185	46,308	Filed		
5	25	0	0	51	0	0	0	0	111	Reinstated		
0	0	−111	+111	0	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
432	202	1,119	2,123	2,461	325	2,407	34,503	185	46,419	Net Added		
425	216	935	2,269	2,559	289	1,712	35,217	197	46,393	Disposed of		
104	59	383	489	1,105	733	—	—	—	4,711	Pending at End		
11%	10%	16%	11%	27%	66%	—	—	—	33%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
+7	−14	+110	−126	−98	+36	—	—	—	−4	Inventory (+ or −)		
1,060	199	735	1,344	3,361	3,049	—	—	—	16,793	Pending at Start	Circuit Total	19th
2,479	528	3,274	5,220	9,137	1,341	14,693	153,610	992	202,500	Filed		
20	25	390	631	117	19	0	0	0	1,694	Reinstated		
0	0	−542	+542	0	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
2,499	553	3,122	6,393	9,254	1,360	14,693	153,610	992	204,194	Net Added		
2,294	592	2,822	6,378	10,093	1,093	11,903	144,875	938	192,405	Disposed of		
1,265	160	961	1,379	2,522	3,316	—	—	—	16,937	Pending at End		
31%	6%	10%	11%	12%	71%	—	—	—	31%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
+205	−39	+226	+35	−839	+267	—	—	—	+144	Inventory (+ or −)		
23	8	41	57	78	187	—	—	—	265	Pending at Start	Monroe	20th
21	34	49	223	190	81	62	2,607	60	3,564	Filed		
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Reinstated		
0	0	−6	+6	0	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
21	34	43	229	190	81	62	2,607	60	3,564	Net Added		
29	35	56	236	186	84	50	2,731	60	3,710	Disposed of		
15	7	28	50	82	183	—	—	—	556	Pending at End		
73%	43%	29%	2%	34%	62%	—	—	—	44%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
−8	−1	−13	−7	+4	−4	—	—	—	−35	Inventory (+ or −)		

TREND OF ALL CASES IN THE CIRCUIT

Circuit	County		Law Over $15,000		Law $15,000 or less		Chancery	Miscellaneous Remedy	Eminent Domain	Tax	Municipal Corporations	Mental Health	Dissolution of
			Jury	Non Jury	Jury	Non Jury							
20th	Perry	Pending at Start	52	54	4	106	69	12	1	27	0	0	7
		Filed	14	33	0	51	32	14	0	7	0	0	12
		Reinstated	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Transferred	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Net Added	14	33	0	51	32	14	0	7	0	0	12
		Disposed of	10	28	3	39	30	8	0	7	0	0	10
		Pending at End	56	59	1	118	71	18	*1	27	0	0	8
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	64%	68%	100%	88%	79%	45%	0	85%	0	0	56%
		Inventory (+ or −)	+ 4	+ 5	− 3	+ 12	+ 2	+ 6	0	0	0	0	+ 1
20th	Randolph	Pending at Start	68	41	8	96	58	94	4	11	2	22	15
		Filed	17	53	1	76	29	80	0	10	0	253	22
		Reinstated	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Transferred	+ 17	− 17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Net Added	34	36	1	76	29	80	0	10	0	253	22
		Disposed of	30	24	5	59	25	107	0	8	0	274	17
		Pending at End	70	55	4	113	62	67	4	15	2	1	20
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	57%	56%	75%	68%	73%	63%	100%	73%	100%	0	53%
		Inventory (+ or −)	+ 2	+ 14	− 4	+ 17	+ 4	− 27	0	2	0	− 21	+ 4
20th	St Clair	Pending at Start	1,896	480	141	270	420	289	9	1,161	0	0	1,24
		Filed	538	691	39	2,448	455	260	18	734	0	0	1,72
		Reinstated	13	3	7	30	3	3	0	0	0	0	
		Transferred	+ 302	− 302	+ 66	− 66	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Net Added	853	392	112	2,412	458	263	18	734	0	0	1,72
		Disposed of	815	295	162	2,103	604	261	8	127	0	0	1,72
		Pending at End	2,056	593	49	544	351	265	49	1,690	0	0	63
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	66%	41%	35%	24%	38%	39%	49%	62%	0	0	6
		Inventory (+ or −)	+ 160	+ 113	− 92	+ 274	− 69	− 24	+ 40	+ 529	0	0	− 61
20th	Washington	Pending at Start	10	19	2	13	22	6	0	9	0	0	1
		Filed	7	21	0	40	14	10	0	9	0	0	5
		Reinstated	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Transferred	+ 11	− 11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Net Added	18	10	0	40	14	10	0	9	0	0	5
		Disposed of	6	8	2	29	12	13	0	11	0	0	4
		Pending at End	25	17	1	26	24	3	0	7	0	0	2
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	56%	24%	100%	35%	50%	67%	0	29%	0	0	22%
		Inventory (+ or −)	+ 15	− 2	− 1	+ 13	+ 2	− 3	0	− 2	0	0	+
20th	Circuit Total	Pending at Start	2,070	623	159	517	591	410	17	1,221	4	22	1,51
		Filed	600	811	46	2,661	547	385	19	765	5	253	2,21
		Reinstated	13	3	7	30	3	3	0	0	0	0	
		Transferred	+ 335	− 335	+ 69	− 69	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Net Added	948	479	122	2,622	550	388	19	765	5	253	2,21
		Disposed of	891	378	175	2,266	686	413	9	165	3	274	2,14
		Pending at End	2,246	742	63	842	532	359	57	1,743	6	1	9
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	65%	44%	38%	39%	49%	44%	55%	62%	33%	0	20%
		Inventory (+ or −)	+ 176	+ 119	− 96	+ 325	− 59	− 51	+ 40	+ 522	+ 2	− 21	− 5
21st	Iroquois	Pending at Start	63	36	11	69	49	56	3	5	1	0	10
		Filed	23	36	2	144	30	25	6	4	0	0	14
		Reinstated	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Transferred	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Net Added	23	36	2	144	30	25	6	4	0	0	14
		Disposed of	25	19	4	126	9	40	1	2	0	0	1
		Pending at End	69	49	12	79	67	41	8	7	1	1	1
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	64%	63%	67%	51%	61%	66%	25%	57%	100%	0	48%
		Inventory (+ or −)	+ 6	+ 13	+ 1	+ 10	+ 18	− 15	+ 5	+ 2	0	+ 1	+ 1

*Figure adjusted by reason of a physical inventory in an amount equal to the amount by which the number pending at end differs from the amount reported pending at start + or − intervening transactions.

Family	Juvenile	Felony	Misdemeanors	Small Claims	Probate	Ordinance Violations	Traffic Violations	Conservation Violations	Total		County	Circuit
148	4	38	49	121	384	—	—	—	1,143	Pending at Start	Perry	20th
60	20	105	203	171	72	121	3,524	34	4,583	Filed		
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Reinstated		
0	0	− 14	+ 14	0	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
60	20	91	217	171	72	121	3,524	34	4,583	Net Added		
52	18	93	215	130	51	93	2,851	30	3,767	Disposed of		
156	6	37	45	167	405	—	—	—	1,254	Pending at End		
92%	50%	11%	47%	62%	84%	—	—	—	75%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
+ 8	+ 2	− 1	− 4	+ 46	+ 21	—	—	—	+ 111	Inventory (+ or −)		
231	30	47	107	210	742	—	—	—	1,930	Pending at Start	Randolph	20th
57	48	96	317	533	115	101	2,804	93	4,906	Filed		
0	0	3	0	5	0	0	0	0	8	Reinstated		
0	0	− 10	+ 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
57	48	89	327	538	115	101	2,804	93	4,914	Net Added		
33	34	104	302	492	116	64	2,535	65	4,451	Disposed of		
255	44	32	132	257	741	—	—	—	2,060	Pending at End		
87%	48%	6%	34%	38%	87%	—	—	—	68%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
+ 24	+ 14	− 15	+ 25	+ 47	− 1	—	—	—	+ 130	Inventory (+ or −)		
2,991	810	256	5,250	2,953	3,200	—	—	—	21,372	Pending at Start	St. Clair	20th
4,155	491	1,050	7,135	5,028	873	2,835	49,777	59	78,309	Filed		
0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	65	Reinstated		
0	0	− 110	+ 110	0	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
4,155	491	940	7,245	5,028	879	2,835	49,777	59	78,374	Net Added		
3,220	868	839	5,765	3,468	890	2,150	42,490	46	65,833	Disposed of		
3,472	305	374	6,568	4,191	3,454	—	—	—	24,593	Pending at End		
38%	26%	7%	48%	44%	77%	—	—	—	49%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
+ 481	− 505	+ 118	+ 1,318	+ 1,238	+ 254	—	—	—	+ 3,221	Inventory (+ or −)		
14	16	14	39	73	263	—	—	—	517	Pending at Start	Washington	20th
15	7	40	133	183	97	1	2,132	37	2,798	Filed		
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Reinstated		
0	0	− 8	+ 8	0	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
15	7	32	141	183	97	1	2,132	37	2,798	Net Added		
15	10	14	130	201	87	1	2,278	36	2,899	Disposed of		
14	13	32	50	55	271	—	—	—	561	Pending at End		
64%	69%	28%	42%	33%	72%	—	—	—	55%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
0	− 3	+ 18	+ 11	− 18	+ 8	—	—	—	+ 44	Inventory (+ or −)		
3,407	868	396	5,502	3,435	4,776	—	—	—	25,553	Pending at Start	Circuit Total	20th
4,308	600	1,340	8,011	6,105	1,238	3,120	60,844	283	94,160	Filed		
0	0	3	0	5	6	0	0	0	73	Reinstated		
0	0	− 148	+ 148	0	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
4,308	600	1,195	8,159	6,110	1,244	3,120	60,844	283	94,233	Net Added		
3,349	965	1,106	6,648	4,477	1,228	2,358	52,885	237	80,660	Disposed of		
3,912	375	503	6,845	4,752	5,054	—	—	—	29,024	Pending at End		
43%	30%	9%	47%	44%	79%	—	—	—	52%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
+ 505	− 493	+ 107	+ 1,343	+ 1,317	+ 278	—	—	—	+ 3,471	Inventory (+ or −)		
128	16	62	215	239	630	—	—	—	1,683	Pending at Start	Iroquois	21st
70	80	84	476	455	149	13	5,766	48	7,552	Filed		
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Reinstated		
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
70	80	84	476	455	149	13	5,766	48	7,552	Net Added		
69	61	62	496	511	152	11	6,031	37	7,787	Disposed of		
130	41	96	195	183	637	—	—	—	1,726	Pending at End		
86%	12%	39%	29%	28%	80%	—	—	—	60%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
+ 2	+ 25	+ 34	− 20	− 56	+ 7	—	—	—	+ 43	Inventory (+ or −)		

Circuit	County		Law Over $15,000		Law $15,000 or less		Chancery	Miscellaneous Remedy	Eminent Domain	Tax	Municipal Corporations	Mental Health	Dissolution of
			Jury	Non-Jury	Jury	Non-Jury							
21st	Kankakee	Pending at Start	360	148	66	111	267	80	7	45	0	1	4
		Filed	173	107	0	721	173	191	5	40	0	40	5
		Reinstated	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Transferred	+ 70	− 70	+ 69	− 69	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Net Added	243	37	69	652	173	191	5	40	0	40	5
		Disposed of	188	51	34	605	127	121	7	4	0	41	5
		Pending at End	397	154	90	178	295	149	7	81	0	0	3
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	51%	71%	40%	17%	63%	36%	29%	62%	0	0	30
		Inventory (+ or −)	+ 37	+ 6	+ 24	+ 67	+ 28	+ 69	0	+ 36	0	− 1	−
21st	Circuit Total	Pending at Start	423	184	77	180	316	136	10	50	1	1	5
		Filed	196	143	2	865	203	216	11	44	0	40	7
		Reinstated	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Transferred	+ 70	− 70	+ 69	− 69	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Net Added	266	73	71	796	203	216	11	44	0	40	7
		Disposed of	213	70	38	731	136	161	8	6	0	41	6
		Pending at End*	466	203	102	257	362	190	15	88	1	1	5
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	53%	71%	45%	24%	63%	45%	27%	61%	0	0	34
		Inventory (+ or −)	+ 43	+ 19	+ 25	+ 77	+ 46	+ 54	+ 5	+ 38	—	—	−
	Downstate Total	Pending at Start	18,344	8,253	1,563	14,898	11,483	5,020	669	4,597	216	570	18,9
		Filed	8,445	10,250	590	34,490	9,870	7,184	723	5,451	141	4,654	36,2
		Reinstated	355	189	70	618	169	221	24	20	3	0	2
		Transferred	+ 2,350	− 2,333	+ 713	− 708	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Net Added	11,150	8,106	1,373	34,400	10,039	7,405	747	5,471	144	4,654	36,5
		Disposed of	10,593	6,065	1,266	31,987	10,455	6,931	516	4,812	158	4,701	35,0
		Pending at End	18,989	10,244	1,581	17,120	11,158	5,397	935	5,169	204	462	19,1
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	54%	40%	49%	36%	47%	47%	40%	62%	65%	42%	30
		Inventory (+ or −)	+ 645	+ 1,991	+ 18	+ 2,222	− 325	+ 377	+ 266	+ 572	− 12	− 108	+ 2
	Cook	Pending at Start	59,221	15,288	14,694	81,441	32,241	2,217	409	85,729	291	270	11,6
		Filed	5,095	23,447	988	102,214	20,909	1,755	145	7,071	26	5,867	24,5
		Reinstated	2,904	3,120	578	4,909	1,391	389	60	100	0	0	1,7
		Transferred	+ 15,190	− 15,190	+ 6,999	− 6,726	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Net Added	23,189	11,377	8,565	100,397	22,300	2,144	205	7,171	26	5,867	26,3
		Disposed of	21,190	10,771	5,847	80,434	23,653	2,132	134	11,778	13	5,938	24,6
		Pending at End*	61,220	15,894	10,543	94,360	27,323	2,229	480	40,485[a]	304	199	13,3
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	70%	37%	45%[a]	43%[a]	46%	—[b]	—[b]	—[b]	—[b]	0	34
		Inventory (+ or −)	+ 1,999	+ 606	− 4,151	+ 10,543	− 4,918	+ 12	+ 71	− 45,244	+ 13	− 71	+ 1,7
	State Total	Pending at Start	77,565	23,541	16,257	96,339	43,724	7,237	1,078	90,326	507	840	30,6
		Filed	13,540	33,697	1,578	136,704	30,779	8,939	868	12,522	167	10,521	60,8
		Reinstated	3,259	3,309	648	5,527	1,560	610	84	120	3	0	2,0
		Transferred	+ 17,540	− 17,523	+ 7,712	− 7,434	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Net Added	34,339	19,483	9,938	134,797	32,339	9,549	952	12,642	170	10,521	62,9
		Disposed of	31,783	16,836	7,113	112,421	34,108	9,063	650	16,590	171	10,639	59,6
		Pending at End	80,209	26,138	12,124	111,480	38,481	7,626	1,415	45,654[d]	508	661	32,5
		% Pending More Than 12 mos	62%	39%	47%[1]	40%[3]	47%	47%[1]	40%[1]	62%[1]	65%[1]	42%	32
		Inventory (+ or −)	+ 2,644	+ 2,597	− 4,133	+ 12,765	− 5,243	+ 389	+ 337	− 44,672	+ 1	− 179	+ 1,9

---

*Cases filed in First District Civil prior to January, 1984, not included in totals as of February, 1986, due to installation of a computer — generated system providing monthly statistic adjustment due to physical Inventory

**The felony category for Cook County does not include preliminary hearings of which 21,123 were pending at the start of 1986, 40,821 were filed, 1062 were reinstated, 41,883 was n added, 40,388 were disposed and 21,118 were pending at the end of 1986. These figures are included in the total column. This leaves an inventory figure of 2,371 less than actual t

[a] Includes Districts Two thru Six only

[b] Not available

[c] Includes those categories only where % is available

[d] Does not include causes prior to January, 1984, due to installation of a computer — generated system providing monthly statistics

#The misdemeanor category for Cook County includes ordinance violations, conservation violations, and all misdemeanors

##Includes "hang-on" (parking tickets) for District One of Cook County (City of Chicago) and other appropriate municipalities

[1] Includes Downstate Counties only — Cook County not available

[2] Includes Downstate Counties and those from Cook County where age of pending cases were available

[3] Includes Downstate and Districts Two thru Six in Cook County

COURTS DURING 1986

Family	Juvenile	Felony	Misdemeanors	Small Claims	Probate	Ordinance Violations	Traffic Violations	Conservation Violations	Total		County	Circuit
505	34	260	436	446	2,575	—	—	—	5,789	Pending at Start	Kankakee	21st
746	143	393	1,444	2,501	388	408	15,199	303	23,534	Filed		
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Reinstated		
0	0	− 58	+ 58	0	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
746	143	295	1,502	2,501	388	408	15,199	303	23,534	Net Added		
962	87	271	1,268	1,947	256	585	13,669	348	21,117	Disposed of		
289	106	285	668	988	2,705	—	—	—	6,789	Pending at End		
35%	10%	22%	23%	15%	87%	—	—	—	52%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
− 216	+ 72	+ 25	+ 232	+ 542	+ 130	—	—	—	+ 1,000	Inventory (+ or −)		
633	50	322	651	685	3,205	—	—	—	7,472	Pending at Start	Circuit Total	21st
816	223	477	1,920	2,956	537	421	20,965	351	31,086	Filed		
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Reinstated		
0	0	− 58	+ 58	0	0	0	0	0	0	Transferred		
816	223	419	1,978	2,956	537	421	20,965	351	31,086	Net Added		
1,031	148	333	1,764	2,458	408	596	19,700	385	28,904	Disposed of		
419	147	381	863	1,171	3,342	—	—	—	8,515	Pending at End		
54%	11%	28%	24%	16%	86%	—	—	—	54%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
− 214	+ 97	+ 59	+ 212	+ 486	+ 137	—	—	—	+ 1,043	Inventory (+ or −)		
22,145	6,662	10,014	34,316	47,006	69,350	—	—	—	274,039	Pending at Start	Downstate Total	
29,194	10,617	25,631	86,271	126,195	19,394	77,532	1,110,799	9,434	1,613,154	Filed		
148	85	1,141	1,357	1,355	87	327	6,944	21	13,421	Reinstated		
0	0	− 3,214	+ 3,244	− 5	0	0	0	0	47	Transferred		
29,342	10,702	23,558	90,872	127,545	19,481	77,859	1,117,743	9,455	1,626,622	Net Added		
25,398	10,751	22,206	85,783	122,359	18,167	72,391	1,085,183	8,752	1,563,495	Disposed of		
25,449	6,545	11,317	39,833	50,801	71,097	—	—	—	295,478	Pending at End		
54%	34%	16%	32%	31%	78%	—	—	—	48%	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
+ 3,304	− 117	+ 1,303	+ 5,517	+ 3,795	+ 1,747	—	—	—	+ 21,439	Inventory (+ or −)		
27,766	10,199	10,710	163,947	30,774	22,163	—	—	—	590,153	Pending at Start	Cook	
23,202	17,908	21,444	330,397	58,343	11,329	#	5,113,038##	#	5,808,593	Filed		
38,646	14	4,814	0	2,604	0	#	0	#	62,358	Reinstated		
0	0	0	0	− 273	0	#	0	#	0	Transferred		
61,848	17,922	26,258	330,397	60,674	11,329	#	5,113,038##	#	5,870,951	Net Added		
58,564	16,785	22,364	335,616	49,247	10,523	#	2,606,893##	#	3,326,919	Disposed of		
31,384	11,336	10,985	166,274	37,138	22,949	—	—	—	567,603	Pending at End		
—[b]	16%	10%	19%	—[b]	77%	—	—	—	40%[1]	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
+ 3,618	+ 1,137	+ 275	+ 2,327	+ 6,364	+ 786	—	—	—	− 22,550**	Inventory (+ or −)		
49,911	16,861	20,724	198,263	77,780	91,513	—	—	—	864,192	Pending at Start	State Total	
52,396	28,525	47,075	416,668	184,538	30,723	77,532#	6,223,837##	9,434#	7,421,747	Filed		
38,794	99	5,955	1,357	3,959	87	327#	6,944	21#	75,779	Reinstated		
0	0	− 3,214	3,244	− 278	0	0#	0	0#	47	Transferred		
91,190	28,624	49,816	421,269	188,219	30,810	77,859#	6,230,781##	9,455#	7,497,573	Net Added		
83,962	27,536	44,570	421,399	171,606	28,690	72,391#	3,692,076##	8,752#	4,890,414	Disposed of		
56,833	17,881	22,302	206,107	87,939	94,046	—	—	—	863,081	Pending at End		
54%[1]	25%	13%	26%	31%[1]	78%	—	—	—	44%[2]	% Pending More Than 12 mos		
+ 6,922	+ 1,020	+ 1,578	+ 7,844	+ 10,159	+ 2,533	—	—	—	− 1,111	Inventory (+ or −)		

TREND OF ALL CASES IN THE CIRCUIT COURTS OF ILLINOIS DURING 1986

Circuit	Pending At Start*	Filed	Reinstated	Total Added	Disposed Of	Pending At End	Inventory Increase + Decrease −
1st	7,017	50,742	673	51,416[a]	51,444	7,334	+ 317
2nd	11,663	43,168	64	43,233[a]	42,477	11,837	+ 174
3rd	17,537	67,007	109	67,116	62,853	20,935	+ 3,398
4th	12,105	49,611	9	49,620	49,501	10,040	− 2,065
5th	10,890	43,167	117	43,316[b]	41,578	11,652	+ 762
6th	17,875	85,999	133	86,132	80,075	18,887	+ 1,012
7th	17,826	81,737	170	81,907	71,860	20,928	+ 3,102
8th	5,897	28,400	83	28,486[c]	28,056	5,977	+ 80
9th	7,017	38,490	81	38,571	36,829	7,828	+ 811
10th	16,380	83,528	426	83,964[d]	86,981	17,086	+ 706
11th	8,803	67,406	2,586	69,992	64,305	9,367	+ 564
12th	9,549	93,447	3,609	97,056	90,468	10,682	+ 1,133
13th	6,648	35,251	280	35,531	34,887	7,095	+ 447
14th	15,375	75,529	1,867	77,396	74,662	15,531	+ 156
15th	6,255	38,762	74	38,836	35,516	7,282	+ 1,027
16th	13,478	120,461	502	120,963	123,710	14,976	+ 1,498
17th	22,055	85,225	102	85,327	77,647	26,315	+ 4,260
18th	17,851	197,478	769	198,247	208,677	17,250	− 601
19th	16,793	202,500	1,694	204,194	192,405	16,937	+ 144
20th	25,553	94,160	73	94,233	80,660	29,024	+ 3,471
21st	7,472	31,086	0	31,086	28,904	8,515	+ 1,043
Downstate Total	274,039	1,613,154	13,421	1,626,622[e]	1,563,495	295,478	+ 21,439
Cook County	590,153	5,808,593**	62,358	5,870,951**	3,326,919**	567,603	− 22,550
State Total	864,192	7,421,747**	75,779	7,497,573**	4,890,414**	863,081	− 1,111

*Includes all case categories except pending counts for Ordinance Violations, Conservation Violations and Traffic Violations.

**Includes Circuit Court of Cook County — District One (City of Chicago) "hang-on" (parking) tickets.

Note: Pending at end figures adjusted by reason of a physical inventory in an amount equal to the amount by which the number reported pending at end differs from the amount reported pending at start + or − interviewing transactions.

a. Includes 1 transferred case.
b. Includes 32 transferred cases.
c. Includes 3 transferred cases.
d. Includes 10 transferred cases.
e. Total includes 47 transferred cases.

SUMMARY REPORT ON LAW JURY CASES DISPOSED OF IN THE CIRCUIT COURTS OF ILLINOIS DURING 1986

	Total Law Jury Cases Disposed Of			Number of Law Jury Cases Terminated By Verdict			Average Time Elapsed in Months For Cases Terminated By Verdict
Circuit	Law Over $15,000	Law $15,000 or Less*	Total	Law Over $15,000	Law $15,000 or Less*	Total	
1st	318	35	353	26	3	29	25.8
2nd	236	24	260	3	0	3	19.1
3rd	972	126	1,098	28	15	43	30.8
4th	283	39	322	13	2	15	23.8
5th	264	15	279	12	1	13	38.6
6th	541	27	568	29	3	32	29.4
7th	410	51	461	23	0	23	36.6
8th	178	22	200	14	0	14	30.5
9th	173	28	201	7	2	9	17.7
10th	802	98	900	41	0	41	28.3
11th	355	44	399	32	0	32	30.7
12th	560	59	619	28	1	29	17.3
13th	384	44	428	18	0	18	27.2
14th	366	34	400	23	0	23	25.7
15th	114	29	143	8	0	8	27.3
16th	690	49	739	39	2	41	30.0
17th	373	35	408	20	1	21	36.5
18th	1,241	149	1,390	67	4	71	19.8
19th	1,229	145	1,374	69	4	73	26.1
20th	891	175	1,066	40	7	47	35.4
21st	213	38	251	13	0	13	39.7
Downstate Total	10,593	1,266	11,859	553	45	598	28.1
Cook County	21,190	5,847	27,038	555	162*	717	52.1
State Total	31,783	7,113	38,897	1,108	207*	1,315	41.8

* Does not include 21 cases from month of January — figures not available.

SUMMARY REPORT ON LAW CASES TERMINATED BY VERDICT

	Cases Terminated By Verdict			
	Number of Verdicts Reached During the Period	Months Elapsed Between Date of Filing and Date of Verdict		
		Maximum	Minimum	Average
Downstate Total	598	28.7	19.9	28.1
Cook County	717	123	2	52.1
State Total	1,315	123	2	41.8

STATISTICAL REPORT ON LAW JURY CASES DISPOSED OF DURING 1986

		Law Jury Cases Disposed Of			Number of Law Jury Cases Terminated by Verdict			Time Lapse For All Law Jury Cases Terminated by Verdict										
																Average Time Lapse (Months)		
Circuit	County	Law Over $15,000	Law $15,000 or Less	Total	Law Over $15,000	Law $15,000 or Less	Total	Under 1 Year	1 Year to 1½ Years	1½ Years to 2 Years	2 Years to 2½ Years	2½ Years to 3 Years	3 Years to 3½ Years	3½ Years to 4 Years	Over 4 Years	Law Over $15,000	Law $15,000 or Less	Total
1st	Alexander	3	1	4	0	0	0	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Jackson	76	6	82	9	0	9	1	4	3	1	0	0	0	0	19.3	—	19.3
	Johnson	9	2	11	1	1	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	—	25.6	25.6
	Massac	19	2	21	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	—	15.4	15.4
	Pope	3	0	3	3	0	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	29.0	—	29.0
	Pulaski	8	0	8	0	0	0	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Saline	42	8	50	3	1	4	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	0	32.1	43.2	34.9
	Union	28	1	29	2	0	2	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	15.3	—	15.3
	Williamson	130	15	145	8	0	8	0	0	3	1	1	2	0	1	31.4	—	31.4
1st	Circuit Total	318	35	353	26	3	29	4	5	9	3	1	2	2	2	25.6	27.5	25.8
2nd	Crawford	20	2	22	0	0	0	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Edwards	1	0	1	0	0	0	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Franklin	85	2	87	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	24.2	—	24.2
	Gallatin	10	1	11	0	0	0	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Hamilton	4	2	6	0	0	0	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Hardin	1	1	2	0	0	0	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Jefferson	50	2	52	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	19.6	—	19.6
	Lawrence	14	0	14	0	0	0	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Richland	19	2	21	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	13.5	—	13.5
	Wabash	8	7	15	0	0	0	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Wayne	12	3	15	0	0	0	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	White	12	2	14	0	0	0	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
2nd	Circuit Total	236	24	260	3	0	3	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	19.1	—	19.1
3rd	Bond	12	0	12	0	0	0	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Madison	960	126	1,086	28	15	43	4	2	9	6	10	5	2	5	35.5	22.1	30.8
3rd	Circuit Total	972	126	1,098	28	15	43	4	2	9	6	10	5	2	5	35.5	22.1	30.8
4th	Christian	28	2	30	1	1	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	16.6	10.8	13.7
	Clay	13	2	15	0	0	0	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Clinton	30	3	33	4	0	4	0	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	21.0	—	21.0
	Effingham	38	7	45	2	0	2	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	22.1	—	22.1
	Fayette	31	10	41	1	1	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	21.2	62.7	42.0
	Jasper	15	4	19	0	0	0	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Marion	89	5	93	2	0	2	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	16.4	—	16.4
	Montgomery	28	5	33	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	34.9	—	34.9
	Shelby	11	1	12	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	15.2	—	15.2
4th	Circuit Total	283	39	322	13	2	15	1	6	3	2	1	1	0	1	21.8	36.8	23.8
5th	Clark	7	1	8	0	0	0	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Coles	74	2	76	0	0	0	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Cumberland	8	2	10	0	0	0	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Edgar	24	1	25	0	0	0	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Vermilion	151	9	160	12	1	13	1	1	2	2	1	1	3	2	40.9	11.6	38.6
5th	Circuit Total	264	15	279	12	1	13	1	1	2	2	1	1	3	2	40.9	11.6	38.6
6th	Champaign	271	20	291	9	0	9	1	1	1	1	2	0	0	3	35.0	—	35.0
	DeWitt	14	0	14	0	0	0	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Douglas	22	4	26	3	0	3	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	41.5	—	41.5
	Macon	223	1	224	17	3	20	3	6	2	2	2	0	1	1	28.5	21.3	25.1
	Moultrie	11	1	12	0	0	0	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Piatt	0	1	1	0	0	0	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
6th	Circuit Total	541	27	568	29	3	32	5	7	3	3	4	1	1	5	30.2	21.3	29.4

STATISTICAL REPORT ON LAW JURY CASES DISPOSED OF DURING 1986

Circuit	County	Law Jury Cases Disposed Of			Number of Law Jury Cases Terminated by Verdict			Time Lapse For All Law Jury Cases Terminated by Verdict										
																Average Time Lapse (Months)		
		Law Over $15,000	Law $15,000 or Less	Total	Law Over $15,000	Law $15,000 or Less	Total	Under 1 Year	1 Year to 1½ Years	1½ Years to 2 Years	2 Years to 2½ Years	2½ Years to 3 Years	3 Years to 3½ Years	3½ Years to 4 Years	Over 4 Years	Law Over $15,000	Law $15,000 or Less	Total
7th	Greene	3	0	3	2	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	34.2	—	34.2
	Jersey	43	20	63	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11.0	—	11.0
	Macoupin	23	6	29	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	71.1	—	71.1
	Morgan	25	5	30	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	13.5	—	13.5
	Sangamon	308	20	328	18	0	18	2	1	1	5	0	1	1	7	37.7	—	37.7
	Scott	8	0	8	0	0	0	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
7th	Circuit Total	410	51	461	23	0	23	3	2	2	5	0	1	2	8	36.6	—	36.6
8th	Adams	78	11	89	5	0	5	1	2	0	0	1	0	0	1	23.2	—	23.2
	Brown	8	2	10	2	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	20.3	—	20.3
	Calhoun	5	0	5	0	0	0	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Cass	28	0	28	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	42.3	—	42.3
	Mason	26	1	27	3	0	3	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	23.4	—	23.4
	Menard	9	3	12	0	0	0	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Pike	19	4	23	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	99.5	—	99.5
	Schuyler	5	1	6	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	17.1	—	17.1
8th	Circuit Total	178	22	200	14	0	14	2	3	2	1	2	1	0	3	30.5	—	30.5
9th	Fulton	40	0	40	5	0	5	2	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	18.9	—	18.9
	Hancock	7	6	13	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	20.3	—	20.3
	Henderson	4	1	5	0	0	0	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Knox	83	14	97	0	0	0	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	McDonough	17	6	23	1	2	3	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	17.7	13.4	14.8
	Warren	22	1	23	0	0	0	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
9th	Circuit Total	173	28	201	7	2	9	2	3	2	0	1	0	0	0	18.9	13.4	17.7
10th	Marshall	25	0	25	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9.8	—	9.8
	Peoria	582	70	652	31	0	31	3	2	5	10	5	1	4	1	28.5	—	28.5
	Putnam	12	1	13	0	0	0	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Stark	3	0	3	0	0	0	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Tazewell	180	27	207	9	0	9	0	1	2	3	2	0	0	1	30.0	—	30.0
10th	Circuit Total	802	98	900	41	0	41	4	3	7	13	7	1	4	2	28.3	—	28.3
11th	Ford	22	0	22	2	0	2	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	25.2	—	25.2
	Livingston	49	12	61	4	0	4	0	0	1	2	1	0	0	0	28.0	—	[illegible]
	Logan	32	3	35	2	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	33.0	—	33.0
	McLean	223	26	249	22	0	22	2	4	2	3	6	1	0	4	32.9	—	32.9
	Woodford	29	3	32	2	0	2	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	9	16.2	—	16.2
11th	Circuit Total	355	44	399	32	0	32	3	5	5	5	8	1	1	4	30.7	—	30.7
12th	Will	560	59	619	28	1	29	5	15	5	4	0	0	0	0	17.4	14.0	17.3
12th	Circuit Total	560	59	619	28	1	29	5	15	5	4	0	0	0	0	17.4	14.0	17.3
13th	Bureau	69	8	77	4	0	4	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	25.9	—	25.9
	Grundy	56	7	63	3	0	3	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	25.3	—	25.3
	LaSalle	259	29	288	11	0	11	1	1	2	4	0	3	0	0	28.2	—	28.2
13th	Circuit Total	384	44	428	18	0	18	1	1	4	9	0	3	0	0	27.2	—	27.2
14th	Henry	48	4	52	7	0	7	0	1	2	3	1	0	0	0	24.6	—	24.6
	Mercer	16	2	18	3	0	3	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	16.0	—	16.0
	Rock Island	261	28	289	10	0	10	3	1	1	0	2	1	0	2	29.7	—	29.7
	Whiteside	41	0	41	3	0	3	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	24.9	—	24.9
14th	Circuit Total	366	34	400	23	0	23	3	6	4	3	3	2	0	2	25.7	—	25.7

STATISTICAL REPORT ON LAW JURY CASES DISPOSED OF DURING 1986

Circuit	County	Law Jury Cases Disposed Of			Number of Law Jury Cases Terminated by Verdict			Time Lapse For All Law Jury Cases Terminated by Verdict										
																Average Time Lapse (Months)		
		Law Over $15,000	Law $15,000 or Less	Total	Law Over $15,000	Law $15,000 or Less	Total	Under 1 Year	1 Year to 1½ Years	1½ Years to 2 Years	2 Years to 2½ Years	2½ Years to 3 Years	3 Years to 3½ Years	3½ Years to 4 Years	Over 4 Years	Law Over $15,000	Law $15,000 or Less	Total
15th	Carroll	13	1	14	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	21.2	—	21.2
	Jo Daviess	10	0	10	0	0	0	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Lee	26	11	37	3	0	3	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	31.8	—	31.8
	Ogle	26	6	32	2	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	21.0	—	21.0
	Stephenson	39	11	50	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	43.8	—	43.8
15th	Circuit Total	114	29	143	8	0	8	0	0	3	2	0	2	1	0	27.3	—	27.3
16th	DeKalb	66	7	73	3	0	3	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	18.6	—	18.6
	Kane	593	41	634	33	1	34	0	1	11	7	5	2	4	4	32.7	33.9	32.7
	Kendall	31	1	32	3	1	4	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	18.8	7.2	15.8
16th	Circuit Total	690	49	739	39	2	41	2	2	15	7	5	2	4	4	30.5	20.5	30.0
17th	Boone	23	5	28	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	36.3	—	36.3
	Winnebago	350	30	380	18	1	19	3	1	1	2	2	2	2	6	38.4	2.7	36.5
17th	Circuit Total	373	35	408	20	1	21	3	1	1	2	3	3	2	6	38.2	2.7	36.5
18th	DuPage	1,241	149	1,390	67	4	71	9	27	15	6	6	1	1	2	20.4	10.4	19.8
18th	Circuit Total	1,241	149	1,390	67	4	71	9	27	15	6	6	1	1	2	20.4	10.4	19.8
19th	Lake	1,068	131	1,199	59	4	63	6	14	12	11	6	5	3	2	25.2	13.1	24.4
	McHenry	161	14	175	10	0	10	0	0	1	0	4	3	1	1	36.8	—	36.8
19th	Circuit Total	1,229	145	1,374	69	4	73	6	14	13	11	10	8	4	3	26.8	13.1	26.1
20th	Monroe	30	3	33	2	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	28.5	—	28.5
	Perry	10	3	13	0	0	0	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Randolph	30	5	35	1	1	2	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	17.6	23.7	20.6
	St. Clair	815	162	977	37	6	43	2	7	6	3	8	3	1	13	39.4	18.5	36.4
	Washington	6	2	8	0	0	0	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
20th	Circuit Total	891	175	1,006	40	7	47	2	8	7	5	8	3	1	13	38.2	19.2	35.4
21st	Iroquois	25	4	29	3	0	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	53.1	—	53.1
	Kankakee	188	34	222	10	0	10	0	1	0	2	3	1	1	2	35.7	—	35.7
21st	Circuit Total	213	38	251	13	0	13	0	1	0	3	3	1	1	4	39.7	—	39.7
	Downstate Total	10,593	1,266	11,859	553	45	598	41	114	112	92	73	39	29	66	28.7	19.9	28.1
	Cook County	21,190	5,847	27,037	555	162	717	23[a]	26[a]	21[a]	30[a]	52[a]	51[a]	41[a]	363[a]	61.7	19.2	52.1
	State Total	31,783	7,113	38,896	1,108	207	1,315	64[a]	140[a]	133[a]	122[a]	122[a]	90[a]	70[a]	439[a]	45.2	19.4	41.1

a. Does not include the 110 law jury cases under $15,000 from 1st Municipal District. Breakdown was not available.

DISPOSITIONS IN 1986 OF DEFENDANTS CHARGED WITH A FELONY

			NOT CONVICTED							
				Reduced or Dismissed				Tried But Not Convicted		
						Dismissed by State				
Circuit	County	Total Number of Defendants Disposed of	Total Not Convicted	Discharged At Preliminary Hearing	Dismissed on Motion of Defendant	Transfer to Warrant Calendar, Motion, etc	Reduced to Misdemeanor	Acquitted by Court	Acquitted by Jury	Convicted of An Included Misdemeanor
1st	Alexander	131	68	0	0	50	14	0	1	3
	Jackson	481	307	9	62	222	5	2	7	0
	Johnson	94	49	0	0	26	20	1	2	0
	Massac	94	61	0	1	34	24	0	1	1
	Pope	52	52	1	1	24	22	0	1	3
	Pulaski	59	40	1	0	26	12	0	1	0
	Saline	216	103	1	2	48	48	0	4	0
	Union	104	70	0	4	52	14	0	0	0
	Williamson	330	215	0	0	176	39	0	0	0
1st	Circuit Total	1,561	965	12	70	658	198	3	17	7
2nd	Crawford	116	65	0	0	43	21	0	1	0
	Edwards	34	24	4	0	15	5	0	0	0
	Franklin	172	70	2	0	44	24	0	0	0
	Gallatin	31	18	0	0	10	8	0	0	0
	Hamilton	35	12	0	3	5	3	0	1	0
	Hardin	32	21	0	0	15	6	0	0	0
	Jefferson	172	43	0	1	31	8	1	2	0
	Lawrence	87	47	0	0	33	14	0	0	0
	Richland	111	67	2	4	48	13	0	0	0
	Wabash	63	30	0	0	21	8	1	0	0
	Wayne	53	21	0	0	13	7	0	1	0
	White	77	20	0	2	12	6	0	0	0
2nd	Circuit Total	983	438	8	10	290	121	2	5	0
3rd	Bond	79	21	0	1	14	6	0	0	0
	Madison	982	356	1	57	147	115	0	8	6
3rd	Circuit Total	1,061	377	1	58	161	141	0	8	6
4th	Christian	81	21	0	0	13	6	0	2	0
	Clay	70	9	0	0	6	3	0	0	0
	Clinton	103	44	0	9	16	18	1	0	0
	Effingham	125	83	10	2	44	27	0	0	0
	Fayette	83	20	0	4	8	7	0	1	0
	Jasper	52	34	1	0	19	14	0	0	0
	Marion	213	66	2	0	48	14	1	1	0
	Montgomery	116	43	1	0	29	11	0	0	2
	Shelby	51	7	0	0	5	2	0	0	0
4th	Circuit Total	894	327	14	15	188	102	2	4	2
5th	Clark	83	23	6	1	6	10	0	0	0
	Coles	205	56	3	2	18	32	0	3	0
	Cumberland	26	19	0	1	14	4	0	0	0
	Edgar	85	35	0	2	8	25	0	0	0
	Vermilion	336	150	4	4	50	85	1	6	0
5th	Circuit Total	735	283	11	10	96	156	1	9	0
6th	Champaign	965	564	0	0	331	8	10	11	204
	DeWitt	87	62	5	0	25	31	0	1	0
	Douglas	59	40	0	0	24	14	1	1	0
	Macon	691	320	0	0	165	130	4	21	0
	Moultrie	42	6	0	0	1	4	0	0	1
	Piatt	63	38	0	1	17	20	0	0	0
6th	Circuit Total	1,887	1,030	5	1	563	207	15	34	205
7th	Greene	51	33	5	4	12	12	0	0	0
	Jersey	101	54	5	1	22	26	0	0	0
	Macoupin	177	123	0	2	72	48	0	0	1
	Morgan	123	64	0	0	29	33	1	1	0
	Sangamon	980	563	10	6	307	222	13	5	0
	Scott	11	8	0	0	2	4	2	0	0
7th	Circuit Total	1,443	845	20	13	444	345	16	6	1
8th	Adams	335	182	9	5	75	92	0	1	0
	Brown	41	37	0	2	22	13	0	0	0
	Calhoun	19	8	0	0	4	4	0	0	0
	Cass	81	48	1	3	20	21	1	2	0
	Mason	82	42	4	0	15	23	0	0	0
	Menard	41	9	0	0	0	9	0	0	0
	Pike	72	44	2	1	27	11	2	1	0
	Schuyler	10	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	0
8th	Circuit Total	681	372	16	11	164	174	3	4	0

DISPOSITIONS IN 1986 OF DEFENDANTS CHARGED WITH A FELONY

CONVICTED																		Found Unfit to Stand Trial ***	County	Circuit
Plea of Guilty						Convicted By Court						Convicted By Jury								
Class						Class						Class								
M	X	1	2	3	4	M	X	1	2	3	4	M	X	1	2	3	4			
0	4	6	20	24	4	0	0	0	1	2	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	Alexander	1st
0	3	5	54	42	55	0	1	0	2	2	2	0	2	0	2	2	2	0	Jackson	
0	1	3	8	14	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	Johnson	
0	0	1	14	10	5	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	Massac	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Pope	
0	0	2	3	11	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Pulaski	
0	1	8	25	69	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	Saline	
0	0	12	7	6	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Union	
0	4	8	37	41	20	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	Williamson	
0	13	45	168	217	122	0	2	0	4	5	3	1	2	3	3	4	4	0	Circuit Total	1st
0	0	8	14	17	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Crawford	2nd
0	0	0	4	2	3	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Edwards	
2	5	4	33	29	23	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	Franklin	
0	0	0	0	7	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Gallatin	
0	3	1	4	6	4	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	Hamilton	
0	1	0	2	5	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	Hardin	
0	0	7	28	27	54	0	1	1	2	0	1	0	1	1	1	2	3	0	Jefferson	
0	0	3	12	16	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Lawrence	
0	2	2	4	21	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Richland	
0	0	1	16	11	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	Wabash	
0	0	0	3	7	14	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	Wayne	
1	1	4	16	19	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	White	
3	12	30	136	167	161	0	2	1	3	4	4	2	2	1	6	3	3	0	Circuit Total	2nd
0	1	1	30	17	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Bond	3rd
4	33	40	164	245	121	0	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	3	1	2	0	Madison	
4	34	41	194	262	132	0	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	3	1	2	0	Circuit Total	3rd
0	2	4	16	20	12	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	1	0	Christian	4th
0	1	2	17	28	31	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Clay	
0	1	6	14	19	15	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	Clinton	
0	1	2	12	8	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	Effingham	
1	1	5	13	25	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	Fayette	
0	0	0	6	6	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Jasper	
1	8	5	48	44	40	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	Marion	
0	1	8	19	24	20	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Montgomery	
0	3	2	11	11	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Shelby	
2	18	34	156	185	175	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	6	4	2	0	Circuit Total	4th
0	1	0	18	21	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	Clark	5th
1	1	15	41	43	48	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Coles	
0	0	1	2	1	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Cumberland	
0	1	1	16	16	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	Edgar	
0	5	14	47	71	42	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	Vermilion	
1	8	31	124	152	126	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	1	2	2	0	Circuit Total	5th
1	14	21	118	144	88	0	0	0	1	2	6	0	9	3	8	3	2	7	Champaign	6th
0	0	0	7	6	11	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	DeWitt	
0	0	0	9	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Douglas	
3	6	6	47	90	146	0	2	0	1	4	1	0	4	1	8	15	12	4	Macon	
0	2	0	12	10	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	Moultrie	
0	0	3	6	6	8	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Piatt	
4	22	30	199	261	269	0	2	1	3	7	7	0	13	4	16	19	14	11	Circuit Total	6th
0	0	0	8	7	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Green	7th
0	0	5	12	18	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Jersey	
0	1	2	19	26	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	Macoupin	
0	0	2	16	24	8	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	4	1	1	Morgan	
0	6	42	122	171	66	1	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	1	1	1	1	1	Sangamon	
0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Scott	
0	7	51	177	248	90	1	1	0	2	2	0	6	1	2	1	5	2	2	Circuit Total	7th
1	2	6	29	61	34	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	3	8	2	4	Adams	8th
0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	Brown	
0	0	0	5	1	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	Calhoun	
0	2	0	8	13	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Cass	
0	1	0	13	12	11	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Mason	
0	1	2	6	5	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	Menard	
0	2	1	3	12	3	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	Pike	
0	0	0	2	3	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	Schuyler	
1	8	9	66	108	79	0	0	0	5	2	1	1	8	2	4	8	2	4	Circuit Total	8th

DISPOSITIONS IN 1986 OF DEFENDANTS CHARGED WITH A FELONY

		NOT CONVICTED									
				Reduced or Dismissed				Tried But Not Convicted			
						Dismissed by State					
Circuit	County	Total Number of Defendants Disposed of	Total Not Convicted	Discharged At Preliminary Hearing	Dismissed on Motion of Defendant	Transfer to Warrant Calendar, Motion, etc	Reduced to Misdemeanor	Acquitted by Court	Acquitted by Jury	Convicted of An Included Misdemeanor	Tot Convic
9th	Fulton	132	28	0	0	10	16	0	2	0	1
	Hancock	154	77	0	10	16	51	0	0	0	
	Henderson	46	35	0	0	24	11	0	0	0	
	Knox	157	88	3	2	26	16	1	9	1	
	McDonough	160	42	8	0	11	22	0	1	0	1
	Warren	70	25	0	0	6	18	1	0	0	
9th	Circuit Total	719	265	11	12	93	134	2	12	1	4
10th	Marshall	37	22	0	1	9	12	0	0	0	
	Peoria	914	324	0	19	242	41	9	13	0	5
	Putnam	9	4	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	
	Stark	7	4	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	
	Tazewell	323	155	1	4	100	42	8	0	0	1
10th	Circuit Total	1,290	509	1	24	354	100	17	13	0	7
11th	Ford	43	25	0	0	15	9	0	0	1	
	Livingston	254	122	2	13	68	38	0	1	0	1,
	Logan	88	53	3	0	37	12	1	0	0	
	McLean	634	246	0	2	116	41	2	7	78	3
	Woodford	75	44	0	2	28	11	1	2	0	
11th	Circuit Total	1,094	490	5	17	264	111	4	10	79	6
12th	Will	1,720	940	87	50	756	25	9	13	0	7
12th	Circuit Total	1,720	940	87	50	756	25	9	13	0	7
13th	Bureau	85	16	0	0	10	6	0	0	0	
	Grundy	86	52	1	2	19	28	0	2	0	
	LaSalle	239	73	2	1	21	45	1	2	1	1
13th	Circuit Total	410	141	3	3	50	79	1	4	1	2
14th	Henry	175	93	5	0	24	63	1	0	0	
	Mercer	53	37	4	0	28	4	0	1	0	
	Rock Island	715	309	8	30	228	32	6	4	1	3
	Whiteside	226	89	1	0	54	29	3	1	1	1,
14th	Circuit Total	1,169	528	18	30	334	128	10	6	2	6,
15th	Carroll	55	18	0	0	14	3	0	1	0	
	Jo Daviess	69	46	0	0	17	28	1	0	0	
	Lee	216	109	0	4	64	39	1	1	0	1
	Ogle	79	15	2	2	3	3	3	2	0	
	Stephenson	186	29	0	4	23	0	0	2	0	1
15th	Circuit Total	605	217	2	10	121	73	5	6	0	3
16th	DeKalb	215	125	12	0	107	4	2	0	0	
	Kane	912	391	24	9	208	142	4	4	0	5
	Kendall	96	66	2	2	45	17	0	0	0	
16th	Circuit Total	1,223	582	38	11	360	163	6	4	0	6
17th	Boone	93	46	1	1	31	13	0	0	0	
	Winnebago	1,420	1,000	16	35	523	412	6	7	1	4
17th	Circuit Total	1,513	1,046	17	36	554	425	6	7	1	4
18th	DuPage	2,653	1,375	50	51	1,015	230	20	9	0	1,2
18th	Circuit Total	2,653	1,375	50	51	1,015	230	20	9	0	1,2
19th	Lake	2,595	1,674	63	6	1,081	475	11	24	14	9
	McHenry	1,067	856	228	19	480	129	0	0	0	2
19th	Circuit Total	3,662	2,530	291	25	1,561	604	11	24	14	1,1
20th	Monroe	62	32	0	0	25	6	0	1	0	
	Perry	111	64	3	0	31	28	1	1	0	
	Randolph	130	63	4	2	26	23	2	6	0	
	St. Clair	949	240	32	3	113	84	1	7	0	7
	Washington	22	13	0	0	3	10	0	0	0	
20th	Circuit Total	1,274	412	39	5	198	151	4	15	0	8
21st	Iroquois	67	17	0	1	10	5	1	0	0	
	Kankakee	397	197	0	1	124	64	6	2	0	2
21st	Circuit Total	464	214	0	2	134	69	7	2	0	2
	Downstate Total	27,041	13,886	651	464	8,358	3,738	144	212	319	13,0
	Cook County	–	–	–	–	–	676	2,046	100	–	13,2
	State Total	27,041*	13,886*	651*	464*	8,358*	4,414	2,190	312	319*	26,2

*Does not include Cook County

DISPOSITIONS IN 1986 OF DEFENDANTS CHARGED WITH A FELONY

CONVICTED																		Found Unfit to Stand Trial ***		
Plea of Guilty						Convicted By Court						Convicted By Jury								
Class						Class						Class								
M	X	1	2	3	4	M	X	1	2	3	4	M	X	1	2	3	4		County	Circuit
0	1	9	28	30	31	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	1	0	Fulton	9th
0	3	2	33	12	27	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Hancock	
0	0	0	3	1	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	Henderson	
0	4	4	39	30	17	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	1	0	0	0	0	Knox	
0	6	4	23	44	40	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	McDonough	
0	2	1	7	21	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	Warren	
0	16	20	133	138	134	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	2	1	2	4	1	0	Circuit Total	9th
0	1	0	6	3	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Marshall	10th
1	23	45	179	162	127	1	5	3	6	5	2	3	6	5	3	7	2	5	Peoria	
0	0	0	2	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Putnam	
0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Stark	
0	7	18	51	55	28	0	0	0	1	0	3	1	1	0	0	3	0	1	Tazewell	
1	31	63	239	222	162	1	5	3	7	5	6	4	7	5	3	10	2	6	Circuit Total	10th
0	0	0	6	11	6	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	Ford	11th
0	5	3	36	47	35	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	3	Livingston	
0	0	2	9	15	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Logan	
0	12	20	97	158	65	0	2	3	6	4	4	1	2	3	3	7	0	0	McLean	
1	0	3	6	14	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	Woodford	
1	17	28	154	245	120	0	3	3	6	6	4	2	2	4	3	10	1	3	Circuit Total	11th
6	19	78	228	175	139	2	0	3	6	9	3	9	21	0	3	10	2	7	Will	12th
6	19	78	228	175	139	2	0	3	6	9	3	9	21	0	3	10	2	7	Circuit Total	12th
1	1	6	16	24	17	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	Bureau	13th
0	0	1	6	13	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	Grundy	
0	6	12	52	48	39	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	2	0	1	1	2	LaSalle	
1	7	19	74	85	69	0	1	0	2	1	0	0	1	2	0	3	1	3	Circuit Total	13th
0	1	4	29	25	16	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	Henry	14th
0	2	0	3	5	3	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	Mercer	
0	15	40	119	126	84	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	4	1	1	10	Rock Island	
0	0	6	28	44	50	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	Whiteside	
0	18	50	179	200	153	0	2	3	5	3	1	0	1	4	6	1	3	12	Circuit Total	14th
0	1	1	4	10	11	0	0	0	2	3	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	Carroll	15th
0	0	0	16	5	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Jo Daviess	
0	0	4	21	50	26	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	Lee	
0	0	3	21	21	13	0	0	0	1	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	Ogle	
0	0	0	25	59	22	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Stephenson	
0	1	8	87	145	74	0	0	1	5	6	2	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	Circuit Total	15th
0	1	3	35	31	18	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	DeKalb	16th
1	6	27	156	146	138	0	5	4	1	6	2	0	10	1	5	3	0	0	Kane	
0	0	2	2	15	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Kendall	
1	7	32	193	192	168	0	5	4	1	8	2	0	10	1	5	3	0	0	Circuit Total	16th
0	2	1	12	15	15	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	Boone	17th
3	16	11	89	158	86	1	4	2	4	3	1	1	3	3	6	5	2	2	Winnebago	
3	18	12	101	173	101	1	5	2	4	3	1	1	4	3	6	5	2	2	Circuit Total	17th
3	31	66	322	410	378	1	6	6	22	17	11	0	6	4	6	9	1	2	DuPage	18th
3	31	66	322	410	378	1	6	6	22	17	11	0	6	4	6	9	1	2	Circuit Total	18th
5	29	37	239	302	237	0	0	2	2	0	5	4	23	3	8	10	5	12	Lake	19th
0	2	18	74	54	58	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	McHenry	
5	31	55	313	356	295	0	0	2	2	0	5	4	23	3	9	12	5	12	Circuit Total	19th
0	0	1	6	9	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	Monroe	20th
0	1	1	3	15	22	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	Perry	
0	2	7	19	14	20	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	2	0	0	Randolph	
2	24	18	186	303	101	1	0	0	13	0	0	5	7	2	8	9	0	0	St Clair	
0	0	1	2	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Washington	
2	27	68	216	346	156	1	0	0	13	3	2	6	8	2	8	13	1	0	Circuit Total	20th
0	0	4	13	19	12	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	Iroquois	21st
1	7	6	67	65	49	1	1	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Kankakee	
1	7	10	80	84	61	1	2	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	Circuit Total	21st
39	352	782	3,539	4,371	3,164	8	37	30	91	87	57	40	119	42	93	127	52	65	Downstate Total	
117	1,111*	1,399	3,869	4,931	2,885	118	342	388	481	565	251	125	135	45	47	43	19	316	Cook County	
156	1,463	2,181	7,408	9,302	6,049	126	379	368	572	652	308	165	254	87	140	170	71	381	State Total	

*Includes 5 unfit to be sentenced

Note: Defendants who plead quilty or were found quilty and then found mentally ill are included in totals of each county where applicable

SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS CHARGED AND CONVICTED OF FELONIES DURING 1986

		SENTENCES																														
		Death	Natural Life		State Imprisonment						State Imprisonment & Fine						Periodic Imprisonment (Illinois Department of Corrections)				Periodic Imprisonment & Fine (Illinois Department of Corrections)				Periodic Imprisonment (Local Correctional Institution)				Periodic Imprisonment & Fine (Local Correctional Institution)			
		Class	Class		Class						Class						Class				Class				Class				Class			
Circuit	County	M	M	X	M	X	1	2	3	4	M	X	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
1st	Alexander	0	0	0	0	5	4	2	4	0	0	0	0	6	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0
	Jackson	0	0	0	0	5	4	23	5	16	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0
	Johnson	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	8	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Massac	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Pope	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Pulaski	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	2	0	0	0	3	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Saline	0	0	0	0	1	6	11	18	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Union	0	0	0	0	0	5	3	1	1	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Williamson	0	0	0	1	5	5	8	13	3	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
1st	Circuit Total	0	0	0	1	18	25	50	55	28	0	2	9	8	4	1	0	0	3	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	3	0	0	4	1	0
2nd	Crawford	0	0	0	0	0	8	6	10	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Edwards	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Franklin	2	0	0	0	5	3	13	6	8	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	1	1	0
	Gallatin	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Hamilton	0	0	0	0	3	1	1	2	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	2	0
	Hardin	0	0	0	1	1	0	2	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
	Jefferson	0	0	0	0	3	2	6	9	11	0	1	1	3	1	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	3
	Lawrence	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	6	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
	Richland	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	7	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Wabash	0	0	0	1	0	1	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Wayne	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1
	White	0	0	0	1	1	1	7	3	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2nd	Circuit Total	2	0	0	3	15	20	48	50	49	0	2	1	3	3	8	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	4	0	5	4	5
3rd	Bond	0	0	0	0	1	0	23	6	3	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Madison	0	0	0	5	37	28	46	54	27	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
3rd	Circuit Total	0	0	0	5	38	28	69	60	30	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
4th	Christian	0	0	0	0	2	2	9	8	4	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Clay	0	0	0	0	1	2	4	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
	Clinton	0	0	0	0	1	4	5	3	3	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	1
	Effingham	0	0	0	0	2	1	1	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Fayette	0	0	0	0	0	4	6	8	5	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Jasper	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
	Marion	0	0	0	2	8	5	8	19	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montgomery	0	0	0	0	1	6	13	12	7	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Shelby	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	3	0	2	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	1	1
4th	Circuit Total	0	0	0	2	15	25	50	53	38	0	3	2	5	5	7	0	2	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	4	6	3
5th	Clark	0	0	0	0	1	0	7	4	4	0	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	1
	Coles	0	0	0	1	1	10	14	10	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	3	0	0	0	0
	Cumberland	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	[illegible]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Edgar	0	0	0	0	1	0	6	4	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Vermilion	0	0	0	1	8	10	9	18	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
5th	Circuit Total	0	0	0	2	11	20	36	37	32	0	0	0	3	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	2	0	2	3	0	3	3	2

SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS CHARGED AND CONVICTED OF FELONIES DURING 1986

		SENTENCES																														
		Death	Natural Life		State Imprisonment						State Imprisonment & Fine						Periodic Imprisonment (Illinois Department of Corrections)				Periodic Imprisonment & Fine (Illinois Department of Corrections)				Periodic Imprisonment (Local Correctional Institution)				Periodic Imprisonment & Fine (Local Correctional Institution)			
		Class	Class		Class						Class						Class				Class				Class				Class			
Circuit	County	M	M	X	M	X	1	2	3	4	M	X	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
6th	Champaign	0	0	0	1	22	16	40	35	34	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	DeWitt	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Douglas	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Macon	0	0	0	4	12	5	33	45	67	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Moultrie	0	0	0	0	2	0	4	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Piatt	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	2
6th	Circuit Total	0	0	0	5	36	24	81	84	105	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	2
7th	Greene	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	5	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
	Jersey	0	0	0	0	0	3	6	5	3	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Macoupin	1	0	0	1	1	1	6	8	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Morgan	0	0	0	0	2	2	9	11	3	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Sangamon	2	0	0	3	6	22	36	24	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
	Scott	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7th	Circuit Total	3	0	0	4	9	28	65	54	18	0	0	3	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	1
8th	Adams	0	0	0	2	4	5	14	17	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Brown	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Calhoun	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Cass	0	0	0	0	2	0	5	4	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Mason	0	0	0	0	1	0	3	1	1	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
	Menard	0	0	0	0	3	2	2	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Pike	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	2	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Schuyler	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8th	Circuit Total	0	0	0	2	15	7	25	27	22	0	3	0	2	12	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
9th	Fulton	0	0	0	0	1	6	8	10	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Hancock	0	0	0	0	3	2	13	3	1	0	0	0	3	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
	Henderson	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	1	0
	Knox	0	0	0	1	6	4	3	4	1	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	McDonough	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	8	4	0	6	0	2	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	5	8	1
	Warren	0	0	0	0	2	1	3	12	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9th	Circuit Total	0	0	0	1	12	15	31	37	13	0	6	0	5	5	2	0	0	1	1	0	2	1	3	0	0	0	3	0	6	9	1
10th	Marshall	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
	Peoria	0	0	0	5	34	50	63	49	49	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Putnam	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
	Stark	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Tazewell	0	0	0	1	8	14	10	27	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10th	Circuit Total	0	0	0	6	43	64	75	78	57	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
11th	Ford	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
	Livingston	1	0	0	0	6	1	6	23	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
	Logan	0	0	0	0	0	2	4	4	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
	McLean	0	0	0	1	16	12	43	59	19	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	4	2	1	0	5	1	3
	Woodford	0	0	0	1	0	2	3	8	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11th	Circuit Total	1	0	0	2	22	17	57	95	26	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	4	4	1	0	6	1	4

SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS CHARGED AND CONVICTED OF FELONIES DURING 1986

		SENTENCES																														
		Death	Natural Life		State Imprisonment						State Imprisonment & Fine						Periodic Imprisonment (Illinois Department of Corrections)				Periodic Imprisonment & Fine (Illinois Department of Corrections)				Periodic Imprisonment (Local Correctional Institution)				Periodic Imprisonment & Fine (Local Correctional Institution)			
		Class	Class		Class						Class						Class				Class				Class				Class			
Circuit	County	M	M	X	M	X	1	2	3	4	M	X	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
12th	Will	2	0	0	15	38	50	105	72	29	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12th	Circuit Total	2	0	0	15	38	50	105	72	29	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13th	Bureau	0	0	0	1	3	6	7	18	11	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Grundy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	LaSalle	0	0	0	0	6	11	20	14	21	0	1	2	1	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13th	Circuit Total	0	0	0	1	9	17	27	32	32	0	1	2	3	4	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14th	Henry	0	0	0	0	1	1	7	4	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Mercer	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Rock Island	0	0	0	0	13	22	39	32	15	0	4	9	7	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Whiteside	0	0	0	0	1	7	10	9	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
14th	Circuit Total	0	0	0	0	17	31	56	47	36	0	4	9	7	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
15th	Carroll	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Jo Daviess	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	7	1	1
	Lee	0	0	0	0	0	3	7	15	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0
	Ogle	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	1	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
	Stephenson	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
15th	Circuit Total	0	0	0	0	2	5	31	18	21	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	0	0	7	2	1
16th	DeKalb	0	0	0	0	1	2	4	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Kane	0	0	0	1	21	28	37	40	29	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Kendall	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	2
16th	Circuit Total	0	0	0	1	22	31	42	44	32	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	2
17th	Boone	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	1	1	0	2	0	3	6	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
	Winnebago	0	0	0	5	23	14	31	47	23	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	4	0
17th	Circuit Total	0	0	0	5	25	14	33	48	24	0	2	0	3	7	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5	0
18th	DuPage	0	0	0	1	35	37	79	117	48	2	8	7	6	14	6	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	5	10	0	1	0	1
18th	Circuit Total	0	0	0	1	35	37	79	117	48	2	8	7	6	14	6	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	5	10	0	1	0	1
19th	Lake	1	0	0	8	52	26	49	92	22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	0	0	0	0
	McHenry	0	0	0	0	2	7	10	20	18	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	8	0	5	4	4	1	4
19th	Circuit Total	1	0	0	8	54	33	59	112	40	0	0	0	0	1	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	8	1	10	4	4	1	4
20th	Monroe	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
	Perry	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	3	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
	Randolph	0	0	0	1	3	4	3	6	4	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	St. Clair	0	0	0	8	31	50	78	114	22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	2	0	0	1	2
	Washington	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
20th	Circuit Total	0	0	0	9	35	56	85	123	34	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	2	0	0	4	2
21st	Iroquois	0	0	0	0	1	2	10	5	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Kankakee	0	0	0	2	8	4	18	11	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
21st	Circuit Total	0	0	0	2	9	6	28	16	11	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Downstate Total	9	0	0	73	473	553	1,132	1,259	725	2	33	34	49	72	32	1	5	14	2	1	5	5	6	3	16	29	36	6	40	39	28
	Cook County	16	23	10	321	1,577	1,104	1,790	2,176	925	0[a]	0[a]	0[a]	0[a]	0[a]	0[a]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	32	59	24	0[b]	0[b]	0[b]	0[b]
	State Total	25	23[*]	10[*]	394	2,050	1,657	2,922	3,435	1,650	2	33	34	49	72	32	1	5	14	2	1	5	5	6	17	48	88	60	6	40	39	28

[*] Includes Cook County Only — not reported downstate

a. Included in State Imprisonment category

b. Included in Periodic Imprisonment (Local) Category

Note: Defendants who plead guilty or were found guilty and then also found mentally ill, are included in totals of each county where applicable.

SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS CHARGED AND CONVICTED OF FELONIES DURING 1986— continued

		SENTENCES																				
		Probation or Conditional Discharge With Periodic Imprisonment				Probation or Conditional Discharge With Other Discretionary Conditions				Probation or Conditional Discharge With No Discretionary Conditions				Found Unfit To Be Sentenced or Executed						Total Sentences
		Class				Class				Class				Class						
Circuit	County	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	M	X	1	2	3	4	
1st	Alexander	1	4	0	1	2	6	14	2	0	1	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	63
	Jackson	0	7	4	10	0	23	36	34	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	174
	Johnson	0	0	0	0	3	7	5	11	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	45
	Massac	0	1	1	3	0	12	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	33
	Pope	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Pulaski	0	0	0	0	1	0	5	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	19
	Saline	0	1	3	0	3	12	51	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	113
	Union	0	0	0	0	0	4	4	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	35
	Williamson	0	5	2	0	4	22	24	17	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	114
1st	Circuit Total	1	18	10	14	13	86	142	84	1	3	6	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	596
2nd	Crawford	0	4	4	1	0	2	8	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	51
	Edwards	0	2	1	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
	Franklin	0	0	2	0	1	22	21	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	102
	Gallatin	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13
	Hamilton	0	2	0	0	0	2	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	23
	Hardin	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11
	Jefferson	2	13	12	8	2	7	10	27	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	129
	Lawrence	0	6	2	1	0	2	7	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	40
	Richland	0	2	2	0	1	3	11	5	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	44
	Wabash	0	0	0	0	0	10	11	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	33
	Wayne	0	1	1	3	0	1	3	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	27
	White	0	0	0	0	3	9	16	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	57
2nd	Circuit Total	2	30	24	13	7	60	96	76	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	540
3rd	Bond	0	1	3	4	1	5	6	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	58
	Madison	2	43	55	26	12	79	136	72	0	0	[illegible]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	626
3rd	Circuit Total	2	44	58	30	13	84	142	74	0	0	[illegible]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	684
4th	Christian	0	4	10	0	2	4	4	8	0	0	[illegible]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	60
	Clay	0	2	10	1	0	9	9	10	0	0	[illegible]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	61
	Clinton	0	4	6	4	1	4	8	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	59
	Effingham	1	2	0	2	0	9	8	10	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	42
	Fayette	0	1	0	0	1	8	17	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	63
	Jasper	0	3	4	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18
	Marion	0	21	14	14	0	19	11	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	147
	Montgomery	0	2	2	1	1	7	10	13	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	73
	Shelby	0	0	0	1	1	6	5	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	44
4th	Circuit Total	1	39	46	26	6	66	72	86	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	567
5th	Clark	0	2	1	2	0	4	10	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	59
	Coles	2	19	13	10	2	8	18	26	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	149
	Cumberland	0	2	0	2	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
	Edgar	0	0	0	0	3	9	12	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	50
	Vermilion	1	13	11	4	1	24	42	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	186
5th	Circuit Total	3	36	25	18	7	45	82	75	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	454

SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS CHARGED AND CONVICTED OF FELONIES DURING 1986 — continued

		SENTENCES																				
		Probation or Conditional Discharge With Periodic Imprisonment				Probation or Conditional Discharge With Other Discretionary Conditions				Probation or Conditional Discharge With No Discretionary Conditions				Found Unfit To Be Sentenced or Executed						Total Sentences
		Class				Class				Class				Class						
Circuit	County	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	M	X	1	2	3	4	
6th	Champaign	0	0	0	0	7	87	114	62	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	418
	DeWitt	0	2	1	1	0	1	0	3	0	4	4	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	25
	Douglas	0	6	5	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	19
	Macon	2	23	64	92	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	347
	Moultrie	0	5	5	3	0	3	3	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	36
	Piatt	0	4	2	1	0	2	1	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	25
6th	Circuit Total	2	40	77	101	7	93	118	77	0	4	4	5	0	0	0	1	0	1	870
7th	Greene	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18
	Jersey	0	1	1	1	0	5	12	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	47
	Macoupin	0	0	0	0	1	13	18	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	54
	Morgan	0	1	1	0	0	6	16	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	59
	Sangamon	7	23	34	2	14	63	112	43	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	416
	Scott	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
7th	Circuit Total	7	25	38	13	15	88	160	59	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	597
8th	Adams	1	9	20	8	0	8	29	22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	149
	Brown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	Calhoun	0	0	0	0	0	6	1	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11
	Cass	0	0	0	0	0	3	8	4	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	33
	Mason	0	8	5	4	0	4	3	4	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	40
	Menard	0	1	1	5	1	3	1	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	31
	Pike	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	28
	Schuyler	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
8th	Circuit Total	1	18	26	17	1	29	48	44	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	303
9th	Fulton	0	1	1	5	3	20	22	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	89
	Hancock	0	7	3	2	0	3	1	7	0	6	4	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	77
	Henderson	0	1	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11
	Knox	0	0	0	3	7	33	21	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	99
	McDonough	1	4	8	1	1	6	18	30	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	118
	Warren	0	0	0	0	0	4	10	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	45
9th	Circuit Total	1	13	12	11	11	68	72	85	0	7	4	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	439
10th	Marshall	0	3	1	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15
	Peoria	0	0	0	0	2	114	112	71	1	11	13	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	585
	Putnam	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
	Stark	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	Tazewell	0	0	0	0	4	42	31	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	168
10th	Circuit Total	0	3	2	3	6	160	143	96	1	11	13	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	776
11th	Ford	0	0	1	0	0	4	11	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	26
	Livingston	0	11	0	3	3	18	24	28	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	129
	Logan	0	5	7	6	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	35
	McLean	4	13	25	11	9	39	81	30	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	388
	Woodford	0	0	1	0	1	2	8	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	31
11th	Circuit Total	4	29	34	20	13	63	125	69	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	609

SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS CHARGED AND CONVICTED OF FELONIES DURING 1986 — continued

		SENTENCES																		
		Probation or Conditional Discharge With Periodic Imprisonment				Probation or Conditional Discharge With Other Discretionary Conditions				Probation or Conditional Discharge With No Discretionary Conditions				Found Unfit To Be Sentenced or Executed						Total Sentences
		Class				Class				Class				Class						
Circuit	County	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	M	X	1	2	3	4	
12th	Will	4	19	22	6	26	172	98	109	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	773
12th	Circuit Total	4	19	22	6	26	172	98	109	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	773
13th	Bureau	0	6	8	2	0	0	0	3	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	69
	Grundy	0	4	8	3	0	0	4	9	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	33
	LaSalle	0	0	0	0	0	30	29	18	0	3	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	164
13th	Circuit Total	0	10	16	5	0	30	33	30	0	4	1	2	0	1	0	1	1	0	266
14th	Henry	0	0	0	0	4	25	21	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	82
	Mercer	0	2	0	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15
	Rock Island	6	46	120	22	5	12	38	48	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	396
	Whiteside	0	0	0	0	1	19	36	37	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	135
14th	Circuit Total	6	48	120	23	10	79	100	98	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	628
15th	Carroll	0	3	7	2	1	2	1	7	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	34
	Jo Daviess	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	23
	Lee	0	5	8	5	2	6	25	12	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	104
	Ogle	0	12	5	5	1	4	17	6	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	64
	Stephenson	0	9	15	0	0	0	25	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	128
15th	Circuit Total	0	30	35	12	4	13	73	29	0	1	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	351
16th	DeKalb	0	0	0	0	0	25	30	15	1	6	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	86
	Kane	1	24	6	5	4	91	92	99	0	9	17	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	511
	Kendall	0	1	5	3	1	0	6	4	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	31
16th	Circuit Total	1	25	11	8	5	116	128	118	1	15	19	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	628
17th	Boone	0	0	1	1	1	7	6	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	47
	Winnebago	1	23	29	22	1	36	78	39	0	1	7	4	0	0	0	1	0	0	398
17th	Circuit Total	1	23	30	23	2	43	84	51	0	1	7	4	0	0	0	1	0	0	445
18th	DuPage	7	30	24	9	25	231	270	309	0	1	5	3	1	0	0	1	0	1	1,300
18th	Circuit Total	7	30	24	9	25	231	270	309	0	1	5	3	1	0	0	1	0	1	1,300
19th	Lake	4	55	67	35	11	128	145	159	1	17	7	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	911
	McHenry	0	22	10	6	7	31	24	33	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	209
19th	Circuit Total	4	77	77	41	18	159	169	182	1	17	7	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,120
20th	Monroe	0	1	1	3	0	3	8	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	30
	Perry	0	0	3	1	0	0	9	19	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	47
	Randolph	0	0	0	0	3	16	11	15	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	67
	St. Clair	0	0	1	0	10	119	193	75	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	709
	Washington	1	0	0	0	0	2	1	1	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
20th	Circuit Total	1	1	5	4	13	140	222	116	0	1	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	862
21st	Iroquois	0	0	2	4	2	4	12	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	50
	Kankakee	0	4	9	1	2	45	47	39	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	200
21st	Circuit Total	0	4	11	5	4	49	59	45	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	250
	Downstate Total	48	562	703	402	206	1,874	2,436	1,912	8	67	84	84	2	2	0	9	2	8	13,060
	Cook County	163	800	923	536	203	600	1,023	866	284	1,170	1,345	793	0	0	0	0	0	0	13,240*
	State Total	211	1,362	1,626	938	409	2,474	3,459	2,778	292	1,237	1,429	877	2	2	0	9	2	8	26,300

*Includes 5 unfit to be sentenced, but without distinction of class

Note: Defendants who plead guilty or were found guilty and then found mentally ill, are included in totals of each county where applicable

FISCAL YEAR 1986
TOTAL FINANCIAL ACTIVITY
AS REPORTED BY THE CLERKS OF THE CIRCUIT COURT

		Revenue of Clerks Office				Clerks Operating Expenses			
Circuit	County	Clerks Fees and Costs Received	Court Automation Fees	Other Revenue	Total Revenue Received	Clerks Salaries	Salaries Of Others	Other Expenses	Total Cost Operating Clerks Office
1st	Alexander	$42,552	$5,604	$2,257	$50,683	$15,000	$23,000	$8,527	$46,527
	Jackson	264,087	3,550	17,940	285,577	27,500	108,823	25,418	161,741
	Johnson	44,143	6,463	4,707	55,313	22,574	27,428	4,355	54,357
	Massac	58,342	8,614	3,457	70,413	17,735	47,794	11,127	76,656
	Pope	9,172	1,179	0	10,351	16,500	4,352	3,097	23,949
	Pulaski	46,872	7,970	0	54,842	17,000	20,400	3,987	41,387
	Saline	116,162	13,473	8,142	137,777	23,673	52,492	9,657	85,882
	Union	76,008	10,115	3,519	89,642	18,000	39,113	14,797	71,910
	Williamson	254,460	32,068	22,194	308,722	27,500	104,510	21,995	154,005
1st	Circuit Total	$911,798	$89,036	$62,486	$1,063,320	$185,482	$427,912	$102,960	$716,354
2nd	Crawford	$86,594	$11,588	$4,624	$102,806	$24,000	$52,343	$11,327	$87,670
	Edwards	27,561	3,264	2,589	33,414	17,200	11,445	6,101	34,746
	Franklin	136,678	17,537	17,691	171,906	25,820	64,418	13,011	103,249
	Gallatin	24,632	2,486	4,678	31,796	19,000	14,700	5,050	38,750
	Hamilton	25,232	3,246	2,781	31,270	14,500	10,409	4,082	28,991
	Hardin	9,512	522	0	10,034	16,718	7,843	2,525	27,086
	Jefferson	144,861	0	0	144,861	21,500	79,440	17,731	118,671
	Lawrence	61,250	6,813	4,514	72,577	19,800	38,387	9,899	68,086
	Richland	79,951	11,922	1,816	93,689	24,100	40,413	8,285	72,798
	Wabash	53,609	6,530	8,403	68,542	19,008	35,541	15,833	70,382
	Wayne	67,176	10,590	2,433	80,199	16,000	54,000	22,723	92,723
	White	80,647	69	5,456	86,172	24,906	56,362	14,015	95,283
2nd	Circuit Total	$797,714	$74,567	$54,985	$927,266	$242,552	$465,301	$130,582	$838,435
3rd	Bond	$49,476	$5,723	$0	$55,199	$22,000	$33,262	$7,449	$62,711
	Madison	1,621,905	99,374	247,925	1,969,204	38,285	941,037	171,251	1,140,573
3rd	Circuit Total	$1,671,381	$105,097	$247,925	$2,024,403	$60,285	$947,299	$178,700	$1,203,284
4th	Christian	$117,092	$11,045	$3,766	$131,903	$26,000	$106,150	$25,566	$157,716
	Clay	54,143	5,302	6,116	65,561	21,838	40,873	6,617	69,328
	Clinton	102,292	10,630	6,411	119,333	27,750	62,228	7,894	97,872
	Effingham	133,981	17,111	9,929	161,021	21,500	80,880	31,719	134,099
	Fayette	99,542	13,814	7,058	120,414	17,500	43,502	17,976	78,978
	Jasper	45,768	0	2,482	48,250	22,169	21,585	5,188	48,942
	Marion	214,428	30,394	11,403	256,225	25,260	106,320	44,669	176,159
	Montgomery	133,967	0	13,063	147,030	21,500	83,161	14,075	118,736
	Shelby	67,330	3,878	965	72,173	21,999	49,810	17,000	88,809
4th	Circuit Total	$968,543	$92,174	$61,193	$1,121,910	$205,516	$594,419	$170,704	$970,639
5th	Clark	$103,545	$0	$4,625	$108,170	$19,500	$38,916	$7,763	$66,179
	Coles	225,725	0	5,907	231,632	25,100	92,467	8,392	125,959
	Cumberland	72,464	0	3,013	75,477	16,560	16,541	3,881	36,982
	Edgar	70,367	7,710	2,342	80,419	24,000	54,734	23,093	101,827
	Vermilion	350,559	30,934	15,814	397,307	25,948	234,116	51,324	311,388
5th	Circuit Total	$822,660	$38,644	$31,701	$893,005	$111,108	$436,774	$94,453	$642,335

FISCAL YEAR 1986
TOTAL FINANCIAL ACTIVITY
AS REPORTED BY THE CLERKS OF THE CIRCUIT COURT

Circuit	County	Revenue of Clerks Office				Clerks Operating Expenses			
		Clerks Fees and Costs Received	Court Automation Fees	Other Revenue	Total Revenue Received	Clerks Salaries	Salaries Of Others	Other Expenses	Total Cost Operating Clerks Office
6th	Champaign	$597,860	$76,071	$102,740	$776,671	$26,500	$357,942	$38,053	$422,495
	DeWitt	88,327	9,561	0	97,888	20,000	46,155	14,280	80,435
	Douglas	96,915	13,353	6,386	116,654	28,000	78,134	19,127	125,261
	Macon	565,455	41,354	17,853	624,662	35,500	405,096	92,500	533,096
	Moultrie	61,142	0	853	61,995	22,000	46,699	24,422	93,121
	Piatt	51,657	2,567	2,536	56,760	25,000	77,603	10,687	113,290
6th	Circuit Total	$1,461,356	$142,906	$130,368	$1,734,630	$157,000	$1,011,629	$199,069	$1,367,698
7th	Greene	$41,076	$939	$3,801	$45,816	$19,500	$45,120	$7,559	$72,179
	Jersey	123,848	6,651	5,076	135,575	18,500	45,696	78,500	142,696
	Macoupin	235,298	22,203	8,832	266,333	27,000	155,466	50,751	233,217
	Morgan	122,490	0	0	122,490	21,000	67,278	13,304	101,582
	Sangamon	856,538	84,100	82,599	1,023,237	32,000	472,323	47,484	551,807
	Scott	15,093	0	0	15,093	17,800	10,286	4,461	33,087
7th	Circuit Total	$1,394,343	$113,893	$100,308	$1,608,544	$135,800	$796,169	$202,059	$1,134,568
8th	Adams	$254,721	$23,793	$12,145	$290,659	$26,630	$148,918	$44,295	$219,843
	Brown	43,103	0	0	43,103	15,800	19,864	6,969	42,633
	Calhoun	14,307	0	993	15,300	14,000	3,836	5,211	23,047
	Cass	51,831	0	2,561	54,392	22,000	24,315	6,500	52,815
	Mason	67,753	6,266	2,517	76,536	20,880	41,239	8,919	71,038
	Menard	33,404	0	1,655	35,059	20,979	33,382	9,471	63,832
	Pike	66,087	0	2,254	68,341	18,500	50,700	14,830	84,030
	Schuyler	25,057	0	0	25,057	16,500	20,700	5,148	42,348
8th	Circuit Total	$556,263	$30,059	$22,125	$608,447	$155,289	$342,954	$101,343	$599,586
9th	Fulton	$123,561	$3,011	$10,583	$137,155	$24,000	$58,126	$22,887	$105,013
	Hancock	73,230	5,412	986	79,628	20,000	40,676	12,409	73,085
	Henderson	35,430	0	1,777	37,207	17,900	30,717	7,924	56,541
	Knox	324,186	33,468	2,633	360,287	20,988	161,058	24,010	206,056
	McDonough	114,182	16,744	7,704	139,330	21,000	87,713	18,515	127,228
	Warren	85,382	10,796	0	96,178	22,000	64,000	19,000	105,000
9th	Circuit Total	$756,671	$69,431	$23,683	$849,785	$125,888	$442,290	$104,745	$672,923
10th	Marshall	$50,589	$6,003	$2,842	$59,434	$19,000	$41,861	$6,060	$66,921
	Peoria	943,527	121,839	161,500	1,226,866	34,000	596,465	353,131	983,596
	Putnam	17,323	1,669	290	19,282	17,750	6,178	4,402	28,330
	Stark	16,576	0	1,248	17,824	18,872	14,885	3,740	37,497
10th	Tazewell	331,745	56,739	9,692	398,176	30,098	305,487	45,000	380,585
	Circuit Total	$1,359,760	$186,250	$175,572	$1,721,582	$119,720	$964,876	$412,333	$1,496,929
11th	Ford	$52,651	$614	$1,733	$54,998	$21,500	$26,588	$9,879	$57,967
	Livingston	136,774	20,776	1,398	158,938	29,000	88,508	22,704	140,212
	Logan	121,636	21,852	4,638	148,126	24,000	124,000	34,800	182,800
	McLean	565,498	31,707	40,791	637,996	29,157	405,275	69,174	503,606
	Woodford	91,973	5,562	0	97,535	27,500	74,295	16,684	118,479
11th	Circuit Total	$968,532	$80,501	$48,560	$1,097,593	$131,157	$718,666	$153,241	$1,003,064

FISCAL YEAR 1986
TOTAL FINANCIAL ACTIVITY
AS REPORTED BY THE CLERKS OF THE CIRCUIT COURT

		Revenue of Clerks Office				Clerks Operating Expenses			
Circuit	County	Clerks Fees and Costs Received	Court Automation Fees	Other Revenue	Total Revenue Received	Clerks Salaries	Salaries Of Others	Other Expenses	Total Cost Operating Clerks Office
12th	Will	$1,353,883	$179,184	$71,583	$1,604,560	$38,000	$1,119,946	$93,037	$1,250,983
12th	Circuit Total	$1,353,883	$179,184	$71,583	$1,604,560	$38,000	$1,119,946	$93,037	$1,250,983
13th	Bureau	$135,502	$17,748	$2,921	$156,171	$27,000	$94,107	$11,000	$132,107
	Grundy	110,185	14,117	6,098	130,400	25,000	64,420	15,101	104,521
	LaSalle	349,434	49,334	29,338	428,106	25,000	326,685	21,295	372,980
13th	Circuit Total	$595,121	$81,199	$38,357	$714,677	$77,000	$485,212	$47,396	$609,608
14th	Henry	$197,956	$31,196	$10,606	$239,758	$25,000	$144,362	$53,215	$222,577
	Mercer	56,818	5,816	1,943	64,577	23,600	31,102	14,424	69,126
	Rock Island	775,236	92,168	120,718	988,122	32,500	330,676	20,003	383,179
	Whiteside	217,269	30,372	851	248,492	26,000	127,720	21,481	175,201
14th	Circuit Total	$1,247,279	$159,552	$134,118	$1,540,949	$107,100	$633,860	$109,123	$850,083
15th	Carroll	$65,142	$7,275	$2,519	$74,936	$18,000	$33,221	$14,502	$65,723
	Jo Daviess	75,332	11,316	1,195	87,843	21,000	41,589	7,751	70,340
	Lee	210,291	27,111	2,844	240,246	28,080	132,360	23,924	184,364
	Ogle	157,443	20,108	3,852	181,403	97,329	97,329	30,654	225,312
	Stephenson	163,144	24,717	1,356	189,217	24,000	100,450	17,836	142,286
15th	Circuit Total	$671,352	$90,527	$11,766	$773,645	$188,409	$404,949	$94,667	$688,025
16th	DeKalb	$288,057	$45,595	$7,455	$341,107	$31,500	$226,013	$22,827	$280,340
	Kane	1,326,764	181,363	96,148	1,604,275	36,500	944,422	202,679	1,183,601
	Kendall	94,894	14,280	5,011	114,275	26,500	78,223	36,956	141,679
16th	Circuit Total	$1,709,715	$241,778	$108,614	$2,060,107	$94,500	$1,248,658	$262,462	$1,605,620
17th	Boone	$165,079	$3,954	$5,051	$174,084	$19,962	$109,737	$21,291	$150,990
	Winnebago	1,186,895	147,889	29,874	1,364,658	33,000	584,632	94,952	712,584
17th	Circuit Total	$1,351,974	$151,843	$34,925	$1,538,742	$52,962	$694,369	$116,243	$863,574
18th	DuPage	$4,049,590	$614,490	$424,206	$5,088,286	$51,740	$2,877,883	$3,175,823	$6,105,446
18th	Circuit Total	$4,049,590	$614,490	$424,206	$5,088,286	$51,740	$2,877,883	$3,175,823	$6,105,446
19th	Lake	$2,208,677	$335,492	$135,857	$2,680,026	$40,000	$1,424,395	$60,571	$1,524,966
	McHenry	729,917	97,696	41,569	869,182	36,000	521,223	162,350	719,573
19th	Circuit Total	$2,938,594	$433,188	$177,426	$3,549,208	$76,000	$1,945,618	$222,921	$2,244,539
20th	Monroe	$63,248	$2,586	$2,106	$67,940	$26,060	$45,442	$11,617	$83,119
	Perry	81,539	11,324	0	92,863	25,500	49,153	12,633	87,286
	Randolph	106,585	11,964	1,978	120,527	24,400	56,080	19,531	100,011
	St. Clair	709,075	164,415	166,926	1,040,416	35,873	642,778	51,471	730,122
	Washington	50,194	0	2,096	52,290	20,000	25,407	4,802	50,209
20th	Circuit Total	$1,010,641	$190,829	$173,106	$1,374,036	$131,833	$818,860	$100,054	$1,050,747
21st	Iroquois	$199,516	$17,198	$14,359	$231,073	$25,500	$89,103	$16,306	$130,909
	Kankakee	392,653	45,319	19,388	457,360	25,000	177,187	36,293	238,480
21st	Circuit Total	$592,169	$62,517	$33,747	$688,433	$50,500	$266,290	$52,599	$369,389
	Downstate Total	$27,189,339	$3,227,125	$2,166,754	$32,583,218	$2,497,841	$17,671,474	$6,124,514	$26,293,829
	Cook County	$34,483,345	$0	$3,200,000	$37,683,345	$55,000	$32,042,246	$9,077,979	$41,175,225
	State Total	$61,672,684	$3,227,125	$5,366,754	$70,266,563	$2,552,841	$49,713,720	$15,202,493	$67,469,054

DISTRIBUTION OF FUNDS HELD IN TRUST OR AGENCY CAPACITY AND OF COLLECTIONS MADE FOR OTHERS

			Fines, Penalties, Assessments & Forfeitures							
						State				
			Municipal, Townships & Road Districts	County						
Circuit	County	Maintenance & Child Support		Criminal	Traffic	State Police Overweight	Juvenile Drug Abuse & Drug Prevention	Traffic & Criminal Surcharge	Other	State Total
1st	Alexander	$146,017	$11,521	$10,072	$47,935	$11,884	$0	$11,192	$14,020	$37,096
	Jackson	1,107,585	378,384	133,130	119,125	8,060	359	64,732	120,208	193,359
	Johnson	85,505	6,808	24,410	70,235	2,020	3,440	15,171	15,276	35,907
	Massac	261,908	21,503	15,146	67,148	0	144	13,222	18,250	31,616
	Pope	72,710	987	22,182	16,133	590	1,702	3,920	4,168	10,380
	Pulaski	119,650	8,195	3,357	52,772	590	0	10,033	13,218	23,841
	Saline	720,504	46,303	77,491	117,331	5,836	0	26,960	35,494	68,290
	Union	247,650	19,984	16,963	56,215	19,908	0	11,586	13,094	44,588
	Williamson	1,044,372	107,026	41,707	168,956	267,790	0	69,947	47,669	385,406
1st	Circuit Total	$3,805,091	$600,711	$344,458	$715,850	$316,638	$5,645	$226,763	$281,397	$830,443
2nd	Crawford	$642,454	$40,477	$15,256	$51,355	$3,850	$6,000	$9,373	$16,477	$35,700
	Edwards	168,277	2,960	16,074	40,195	2,781	0	6,113	9,376	18,270
	Franklin	1,178,046	51,454	0	133,522	1,365	8,574	25,802	30,697	66,438
	Gallatin	105,418	12,452	24,593	29,442	18,621	0	7,803	6,984	33,408
	Hamilton	128,623	3,578	11,084	20,770	3,500	386	4,883	6,091	14,860
	Hardin	99,927	3,055	6,036	4,234	2,663	110	1,197	2,187	6,157
	Jefferson	1,037,189	128,855	127,377	2,156	0	13,107	21,423	35,723	70,253
	Lawrence	406,807	26,896	17,360	55,854	7,460	789	13,594	22,787	44,630
	Richland	414,193	73,302	12,321	55,447	475	0	11,187	11,435	23,097
	Wabash	491,540	48,253	12,756	25,117	1,915	411	10,188	16,802	29,316
	Wayne	333,477	10,045	12,726	79,020	15,355	0	13,535	18,795	47,685
	White	373,233	40,058	56,328	115,469	37,413	2,021	13,884	22,965	76,283
2nd	Circuit Total	$5,379,184	$441,385	$311,911	$612,581	$95,398	$31,398	$138,982	$200,319	$466,097
3rd	Bond	$439,312	$11,302	$11,671	$35,636	$2,680	$5	$8,743	$21,537	$32,965
	Madison	5,898,582	1,073,068	37,570	471,385	219,121	0	218,937	171,327	609,385
3rd	Circuit Total	$6,337,894	$1,084,370	$49,241	$507,021	$221,801	$5	$227,680	$192,864	$642,350
4th	Christian	$1,325,166	$89,131	$50,057	$88,105	$15,289	$0	$32,946	$48,197	$96,432
	Clay	288,946	24,062	38,573	41,652	10,974	0	13,170	17,793	41,937
	Clinton	413,611	99,106	51,594	88,298	3,895	350	28,097	43,773	76,115
	Effingham	772,101	57,078	52,161	170,117	8,578	0	33,652	38,959	81,189
	Fayette	550,576	36,177	46,978	78,958	46,808	0	27,244	33,891	107,943
	Jasper	169,795	12,345	10,393	67,868	5,335	0	11,412	11,652	28,399
	Marion	1,161,551	177,940	62,392	194,977	7,324	11,589	54,288	63,860	137,061
	Montgomery	666,150	61,938	65,952	161,759	66,809	2,075	41,268	54,232	164,384
	Shelby	633,651	29,271	43,302	44,472	701	673	14,131	27,936	43,441
4th	Circuit Total	$5,981,547	$587,048	$421,402	$936,206	$165,713	$14,687	$256,208	$340,293	$776,901
5th	Clark	$425,986	$24,437	$45,595	$77,830	$146,232	$1,404	$34,550	$23,044	$205,230
	Coles	2,252,549	140,596	53,372	121,291	1,605	0	37,291	60,610	99,506
	Cumberland	254,233	15,048	0	0	0	0	7,148	9,672	16,820
	Edgar	597,036	37,564	25,747	33,194	122	2,710	11,327	22,073	36,232
	Vermilion	551,138	246,009	81,085	183,616	13,455	0	77,402	96,575	187,432
5th	Circuit Total	$4,080,942	$463,654	$205,799	$415,931	$161,414	$4,114	$167,718	$211,974	$545,220

DISTRIBUTION OF FUNDS HELD IN TRUST OR AGENCY CAPACITY AND OF COLLECTIONS MADE FOR OTHERS

			Fines, Penalties, Assessments & Forfeitures							
						State				
			Municipal, Townships & Road Districts	County		State Police Overweight	Juvenile Drug Abuse & Drug Prevention	Traffic & Criminal Surcharge		State Total
Circuit	County	Maintenance & Child Support		Criminal	Traffic				Other	
6th	Champaign	$3,339,676	$479,629	$75,948	$273,015	$11,592	$891	$92,787	$131,704	$236,974
	DeWitt	845,526	58,695	20,207	29,915	0	0	11,832	24,419	36,251
	Douglas	516,116	28,909	9,286	96,353	7,587	2,486	17,743	28,348	56,164
	Macon	8,278,059	539,381	179,619	164,750	17,555	3,868	100,208	96,492	218,123
	Moultrie	518,413	8,183	24,928	64,225	165	0	10,914	20,032	31,111
	Piatt	985,184	21,393	20,675	60,905	1,250	0	11,077	14,821	27,148
6th	Circuit Total	$14,482,974	$1,136,190	$330,663	$689,163	$38,149	$7,245	$244,561	$315,816	$605,771
7th	Greene	$33,760	$20,800	$23,900	$31,094	$0	$0	$9,297	$11,254	$20,551
	Jersey	389,674	57,064	21,672	90,536	3,065	32,550	14,070	28,135	77,820
	Macoupin	1,266,504	82,252	54,494	108,988	3,291	0	34,460	58,642	96,393
	Morgan	1,386,063	109,568	61,669	83,462	10,196	494	27,473	35,188	73,351
	Sangamon	6,444,886	529,073	102,783	399,869	188,298	2,723	106,363	126,551	423,935
	Scott	110,975	594	1,076	18,828	0	0	2,716	7,317	10,033
7th	Circuit Total	$9,631,862	$799,351	$265,594	$732,777	$204,850	$35,767	$194,379	$267,087	$702,083
8th	Adams	$2,022,219	$269,478	$47,393	$79,634	$8,995	$3,991	$24,308	$40,428	$77,722
	Brown	174,751	3,727	9,410	22,619	2,625	0	4,038	6,385	13,048
	Calhoun	50,552	6,415	9,990	13,837	428	0	3,284	7,435	11,147
	Cass	387,103	32,672	8,083	29,636	1,550	0	8,668	13,980	24,198
	Mason	414,160	55,999	16,401	40,382	13,800	0	16,633	24,864	55,297
	Menard	221,538	10,862	11,761	26,924	725	1,000	5,956	9,229	16,910
	Pike	322,791	12,227	10,229	57,821	30,209	20	11,372	16,997	58,598
	Schuyler	197,344	3,887	3,914	21,732	0	13	3,874	9,098	12,985
8th	Circuit Total	$3,790,458	$395,267	$117,181	$292,585	$58,332	$5,024	$78,133	$128,416	$269,905
9th	Fulton	$1,570,229	$59,321	$72,821	$80,044	$4,780	$0	$23,878	$26,760	$55,418
	Hancock	613,315	32,292	25,616	37,597	0	7,795	12,382	14,931	35,108
	Henderson	250,019	6,174	6,362	44,730	1,033	2,160	7,773	8,095	19,061
	Knox	3,101,369	198,020	38,947	68,175	1,275	24	41,840	62,915	106,054
	McDonough	1,001,634	109,563	42,102	49,826	2,957	13,271	22,797	26,414	65,439
	Warren	813,153	42,512	0	79,625	9,457	0	17,185	17,988	44,630
9th	Circuit Total	$7,349,719	$447,882	$185,848	$359,997	$19,502	$23,250	$125,855	$157,103	$325,710
10th	Marshall	$426,652	$12,781	$11,848	$34,769	$775	$0	$7,511	$12,809	$21,095
	Peoria	7,910,174	698,038	229,036	177,471	24,170	4,081	96,619	134,631	51,232
	Putnam	183,898	1,113	868	9,572	4,704	0	2,092	3,131	259,501
	Stark	143,914	4,437	8,038	10,074	300	0	2,822	5,087	9,927
	Tazewell	4,464,860	454,425	112,825	194,584	12,792	1,658	74,033	95,774	8,209
10th	Circuit Total	$13,129,498	$1,170,794	$362,615	$426,470	$42,741	$5,739	$183,077	$251,432	$482,989

DISTRIBUTION OF FUNDS HELD IN TRUST OR AGENCY CAPACITY AND OF COLLECTIONS MADE FOR OTHERS

			Fines, Penalties, Assessments & Forfeitures							
						State				
				County						
Circuit	County	Maintenance & Child Support	Municipal, Townships & Road Districts	Criminal	Traffic	State Police Overweight	Juvenile Drug Abuse & Drug Prevention	Traffic & Criminal Surcharge	Other	State Total
11th	Ford	$283,574	$23,277	$11,155	$37,814	$1,647	$0	$8,394	$12,520	$22,651
	Livingston	1,201,572	56,095	14,304	190,221	9,240	0	30,815	40,849	80,904
	Logan	1,008,722	74,261	18,146	197,144	3,117	0	35,123	36,621	74,861
	McLean	1,887,864	765,431	82,869	271,832	134,804	0	108,711	130,542	374,057
	Woodford	711,021	49,585	7,965	115,608	3,877	170	22,709	22,368	49,124
11th	Circuit Total	$5,092,753	$968,649	$134,439	$812,619	$152,685	$170	$205,752	$242,900	$601,507
12th	Will	$12,021,209	$1,216,200	$264,382	$487,566	$713,283	$1,853	$305,617	$106,434	$1,250,983
12th	Circuit Total	$12,021,209	$1,216,200	$264,382	$487,566	$713,283	$1,853	$305,617	$106,434	$1,250,983
13th	Bureau	$1,180,178	$69,994	$59,679	$130,540	$29,200	$10,241	$29,354	$29,400	$98,195
	Grundy	1,144,736	83,364	23,039	88,443	2,402	0	15,750	31,932	50,084
	LaSalle	3,330,691	226,142	48,862	190,936	28,710	0	64,124	90,106	182,940
13th	Circuit Total	$5,655,605	$379,500	$131,580	$409,919	$60,312	$10,241	$109,228	$151,438	$331,219
14th	Henry	$2,249,582	$185,958	$63,458	$218,201	$0	$0	$56,623	$50,350	$106,973
	Mercer	706,222	22,240	8,313	32,303	3,210	0	7,388	7,770	18,368
	Rock Island	7,696,569	614,949	48,057	337,175	432,514	74,117	176,206	171,332	854,169
	Whiteside	2,672,946	167,129	69,604	144,810	15,753	26,779	46,855	52,315	141,702
14th	Circuit Total	$13,325,319	$990,276	$189,432	$732,489	$451,477	$100,896	$287,072	$281,767	$1,121,212
15th	Carroll	$623,019	$21,603	$14,705	$43,486	$3,675	$1,797	$10,361	$18,751	$34,584
	Jo Daviess	472,851	46,305	19,521	58,114	6,864	0	15,758	20,463	43,085
	Lee	1,460,441	113,556	41,563	176,830	46,091	3,745	44,546	59,562	153,944
	Ogle	1,081,497	122,080	40,262	183,898	5,621	602	39,033	38,392	83,648
	Stephenson	1,755,817	111,751	25,264	122,711	22,687	0	33,428	41,210	97,325
15th	Circuit Total	$5,393,625	$415,295	$141,315	$585,039	$84,938	$6,144	$143,126	$178,378	$412,586
16th	DeKalb	$724,604	$292,281	$50,951	$245,745	$7,126	$0	$43,792	$60,609	$111,527
	Kane	11,224,319	1,300,090	105,676	341,429	49,018	0	231,746	248,128	528,892
	Kendall	870,341	96,530	14,664	80,493	6,310	0	24,113	25,547	55,970
16th	Circuit Total	$12,819,264	$1,688,901	$171,291	$667,667	$62,454	$0	$299,651	$334,284	$696,389
17th	Boone	$944,231	$94,101	$18,699	$225,885	$3,010	$601	$30,958	$41,777	$76,346
	Winnebago	4,151,835	966,309	164,632	190,773	13,839	22,416	154,244	214,903	405,402
17th	Circuit Total	$5,096,066	$1,060,410	$183,331	$416,658	$16,849	$23,017	$185,202	$256,680	$481,748
18th	DuPage	$19,983,920	$5,018,898	$493,021	$994,538	$170,879	$57,191	$935,065	$1,626,581	$2,790,256
18th	Circuit Total	$19,983,920	$5,018,898	$493,021	$994,538	$170,879	$57,191	$935,065	$1,626,581	$2,790,256
19th	Lake	$6,505,969	$3,449,677	$240,595	$575,264	$106,697	$7,656	$500,767	$399,121	$1,014,241
	McHenry	2,842,155	1,387,539	71,603	272,022	37,984	19,789	184,148	135,747	377,668
19th	Circuit Total	$9,348,124	$4,837,216	$312,198	$847,286	$144,681	$27,445	$684,915	$534,868	$1,391,909

DISTRIBUTION OF FUNDS HELD IN TRUST OR AGENCY CAPACITY AND OF COLLECTIONS MADE FOR OTHERS

			Fines, Penalties, Assessments & Forfeitures							
						State				
				County						
Circuit	County	Maintenance & Child Support	Municipal Townships & Road Districts	Criminal	Traffic	State Police Overweight	Juvenile Drug Abuse & Drug Prevention	Traffic & Criminal Surcharge	Other	State Total
20th	Monroe	$415,317	$68,404	$21,967	$37,540	$24,866	$0	$18,615	$27,254	$70,735
	Perry	993,020	58,336	48,628	90,883	1,415	0	22,332	24,468	48,215
	Randolph	1,223,021	64,454	35,119	65,194	4,010	0	16,724	30,361	51,095
	St Clair*	4,189,878	1,163,712	1,695	539,801	251,983	28,177	251,511	334,310	865,981
	Washington	301,302	12,155	18,280	86,371	435	0	13,040	17,922	31,397
20th	Circuit Total	$7,122,538	$1,367,061	$125,689	$819,789	$282,709	$28,177	$322,222	$434,315	$1,067,423
21st	Iroquois	$813,336	$35,410	$47,473	$172,928	$162,689	$3,777	$44,713	$39,371	$250,550
	Kankakee	3,341,375	217,381	42,822	176,392	16,024	0	59,575	74,922	150,521
21st	Circuit Total	$4,154,711	$252,791	$90,295	$349,320	$178,713	$3,777	$104,288	$114,293	$401,071
	Downstate Total	$173,982,303	$25,321,849	$4,831,685	$12,811,471	$3,643,518	$391,785	$5,426,034	$6,608,639	$16,069,976
	Cook County	$50,629,508	$25,869,340	$4,639,251	$950,208	$570,697	$19,167	$1,078,901	$2,132,508	$3,801,273
	State Total	$224,611,811	$51,191,189	$9,470,936	$13,761,679	$4,214,215	$410,952	$6,504,935	$8,741,147	$19,871,249

DISTRIBUTION OF FUNDS HELD IN TRUST OR AGENCY CAPACITY AND OF COLLECTIONS MADE FOR OTHERS (CONT.)

		Fees of Others					Miscellaneous Disbursements			Total Amount Distribut
Circuit	County	States Atty	Sheriff	Fund to Fin Crt	Other	Total	Restitut	Other	Total	
1st	Alexander	$4,648	$562	$8,737	$1,750	$15,697	$10,312	$55,294	$65,606	$333,904
	Jackson	30,645	7,377	40,293	5,997	84,112	52,023	643,865	695,888	2,711,783
	Johnson	2,645	3,633	10,703	11,790	30,771	4,003	0	4,003	257,639
	Massac	3,647	3,960	0	11,799	19,406	4,018	8,411	12,429	428,346
	Pope	1,145	715	1,585	9,013	12,458	1,922	213	2,135	136,985
	Pulaski	3,204	1,506	11,507	1,096	17,313	5,924	6,105	12,029	237,157
	Saline	9,358	4,136	15,184	50,332	79,010	32,690	4,527	37,217	1,146,146
	Union	4,870	3,675	10,975	2,375	21,895	1,914	9,858	11,772	419,067
	Williamson	19,363	2,138	36,545	6,286	64,336	13,770	74,293	88,063	1,899,866
1st	Circuit Total	$79,525	$27,702	$135,533	$102,438	$345,198	$126,576	$802,566	$929,142	$7,570,893
2nd	Crawford	$5,190	$1,738	$11,618	$6,009	$24,555	$11,567	$25,779	$37,346	$847,143
	Edwards	3,586	465	3,800	2,189	10,040	5,854	0	5,854	261,670
	Franklin	24,712	2,470	17,712	9,006	53,900	18,952	0	18,952	1,502,312
	Gallatin	2,115	1,204	2,942	0	6,261	4,246	2,940	7,186	218,760
	Hamilton	5,794	5,751	3,345	0	14,890	31,322	5,736	37,058	230,863
	Hardin	360	388	920	1,022	2,690	77	0	77	122,176
	Jefferson	30,051	8,406	22,931	5,560	66,948	32,070	28,240	60,310	1,493,088
	Lawrence	6,210	1,163	9,640	0	17,013	39,749	7,566	47,315	615,875
	Richland	9,551	1,814	12,723	3,622	27,710	15,117	1,745	16,862	622,932
	Wabash	5,592	2,000	6,790	3,497	17,879	10,673	8,680	19,352	644,214
	Wayne	10,572	6,608	11,575	16,249	45,004	20,011	80,349	100,360	628,317
	White	6,772	4,842	14,647	2,466	28,727	18,671	12,430	31,101	721,199
2nd	Circuit Total	$110,505	$36,849	$118,641	$49,670	$315,617	$208,309	$173,465	$381,774	$7,908,549
3rd	Bond	$3,927	$896	$6,034	$3,355	$14,212	$10,333	$198,118	$208,451	$753,549
	Madison	56,419	900	149,064	38,961	245,344	37,280	148,516	185,796	8,521,130
3rd	Circuit Total	$60,346	$1,796	$155,098	$42,316	$259,556	$47,613	$346,634	$394,247	$9,274,679
4th	Christian	$13,763	$14,191	$18,265	$3,518	$49,737	$1,584	$6,178	$7,762	$1,706,390
	Clay	6,725	4,342	5,952	3,085	20,104	23,116	12,412	35,528	490,802
	Clinton	14,215	1,898	18,089	6,136	41,338	31,702	600	32,302	802,364
	Effingham	12,243	1,526	23,710	11,200	48,679	0	63,733	63,733	1,245,058
	Fayette	11,001	2,817	16,100	5,449	35,367	10,924	20,777	31,701	887,700
	Jasper	4,760	940	8,020	2,800	16,520	4,979	6,731	11,710	317,030
	Marion	18,672	4,187	39,443	9,430	71,732	39,579	4,735	44,314	1,849,967
	Montgomery	21,593	10,444	21,247	4,942	58,226	21,756	11,284	33,040	1,211,449
	Shelby	8,640	1,939	6,549	3,661	20,789	9,264	8,525	17,789	832,715
4th	Circuit Total	$111,612	$43,284	$157,375	$50,221	$362,492	$142,904	$134,975	$277,879	$9,343,475
5th	Clark	$23,091	$1,667	$18,727	$0	$43,485	$0	$43,841	$43,841	$866,404
	Coles	20,868	27,509	33,716	5,915	88,008	0	77,126	77,126	2,832,448
	Cumberland	4,638	87	7,730	0	12,455	54,872	0	54,872	353,428
	Edgar	7,467	1,442	8,420	3,351	20,680	12,653	40,138	52,791	803,424
	Vermilion	10,212	36,981	49,905	15,305	112,403	135	190	325	1,362,008
5th	Circuit Total	$66,276	$67,686	$118,498	$24,751	$277,211	$67,660	$161,295	$228,955	$6,217,712

DISTRIBUTION OF FUNDS HELD IN TRUST OR AGENCY CAPACITY AND OF COLLECTIONS MADE FOR OTHERS (CONT.)

Circuit	County	Fees of Others					Miscellaneous Disbursements			Total Amount Distribut.
		States Atty	Sheriff	Fund to Fin. Crt.	Other	Total	Restitut.	Other	Total	
6th	Champaign	$49,033	$20,402	$86,700	$51,524	$207,629	$58,489	$36,872	$95,361	$4,708,232
	DeWitt	2,360	4,303	11,186	8,801	26,650	13,443	122,293	135,736	1,152,980
	Douglas	3,400	1,483	17,800	3,632	26,315	10,284	46,239	56,523	789,666
	Macon	82,484	45,363	87,467	42,366	257,680	51,300	308,853	360,153	9,997,765
	Moultrie	5,224	1,907	7,948	3,596	18,675	6,561	52,701	59,262	724,797
	Piatt	5,524	6,787	1,210	11,907	25,428	5,232	45,140	50,372	1,191,105
6th	Circuit Total	$148,025	$80,215	$212,311	$121,826	$562,377	$145,309	$612,098	$757,407	$18,564,545
7th	Greene	$2,531	$517	$6,501	$1,082	$10,631	$1,750	0	$1,750	$142,486
	Jersey	5,988	1,962	12,263	3,220	23,433	0	0	0	660,199
	Macoupin	9,476	4,263	0	9,977	23,716	26,435	0	26,435	1,658,782
	Morgan	14,016	3,447	19,197	7,477	44,137	21,748	897	22,645	1,780,895
	Sangamon	76,117	9,264	135,384	31,783	252,548	126,396	4,882	131,278	8,284,372
	Scott	1,551	811	2,362	867	5,591	2,781	0	2,781	149,878
7th	Circuit Total	$109,679	$20,264	$175,707	$54,406	$360,056	$179,110	$5,779	$184,809	$12,676,612
8th	Adams	$26,003	$10,186	$33,199	$15,162	$84,550	$71,241	$148,750	$219,991	$2,800,987
	Brown	2,790	506	3,845	1,014	8,155	4,088	0	4,088	235,798
	Calhoun	2,033	772	1,685	5,024	9,514	3,046	0	3,046	104,501
	Cass	7,414	1,862	7,550	1,596	18,422	12,000	0	12,000	512,114
	Mason	9,652	4,921	12,115	2,941	29,629	16,509	0	16,509	628,377
	Menard	4,160	1,433	4,108	4,804	14,505	11,801	0	11,801	314,301
	Pike	5,571	1,350	9,610	22,788	39,319	0	0	0	500,985
	Schuyler	2,017	846	3,970	1,303	8,136	5,157	0	5,157	253,155
8th	Circuit Total	$59,640	$21,876	$76,082	$54,632	$212,230	$123,842	$148,750	$272,592	$5,350,218
9th	Fulton	$4,878	$5,199	$18,245	$10,291	$38,613	$48,535	$21,002	$69,537	$1,945,983
	Hancock	7,694	2,376	9,385	1,533	20,988	14,976	0	14,976	779,892
	Henderson	5,295	265	5,133	2,465	13,158	3,356	0	3,356	342,860
	Knox	15,544	6,017	36,636	21,215	79,412	690	0	690	3,592,667
	McDonough	14,871	1,760	17,716	6,993	41,340	0	0	0	1,309,904
	Warren	15,507	5,733	14,428	7,391	43,059	2,297	28,314	30,611	1,053,590
9th	Circuit Total	$63,789	$21,350	$101,543	$49,888	$236,570	$69,854	$49,316	$119,170	$9,024,896
10th	Marshall	$4,421	$8,359	$6,145	$2,061	$20,986	$7,672	$43,560	$51,232	$579,363
	Peoria	93,857	158,169	103,943	82,268	438,237	82,398	0	82,298	9,794,855
	Putnam	951	0	1,695	394	3,040	2,104	8	2,112	210,530
	Stark	2,539	154	1,735	0	4,428	547	0	547	179,647
	Tazewell	10,489	31,526	67,274	33,542	142,831	2,387	0	2,387	5,556,169
10th	Circuit Total	$112,257	$197,848	$180,792	$118,265	$609,522	$95,108	$43,568	$138,676	$16,320,564

DISTRIBUTION OF FUNDS HELD IN TRUST OR AGENCY CAPACITY AND OF COLLECTIONS MADE FOR OTHERS (CONT.)

Circuit	County	Fees of Others					Miscellaneous Disbursements			Total Amount Distribut
		States Atty	Sheriff	Fund to Fin Crt	Other	Total	Restitut	Other	Total	
11th	Ford	$4,117	$12,368	$8,295	$4,669	$29,449	$16,200	$370	$16,570	$424,400
	Livingston	7,721	4,575	25,480	3,813	41,589	903	2,997	3,900	1,588,585
	Logan	11,391	5,486	28,785	5,578	51,420	0	24,091	24,091	1,448,645
	McLean	62,120	201,886	123,915	15,990	403,911	8,335	442,231	450,566	4,236,530
	Woodford	10,412	6,832	20,865	5,176	43,285	3,258	40,392	43,650	1,020,238
11th	Circuit Total	$95,761	$231,327	$207,340	$35,226	$569,654	$28,696	$510,081	$538,777	$8,718,398
12th	Will	$249,983	$31,707	$235,699	$103,103	$620,492	$68,751	$828,513	$897,264	$16,758,096
12th	Circuit Total	$249,983	$31,707	$235,699	$103,103	$620,492	$68,751	$828,513	$897,264	$16,758,096
13th	Bureau	$760	$1,716	$22,765	$6,902	$32,143	$5,801	$918,060	$923,861	$2,494,590
	Grundy	0	15	15,222	7,986	23,223	0	0	0	1,412,889
	LaSalle	25,347	2,554	51,379	22,445	101,725	29,192	97,265	126,457	4,207,753
13th	Circuit Total	$26,107	$4,285	$89,366	$37,333	$157,091	$34,993	$1,015,325	$1,050,318	$8,115,232
14th	Henry	$13,626	$3,239	$44,588	$11,070	$72,523	$0	$40,000	$40,000	$2,936,695
	Mercer	4,072	851	5,892	5,238	16,143	255	9,953	10,208	813,797
	Rock Island	38,509	7,197	113,251	66,619	225,576	2,886	87,631	90,517	9,867,012
	Whiteside	27,476	12,306	31,655	18,360	89,797	0	27,217	27,217	3,313,205
14th	Circuit Total	$83,683	$23,593	$195,386	$101,377	$404,039	$3,141	$164,801	$167,942	$16,930,709
15th	Carroll	$5,418	$6,526	$7,609	$6,056	$25,609	$356	$11,327	$11,683	$774,689
	Jo Daviess	2,990	3,547	12,199	3,676	22,412	1,776	1,253	3,029	665,317
	Lee	8,664	6,689	33,690	14,306	63,349	0	16,740	16,740	2,026,423
	Ogle	12,854	15,158	24,084	16,228	68,324	13,672	53,837	67,509	1,647,218
	Stephenson	25,722	14,600	29,481	17,602	87,405	6,426	19,588	26,014	2,226,287
15th	Circuit Total	$55,648	$46,520	$107,063	$57,868	$267,099	$22,230	$102,745	$124,975	$7,339,934
16th	DeKalb	$29,125	$645	$54,873	$17,371	$102,014	$0	$6,654	$6,654	$1,533,776
	Kane	200,538	597	194,930	149,390	545,455	0	3,034	3,034	14,048,895
	Kendall	2,625	0	19,275	4,542	26,442	9,235	0	9,235	1,153,675
16th	Circuit Total	$232,288	$1,242	$269,078	$171,303	$673,911	$9,235	$9,688	$18,923	$16,736,346
17th	Boone	$18,711	$21,783	$37,212	$13,293	$90,999	$19,207	$51,771	$70,978	$1,521,239
	Winnebago	56,163	142,887	193,878	71,865	464,793	31,380	5,812	37,192	6,380,936
17th	Circuit Total	$74,874	$164,670	$231,090	$85,158	$555,792	$50,587	$57,583	$108,170	$7,902,175
18th	DuPage	$1,041,265	$107,805	$840,604	$208,604	$2,198,278	$0	$347,872	$347,872	$31,826,783
18th	Circuit Total	$1,041,265	$107,805	$840,604	$208,604	$2,198,278	$0	347,872	$347,872	$31,826,783
19th	Lake	$63,532	$411,948	$425,040	$229,814	$1,130,334	$268,128	$424,009	$692,137	$13,608,217
	McHenry	32,627	145,329	150,493	103,871	432,320	60,484	42,576	103,060	5,486,367
19th	Circuit Total	$96,159	$527,277	$575,533	$333,685	$1,562,654	$328,612	$466,585	$795,197	$19,094,584

DISTRIBUTION OF FUNDS HELD IN TRUST OR AGENCY CAPACITY AND OF COLLECTIONS MADE FOR OTHERS (CONT.)

Circuit	County	Fees of Others					Miscellaneous Disbursements			Total Amount Distribut
		States Atty	Sheriff	Fund to Fin Crt	Other	Total	Restitut	Other	Total	
20th	Monroe	$6,750	$0	$11,786	$2,046	$20,582	$22,026	$250	$22,276	$656,821
	Perry	9,743	305,240	13,979	3,456	332,418	13,867	0	13,867	1,585,367
	Randolph	9,345	4,456	12,615	2,999	29,415	16,914	0	16,914	1,485,212
	St Clair*	12,305	103,251	197,103	36,444	349,103	28,037	0	28,037	7,138,207
	Washington	5,202	482	0	0	5,684	3,246	0	3,246	458,435
20th	Circuit Total	$43,345	$413,429	$235,483	$44,945	$737,202	$84,090	$250	$84,340	$11,324,042
21st	Iroquois	$860	$2,342	$25,325	$2,038	$30,565	$4,351	$0	$4,351	$1,354,631
	Kankakee	13,428	10,271	52,591	21,551	97,481	0	0	0	4,026,332
21st	Circuit Total	$4,288	$12,613	$77,916	$23,589	$128,406	$4,351	$0	$4,351	$5,380,945
	Downstate Total	$2,935,055	$2,113,698	$4,496,140	$1,870,554	$11,415,447	$1,840,971	$5,153,376	$6,994,347	$251,427,078
	Cook County	$345,307	$0	$0	$2,369,878	$2,715,185	$0	$188	$188	$88,604,953
	State Total	$3,280,362	$2,113,698	$4,496,140	$4,240,432	$14,130,632	$1,840,971	$5,153,564	$6,994,535	$340,032,031

ILLINOIS PROBATION AND COURT SERVICES PERSONNEL EMPLOYMENT ANALYSIS — 1986-1987
PROBATION AND COURT SERVICES (EXCLUDING DETENTION)

		Chief Managing Officers (CMO'S)				Supervisors				Line Officers — Specialists					
Circuit	County	Admn	Adult	Juv	Adult/Juv	Admn	Adult	Juv	Adult/Juv	Admn	Adult	Juv	Adult/Juv	Total Professional Staff	Support Staff
1st	Alexander				1								1	2	1
	Jackson	1				1				1	7	1		11	3
	Johnson/Pope				1									1	2
	Massac				1									1	1
	Pulaski				1									1	1
	Saline	1									1		4	6	1
	Union				1									1	1
	Williamson	1							1		7	2		11	2
1st	Circuit Total	3	0	0	5	1	0	0	1	1	15	3	5	34	12
2nd	Crawford/Lawrence				1						1			2	1
	Edwards/Richland and Wayne				1						2			3	3
	Franklin/Hamilton				1						2	1		4	3
	Gallatin/Hardin/ Wabash/White				1								3	4	1
	Jefferson				1						1			2	1
2nd	Circuit Total	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	6	1	3	15	9
3rd	Bond								1	1				2	
	Madison	3					2	1		2	22	6		36	4
3rd	Circuit Total	3	0	0	0	0	2	1	1	3	22	6	0	38	4
4th	Christian				1								2	3	1
	Clay				1								1	2	1
	Clinton				1						2			3	1
	Effingham				1						2			3	1
	Fayette				1									1	1
	Jasper				1									1	
	Marion				1						3	1		5	3
	Montgomery				1							1		2	1
	Shelby				1									1	
4th	Circuit Total	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	7	2	3	21	8
5th	Clark				1									1	1
	Coles/Cumberland	1						1		1	3		1	7	2
	Edgar				1								1	2	
	Vermilion	1					1	1		1	9	3	2	18	3
5th	Circuit Total	2	0	0	2	0	1	2	0	2	12	3	4	28	6
6th	Champaign	1	1				1	1		3	11	4		22	2
	DeWitt				1							1		2	1
	Douglas				1									1	1
	Macon	1						1			10	3		15	4
	Moultrie				1									1	
	Piatt				1								1	2	1
6th	Circuit Total	2	1	0	4	0	1	2	0	3	21	8	1	43	9
7th	Greene/Scott				1									1	1
	Jersey				1									1	
	Macoupin	1									4	1		6	2
	Morgan	1								1	3	1		6	1
	Sangamon-A	1				2				1	11			15	3
	Sangamon-J	2				3						3		8	2
	Scott								1					1	
7th	Circuit Total	5	0	0	2	5	0	0	1	2	18	5	0	38	9
8th	Adams	1								1			7	9	2
	Brown/Schuyler				1									1	
	Cass	1									1	1		3	1
	Mason				1								1	2	1
	Menard				1									1	
	Pike				1								1	2	1
8th	Circuit Total	2	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	9	18	5

ILLINOIS PROBATION AND COURT SERVICES PERSONNEL EMPLOYMENT ANALYSIS — 1986-1987
PROBATION AND COURT SERVICES (EXCLUDING DETENTION)

		Chief Managing Officers (CMO'S)				Supervisors				Line Officers — Specialists					
Circuit	County	Admn	Adult	Juv	Adult/Juv	Admn	Adult	Juv	Adult/Juv	Admn	Adult	Juv	Adult/Juv	Total Professional Staff	Support Staff
9th	Fulton-J			1								1		2	1
	Hancock-J			1										1	1
	Knox-J	1										2		3	1
	McDonough-J			1								1		2	1
	Warren/Henderson			1								1		2	
	Circuitwide-A	1				2				1	8			12	3
9th	Circuit Total	2	0	4	0	2	0	0	0	1	8	5	0	22	7
10th	Marshall/Putnam/ Stark				1								2	3	
	Peoria-A	1				3					18			22	7
	Peoria-J	1						1				8		10	2
	Tazewell	1					1	1	1		6	2	1	13	5
10th	Circuit Total	3	0	0	1	3	1	2	1	0	24	10	3	48	14
11th	Ford				1									1	
	Livingston				1								4	5	2
	Logan				1						2	1		4	1
	McLean				1		1	1		1	8	10	1	21	3
	Woodford		1								1	1		3	1
11th	Circuit Total	0	1	0	4	0	1	1	0	1	11	12	5	34	7
12th	Will	2				2		1			8	4		17	5
12th	Circuit Total	2	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	8	4	0	17	5
13th	Bureau/Grundy/LaSalle	1	1				1	1			3	4		11	2
13th	Circuit Total	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	3	4	0	11	2
14th	Henry	1					1				4	3		9	2
	Mercer	1									1	1		3	2
	Rock Island	1	1	1			2	1			16	6		28	5
	Whiteside	1					1	1			4	2	1	10	3
14th	Circuit Total	4	1	1	0	0	4	2	0	0	25	12	1	50	12
15th	Carroll				1								1	2	1
	Jo Daviess				1				—		1			2	
	Lee	1									3	2		6	2
	Ogle	1							1		4	2		8	3
	Stephenson	1					1	1			4	2		9	3
15th	Circuit Total	3	0	0	2	0	1	1	1	0	12	6	1	27	9
16th	DeKalb				1			1			2	3	1	8	3
	Kane	1	1	1			3	3	1	2	15	11	10	48	12
	Kendall										1	1		2	1
16th	Circuit Total	1	1	1	1	0	3	4	1	2	18	15	11	58	16
17th	Boone		1								1	1		3	1
	Winnebago	1	1	2			2	1			15	13		35	10
17th	Circuit Total	1	2	2	0	0	2	1	0	0	16	14	0	38	11
18th	DuPage	2	1	1	1	1	3	1	1	1	42		11—	65	16
18th	Circuit Total	2	1	1	1	1	3	1	1	1	42	0	11	65	16
19th	Lake	3	1	1	1		3	1	1		30	9	11	61	12
	McHenry	1	1	1			2	1	1		10	7	4	28	5
19th	Circuit Total	4	2	2	1	0	5	2	2	0	40	16	15	89	17
20th	St Clair	2				1	1		1		15	6	3	29	9
20th	Circuit Total	2	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	15	6	3	29	9
21st	Iroquois		1						1					2	
	Kankakee	1					1	1	1	1	2	3	1	11	1
21st	Circuit Total	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	2	1	2	3	1	13	1
Cook	Adult	11				36					259			306	117
	Juvenile	18		6		28		15		5		180	102	354	124
	Social Service	9				20					136			165	48
	Circuit Total	38	0	6	0	84	0	15	0	5	395	180	102	825	289
	Downstate Total	**43**	**11**	**11**	**41**	**15**	**27**	**22**	**12**	**18**	**326**	**136**	**76**	**738**	**346**
	Cook Total	**38**	**0**	**6**	**0**	**84**	**0**	**15**	**0**	**5**	**395**	**180**	**102**	**825**	**345**
	State Total	**81**	**11**	**17**	**41**	**99**	**27**	**37**	**12**	**23**	**721**	**316**	**178**	**1,563**	**691**

ILLINOIS PROBATION AND COURT SERVICES PERSONNEL EMPLOYMENT ANALYSIS — 1986-1987
JUVENILE DETENTION

Circuit	County	Chief Managing Officers	Supervisors	Line Staff	Total Professional Staff	Support
3rd	Madison	2	5	21	28	1
6th	Champaign	1	1	9	11	
7th	Sangamon	2	4	20	26	4
8th	Adams	1	1	6	8	3
9th	Knox_	1	3	7	11	5
10th	Peoria	1	1	20	22	3
13th	LaSalle	2	3	10	15	
15th	Ogle		2	10	12	2
16th	Kane	2		15	17	3
17th	Winnebago	1	1	21	23	3
18th	DuPage	2	3	18	23	4
19th	Lake	1	2	17	20	11
20th	St. Clair	2	4	18	24	
	State Total	18	30	192	240	39

ANNUAL JUVENILE REFERRALS — PETITIONS
JANUARY 1, 1986 — DECEMBER 31, 1986

Circuit	County	Referrals Made To				Number of Juvenile Petitions Filed				
		State's Attorney	Intake Screening Unit	Probation Department	Total	Delinquent	MRAI	Neglect	Dependent	Total
1st	Alexander				0					0
	Jackson	0	0	0	0	58	2	13	2	75
	Johnson	33	0	0	33	26	1	6	0	33
	Massac	62	0	0	62	47	11	5	0	63
	Pope	3	0	0	3	3	0	0	0	3
	Pulaski	17	0	0	17	6	2	2	2	12
	Saline	94	0	0	94	45	6	12	1	64
	Union	19	0	3	22	14	1	4	3	22
	Williamson	0	0	289	289	27	3	28	5	63
1st	Circuit Total	228	0	292	520	226	26	70	13	335
2nd	Crawford	36	0	0	36	21	3	6	0	30
	Edwards	10	0	0	10	9	0	1	0	10
	Franklin/Hamilton	75	0	35	110	46	3	14	12	75
	Gallatin	9	0	0	9	3	0	5	1	9
	Hardin	6	0	0	6	0	0	6	0	6
	Jefferson	59	0	0	59	26	6	20	4	56
	Lawrence	25	0	0	25	11	1	6	2	20
	Richland	18	0	0	18	10	1	7	0	18
	Wabash	48	0	0	48	38	1	5	0	44
	Wayne	40	0	0	40	29	11	0	0	40
	White	33	0	20	53	17	0	16	0	33
2nd	Circuit Total	359	0	55	414	210	26	86	19	341
3rd	Bond	25	0	0	25	14	1	7	0	22
	Madison	212	19	0	231	458	3	181	21	663
3rd	Circuit Total	237	19	0	256	472	4	188	21	685
4th	Christian	152	0	8	160	74	2	30	6	112
	Clay	45	0	0	45	14	0	4	1	19
	Clinton	40	0	0	40	29	5	4	2	40
	Effingham	33	0	0	33	20	5	3	3	31
	Fayette	0	0	63	63	54	1	8	1	64
	Jasper	43	0	0	43	36	0	7	0	43
	Marion	0	0	0	0	54	3	11	17	85
	Montgomery	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Shelby	37	0	0	37	21	1	10	4	36
4th	Circuit Total	350	0	71	421	302	17	77	34	430
5th	Clark	33	0	0	33	25	0	7	2	34
	Coles/Cumberland	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Edgar	70	0	25	95	36	0	21	5	62
	Vermilion	0	0	230	230	58	1	45	0	104
5th	Circuit Total	103	0	255	358	119	1	73	7	200

ANNUAL JUVENILE REFERRALS — PETITIONS
JANUARY 1, 1986 — DECEMBER 31, 1986

		Referrals Made To				Number of Juvenile Petitions Filed				
Circuit	County	State's Attorney	Intake Screening Unit	Probation Department	Total	Delinquent	MRAI	Neglect	Dependent	Total
6th	Champaign	694	0	0	694	178	0	76	0	254
	DeWitt	0	163	0	163	49	0	16	5	70
	Douglas	15	0	0	15	9	0	6	0	15
	Macon	624	0	165	789	407	2	56	14	479
	Moultrie	32	0	0	32	22	0	0	0	22
	Piatt	83	0	0	83	78	0	0	0	78
6th	Circuit Total	1,448	163	165	1,776	743	2	154	19	918
7th	Greene/Scott	9	0	0	9	5	0	0	4	9
	Jersey	14	88	0	102	76	1	4	0	81
	Macoupin	133	0	0	133	86	5	39	1	131
	Morgan	0	0	254	254	33	1	19	3	56
	Sangamon	0	1,287	0	1,287	124	2	0	0	126
	Scott	14	0	0	14	11	0	0	3	14
7th	Circuit Total	170	1,375	254	1,799	335	9	62	11	417
8th	Adams	0	93	0	93	65	5	41	5	116
	Brown	12	0	0	12	7	0	2	1	10
	Cass	39	0	0	39	30	0	9	0	39
	Mason	105	0	78	183	55	0	25	4	84
	Menard	27	0	0	27	7	20	0	0	27
	Pike/Calhoun	53	0	7	60	48	3	2	5	58
	Schuyler	33	0	0	33	27	2	3	1	33
8th	Circuit Total	269	93	85	447	239	30	82	16	367
9th	Fulton	2	0	157	159	51	0	28	2	81
	Hancock	0	0	87	87	42	0	0	0	42
	Henderson	30	0	16	46	25	0	5	0	30
	Knox	1	0	187	188	32	0	16	6	54
	McDonough	0	0	122	122	21	0	2	2	25
	Warren	80	0	178	258	66	1	13	0	80
9th	Circuit Total	113	0	747	860	237	1	64	10	312
10th	Marshall	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Peoria	0	0	0	0	360	10	147	29	546
	Putnam				0					0
	Stark				0					0
	Tazewell	308	0	0	308	141	0	0	0	141
10th	Circuit Total	308	0	0	308	501	10	147	29	687

ANNUAL JUVENILE REFERRALS — PETITIONS
JANUARY 1, 1986 — DECEMBER 31, 1986

Circuit	County	Referrals Made To				Number of Juvenile Petitions Filed				
		State's Attorney	Intake Screening Unit	Probation Department	Total	Delinquent	MRAI	Neglect	Dependent	Total
11th	Ford	35	0	0	35	15	20	0	0	35
	Livingston	0	0	404	404	67	4	35	6	112
	Logan	62	0	0	62	33	1	22	0	56
	McLean	0	149	0	149	88	7	43	23	161
	Woodford	32	0	33	65	18	0	6	0	24
11th	Circuit Total	129	149	437	715	221	32	106	29	388
12th	Will	0	243	0	243	174	2	123	12	311
12th	Circuit Total	0	243	0	243	174	2	123	12	311
13th	Bureau	56	0	8	64	48	0	3	0	51
	Grundy	0	0	93	93	83	0	0	1	84
	LaSalle	24	0	216	240	159	2	0	0	161
13th	Circuit Total	80	0	317	397	290	2	3	1	296
14th	Henry	0	205	0	205	85	0	13	4	102
	Mercer	2	0	56	58	27	0	0	1	28
	Rock Island	0	317	32	349	112	4	82	8	206
	Whiteside	0	0	294	294	77	0	0	0	77
14th	Circuit Total	2	522	382	906	301	4	95	13	413
15th	Carroll	10	0	136	146	34	0	10	1	45
	Jo Daviess	32	0	0	32	21	0	10	1	32
	Lee	0	0	223	223	122	3	28	2	155
	Ogle	0	0	483	483	56	3	18	2	79
	Stephenson	111	0	14	125	82	0	29	0	111
15th	Circuit Total	153	0	856	1,009	315	6	95	6	422
16th	DeKalb	0	227	0	227	110	0	0	0	110
	Kane	216	0	0	216	251	1	0	0	252
	Kendall	0	0	0	0	65	3	0	0	68
16th	Circuit Total	216	227	0	443	426	4	0	0	430
17th	Boone	0	0	115	115	38	0	11	9	58
	Winnebago	0	1,609	0	1,609	308	2	177	17	504
17th	Circuit Total	0	1,609	115	1,724	346	2	188	26	526
18th	DuPage	6	136	829	971	533	16	147	14	710
18th	Circuit Total	6	136	829	971	533	16	147	14	710
19th	Lake	0	1,058	0	1,058	421	0	0	0	421
	McHenry	0	381	0	381	130	3	41	2	176
19th	Circuit Total	0	1,439	0	1,439	551	3	41	2	597

ANNUAL JUVENILE REFERRALS — PETITIONS
JANUARY 1, 1986 — DECEMBER 31, 1986

Circuit	County	Referrals Made To				Number of Juvenile Petitions Filed				
		State's Attorney	Intake Screening Unit	Probation Department	Total	Delinquent	MRAI	Neglect	Dependent	Total
20th	Monroe	34	0	0	34	27	1	5	1	34
	Perry	22	0	0	22	19	0	2	1	22
	Randolph	54	0	0	54	29	6	19	0	54
	St Clair	504	0	0	504	349	16	128	11	504
	Washington	3	0	0	3	2	0	1	0	3
20th	Circuit Total	617	0	0	617	426	23	155	13	617
21st	Iroquois	13	0	29	42	8	5	0	0	13
	Kankakee	194	178	0	372	94	9	48	5	156
21st	Circuit Total	207	178	29	414	102	14	48	5	169
Cook	Juvenile	0	0	20,057	20,057	13,591	94	4,238	1	17,924
Cook	Circuit Total	0	0	20,057	20,057	13,591	94	4,238	1	17,924
	Downstate Total	4,995	6,153	4,889	16,037	7,069	234	2,004	300	9,607
	Cook Total	0	0	20,057	20,057	13,591	94	4,238	1	17,924
	State Total	4,995	6,153	24,946	36,094	20,660	328	6,242	301	27,531

ANNUAL JUVENILE ADJUDICATION SURVEY
JANUARY 1,1986 — DECEMBER 31, 1986

Circuit	County	Number of Adjudications					Number of Juvenile Criminal Prosecutions		
		Delinquent	MRAI	Neglect	Dependent	Total	Statutory	Number of Motions	Motions Granted
1st	Alexander					0			
	Jackson	14	1	4	1	20	0	0	0
	Johnson	7	1	0	0	8	0	0	0
	Massac	16	7	3	0	26	2	1	1
	Pope	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Pulaski	6	2	2	2	12	0	0	0
	Saline	22	2	0	0	24	1	1	1
	Union	5	2	4	3	14	0	0	0
	Williamson	6	0	8	3	17	0	0	0
1st	Circuit Total	76	15	21	9	121	3	2	2
2nd	Crawford	18	2	5	0	25	0	0	0
	Edwards	3	0	0	0	3	0	0	0
	Franklin/Hamilton	17	4	9	8	38	0	0	0
	Gallatin	1	0	3	1	5	0	0	0
	Hardin	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Jefferson	10	1	3	1	15	0	0	0
	Lawrence	5	1	5	0	11	0	0	0
	Richland	5	0	2	0	7	0	0	0
	Wabash	6	1	1	0	8	0	0	0
	Wayne	6	0	4	0	10	0	0	0
	White	13	0	12	0	25	0	1	1
2nd	Circuit Total	84	9	44	10	147	0	1	1
3rd	Bond	10	1	6	0	17	0	1	1
	Madison	165	1	9	0	175	2	0	0
3rd	Circuit Total	175	2	15	C	192	2	1	1
4th	Christian	68	2	24	4	98	0	0	0
	Clay	3	0	1	1	5	0	0	0
	Clinton	16	3	2	0	21	0	0	0
	Effingham	15	4	3	3	25	0	0	0
	Fayette	23	1	4	2	30	0	0	0
	Jasper	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	0
	Marion	25	3	3	7	38	0	0	0
	Montgomery	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Shelby	19	0	7	4	30	0	0	0
4th	Circuit Total	169	13	46	21	249	0	0	0
5th	Clark	7	0	7	4	18	1	1	1
	Coles/Cumberland	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Edgar	6	0	13	2	21	0	0	0
	Vermilion	74	1	0	0	75	2	3	2
5th	Circuit Total	87	1	20	6	114	3	4	3

ANNUAL JUVENILE ADJUDICATION SURVEY
JANUARY 1, 1986 — DECEMBER 31, 1986

Circuit	County	Number of Adjudications					Number of Juvenile Criminal Prosecutions		
		Delinquent	MRAI	Neglect	Dependent	Total	Statutory	Number of Motions	Motions Granted
6th	Champaign	98	0	0	0	98	0	0	0
	DeWitt	27	0	8	4	39	0	0	0
	Douglas	5	0	3	0	8	0	0	0
	Macon	144	0	0	0	144	0	10	9
	Moultrie	22	0	0	0	22	0	0	0
	Piatt	83	0	0	0	83	0	2	2
6th	Circuit Total	379	0	11	4	394	0	12	11
7th	Greene	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Jersey	58	1	4	0	63	0	1	2
	Macoupin	20	0	5	1	26	0	0	0
	Morgan	25	1	15	3	44	0	0	0
	Sangamon	72	2	0	0	74	5	1	1
	Scott	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0
7th	Circuit Total	175	4	24	6	209	5	2	3
8th	Adams	14	0	32	4	50	0	0	0
	Brown	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
	Cass	3	0	3	0	6	0	0	0
	Mason	21	0	25	4	50	0	0	0
	Menard	7	20	0	0	27	0	0	0
	Pike/Calhoun	28	1	0	3	32	0	0	0
	Schuyler	2	0	1	1	4	0	0	0
8th	Circuit Total	77	21	61	12	171	0	0	0
9th	Fulton	18	0	27	0	45	0	0	0
	Hancock	10	0	0	0	10	0	0	0
	Henderson	3	0	4	0	7	0	0	0
	Knox	27	0	18	4	49	0	0	0
	McDonough	6	0	3	1	10	1	1	1
	Warren	51	0	9	0	60	0	0	0
9th	Circuit Total	115	0	61	5	181	1	1	1
10th	Marshall	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Peoria	440	10	135	25	610	3	2	0
	Putnam					0			
	Stark					0			
	Tazewell	22	0	0	0	22	1	0	0
10th	Circuit Total	462	10	135	25	632	4	2	0
11th	Ford	15	20	0	0	35	0	0	0
	Livingston	17	3	14	3	37	0	0	0
	Logan	11	1	12	0	24	0	0	0
	McLean	49	8	0	0	57	1	1	1
	Woodford	2	0	6	0	8	0	1	0
11th	Circuit Total	94	32	32	3	161	1	2	1

ANNUAL JUVENILE ADJUDICATION SURVEY
JANUARY 1, 1986 — DECEMBER 31, 1986

Circuit	County	Number of Adjudications					Number of Juvenile Criminal Prosecutions		
		Delinquent	MRAI	Neglect	Dependent	Total	Statutory	Number of Motions	Motions Granted
12th	Will	175	0	98	6	279	4	0	0
12th	Circuit Total	175	0	98	6	279	4	0	0
13th	Bureau	20	0	0	0	20	0	0	0
	Grundy	16	0	0	0	16	0	0	0
	LaSalle	48	2	0	0	50	0	0	0
13th	Circuit Total	84	2	0	0	86	0	0	0
14th	Henry	70	1	12	3	86	0	0	0
	Mercer	5	0	0	0	5	1	0	0
	Rock Island	102	1	77	16	196	1	0	0
	Whiteside	51	0	0	0	51	0	0	0
14th	Circuit Total	228	2	89	19	338	2	0	0
15th	Carroll	12	0	12	0	24	0	0	0
	Jo Daviess	6	0	3	0	9	0	0	0
	Lee	20	2	13	0	35	0	0	0
	Ogle	49	3	15	2	69	0	0	0
	Stephenson	52	0	28	0	80	0	0	0
15th	Circuit Total	139	5	71	2	217	0	0	0
16th	DeKalb	42	0	0	0	42	0	0	0
	Kane	129	5	0	0	134	0	1	1
	Kendall	12	3	0	0	15	0	0	0
16th	Circuit Total	183	8	0	0	191	0	1	1
17th	Boone	26	0	16	0	42	0	0	0
	Winnebago	172	1	110	17	300	2	1	1
17th	Circuit Total	198	1	126	17	342	2	1	1
18th	DuPage	232	17	0	0	249	0	0	0
18th	Circuit Total	232	17	0	0	249	0	0	0
19th	Lake	154	0	0	2	156	2	3	1
	McHenry	78	2	9	1	90	1	1	1
19th	Circuit Total	232	2	9	3	246	3	4	2
20th	Monroe	17	4	0	0	21	0	0	0
	Perry	4	0	0	0	4	0	0	0
	Randolph	17	1	17	0	35	0	0	0
	St. Clair	192	12	87	15	306	4	0	0
	Washington	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
20th	Circuit Total	232	17	104	15	368	4	0	0
21st	Iroquois	8	5	0	0	13	0	0	0
	Kankakee	80	5	44	5	134	1	0	0
21st	Circuit Total	88	10	44	5	147	1	0	0
Cook	Juvenile	4,855	58	1,702	461	7,076	116	24	21
Cook	Circuit Total	4,855	58	1,702	461	7,076	116	24	21
	Downstate Total	3,684	171	1,011	168	5,034	35	33	27
	Cook Total	4,855	58	1,702	461	6,615	116	24	21
	State Total	8,539	229	2,713	629	11,649	151	57	48

1986 ANNUAL JUVENILE STATE COMMITMENTS, PLACEMENTS AND COUNTY DETENTION
JANUARY 1, 1986 — DECEMBER 31, 1986

		State Commitments				Placements					Detention Pending Disposition			Detention As Disposition		
Circuit	County	DMH/DD	DCFS	DOC	Total	Foster Home	Group Home	Private Institution	With Relative	Total	In County	Outside County	Total	In County	Outside County	Total
1st	Alexander				0					0			0	0	0	0
	Jackson	0	9	3	12	0	0	0	0	0	4	3	7	0	0	0
	Johnson	0	3	1	4	4	1	0	1	6	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Massac	5	4	5	14	3	0	0	1	4	2	2	4	0	0	0
	Pope	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Pulaski	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Saline	0	4	2	6	0	0	0	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Union	0	7	1	8	6	1	1	0	8	0	4	4	0	0	0
	Williamson	0	8	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	24	0	24	0	0	0
1st	Circuit Total	5	35	12	52	13	2	1	5	21	30	9	39	0	0	0
2nd	Crawford	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Edwards	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	1
	Franklin/Hamilton	0	10	3	13	2	2	0	2	6	0	10	10	0	0	0
	Gallatin	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Hardin	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	6	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Jefferson	0	50	4	54	50	4	15	14	83	0	8	8	0	0	0
	Lawrence	0	3	0	3	1	2	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Richland	0	4	0	4	0	0	1	0	1	0	6	6	1	1	2
	Wabash	0	2	0	2	1	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Wayne	0	2	2	4	0	0	0	2	2	1	1	2	0	0	0
	White	0	12	1	13	0	2	0	14	16	0	0	0	0	0	0
2nd	Circuit Total	0	86	12	98	54	10	17	42	123	1	26	27	1	2	3
3rd	Bond	0	5	1	6	4	2	0	3	9	1	1	2	0	1	1
	Madison	0	0	10	10	1	0	4	1	6	364	0	364	102	0	102
3rd	Circuit Total	0	5	11	16	5	2	4	4	15	365	1	366	102	1	103
4th	Christian	1	19	3	23	8	3	1	8	20	14	0	14	17	0	17
	Clay	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Clinton	0	10	2	12	0	0	0	2	2	0	2	2	1	3	4
	Effingham	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	20	20	18	2	20	0	0	0
	Fayette	0	4	0	4	0	0	1	1	2	9	4	13	4	0	4
	Jasper	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0
	Marion	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montgomery				0					0			0			0
	Shelby	2	3	3	8	9	1	0	14	24	3	2	5	2	1	3
4th	Circuit Total	3	39	8	50	18	4	3	45	70	44	11	55	24	4	28
5th	Clark	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	3	4	0	4
	Coles/Cumberland	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Edgar	2	7	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	6	5	0	5
	Vermilion	0	0	17	17	0	3	3	6	12	54	0	54	28	0	28
5th	Circuit Total	2	7	17	26	0	3	3	6	12	63	0	63	37	0	37

1986 ANNUAL JUVENILE STATE COMMITMENTS, PLACEMENTS AND COUNTY DETENTION
JANUARY 1, 1986 — DECEMBER 31,1986

		State Commitments				Placements					Detention Pending Disposition			Detention As Disposition		
Circuit	County	DMH/DD	DCFS	DOC	Total	Foster Home	Group Home	Private Institution	With Relative	Total	In County	Outside County	Total	In County	Outside County	Total
6th	Champaign	0	8	31	39	0	0	0	0	0	131	0	131	99	0	99
	DeWitt	0	12	1	13	4	0	0	0	4	0	7	7	0	2	2
	Douglas	0	0	2	2	0	0	1	0	1	0	2	2	0	3	3
	Macon	0	11	33	44	2	2	14	7	25	0	106	106	0	46	46
	Moultrie	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0
	Piatt	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5	0	1	1	1	4	5
6th	Circuit Total	0	31	69	100	6	2	15	12	35	131	116	247	100	55	155
7th	Greene	0	4	0	4	1	0	0	3	4	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Jersey	1	11	0	12	3	1	1	7	12	2	0	2	0	0	0
	Macoupin	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	10	11	0	0	0
	Morgan	0	2	2	4	0	0	0	1	1	98	1	99	3	0	3
	Sangamon	0	0	6	6	1	1	18	14	34	470	0	470	9	0	9
	Scott	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
7th	Circuit Total	1	17	9	27	5	3	19	26	53	571	11	582	12	0	12
8th	Adams	0	0	5	5	7	0	4	0	11	65	0	65	38	0	38
	Brown	0	1	1	2	1	1	0	0	2	0	1	1	0	1	1
	Cass	0	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0
	Mason	0	6	8	14	1	1	1	1	4	0	3	3	0	1	1
	Menard	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	2	5	0	5	3	0	3
	Pike/Calhoun	0	4	0	4	4	1	0	39	44	0	1	1	0	1	1
	Schuyler	0	5	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8th	Circuit Total	1	17	15	33	13	3	5	42	63	70	7	77	41	3	44
9th	Fulton	0	31	0	31	2	0	6	0	8	2	9	11	0	1	1
	Hancock	2	0	2	4	2	1	1	40	44	0	8	8	0	1	1
	Henderson	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	3	0	0	0
	Knox	0	0	10	10	1	0	1	2	4	105	0	105	27	0	27
	McDonough	1	2	1	4	0	0	7	0	7	5	21	26	0	1	1
	Warren	0	4	1	5	0	0	0	4	4	0	5	5	1	6	7
9th	Circuit Total	4	37	14	55	5	1	15	46	67	113	45	158	28	9	37
10th	Marshall	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Peoria	0	0	26	26	7	0	3	3	13	453	0	453	140	0	140
	Putnam	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Stark	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Tazewell	0	0	7	7	1	1	18	3	23	0	48	48	4	0	4
10th	Circuit Total	0	0	33	33	8	1	21	6	36	453	48	501	144	0	144
11th	Ford	5	15	0	20	0	6	0	0	6	0	7	7	0	0	0
	Livingston	0	25	0	25	11	0	5	0	16	4	8	12	0	16	16
	Logan	0	0	2	2	1	1	0	1	3	7	0	7	3	0	3
	McLean	1	1	8	10	5	15	11	2	33	70	0	70	27	0	27
	Woodford	0	0	1	1	0	2	0	5	7	0	5	5	0	2	2
11th	Circuit Total	6	41	11	58	17	24	16	8	65	81	20	101	30	18	48

1986 ANNUAL JUVENILE STATE COMMITMENTS, PLACEMENTS AND COUNTY DETENTION
JANUARY 1, 1986 — DECEMBER 31, 1986

		State Commitments				Placements					Detention Pending Disposition			Detention As Disposition		
Circuit	County	DMH/DD	DCFS	DOC	Total	Foster Home	Group Home	Private Institution	With Relative	Total	In County	Outside County	Total	In County	Outside County	Total
12th	Will	0	6	16	22	0	0	3	0	3	2	89	91	1	2	3
12th	Circuit Total	0	6	16	22	0	0	3	0	3	2	89	91	1	2	3
13th	Bureau	0	0	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	6	1	7	17	6	23
	Grundy	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	3	1	22	23	0	4	4
	LaSalle	1	0	12	13	1	0	9	5	15	141	0	141	70	0	70
13th	Circuit Total	1	0	17	18	1	0	11	6	18	148	23	171	87	10	97
14th	Henry	0	11	0	11	10	0	8	2	20	1	3	4	11	4	15
	Mercer	0	0	0	0	3	4	0	0	7	0	12	12	0	1	1
	Rock Island	0	1	11	12	8	6	13	1	28	22	0	22	6	0	6
	Whiteside	0	0	7	7	0	4	10	0	14	29	8	37	34	0	34
14th	Circuit Total	0	12	18	30	21	14	31	3	69	52	23	75	51	5	56
15th	Carroll	0	11	1	12	1	1	1	0	3	0	1	1	4	2	6
	Jo Daviess	0	5	0	5	0	1	0	5	6	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Lee	0	9	0	9	2	3	5	2	12	3	7	10	0	1	1
	Ogle	0	20	6	26	6	26	3	2	37	26	18	44	4	1	5
	Stephenson	0	19	15	34	2	8	0	1	11	0	11	11	3	6	9
15th	Circuit Total	0	64	22	86	11	39	9	10	69	29	37	66	11	10	21
16th	DeKalb	0	2	6	8	3	1	7	23	34	10	39	49	4	12	16
	Kane	0	0	23	23	12	0	46	0	58	282	0	282	73	0	73
	Kendall	0	0	2	2	8	0	1	0	9	0	15	15	0	0	0
16th	Circuit Total	0	2	31	33	23	1	54	23	101	292	54	346	77	12	89
17th	Boone	0	7	2	9	2	0	6	3	11	0	5	5	0	10	10
	Winnebago	5	127	18	150	86	0	79	11	176	0	6	6	0	1	1
17th	Circuit Total	5	134	20	159	88	0	85	14	187	0	11	11	0	11	11
18th	DuPage	0	2	12	14	53	42	75	3	173	250	0	250	145	0	145
18th	Circuit Total	0	2	12	14	53	42	75	3	173	250	0	250	145	0	145
19th	Lake	0	0	6	6	6	0	53	0	59	114	0	114	15	0	15
	McHenry	0	0	0	0	10	10	22	5	47	0	26	26	4	33	37
19th	Circuit Total	0	0	6	6	16	10	75	5	106	114	26	140	19	33	52
20th	Monroe	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	2	1	3
	Perry	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5	0	0	0
	Randolph	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	St Clair	0	87	18	105	0	1	0	5	6	385	9	394	80	2	82
	Washington	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	0	0	0
20th	Circuit Total	0	88	19	107	0	1	0	5	6	386	17	403	82	3	85

1986 ANNUAL JUVENILE STATE COMMITMENTS, PLACEMENTS AND COUNTY DETENTION
JANUARY 1, 1986 — DECEMBER 31, 1986

		State Commitments				Placements					Detention Pending Disposition			Detention As Disposition		
Circuit	County	DMH/DD	DCFS	DOC	Total	Foster Home	Group Home	Private Institution	With Relative	Total	In County	Outside County	Total	In County	Outside County	Total
21st	Iroquois	0	0	4	4	0	0	10	0	10	0	14	14	0	0	0
	Kankakee	0	2	22	24	4	0	2	5	11	0	41	41	0	1	1
21st	Circuit Total	0	2	26	28	4	0	12	5	21	0	55	55	0	1	1
Cook	Juvenile	0	602	694	1,296	0	0	0	0	0	6,422	0	6,422	1,607	0	1,607
	Circuit Total	0	602	694	1,296	0	0	0	0	0	6,422	0	6,422	1,607	0	1,607
	Downstate Total	28	625	398	1,051	361	162	474	316	1,313	3,195	629	3,824	992	179	1,171
	Cook Total	0	602	694	1,296	0	0	0	0	0	6,422	0	6,422	1,607	0	1,607
	State Total	28	1,227	1,092	2,347	361	162	474	316	1,313	9,617	629	10,246	2,599	179	2,778

1987 ANNUAL JUVENILE CASELOAD SURVEY — DECEMBER 31, 1986

Circuit	County	Active Probation Cases	Supervision Cases (Sec 5-2)	Continuance Cases (Sec 4-7)	Active Informal Supervision Cases	Other Active Cases	Administrative Caseload	Total
1st	Alexander	10	9	0	0	0	3	22
	Jackson	37	2	0	0	0	3	42
	Johnson	4	0	0	0	0	2	6
	Massac	10	0	0	0	0	1	11
	Pope	0	0	0	0	0	2	2
	Pulaski	11	0	0	0	0	0	11
	Saline	18	0	10	0	0	4	32
	Union	4	2	0	3	0	0	9
	Williamson	11	0	7	42	2	3	65
1st	Circuit Total	105	13	17	45	2	18	200
2nd	Crawford	10	0	5	0	0	0	15
	Edwards	4	0	0	0	0	4	8
	Franklin	21	0	1	11	0	4	37
	Gallatin	4	0	0	0	0	0	4
	Hamilton	2	0	2	0	0	2	6
	Hardin	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Jefferson	44	0	0	0	0	19	63
	Lawrence	0	0	5	0	0	0	5
	Richland	5	2	0	1	0	0	8
	Wabash	2	0	0	0	1	0	3
	Wayne	7	0	11	0	0	5	23
	White	14	0	2	0	0	6	22
2nd	Circuit Total	113	2	26	12	1	40	194
3rd	Bond	4	1	0	2	0	3	10
	Madison	115	0	142	1	0	60	318
3rd	Circuit Total	119	1	142	3	0	63	328
4th	Christian	60	2	12	0	0	5	79
	Clay	8	0	5	0	0	5	18
	Clinton	12	0	8	0	0	21	41
	Effingham	28	0	6	0	0	5	39
	Fayette	21	18	0	0	0	7	46
	Jasper	7	4	0	1	0	3	15
	Marion	82	0	17	0	0	15	114
	Montgomery	12	0	1	0	0	1	14
	Shelby	16	0	0	0	0	0	16
4th	Circuit Total	246	24	49	1	0	62	382
5th	Clark	9	0	12	0	0	9	30
	Coles/Cumberland	35	0	22	0	0	0	57
	Edgar	13	0	19	1	0	5	38
	Vermilion	95	0	17	0	0	18	130
5th	Circuit Total	152	0	70	1	0	32	255

1987 ANNUAL JUVENILE CASELOAD SURVEY — DECEMBER 31, 1986

Circuit	County	Active Probation Cases	Supervision Cases (Sec 5-2)	Continuance Cases (Sec 4-7)	Active Informal Supervision Cases	Other Active Cases	Administrative Caseload	Total
6th	Champaign	97	0	45	51	11	35	239
	DeWitt	19	0	16	3	0	6	44
	Douglas	3	4	3	0	2	2	14
	Macon	132	0	39	13	1	42	227
	Moultrie	22	0	0	0	0	0	22
	Piatt	29	8	24	1	0	0	62
6th	Circuit Total	302	12	127	68	14	85	608
7th	Greene	0	0	2	0	0	1	3
	Jersey	15	0	21	2	0	7	45
	Macoupin	20	14	58	0	0	4	96
	Morgan	22	1	6	34	0	0	63
	Sangamon	90	2	36	37	0	10	175
	Scott	—	—	—	—	—	—	0
7th	Circuit Total	147	17	123	73	0	22	382
8th	Adams	33	2	31	15	3	12	96
	Brown							0
	Cass	4	9	4	0	0	4	21
	Mason	11	0	6	9	0	11	37
	Menard	7	2	3	0	0	2	14
	Pike/Calhoun	—	—	—	—	—	—	0
	Schuyler	3	3	3	0	0	11	20
8th	Circuit Total	58	16	47	24	3	40	188
9th	Fulton	14	2	16	3	2	84	121
	Hancock	13	1	20	21	0	2	57
	Henderson	7	0	6	0	0	1	14
	Knox	23	0	3	31	0	4	61
	McDonough	7	0	5	0	12	3	27
	Warren	27	0	10	2	0	3	42
9th	Circuit Total	91	3	60	57	14	97	322
10th	Marshall/Putnam/ Stark	37	33	4	14	0	19	107
	Peoria	300	0	35	7	0	0	342
	Tazewell	22	0	63	14	4	1	104
10th	Circuit Total	359	33	102	35	4	20	553
11th	Ford	9	1	12	9	0	10	41
	Livingston	27	2	20	6	2	84	141
	Logan	8	0	11	5	1	3	28
	McLean	103	6	30	16	4	22	181
	Woodford	20	0	11	1	4	19	55
11th	Circuit Total	167	9	84	37	11	138	446

1986 ANNUAL JUVENILE CASELOAD SURVEY — DECEMBER 31, 1987

Circuit	County	Active Probation Cases	Supervision Cases (Sec. 5-2)	Continuance Cases (Sec. 4-7)	Active Informal Supervision Cases	Other Active Cases	Administrative Caseload	Total
12th	Will	127	31	31	109	298	17	613
12th	Circuit Total	127	31	31	109	298	17	613
13th	Bureau/Grundy/ LaSalle	71	0	90	20	1	30	212
13th	Circuit Total	71	0	90	20	1	30	212
14th	Henry	45	0	44	17	1	5	112
	Mercer	7	0	13	0	0	6	26
	Rock Island	112	6	26	0	0	4	148
	Whiteside	72	0	35	9	0	26	142
14th	Circuit Total	236	6	118	26	1	41	428
15th	Carroll	9	0	19	0	0	42	70
	Jo Daviess	5	0	4	1	0	5	15
	Lee	24	0	55	0	0	62	141
	Ogle	52	1	17	9	10	2	91
	Stephenson	76	0	31	9	0	79	195
15th	Circuit Total	166	1	126	19	10	190	512
16th	DeKalb	42	0	52	6	8	13	121
	Kane	138	3	53	20	0	24	238
	Kendall	7	0	17	0	0	3	27
16th	Circuit Total	187	3	122	26	8	40	386
17th	Boone	26	0	8	3	0	3	40
	Winnebago	231	0	69	72	1	30	403
17th	Circuit Total	257	0	77	75	1	33	443
18th	DuPage	201	20	126	0	0	0	347
18th	Circuit Total	201	20	126	0	0	0	347
19th	Lake	139	0	29	16	0	8	192
	McHenry	48	1	48	68	0	3	168
19th	Circuit Total	187	1	77	84	0	11	360
20th	Monroe	6	0	0	0	0	2	8
	Perry	10	0	0	0	0	4	14
	Randolph	11	0	2	0	0	6	19
	St. Clair	127	66	13	0	0	12	218
	Washington	6	0	5	0	0	4	15
20th	Circuit Total	160	66	20	0	0	28	274
21st	Iroquois	13	7	0	0	0	20	40
	Kankakee	114	0	15	0	0	0	129
21st	Circuit Total	127	7	15	0	0	20	169
Cook	Juvenile	5,193	0	0	4	3,015	11,985	20,197
Cook	Circuit Total	5,193	0	0	4	3,015	11,985	20,197
	Downstate Total	3,578	265	1,649	715	368	1,027	7,602
	Cook Total	5,193	0	0	4	3,015	11,985	20,197
	State Total	8,771	265	1,649	719	3,383	13,012	27,799

1986 ANNUAL JUVENILE PROBATION VIOLATION SURVEY
JANUARY 1, 1986 — DECEMBER 31, 1986

Circuit	County	Violations Reported			Court Actions Technical Violations			Court Actions New Offense Violations		
		Technical	New Offense	Total	No Violation	Finding Of Violation	Total	No Violation	Finding Of Violation	Total
1st	Alexander			0			0			0
	Jackson	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Johnson	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
	Massac	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	1	1
	Pope	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Pulaski	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Saline	4	3	7	1	0	1	1	0	1
	Union	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	1	1
	Williamson	2	2	4	0	1	1	0	0	0
1st	Circuit Total	7	8	15	1	1	2	2	2	4
2nd	Crawford	0	4	4	0	0	0	0	4	4
	Edwards	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	Franklin/	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Hamilton	6	2	8	0	0	0	0	4	4
	Gallatin	1	2	3	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Hardin	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Jefferson	5	19	24	0	2	2	0	7	7
	Lawrence	1	1	2	0	1	1	0	1	1
	Richland	3	1	4	0	0	0	0	1	1
	Wabash	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Wayne	0	4	4	0	0	0	0	2	2
	White	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	1
2nd	Circuit Total	16	35	51	0	3	3	2	19	21
3rd	Bond	0	6	6	0	0	0	0	3	3
	Madison	152	114	266	35	44	79	20	30	50
3rd	Circuit Total	152	120	272	35	44	79	20	33	53
4th	Christian	15	11	26	2	13	15	3	8	11
	Clay	2	1	3	2	0	2	0	1	1
	Clinton	8	15	23	0	4	4	0	5	5
	Effingham	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Fayette	4	3	7	1	3	4	0	3	3
	Jasper	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0
	Marion	12	14	26	2	8	10	0	15	15
	Montgomery	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0
	Shelby	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0
4th	Circuit Total	44	44	88	7	31	38	3	32	35
5th	Clark	5	17	22	4	2	6	6	10	16
	Coles/Cumberland	10	7	17	1	8	9	1	6	7
	Edgar	17	18	35	9	8	17	2	18	15
	Vermilion	26	29	55	0	20	20	0	18	18
5th	Circuit Total	58	71	129	14	38	52	9	47	56

1986 ANNUAL JUVENILE PROBATION VIOLATION SURVEY
JANUARY 1, 1986 — DECEMBER 31, 1986

Circuit	County	Violations Reported			Court Actions Technical Violations			Court Actions New Offense Violations		
		Technical	New Offense	Total	No Violation	Finding Of Violation	Total	No Violation	Finding Of Violation	Total
6th	Champaign	65	45	110	6	19	25	11	51	62
	DeWitt	8	8	16	3	4	7	2	5	7
	Douglas	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1
	Macon	72	35	107	6	61	67	8	28	36
	Moultrie	0	22	22	0	0	0	0	22	22
	Piatt	2	2	4	0	0	0	0	1	1
6th	Circuit Total	147	113	260	15	84	99	21	108	129
7th	Greene	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Jersey	0	3	3	0	0	0	0	3	3
	Macoupin	7	15	22	0	5	5	2	4	6
	Morgan	18	9	27	0	12	12	0	8	8
	Sangamon	30	137	167	0	16	16	5	29	34
	Scott	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7th	Circuit Total	55	164	219	0	33	33	7	44	51
8th	Adams	12	11	23	2	14	16	1	23	24
	Brown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Cass	0	2	2	0	0	0	1	0	1
	Mason	6	8	14	1	5	6	1	7	8
	Menard	1	1	2	0	1	1	0	1	1
	Pike/Calhoun	0	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Schuyler	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8th	Circuit Total	19	25	44	3	20	23	3	31	34
9th	Fulton	1	9	10	0	1	1	0	6	6
	Hancock	5	9	14	3	2	5	1	11	12
	Henderson	12	2	14	0	1	1	0	2	2
	Knox	0	21	21	0	0	0	1	11	12
	McDonough	0	6	6	0	0	0	2	3	5
	Warren	4	6	10	2	2	4	1	5	6
9th	Circuit Total	22	53	75	5	6	11	5	38	43
10th	Marshall	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Peoria	42	46	88	7	34	41	8	39	47
	Putnam	—	—	0	—	—	0	—	—	0
	Stark	—	—	0	—	—	0	—	—	0
	Tazewell	4	11	15	0	2	2	1	12	13
10th	Circuit Total	46	57	103	7	36	43	9	51	60

1986 ANNUAL JUVENILE PROBATION VIOLATION SURVEY
JANUARY 1, 1986 — DECEMBER 31, 1986

Circuit	County	Violations Reported			Court Actions Technical Violations			Court Actions New Offense Violations		
		Technical	New Offense	Total	No Violation	Finding Of Violation	Total	No Violation	Finding Of Violation	Total
11th	Ford	2	0	2	0	2	2	0	0	0
	Livingston	35	13	48	6	9	15	2	6	8
	Logan	15	7	22	4	11	15	1	6	7
	McLean	66	111	177	16	44	60	49	45	94
	Woodford	8	9	17	2	4	6	1	4	5
11th	Circuit Total	126	140	266	28	70	98	53	61	114
12th	Will	26	33	59	12	15	27	5	18	23
12th	Circuit Total	26	33	59	12	15	27	5	18	23
13th	Bureau	15	5	20	0	9	9	0	4	4
	Grundy	11	24	35	1	2	3	1	8	9
	LaSalle	61	44	105	0	47	47	0	18	18
13th	Circuit Total	87	73	160	1	58	59	1	30	31
14th	Henry	14	26	40	2	7	9	1	18	19
	Mercer	0	5	5	0	0	0	0	2	2
	Rock Island	35	46	81	2	31	33	3	34	37
	Whiteside	37	45	82	4	24	28	2	39	41
14th	Circuit Total	86	122	208	8	62	70	6	93	99
15th	Carroll	12	10	22	0	7	7	0	8	8
	Jo Daviess	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Lee	18	29	47	0	18	18	0	21	21
	Ogle	15	16	31	1	2	3	0	4	4
	Stephenson	23	37	60	6	22	28	1	37	38
15th	Circuit Total	68	92	160	7	49	56	1	70	71
16th	DeKalb	20	26	46	5	12	17	4	2	25
	Kane	180	128	308	8	106	114	5	97	102
	Kendall	36	0	36	0	36	36	0	0	0
16th	Circuit Total	236	154	390	13	154	167	9	118	127
17th	Boone	4	9	13	0	4	4	2	7	9
	Winnebago	62	44	106	10	49	59	5	40	43
17th	Circuit Total	66	53	119	10	53	63	5	47	52
18th	DuPage	89	94	183	8	98	106	4	109	113
18th	Circuit Total	89	94	183	8	98	106	4	109	113

1986 ANNUAL JUVENILE PROBATION VIOLATION SURVEY
JANUARY 1, 1986 — DECEMBER 31, 1986

Circuit	County	Violations Reported			Court Actions Technical Violations			Court Actions New Offense Violations		
		Technical	New Offense	Total	No Violation	Finding Of Violation	Total	No Violation	Finding Of Violation	Total
19th	Lake	59	13	72	1	27	28	0	7	7
	McHenry	63	59	122	13	37	50	9	47	56
19th	Circuit Total	122	72	194	14	64	78	9	54	63
20th	Monroe	5	0	5	3	2	5	0	0	0
	Perry	5	7	12	0	1	1	0	0	0
	Randolph	2	2	4	1	2	3	0	2	2
	St. Clair	44	67	111	2	21	23	16	23	39
	Washington	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
20th	Circuit Total	56	77	133	6	26	32	16	25	41
21st	Iroquois	0	6	6	0	0	0	0	6	6
	Kankakee	21	33	54	1	20	21	2	31	33
21st	Circuit Total	21	39	60	1	20	21	2	37	39
Cook	Juvenile	0	888	888	0	0	0	28	885	913
Cook	Circuit Total	0	888	888	0	0	0	28	885	913
	Downstate Total	1,549	1,639	3,188	195	965	1,160	192	1,067	1,259
	Cook Total	0	888	888	0	0	0	28	885	913
	State Total	1,549	2,527	4,076	195	965	1,160	220	1,952	2,172

1986 ANNUAL ADULT CASELOAD SURVEY — DECEMBER 31, 1986

Circuit	County	Active Felony Cases	Active Misdemeanor Cases	Active DUI Cases	Active Traffic Cases	Administrative Caseload	Supervised Pretrial Release	Total	Interstate Compact Cases
1st	Alexander	97	34	22	13	33	0	199	20
	Jackson	219	125	364	32	272	2	1,014	25
	Johnson	15	7	6	3	59	0	90	3
	Massac	49	39	13	13	38	0	152	5
	Pope	15	4	8	1	91	0	119	0
	Pulaski	35	18	0	0	14	0	67	8
	Saline	122	61	40	10	78	2	313	2
	Union	44	22	56	6	48	0	176	6
	Williamson	207	103	316	30	150	0	806	4
1st	Circuit Total	803	413	825	108	783	4	2,936	73
2nd	Crawford	37	36	32	10	25	0	140	7
	Edwards	7	34	10	9	27	0	87	3
	Franklin	118	52	119	19	0	0	308	0
	Gallatin	27	8	9	0	12	0	56	3
	Hamilton	16	8	11	4	23	0	62	0
	Hardin	9	10	7	0	6	0	32	2
	Jefferson	135	55	26	11	178	0	405	9
	Lawrence	36	13	6	2	10	0	67	6
	Richland	45	26	17	2	33	0	123	5
	Wabash	27	20	13	4	34	0	98	0
	Wayne	43	22	20	4	25	0	114	3
	White	64	33	10	2	48	0	157	5
2nd	Circuit Total	564	317	280	67	421	0	1,649	43
3rd	Bond	35	16	19	4	29	0	103	1
	Madison	477	131	84	23	465	0	1,180	107
3rd	Circuit Total	512	147	103	27	494	0	1,283	108
4th	Christian	114	76	47	9	355	0	601	13
	Clay	60	23	32	8	53	0	176	2
	Clinton	37	42	76	4	268	0	427	0
	Effingham	52	44	36	17	55	0	204	13
	Fayette	49	5	9	2	27	0	92	3
	Jasper	19	20	33	2	32	0	106	1
	Marion	172	100	302	19	395	0	988	27
	Montgomery	62	21	23	5	76	0	187	0
	Shelby	35	15	8	4	76	0	138	3
4th	Circuit Total	600	346	566	70	1,337	0	2,919	62
5th	Clark	54	7	1	1	44	0	107	1
	Coles/Cumberland	84	33	34	20	99	1	271	7
	Edgar	44	20	7	3	60	0	134	3
	Vermilion	235	140	122	66	382	0	945	27
5th	Circuit Total	417	200	164	90	585	1	1,457	38

1986 ANNUAL ADULT CASELOAD SURVEY — DECEMBER 31, 1986

Circuit	County	Active Felony Cases	Active Misdemeanor Cases	Active DUI Cases	Active Traffic Cases	Administrative Caseload	Supervised Pretrial Release	Total	Interstate Compact Cases
6th	Champaign	327	118	46	20	196	0	707	19
	DeWitt	25	19	22	5	12	0	83	5
	Douglas	33	39	33	30	66	0	201	3
	Macon	211	176	19	9	225	0	640	22
	Moultrie	35	15	17	7	4	0	78	0
	Piatt	38	38	28	1	72	0	177	0
6th	Circuit Total	669	405	165	72	575	0	1,886	49
7th	Greene	21	19	49	6	37	0	132	1
	Jersey	49	12	13	7	23	1	105	8
	Macoupin	86	138	233	36	116	0	609	0
	Morgan	60	60	147	7	90	0	364	8
	Sangamon	372	101	121	22	540	0	1,158	39
	Scott	—	—	—	—	—	—	0	—
7th	Circuit Total	588	332	563	78	806	1	2,368	56
8th	Adams	154	69	63	1	155	0	442	33
	Cass	31	65	35	15	74	0	220	1
	Mason	35	25	7	0	93	0	160	3
	Menard	20	17	18	4	65	0	124	1
	Pike/Calhoun	30	22	16	1	135	0	204	11
	Schuyler/Brown	5	19	20	0	86	0	130	0
8th	Circuit Total	275	217	159	21	608	0	1,280	49
9th	Fulton	115	43	25	6	95	0	284	2
	Hancock	39	8	3	0	39	0	89	0
	Henderson	9	4	0	0	14	0	27	1
	Knox	143	56	10	7	218	0	434	8
	McDonough	92	26	3	0	97	0	218	3
	Warren	41	8	3	0	35	0	87	4
9th	Circuit Total	439	145	44	13	498	0	1,139	18
10th	Marshall/Putnam/ Stark	52	113	16	13	185	0	379	9
	Peoria	562	249	551	134	170	0	1,666	68
	Tazewell	214	54	86	88	827	0	1,269	24
10th	Circuit Total	828	416	653	235	1,182	0	3,314	101

1986 ANNUAL ADULT CASELOAD SURVEY — DECEMBER 31, 1986

Circuit	County	Active Felony Cases	Active Misdemeanor Cases	Active DUI Cases	Active Traffic Cases	Administrative Caseload	Supervised Pretrial Release	Total	Interstate Compact Cases
11th	Ford	45	13	38	35	40	0	171	2
	Livingston	70	36	2	4	108	0	220	4
	Logan	43	81	83	13	121	0	341	2
	McLean	256	82	47	7	237	0	629	12
	Woodford	54	35	63	24	212	0	388	5
11th	Circuit Total	468	247	233	83	718	0	1,749	25
12th	Will	564	25	31	7	248	2	877	45
12th	Circuit Total	564	25	31	7	248	2	877	45
13th	Bureau	43	24	6	1	33	0	107	2
	Grundy	31	17	2	0	34	0	84	1
	LaSalle	159	102	47	34	103	2	447	8
13th	Circuit Total	233	143	55	35	170	2	638	11
14th	Henry	92	64	19	4	367	0	546	7
	Mercer	14	18	8	1	23	1	65	2
	Rock Island	440	112	52	9	202	161	976	30
	Whiteside	1,171	93	45	16	620	1	1,946	8
14th	Circuit Total	1,717	287	124	30	1,212	163	3,533	47
15th	Carroll	36	14	3	1	161	0	215	3
	Jo Daviess	15	45	38	11	101	0	210	4
	Lee	109	48	37	5	505	0	704	1
	Ogle	76	63	28	8	481	7	663	6
	Stephenson	132	161	17	6	712	1	1,029	10
15th	Circuit Total	368	331	123	31	1,960	8	2,821	24
16th	DeKalb	96	42	45	4	64	0	251	13
	Kane	502	101	184	5	506	0	1,298	37
	Kendall	43	28	33	0	41	0	145	2
16th	Circuit Total	641	171	262	9	611	0	1,694	52
17th	Boone	71	53	16	2	121	0	263	30
	Winnebago	512	576	907	21	530	0	2,546	114
17th	Circuit Total	583	629	923	23	651	0	2,809	144
18th	DuPage	1,424	417	933	94	543	2	3,413	83
18th	Circuit Total	1,424	417	933	94	543	2	3,413	83

1986 ANNUAL ADULT CASELOAD SURVEY — DECEMBER 31, 1986

Circuit	County	Active Felony Cases	Active Misdemeanor Cases	Active DUI Cases	Active Traffic Cases	Administrative Caseload	Supervised Pretrial Release	Total	Interstate Compact Cases
19th	Lake	921	278	123	15	358	38	1,733	122
	McHenry	259	174	129	32	219	1	814	33
19th	Circuit Total	1,180	452	252	47	577	39	2,547	155
20th	Monroe	28	4	7	2	81	1	123	12
	Perry	44	4	5	0	58	0	111	2
	Randolph	50	21	13	4	147	0	235	8
	St Clair	694	36	68	4	326	3	1,131	144
	Washington	11	9	0	1	55	0	76	1
20th	Circuit Total	827	74	93	11	667	4	1,676	167
21st	Iroquois	66	36	4	4	111	0	221	7
	Kankakee	196	6	5	0	49	0	256	16
21st	Circuit Total	262	42	9	4	160	0	477	23
Cook	Adult	15,386	2,624	621	329	2,819	0	21,779	567
	Social Service	151	3,762	4,787	1,228	3,335	0	13,263	0
Cook	Circuit Total	15,537	6,386	5,408	1,557	6,154	0	35,042	567
	Downstate Total	13,962	5,756	6,560	1,155	14,806	226	42,465	1,373
	Cook Total	15,537	6,386	5,408	1,577	6,154	0	35,042	567
	State Total	29,499	12,142	11,968	2,712	20,960	226	77,507	1,940

ILLINOIS INTENSIVE PROBATION SUPERVISION PROGRAM — 1986

Admissions		Discharged 1986	373	Caseload Distribution, December 31, 1986			
January	39	Technical Rev	70	Phase I	186	Champaign	37
February	37	New Off Rev	45	Phase II	172	Cook	94
March	39	Sent to DOC	101	Phase III	110	Kane	24
April	32	Completed	249		468	Lake	74
May	49	Absconded	7			Macon	38
June	43	Other	2			Madison	82
July	52					McLean	32
August	40					Peoria	41
September	36					St Clair	23
October	45					Williamson/	
November	38					Jackson/	
December	45					Saline	22
TOTAL	495					TOTAL	468

CASELOAD POPULATION PROFILE, DECEMBER 31, 1986

Age		Race		Sex		Felony Class		Years School	
17-under	23	Race	197	M	407	Class I	42	1-4	4
18-20	108	Amer Ind	0	F	61	Class II	164	5-8	32
21-30	220	Hisp	9			Class III	164	9-11	228
31-40	80	White	262			Class IV	98	12	153
41-50	23							13-14	37
51-over	14							15-16	14

Single or Separated	374	80%
Hist Alc/Drug Abuse	211	45%
Hist Mental Illness	61	13%
Unemployed Offender	285	61%

Caseload Criminal History			Age First Arrest		Years Criminal Activity	
Prev Conviction	417	89%	12-under	14	2-under	140
Prev Incarceration	150	32%	13-17	198	3-5	103
First Offenders	51	11%	18-20	132	6-10	123
			21-30	90	11-15	51
			31-40	28	16-20	28
			41-50	5	21-over	23
			51-over	1		

Prev Felony Conviction		Prev Misd Conviction		Caseload Restitution Ordered	
Individuals	309	Individuals	267	Total Amount	$141,607.00

1986 RESTITUTION SURVEY

Circuit	County	Calendar Year	County Fiscal Year	Amount of Restitution Collected			Restitution Collected By				Number of Individuals Ordered to Pay Restitution	
				Adult	Juvenile	Total	Probation Department	Circuit Clerk	State's Attorney	Total	Adult	Juv
1st	Alexander					0.00				0.00		
	Jackson		X	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	52,022.97	0.00	52,022.97	65	4
	Johnson	X		3,529.77	562.03	4,091.80	0.00	4,091.80	0.00	4,091.80	13	2
	Massac	X		6,286.54	203.00	6,489.54	0.00	6,489.54	0.00	6,489.54	21	5
	Pope	X		1,501.88	0.00	1,501.88	0.00	1,501.88	0.00	1,501.88	6	0
	Pulaski		X	4,744.80	140.00	4,884.80	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	12	2
	Saline		X	31,513.42	1,976.85	32,590.27	0.00	32,590.27	0.00	32,590.27	88	15
	Union		X	3,286.99	2,829.50	6,116.49	6,116.49	1,914.20	116.14	8,146.83	29	6
	Williamson		X	13,665.45	4,231.86	17,897.31	4,127.48	13,769.83	0.00	17,897.31	110	27
1st	Circuit Total			64,528.85	9,043.24	73,572.09	10,243.97	112,380.49	116.14	122,740.60	344	61
2nd	Crawford		X	11,567.20	0.00	11,567.20	0.00	11,567.20	0.00	11,567.20	16	0
	Edwards	X		5,443.50	410.00	5,853.50	0.00	5,853.50	0.00	5,853.50	22	3
	Franklin/ Hamilton	X		24,092.45	0.00	24,092.45	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	40	0
	Gallatin	X		1,601.12	1,500.00	3,101.12	599.00	500.00	0.00	1,099.00	5	1
	Hardin	X		52.00	0.00	52.00	0.00	52.00	0.00	52.00	1	0
	Jefferson		X	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	31,825.89	0.00	31,825.89	0	6
	Lawrence	X		39,748.56	0.00	39,748.56	0.00	39,748.56	0.00	39,748.56	14	0
	Richland		X	15,116.53	0.00	15,116.53	0.00	15,116.53	0.00	15,116.53	18	0
	Wabash	X		8,731.97	32.95	8,764.92	0.00	8,764.92	0.00	8,764.92	33	1
	Wayne		X	19,703.37	307.50	20,010.87	0.00	20,010.87	0.00	20,010.87	24	4
	White	X		6,364.56	2,315.06	8,679.62	0.00	8,679.62	0.00	8,679.62	16	6
2nd	Circuit Total			132,421.26	4,565.51	136,986.77	599.00	142,119.09	0.00	142,718.09	189	21
3rd	Bond			11,750.00	1,000.00	12,750.00	0.00	12,692.95	0.00	12,692.95	27	6
	Madison	X		59,558.29	8,107.65	67,665.94	0.00	67,665.94	0.00	67,665.94	170	72
3rd	Circuit Total			71,308.29	9,107.65	80,415.94	0.00	80,358.89	0.00	80,358.89	197	78
4th	Christian	X		77,388.78	3,221.00	80,609.78	449.68	0.00	80,160.10	80,609.78	54	19
	Clay	X		22,734.69	381.13	23,115.82	0.00	23,115.82	0.00	23,115.82	18	2
	Clinton	X		30,220.96	0.00	30,220.96	0.00	30,220.96	0.00	30,220.96	61	19
	Effingham	X		30,699.01	816.36	31,515.37	0.00	31,515.37	0.00	31,515.37	56	3
	Fayette	X		14,946.56	7,877.00	22,823.56	0.00	22,823.56	0.00	22,823.56	22	8
	Jasper	X		614.36	316.00	930.36	0.00	930.36	0.00	930.36	10	3
	Marion		X	0.00	0.00	40,573.63	0.00	40,573.63	0.00	40,573.63	0	0
	Montgomery					0.00				0.00		
	Shelby	X		5,462.11	779.69	6,241.80	0.00	6,241.80	0.00	6,241.80	16	7
4th	Circuit Total			182,066.47	13,391.18	236,031.28	449.68	155,421.50	80,160.10	236,031.28	237	61
5th	Clark	X		8,150.59	899.74	9,050.33	0.00	9,050.33	0.00	9,050.33	34	10
	Coles/ Cumberland		X	40,538.95	1,493.62	42,032.57	36,088.71	5,826.32	117	42,032.57	169	27
	Edgar		X	0.00	0.00	12,653.40	0.00	12,653.40	0.00	12,653.40	31	9
	Vermilion	X		0.00	0.00	180,000.44	180,000.44	0.00	0.00	180,000.44	392	42
5th	Circuit Total			48,689.54	2,393.36	243,736.74	216,089.15	27,530.05	117.54	243,736.74	626	88

1986 RESTITUTION SURVEY

				Amount of Restitution Collected				Restitution Collected By			Number of Individuals Ordered to Pay Restitution	
Circuit	County	Calendar Year	County Fiscal Year	Adult	Juvenile	Total	Probation Department	Circuit Clerk	State's Attorney	Total	Adult	Juv.
6th	Champaign		X	68,155.56	9,564.02	77,719.58	2,610.15	75,109.44	0.00	77,719.59	113	63
	DeWitt	X		13,743.14	2,697.14	16,440.28	16,440.28	0.00	0.00	16,440.28	23	16
	Douglas	X		9,018.16	158.60	9,176.76	0.00	9,176.76	0.00	9,176.76	27	3
	Macon	X		50,317.28	4,841.47	55,158.75	3,858.63	51,300.12	0.00	55,158.75	51	39
	Moultrie	X		6,718.00	124.25	6,842.25	0.00	6,842.25	0.00	6,842.25	10	1
	Piatt	X		4,395.22	837.18	5,232.40	0.00	5,232.40	0.00	5,232.40	27	5
6th	Circuit Total			152,347.36	18,222.66	170,570.02	22,909.06	147,660.97	0.00	170,570.03	251	127
7th	Greene	X		1,283.20	0.00	1,283.20	0.00	1,283.20	0.00	1,283.20	25	0
	Jersey		X	9,846.00	1,016.62	10,862.62	0.00	10,862.62	0.00	10,862.62	18	11
	Macoupin	X		23,913.90	1,372.16	25,286.06	0.00	25,286.06	0.00	25,286.06	44	24
	Morgan	X		14,086.89	1,090.00	15,176.89	0.00	15,176.89	0.00	15,176.89	82	6
	Sangamon — A		X	126,865.39	5,683.47	132,548.86	0.00	132,548.86	0.00	132,548.86	356	0
	Sangamon — J	X		0.00	14,177.89	14,177.89	8,596.55	5,581.34	0.00	14,177.89	0	48
	Scott	X		1,043.96	0.00	1,043.96	0.00	1,043.96	0.00	1,043.96	1	0
7th	Circuit Total			177,039.34	23,340.14	200,379.48	8,596.55	191,782.93	0.00	200,782.48	526	89
8th	Adams		X	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	71,240.68	0.00	71,240.68	105	27
	Brown		X	4,088.20	0.00	4,088.20	0.00	4,088.20	0.00	4,088.20	16	1
	Cass	X		5,778.06	645.25	6,423.31	0.00	6,432.31	0.00	6,432.31	30	8
	Mason		X	15,949.76	559.68	16,509.44	0.00	16,509.44	0.00	16,509.44	46	10
	Menard	X		7,510.54	3,417.95	10,928.49	0.00	10,928.49	0.00	10,928.49	15	4
	Pike/Calhoun	X		17,621.68	220.00	17,621.68	17,841.68	0.00	0.00	17,841.68	32	2
	Schuyler		X	5,157.27	0.00	5,157.27	0.00	5,157.27	0.00	5,157.27	16	0
8th	Circuit Total			56,105.51	4,842.88	60,948.39	17,841.68	114,347.39	0.00	132,189.07	260	52
9th	Fulton	X		56,394.56	3,261.40	59,655.96	3,261.40	0.00	56,394.56	59,655.96	142	23
	Hancock	X		33,546.69	3,915.55	37,462.24	3,915.55	11,031.28	22,515.41	37,462.24	50	33
	Henderson	X		1,543.60	1,062.60	2,606.20	0.00	1,088.60	1,517.60	2,606.20	13	8
	Knox	X		37,624.27	2,946.01	40,570.28	39,563.68	1,006.60	0.00	40,570.28	267	33
	McDonough	X		15,157.60	1,009.78	16,167.38	1,009.78	0.00	15,157.60	16,167.38	144	11
	Warren	X		9,041.17	1,808.86	10,850.03	0.00	467.39	10,382.64	10,850.03	54	17
9th	Circuit Total			153,307.89	14,004.20	167,312.09	47,750.41	13,593.87	105,967.81	167,312.09	670	125
10th	Marshall		X	0.00	0.00	6,641.58	0.00	0.00	0.00	6,641.58	37	15
	Peoria		X	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	70,880.91	0.00	70,880.91	0	14
	Putnam			0.00				0.00				
	Stark			0.00				0.00				
	Tazewell		X	27,709.75	4,609.56	32,319.31	32,319.31	0.00	0.00	32,319.31	167	21
10th	Circuit Total			27,709.75	4,609.56	38,960.89	32,319.31	70,880.91	0.00	109,841.80	204	50
11th	Ford	X		1,580.17	440.58	2,020.75	0.00	2,020.75	0.00	2,020.75	22	6
	Livingston	X		34,617.06	3,007.34	37,624.40	33,530.00	3,994.45	99.95	37,624.40	140	30
	Logan	X		13,545.70	1,478.22	15,023.92	15,023.92	0.00	0.00	15,023.92	65	11
	McLean	X		67,121.67	5,946.93	73,068.60	73,068.60	0.00	0.00	73,068.60	156	32
	Woodford	X		7,853.65	4,488.30	12,341.95	12,341.95	0.00	0.00	12,341.95	47	16
11th	Circuit Total			124,718.25	15,361.37	140,079.62	133,964.47	6,015.20	00.95	140,079.62	430	95

1986 RESTITUTION SURVEY

				Amount of Restitution Collected				Restitution Collected By			Number of Individuals Ordered to Pay Restitution	
Circuit	County	Calendar Year	County Fiscal Year	Adult	Juvenile	Total	Probation Department	Circuit Clerk	State's Attorney	Total	Adult	Juv.
12th	Will	X		23,318.24	571.17	23,889.41	0.00	23,889.41	0.00	23,889.41	220	15
12th	Circuit Total			23,318.24	571.17	23,889.41	0.00	23,889.41	0.00	23,889.41	220	15
13th	Bureau		X	37,864.29	1,647.62	39,511.91	0.00	0.00	39,511.91	39,511.91	0	17
	Grundy	X		21,598.35	1,762.03	23,360.38	0.00	0.00	23,360.38	23,360.38	0	16
	LaSalle		X	96,472.84	7,100.26	103,573.10	0.00	0.00	103,573.10	103,573.10	0	61
13th	Circuit Total			155,935.48	10,509.91	166,445.39	0.00	0.00	166,445.39	166,445.39	0	94
14th	Henry	X		23,318.31	5,110.29	28,428.60	28,428.60	0.00	0.00	28,428.60	80	44
	Mercer	X		6,459.70	1,356.69	7,816.39	7,816.39	0.00	0.00	7,816.39	26	19
	Rock Island	X		57,025.47	2,054.46	59,079.93	59,079.93	0.00	0.00	59,079.93	230	13
	Whiteside		X	33,721.86	3,382.72	37,104.58	37,104.58	0.00	368.15	37,472.73	80	14
14th	Circuit Total			120,525.34	11,904.16	132,429.50	132,429.50	0.00	368.15	132,797.65	416	90
15th	Carroll		X	3,794.20	927.36	4,721.56	4,017.28	0.00	704.28	4,721.56	23	9
	JoDaviess	X		8,301.24	1,844.62	10,145.86	10,145.86	0.00	0.00	10,145.86	31	11
	Lee		X	51,414.44	5,269.21	56,683.65	55,683.65	0.00	0.00	55,683.65	105	17
	Ogle	X		22,921.56	1,478.34	24,399.90	24,399.90	0.00	0.00	24,399.90	62	16
	Stephenson	X		22,724.22	4,049.74	26,773.96	26,773.96	0.00	0.00	26,773.96	223	64
15th	Circuit Total			109,155.66	13,569.27	122,724.93	121,020.65	0.00	704.28	121,724.93	444	117
16th	DeKalb		X	23,111.29	7,451.01	30,562.30	30,562.30	0.00	0.00	30,562.30	123	30
	Kane		X	102,392.45	26,015.95	128,408.40	102,392.45	0.00	0.00	102,392.45	241	105
	Kendall		X	0.00	1,953.41	1,953.41	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	7
16th	Circuit Total			125,503.74	35,420.37	160,924.11	132,954.75	0.00	0.00	132,954.75	364	142
17th	Boone	X		22,014.07	1,328.31	23,342.38	705.98	22,636.40	0.00	23,342.38	45	7
	Winnebago	X		77,028.22	9,878.50	86,906.72	9,878.22	77,028.22	0.00	86,906.72	196	84
17th	Circuit Total			99,042.29	11,206.81	110,249.10	10,584.48	99,664.62	0.00	110,249.10	241	91
18th	DuPage	X		326,511.13	17,035.78	343,546.91	343,546.91	0.00	0.00	343,546.91	0	69
18th	Circuit Total			326,511.13	17,035.78	343,546.91	343,546.91	0.00	0.00	343,546.91	0	69
19th	Lake	X		214,397.00	50,809.00	265,206.00	0.00	265,206.00	0.00	265,206.00	333	31
	McHenry		X	17,499.11	14,994.03	32,493.14	32,493.14	60,483.61	0.00	92,976.75	362	154
19th	Circuit Total			231,896.11	65,803.03	297,699.14	32,493.14	325,689.61	0.00	358,182.75	695	185
20th	Monroe	X		10,389.83	532.50	10,922.33	0.00	10,922.33	0.00	10,922.33	26	6
	Perry	X		4,227.12	110.00	4,337.12	0.00	4,337.12	0.00	4,337.12	17	2
	Randolph	X		9,629.09	299.45	9,928.54	0.00	9,928.54	0.00	9,928.54	48	6
	St. Clair	X		58,231.62	1,018.28	59,249.90	30,522.64	28,727.26	0.00	59,249.90	145	6
	Washington	X		2,157.98	791.33	2,949.31	0.00	2,949.31	0.00	2,949.31	15	3
20th	Circuit Total			84,635.64	2,751.56	87,387.20	30,522.64	56,864.56	0.00	87,387.56	251	23
21st	Iroquois	X		23,355.80	235.00	23,590.80	23,590.80	0.00	0.00	23,590.80	22	6
	Kankakee	X		27,494.13	7,256.86	34,750.99	0.00	34,750.99	0.00	34,750.99	69	20
21st	Circuit Total			50,849.93	7,491.86	58,341.79	23,590.80	34,750.99	0.00	58,341.79	91	26
Cook	Adult		X	926,381.02	0.00	926,381.02	926,381.02	0.00	0.00	926,381.02	3,060	0
	Juvenile	X		0.00	45,349.02	45,349.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	45,349.02	0	251
	Social Service	X		344,365.94	0.00	344,365.94	344,365.94	0.00	0.00	344,365.94	1,592	0
Cook	Circuit Total			1,270,764.96	45,349.02	1,316,095.98	1,270,746.96	0.00	0.00	1,316,095.98	4,652	251
	Downstate Total			2,517,616.07	295,145.67	3,052,630.79	1,317,906.15	1,602,950.48	353,979.36	3,281,477.57	6,656	1,699
	Cook Total			1,270,746.96	45,349.02	1,316,095.98	1,270,746.96	0.00	0.00	1,316,095.98	4,652	251
	State Total			3,788,363.03	340,494.69	4,368,726.77	2,588,653.11	1,602,950.48	353,979.36	4,597,573.55	11,308	1,950

1986 ANNUAL ADULT PROBATION VIOLATION SURVEY
JANUARY 1, 1986 — DECEMBER 31, 1986

Circuit	County	Violations Reported			Court Actions Technical Violations			Court Actions New Offense Violations		
		Technical	New Offense	Total	No Violation	Finding Of Violation	Total	No Violation	Finding Of Violation	Total
1st	Alexander			0			0			0
	Jackson	87	34	121	23	14	37	3	4	7
	Johnson	9	7	16	1	2	3	1	4	5
	Massac	6	8	14	4	3	7	1	2	3
	Pope	15	6	21	1	1	2	2	3	5
	Pulaski	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Saline	82	69	151	21	22	43	14	24	38
	Union	43	5	48	20	5	25	3	6	9
	Williamson	147	33	180	44	23	67	6	9	15
1st	Circuit Total	389	162	551	114	70	184	30	52	82
2nd	Crawford	4	8	12	1	1	4	0	8	8
	Edwards	7	6	13	0	8	8	1	4	5
	Franklin/	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Hamilton	11	19	30	5	3	8	5	11	16
	Gallatin	5	8	13	2	1	3	2	3	5
	Hardin	5	1	6	3	0	3	0	1	1
	Jefferson	100	49	149	0	9	9	0	25	25
	Lawrence	4	11	15	2	2	4	1	10	11
	Richland	8	7	15	2	2	4	1	2	3
	Wabash	35	10	45	5	5	10	1	0	1
	Wayne	31	13	44	0	7	7	0	3	3
	White	6	4	10	3	0	3	2	0	2
2nd	Circuit Total	216	136	352	25	38	63	13	67	80
3rd	Bond	6	10	16	5	0	5	0	10	10
	Madison	161	158	319	76	72	148	52	76	128
3rd	Circuit Total	167	168	335	81	72	153	52	86	138
4th	Christian	25	24	49	6	16	22	3	18	21
	Clay	74	10	84	63	2	65	4	6	10
	Clinton	45	68	113	1	11	12	1	40	41
	Effingham	17	15	32	14	4	18	8	6	14
	Fayette	10	5	15	1	5	6	0	5	5
	Jasper	0	4	4	0	0	0	0	2	2
	Marion	132	88	220	20	66	86	7	59	66
	Montgomery	12	4	16	3	6	9	0	4	4
	Shelby	7	2	9	2	5	7	0	2	2
4th	Circuit Total	322	220	542	110	115	225	23	142	165
5th	Clark	18	12	30	5	8	13	3	5	8
	Coles/Cumberland	66	44	110	13	38	51	7	23	30
	Edgar	15	19	34	4	11	15	1	14	15
	Vermilion	67	20	87	13	47	60	9	19	28
5th	Circuit Total	166	95	261	35	104	139	20	61	81

1986 ANNUAL ADULT PROBATION VIOLATION SURVEY
JANUARY 1, 1986 — DECEMBER 31, 1986

Circuit	County	Violations Reported			Court Actions Technical Violations			Court Actions New Offense Violations		
		Technical	New Offense	Total	No Violation	Finding Of Violation	Total	No Violation	Finding Of Violation	Total
6th	Champaign	66	70	136	0	9	9	0	60	60
	DeWitt	3	14	17	0	3	3	2	10	12
	Douglas	47	34	81	19	13	32	7	29	36
	Macon	50	104	154	10	18	28	15	85	100
	Moultrie	0	62	62	0	0	0	0	62	62
	Piatt	2	1	3	0	1	1	0	1	1
6th	Circuit Total	168	285	453	29	44	73	24	247	271
7th	Greene	3	12	15	1	1	2	2	6	8
	Jersey	5	11	16	1	4	5	1	10	11
	Macoupin	172	107	279	50	33	83	30	13	43
	Morgan	79	39	118	6	37	43	8	18	26
	Sangamon	214	163	377	32	45	77	20	30	50
	Scott	2	0	2	1	1	2	0	0	0
7th	Circuit Total	475	332	807	91	121	212	61	77	138
8th	Adams	66	61	127	14	20	34	13	38	51
	Brown	2	1	3	0	2	2	0	0	0
	Cass	44	30	74	27	10	37	0	17	17
	Mason	36	30	66	4	25	29	8	14	22
	Menard	17	17	34	0	17	17	0	7	7
	Pike/Calhoun	0	11	11	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Schuyler	5	0	5	1	3	4	0	0	0
8th	Circuit Total	170	150	320	46	77	123	21	76	97
9th	Fulton	37	9	46	12	9	21	1	6	7
	Hancock	17	12	29	11	5	16	1	15	16
	Henderson	3	4	7	3	0	3	3	1	4
	Knox	51	22	73	23	16	39	5	21	26
	McDonough	28	14	42	21	2	23	3	9	12
	Warren	26	10	36	10	11	21	2	10	12
9th	Circuit Total	162	71	233	80	43	123	15	62	77
10th	Marshall	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Peoria	12	2	14	1	11	12	0	2	2
	Putnam			0			0			0
	Stark			0			0			0
	Tazewell	275	41	316	35	181	216	12	8	30
10th	Circuit Total	287	43	330	36	192	228	12	20	32

1986 ANNUAL ADULT PROBATION VIOLATION SURVEY
JANUARY 1, 1986 — DECEMBER 31, 1986

		Violations Reported			Court Actions Technical Violations			Court Actions New Offense Violations		
Circuit	County	Technical	New Offense	Total	No Violation	Finding Of Violation	Total	No Violation	Finding Of Violation	Total
11th	Ford	34	3	37	0	34	34	0	3	3
	Livingston	111	25	136	40	25	65	12	14	26
	Logan	134	23	157	86	46	132	12	12	24
	McLean	110	95	205	36	20	56	6	43	49
	Woodford	30	23	53	8	22	30	2	21	23
11th	Circuit Total	419	169	588	170	147	317	32	93	125
12th	Will	49	44	93	15	10	25	5	10	15
12th	Circuit Total	49	44	93	15	10	25	5	10	15
13th	Bureau	10	2	12	5	1	6	0	3	3
	Grundy	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	2	2
	LaSalle	22	17	39	1	2	3	0	11	11
13th	Circuit Total	32	20	52	6	4	10	0	16	16
14th	Henry	44	49	93	8	22	30	5	23	28
	Mercer	7	5	12	2	4	6	0	5	5
	Rock Island	156	73	229	76	49	125	14	56	70
	Whiteside	80	84	164	15	27	42	10	36	46
14th	Circuit Total	287	211	498	101	102	203	29	120	149
15th	Carroll	33	22	55	3	11	14	2	15	17
	Jo Daviess	50	28	78	13	11	24	2	14	16
	Lee	159	29	188	47	38	85	1	12	13
	Ogle	137	90	227	7	19	26	3	7	10
	Stephenson	291	12	303	148	79	227	5	16	21
15th	Circuit Total	670	181	851	218	158	376	13	64	77
16th	DeKalb	33	53	86	21	3	24	29	13	42
	Kane	246	269	515	32	55	87	34	37	71
	Kendall	9	3	12	0	1	1	0	0	0
16th	Circuit Total	288	325	613	59	59	112	63	50	113
17th	Boone	50	11	61	15	22	37	6	3	9
	Winnebago	166	205	371	12	43	55	6	21	27
17th	Circuit Total	216	216	432	27	65	92	12	24	36
18th	DuPage	905	899	1,804	0	0	0	0	0	0
18th	Circuit Total	905	899	1,804	0	0	0	0	0	0

1986 ANNUAL ADULT PROBATION VIOLATION SURVEY
JANUARY 1, 1986 — DECEMBER 31, 1986

Circuit	County	Violations Reported			Court Actions Technical Violations			Court Actions New Offense Violations		
		Technical	New Offense	Total	No Violation	Finding Of Violation	Total	No Violation	Finding Of Violation	Total
19th	Lake	365	555	920	81	62	143	83	121	204
	McHenry	73	91	164	22	16	38	15	52	67
19th	Circuit Total	438	646	1,084	103	78	181	98	173	271
20th	Monroe	19	4	23	6	6	12	4	2	6
	Perry	10	11	21	1	4	5	0	7	7
	Randolph	47	14	61	11	20	31	3	6	9
	St. Clair	140	149	289	15	51	66	52	28	80
	Washington	8	6	14	1	0	1	0	2	2
20th	Circuit Total	224	184	408	34	81	115	59	45	104
21st	Iroquois	17	1	18	3	14	17	0	1	1
	Kankakee	20	4	24	2	18	20	0	4	4
21st	Circuit Total	37	5	42	5	32	37	0	5	5
Cook	Adult	5,875	2,433	8,308	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cook	Circuit Total	5,875	2,433	8,308	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Downstate Total	6,087	4,562	10,649	1,379	1,612	2,991	582	1,490	2,072
	Cook Total	0	888	888	0	0	0	28	885	913
	State Total	6,087	5,450	11,537	1,379	1,612	2,991	610	2,375	2,985

ANNUAL PUBLIC SERVICE EMPLOYMENT (P.S.E.) STATISTICS
JANUARY 1, 1986 — DECEMBER 31, 1986

Circuit	County	Probationers Ordered to P S E						P S E Hours Ordered						P S E Hours Completed					
		Adult Felons	Adult Misd	DUI	Other Traffic	Juv	Total	Adult Felons	Adult Misd	DUI	Other Traffic	Juv	Total	Adult Felons	Adult Misd	DUI	Other Traffic	Juv	Total
1st	Alexander	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Jackson	26	58	67	35	8	194	2,423	2,640	5,507	4,159	425	15,154	1,614	1,735	2,955	1,659	220	8,183
	Johnson	5	0	1	3	0	9	408	0	75	230	0	713	276	5	0	130	0	411
	Massac	5	11	4	4	6	30	640	1,286	845	280	420	3,471	200	850	340	60	392	1,842
–	Pope	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	15	15	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Pulaski	1	0	0	1	1	3	150	0	0	100	100	350	150	0	0	100	100	350
	Saline	85	48	38	60	4	235	10,480	3,780	3,210	4,210	380	22,060	9,978	3,595	3,135	4,160	372	21,240
	Union	0	1	8	1	0	10	0	20	640	40	0	700	0	20	600	40	0	660
	Williamson	21	16	63	34	2	136	2,653	645	6,069	1,814	140	11,348	2,610	645	6,010	1,814	84	11,163
1st	Circuit Total	143	134	181	138	22	618	16,754	8,371	16,373	10,833	1,480	53,811	14,828	6,850	13,040	7,963	1,168	43,849
2nd	Crawford	6	8	1	2	2	19	1,056	726	100	330	60	2,272	860	626	100	170	60	1,816
	Edwards	3	4	5	5	0	17	149	168	719	1,141	0	2,177	211	210	626	1,221	300	2,568
	Franklin/ Hamilton	0	0	9	4	0	13	0	0	1,110	550	0	1,660	0	48	959	211	0	1,218
	Gallatin	0	0	1	1	1	3	0	0	400	200	250	850	0	0	220	200	148	568
	Hardin	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Jefferson	2	0	2	0	1	5	120	0	200	0	40	360	8	0	87	0	8	103
	Lawrence	3	2	2	0	0	7	311	142	320	0	0	773	311	142	80	0	0	533
	Richland	1	2	1	1	0	5	120	104	240	240	0	704	120	24	80	240	0	464
	Wabash	7	9	5	0	0	21	783	553	215	0	0	1,551	359	212	156	0	0	727
	Wayne	5	9	5	4	9	32	655	614	689	705	770	3,433	503	516	619	589	1,026	3,253
	White	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2nd	Circuit Total	27	34	31	17	13	122	3,194	2,307	3,993	3,166	1,120	13,780	2,372	1,778	2,927	2,631	1,542	11,250
3rd	Bond	7	0	3	0	2	12	632	0	180	0	60	872	190	0	160	0	0	350
	Madison	127	94	57	73	61	412	16,425	4,224	6,730	6,282	2,958	36,619	11,314	3,904	4,136	3,734	1,610	24,690
3rd	Circuit Total	134	94	60	73	63	424	17,057	4,224	6,910	6,282	3,018	37,491	11,504	3,904	4,296	3,734	1,610	25,040
4th	Christian	2	17	12	10	11	52	240	1,128	1,242	1,408	334	4,352	240	583	1,252	1,032	312	3,410
	Clay	5	10	7	10	5	37	1,085	955	1,620	1,916	660	6,236	872	590	974	736	149	3,320
	Clinton						0						0						
	Effingham	5	28	20	8	4	65	1,350	1,887	3,152	1,002	144	7,535	510	473	2,444	1,480	0	4,900
	Fayette	5	3	1	1	18	28	1,100	140	240	240	533	2,253	370	0	240	0	373	980
	Jasper	2	11	11	3	10	37	240	495	1,055	520	188	2,498	705	320	508	57	205	1,790
	Marion	11	29	51	28	28	147	3,244	2,672	10,744	3,432	2,944	23,036	1,435	1,796	9,421	1,096	1,092	14,840
	Montgomery	19	11	5	10	1	46	5,850	1,065	452	2,140	56	9,518	2,294	285	394	738	56	3,760
	Shelby	7	2	3	6	6	24	1,315	160	180	360	140	2,155	375	160	180	140	140	990
4th	Circuit Total	56	111	110	76	83	436	14,379	8,502	18,685	11,018	4,999	57,583	6,801	4,207	15,413	5,279	2,327	34,020
5th	Clark	5	0	0	0	4	9	280	0	0	0	600	880	120	0	0	0	433	553
	Coles/ Cumberland	65	45	18	37	36	201	3,494	2,409	1,000	1,975	1,975	10,853	3,360	2,280	2,122	1,124	1,040	9,926
	Edgar	2	1	1	5	4	13	80	80	120	57	630	967	69	8	0	0	102	179
	Vermilion	27	109	76	125	18	355	2,638	5,885	7,931	6,159	1,186	23,799	2,538	5,037	6,848	5,111	1,138	20,672
5th	Circuit Total	99	155	95	167	62	578	6,492	8,374	9,051	8,191	4,391	36,499	6,087	7,325	6,970	6,235	2,713	31,330

ANNUAL PUBLIC SERVICE EMPLOYMENT (P.S.E.) STATISTICS
JANUARY 1, 1986 — DECEMBER 31, 1986

		Probationers Ordered to P S E						P S E Hours Ordered						P S E Hours Completed					
Circuit	County	Adult Felons	Adult Misd	DUI	Other Traffic	Juv	Total	Adult Felons	Adult Misd	DUI	Other Traffic	Juv	Total	Adult Felons	Adult Misd	DUI	Other Traffic	Juv	Total
6th	Champaign	152	182	161	105	143	743	12,945	11,696	20,330	10,835	6,776	62,582	7,193	7,338	15,516	6,730	5,371	42,148
	DeWitt	1	1	0	0	1	3	500	40	0	0	40	580	250	40	0	0	40	330
	Douglas	10	11	12	17	3	53	1,990	486	565	1,680	190	4,911	325	789	592	498	0	2,204
	Macon	1	1	4	1	136	143	120	200	290	240	9,221	10,071	0	0	160	0	3,296	3,456
	Moultrie	2	2	0	0	14	18	120	80	0	0	320	520	114	78	0	0	295	487
	Piatt	9	9	6	1	8	33	760	378	335	20	463	1,956	250	94	120	0	108	572
6th	Circuit Total	175	206	183	124	305	993	16,435	12,880	21,520	12,775	17,010	80,620	8,132	8,339	16,388	7,228	9,110	49,197
7th	Greene	4	7	7	30	0	48	920	992	576	784	0	3,272	160	992	576	784	0	2,512
	Jersey	7	9	6	22	6	50	1,704	500	832	1,242	156	4,434	676	460	832	932	156	3,058
	Macoupin	2	7	14	3	8	34	140	_230	1,200	331	696	2,597	40	304	1,263	139	366	2,112
	Morgan	13	28	20	14	9	84	1,750	2,984	3,085	1,514	539	9,872	1,519	1,289	2,716	592	456	6,572
	Sangamon-A	42	35	73	22	0	172	6,970	3,720	6,796	3,065	0	20,551	1,805	1,837	3,265	1,679	0	8,588
	Sangamon-J					18	18					666	666					376	376
	Scott	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7th	Circuit Total	68	86	120	91	41	406	11,484	8,426	12,489	6,936	2,057	41,392	4,200	4,882	8,652	4,126	1,354	23,210
8th	Adams	33	71	6	3	3	116	1,710	3,035	180	85	110	5,120	1,762	2,697	225	45	60	4,789
	Brown	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	192	0	0	0	192	500	0	0	0	0	500
	Cass	6	4	0	1	7	18	500	260	0	240	190	1,190	280	120	0	40	190	630
	Mason	10	8	0	3	15	36	1,156	450	0	590	750	2,946	560	341	0	460	678	2,039
	Menard	2	2	2	7	9	22	115	170	90	585	375	1,335	35	0	0	290	40	365
	Pike/Calhoun	1	4	0	1	1	7	960	350	0	40	16	1,366	40	322	0	40	0	402
	Schuyler	0	32	0	2	13	47	0	830	0	100	360	1,290	73	591	0	100	545	1,309
8th	Circuit Total	52	122	8	17	48	247	4,441	5,287	270	1,640	1,801	13,439	3,250	4,071	225	975	1,513	10,030
9th	Fulton	28	66	22	4	4	124	5,636	6,062	2,872	192	150	14,912	4,896	2,988	2,336	264	104	10,580
	Hancock	5	3	0	0	29	37	820	1,040	0	0	983	2,843	20	50	0	0	583	650
	Henderson	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Knox	5	125	8	30	0	168	284	5,055	606	2,508	0	8,533	354	4,510	725	1,046	0	6,630
	McDonough	3	4	0	0	2	9	156	155	0	0	75	386	190	305	10	0	30	530
	Warren	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	70	70	0	0	0	0	20	20
9th	Circuit Total	41	198	30	34	37	340	6,896	12,312	3,558	2,700	1,270	26,744	5,460	7,853	3,071	1,310	737	18,430
10th	Marshall	2	6	5	9	16	38	290	450	500	467	830	2,537	290	310	460	417	560	2,037
	Peoria-A	968	458	15	134	0	1,575	0	0	0	0	0	0	51,169	32,412	700	24,140	0	108,420
	Peoria-J	0	0	0	0	32	32	0	0	0	0	1,460	1,460	0	0	0	0	1,129	1,129
	Putnam						0						0						0
	Stark						0						0						0
	Tazewell	93	103	107	97	57	457	19,163	4[illegible]	13,561	10,141	2,725	49,859	8,696	3,324	4,654	4,747	1,266	22,590
10th	Circuit Total	1,063	567	127	240	105	2,102	19,453	4,[illegible]19	14,061	10,608	5,015	53,856	60,155	35,956	5,814	29,304	2,955	134,180
11th	Ford	9	4	9	8	6	36	820	558	670	878	360	3,286	720	0	260	348	270	1,590
	Livingston	7	34	2	25	22	90	1,344	1,070	140	1,351	341	4,246	903	636	64	797	441	2,840
	Logan	2	16	14	12	14	58	330	1,110	1,619	610	360	4,029	50	610	1,202	320	100	2,280
	McLean	10	2	9	7	30	58	1,421	45	1,000	1,282	1,095	4,843	783	81	978	516	885	3,240
	Woodford	4	2	9	11	6	32	560	190	920	1,018	212	2,900	310	110	555	726	180	1,880
11th	Circuit Total	32	58	43	63	78	274	4,475	2,973	4,349	5,139	2,368	19,304	2,766	1,437	3,059	2,707	1,876	11,840

ANNUAL PUBLIC SERVICE EMPLOYMENT (P.S.E.) STATISTICS
JANUARY 1, 1986 — DECEMBER 31, 1986

Circuit	County	Probationers Ordered to P S E						P S E Hours Ordered						P S E Hours Completed					
		Adult Felons	Adult Misd	DUI	Other Traffic	Juv	Total	Adult Felons	Adult Misd	DUI	Other Traffic	Juv	Total	Adult Felons	Adult Misd	DUI	Other Traffic	Juv	Total
12th	Will	10	4	4	2	151	171	6,700	320	1,252	720	3,881	12,873	843	120	296	136	788	2,180
12th	Circuit Total	10	4	4	2	151	171	6,700	320	1,252	720	3,881	12,873	843	120	296	136	788	2,180
13th	Bureau	0	1	0	2	27	30	0	24	0	430	573	1,027	40	24	150	165	379	750
	Grundy	0	0	1	0	5	6	0	0	32	0	75	107	20	0	32	0	25	70
	LaSalle	1	3	3	12	21	40	360	152	510	2,160	198	3,380	0	70	0	780	108	950
13th	Circuit Total	1	4	4	14	53	76	360	176	542	2,590	846	4,514	60	94	182	945	512	1,790
14th	Henry	0	9	1	0	44	54	0	572	100	0	2,098	2,770	0	362	7	0	1,386	1,750
	Mercer	0	20	4	18	9	51	0	925	500	485	300	2,210	0	699	858	647	617	2,820
	Rock Island	37	255	109	237	367	1,005	3,714	11,497	11,446	16,024	9,647	52,328	5,649	11,375	9,516	13,915	9,411	49,860
	Whiteside	13	68	29	77	78	265	1,385	3,275	3,859	4,638	3,576	16,733	1,430	2,131	2,589	4,025	3,097	13,270
14th	Circuit Total	50	352	143	332	498	1,375	5,009	16,269	15,905	21,147	15,621	74,041	7,079	14,567	12,970	18,587	14,511	67,710
15th	Carroll	0	6	2	1	2	11	0	146	40	40	70	296	0	127	20	40	70	250
	JoDaviess	2	6	2	1	8	19	100	385	130	20	198	833	100	165	50	20	128	460
	Lee	8	78	43	7	107	243	310	2,107	4,137	694	2,458	9,706	1,140	1,624	3,198	1,084	2,061	9,100
	Ogle	21	133	110	81	42	388	1,024	3,608	3,642	1,512	892	10,758	977	2,524	2,843	796	767	7,900
	Stephenson	34	80	80	41	45	280	2,697	3,863	3,893	1,056	1,732	13,241	1,734	2,026	2,366	756	538	7,420
15th	Circuit Total	66	303	237	131	204	941	4,131	10,189	11,842	3,322	5,350	34,834	3,951	6,466	8,477	2,696	3,564	25,150
16th	DeKalb						0						0						
	Kane	61	127	66	157	129	540	8,054	3,474	7,742	21,759	2,417	43,446	3,654	3,068	5,346	11,432	2,778	26,270
	Kendall	0	0	0	0	6	6	0	0	0	0	96	96	0	0	0	0	64	64
16th	Circuit Total	61	127	66	157	135	546	8,054	3,474	7,742	21,759	2,513	43,542	3,654	3,068	5,346	11,432	2,842	26,340
17th	Boone	5	37	18	13	14	87	430	1,944	1,560	876	476	5,286	849	759	822	186	460	3,070
	Winnebago	95	178	212	80	141	706	9,070	11,135	16,019	9,246	10,991	56,461	8,636	8,154	13,288	5,181	10,713	45,970
17th	Circuit Total	100	215	230	93	155	793	9,500	13,079	17,579	10,122	11,467	61,747	9,485	8,913	14,110	5,367	11,173	49,040
18th	DuPage	166	65	351	188	6	776	21,373	5,504	32,997	36,062	240	96,176	8,183	2,737	30,547	17,319	0	58,780
18th	Circuit Total	166	65	351	188	6	776	21,373	5,504	32,997	36,062	240	96,176	8,183	2,737	30,547	17,319	0	58,780
19th	Lake	508	1,125	758	709	57	3,157	60,394	38,299	70,104	64,022	3,492	236,311	36,284	19,173	55,548	46,205	1,645	158,850
	McHenry	9	47	40	64	156	316	1,218	2,266	3,092	7,773	3,204	17,553	976	2,164	3,482	4,179	3,174	13,970
19th	Circuit Total	517	1,172	798	773	213	3,473	61,612	40,565	73,196	71,795	6,696	253,864	37,260	21,337	59,030	50,384	4,819	172,830
20th	Monroe	3	1	3	2	0	9	310	20	220	265	0	815	310	20	12	240	0	580
	Perry	1	0	2	2	0	5	100	0	340	340	0	780	0	0	100	80	0	180
	Randolph	6	5	1	0	6	18	560	440	40	0	280	1,320	560	240	40	0	160	1,000
	St. Clair	19	1	21	4	0	45	2,815	24	2,712	960	0	6,511	818	24	864	420	0	2,120
	Washington	2	1	0	1	3	7	420	60	0	40	720	1,240	67	660	0	240	0	960
20th	Circuit Total	31	8	27	9	9	84	4,205	544	3,312	1,605	1,000	10,666	1,755	944	1,016	980	160	4,850
21st	Iroquois	17	4	2	2	8	33	1,028	120	80	80	155	1,463	700	120	80	80	85	1,080
	Kankakee	9	61	50	121	0	241	668	1,800	4,799	3,951	0	11,218	260	1,116	3,425	2,211	0	7,010
21st	Circuit Total	26	65	52	123	8	274	1,696	1,920	4,879	4,031	155	12,681	960	1,236	3,505	2,291	85	8,070
Cook	Adult	324	0	0	0	0	324	81,000	0	0	0	0	81,000	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Juvenile	0	0	0	0	89	89	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	450	450
	Social Service	16	541	2,283	698	0	3,538	2,798	39,977	467,593	106,091	0	616,459	0	12,750	94,183	23,788	0	130,720
Cook	Circuit Total	340	541	2,283	698	89	3,951	83,798	39,977	467,593	106,091	0	697,459	0	12,750	94,183	23,788	450	131,170
	Downstate	2,918	4,080	2,900	2,862	2,289	15,049	243,790	170,415	280,505	252,441	92,306	1,039,457	198,785	146,084	217,334	181,629	65,359	809,100
	Cook	340	541	2,283	698	89	3,951	83,798	39,977	467,593	106,091	0	697,459	0	12,750	94,183	23,788	450	131,170
	State Total	3,258	4,621	5,183	3,560	2,378	19,000	327,500	210,392	748,098	358,532	92,306	1,736,916	198,785	158,834	311,517	205,417	65,809	940,300

CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY


CHIEF JUDGE
Office of the Chief Judge
Surety Section
COUNTY DEPARTMENT
MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT
LAW DIVISION
CHANCERY DIVISION
DOMESTIC RELATIONS DIVISION
PROBATE DIVISION
Presiding Judge
COUNTY DIVISION
Presiding Judge
JUVENILE DIVISION
Presiding Judge
CRIMINAL DIVISION
Presiding Judge
Presiding Judge
Presiding Judge
Presiding Judge
General Chancery Section
Mechanic's Lien Section
Assignment Section
Pretrial Section
Pretrial Mediation Section
Motion Section
Jury Section
Progress Call
Tax and Miscellaneous Remedy Section
Assignment Section
Pre-judgement Motion Section
Pretrial Custody Visitation Mediation Section
Support Section
Trial Section
FIRST MUNICIPAL DISTRICT
Presiding Judge
SECOND MUNICIPAL DISTRICT
Presiding Judge
THIRD MUNICIPAL DISTRICT
Presiding Judge
FOURTH MUNICIPAL DISTRICT
Presiding Judge
FIFTH MUNICIPAL DISTRICT
Presiding Judge
SIXTH MUNICIPAL DISTRICT
Presiding Judge

NOTE This table as well as all the tables that follow on the Circuit Court of Cook County do not include post-trial proceedings

STATISTICAL REPORT ON THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS FOR 1986

TREND OF CASES IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY

COUNTY DEPARTMENT			Pending At Start	Filed	Reinstated	Transferred	Total Added	Disposed Of	Adjustment	Pending At End	Inventory Increase (+) Decrease (−)
Division	Type of Case										
LAW	Ad Damnum Over $15,000	Jury	59,221	5,095	2,904	+ 15,190	23,189	21,190	—	61,220	+ 1,999
		Non-Jury	15,288	23,447	3,120	− 15,190	11,377	10,771	—	15,894	+ 606
	Tax		631	328	76	0	404	435	—	600	− 31
	Condemnation		409	145	60	0	205	134	—	480	+ 71
	Miscellaneous Remedy		2,217	1,755	389	0	2,144	2,132	—	2,229	+ 12
	Sub-Total		77,766	30,770	6,549	0	37,319	34,662	—	80,423	+ 2,657
Chancery	Chancery		14,878	12,206	989	0	13,195	14,942	—	13,131	− 1,747
Domestic Relations	Domestic Relations		11,670	24,594	1,767	0	26,361	24,649	—	13,382	+ 1,712
	Reciprocal Non-Support, etc		11,467	3,051	23,485	0	26,536	22,083	− 1,787	14,133	+ 2,666
	Sub-Total		23,137	27,645	25,252	0	52,897	46,732	− 1,787	27,515	+ 4,378
COUNTY	Tax		43,403	6,684	0	0	6,684	11,077	+ 831	39,841	− 3,562
	Mental Health		270	5,867	0	0	5,867	5,938	—	199	− 71
	Adoptions, Marriages of Minors, & Orders for Protection		955	2,030	0	0	2,030	1,942	—	1,043	+ 88
	Municipal Corporations and Election Matters		291	26	0	0	26	13	—	304	+ 13
	Sub-Total		44,919	14,607	0	0	14,607	18,970	+ 831	41,387	− 3,532
Probate	Estates, Guardianships, & Disabled Matters		22,163	11,329	0	0	11,329	10,523	− 20	22,949	+ 786
Juvenile	Delinquency		6,943	13,577	14	0	13,591	11,899	—	8,635	+ 1,692
	Dependency/Neglected		3,218	4,236	0	0	4,236	4,759	—	2,695	− 523
	Minors in Need of Authoritative Intervention		38	95	0	0	95	127	—	6	− 32
	Sub-Total		10,199	17,908	14	0	17,922	16,785	—	11,336	+ 1,137
Criminal	Felony (Indictment & Information)		8,893	16,876	3,517	0	20,393	16,526	− 3,735	9,025	+ 132
County Department	Sub-Total		201,955	131,341	36,321	0	167,662	159,140	− 4,711f	205,766	+ 3,811
	MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT										
DISTRICTS ONE THRU SIX	Law Ad Damnum $15,000 or Less	Jury	14,694	988	578	+ 6,999	8,565	5,847	− 6,869*	10,543	− 4,151
		Non-Jury	81,441	102,214	4,909	− 6,726	100,397	80,434	− 7,044*	94,360	+ 12,919
	Small Claims		30,774	58,343	2,604	− 273	60,674	49,247	− 5,063*	37,138	+ 6,364
	Tax		41,695	59	24	0	83	266	− 41,468*	44	− 41,651
	Felony (Indictment & Information)		1,817	4,568	1,297	0	5,865	5,838	+ 116	1,960	+ 143
	Felony (Preliminary Hearings)		21,123	40,821	1,062	0	41,883	40,883	− 1,500	21,118	− 5
	Housing		17,363	8,703	402	0	9,105	8,711	− 3,565*	14,192	− 3,171
	Paternity		15,344	18,121	15,161	0	33,282	34,539	+ 2,121	16,208	+ 864
	Misdemeanors, Ordinance Violations & Conservation Violations		163,947	330,397	0	0	330,397	335,616	+ 7,546	166,274	+ 2,327
	Traffic			5,113,038	0	0	5,113,038	2,606,893	—		
Municipal Department	Sub-Totals		388,198	5,677,252	26,037	0	5,703,289	3,167,779	− 55,726*	361,837	− 26,361
Grand Total			590,153	5,808,593	62,358	0	5,870,951	3,326,919	− 60,437*	567,603	− 22,550

(*) Cases filed in First District Civil prior to January, 1984 not included in totals as of February, 1986, due to installation of a computer-generated system providing monthly statistics, plus addjustment due to physical inventory

(a) Includes 208 cases transferred into Division and 126 cases which were removed from Special Calendar

(b) Includes 181 cases transferred out of Division and 419 cases placed on Special Calendar

(c) Includes 136 cases transferred into Division and 19 cases which were removed from Special Calendar

(d) Includes 136 cases transferred out of Division and 231 cases placed on Special Calendar

(e) Includes 1,211 indictments filed which were transferred to Districts Two thru Six

(f) Adjustment figures are a result of computer adjustments or a result of a physical inventory at end of 1986

TREND OF CASES IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY DURING 1986

		Pending At Start	Filed	Rein-stated	Trans-ferred	Total Added	Disposed of	Adjustment	Pending At End	Inventory Increase (+) Decrease (−)
LAW JURY CASES $15,000 OR LESS	DIST 1	13,295	816	351	+ 5,634	6,801	4,281	− 6,802a	9,013	− 4,282
	DIST 2	248	35	182	+ 153	370	177	—	441	+ 193
	DIST 3	282	49	7	+ 390	446	413	—	315	+ 33
	DIST 4	342	14	9	+ 246	269	284	− 81	246	− 96
	DIST 5	186	30	22	+ 203	255	262	+ 14	193	+ 7
	DIST 6	341	44	7	+ 373	424	430	—	335	− 6
LAW NON JURY CASES $15,000 OR LESS	DIST 1	79,177	97,356	4,493	− 5,615	96,234	76,334	− 7,070	92,007	+ 12,830
	DIST 2	853	920	47	− 89	878	1,049	—	682	− 171
	DIST 3	378	1,109	161	− 318	952	929	—	401	+ 23
	DIST 4	390	728	27	− 211	544	515	− 5	414	+ 24
	DIST 5	227	667	30	− 170	527	506	—	248	+ 21
	DIST 6	416	1,434	151	− 323	1,262	1,101	+ 31	608	+ 192
SMALL CLAIMS	DIST 1	26,921	36,982	635	− 15	37,612	29,607	− 7,390	27,536	+ 615
	DIST 1 PRO SE	791	5,275	318	− 4	5,589	3,861	+ 2,173	4,692	+ 3,901
	DIST 2	506	2,006	1,282	− 64	3,224	2,462	—	1,268	+ 762
	DIST 3	350	2,331	127	− 72	2,386	2,344	—	392	+ 42
	DIST 4	415	2,386	60	− 35	2,411	2,269	+ 68	625	+ 210
	DIST 5	325	1,615	55	− 33	1,637	1,566	+ 14	410	+ 85
	DIST 6	1,466	7,738	127	− 50	7,815	7,138	+ 72	2,215	+ 749
TAX	DIST 1	41,636	59	24	0	83	226	41,468	25	− 41,611
	DIST 2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	—
	DIST 3	59	0	0	0	0	40	—	19	− 40
	DIST 4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	—
	DIST 5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	—
	DIST 6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	—
FELONY (INDICTMENT & INFORMATION	DIST 1	0	1,514	0	0	1,514	1,514	—	0	—
	DIST 2	337	566	408	0	974	894	− 94	323	− 14
	DIST 3	222	591	56	0	647	612	+ 29	286	+ 64
	DIST 4	460	519	478	0	997	1,081	+ 138	514	+ 54
	DIST 5	144	420	100	0	520	627	+ 83	120	− 24
	DIST 6	654	958	255	0	1,213	1,110	− 40	717	+ 63
FELONY (PRELIMINARY HEARINGS	DIST 1	13,295	33,603	0	0	33,603	31,897	− 1,508	13,493	+ 198
	DIST 2	2,992	1,547	0	0	1,547	2,309	—	2,230	− 762
	DIST 3	2,487	1,764	0	0	1,764	1,380	+ 8	2,879	+ 392
	DIST 4	494	2,065	703	0	2,768	2,620	—	642	+ 148
	DIST 5	190	906	359	0	1,265	1,230	—	225	+ 35
	DIST 6	1,665	936	0	0	936	952	—	1,649	− 16
HOUSING*	DIST 1	17,285	8,635	401	0	9,036	8,571	− 3,575	14,175	− 3,110
	DIST 2	*	*	*	*	*	*	—	*	*
	DIST 3	*	*	*	*	*	*	—	*	*
	DIST 4	*	*	*	*	*	*	—	*	*
	DIST 5	*	*	*	*	*	*		*	*
	DIST 6	78	68	1	0	69	140	+ 10	17	− 61
PATERNITY**	DIST 1	14,925	16,080	14,537	0	30,617	32,348	+ 2,088	15,282	+ 357
	DIST 2	26	213	65	0	278	183	+ 6	127	+ 101
	DIST 3	42	160	12	0	172	131	+ 2	85	+ 43
	DIST 4	229	494	119	0	613	471	—	371	+ 142
	DIST 5	**	**	**	**	**	**	—	**	—
	DIST 6	122	1,174	428	0	1,602	1,406	+ 25	343	+ 221

TREND OF CASES IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY DURING 1986

		Pending At Start	Filed	Reinstated	Transferred	Total Added	Disposed of	Adjustment	Pending At End	Inventory Increase (+) Decrease (−)
MISDEMEANORS ORDINANCE VIOLATIONS & CONSERVATION VIOLATIONS	DIST 1	130,908	278,458	0	0	278,458	273,643	+ 7,546	143,269	+ 12,361
	DIST 2	4,762	6,689	0	0	6,689	8,101	—	3,350	− 1,412
	DIST 3	6,704	15,027	0	0	10,527	12,439	—	4,792	− 1,912
	DIST 4	6,141	9,152	0	0	9,152	12,264	—	3,029	− 3,112
	DIST 5	6,092	10,685	0	0	10,685	13,036	—	3,741	− 2,351
	DIST 6	9,340	14,886	0	0	14,886	16,133	—	8,093	− 1,247
TRAFFIC	DIST 1		723,610	0	0	723,610	714,985	—		
	DIST 1 HANG-ON		1,624,740	0	0	1,623,740	960,215	—		
	DIST 2		148,258	0	0	148,258	184,200	—		
	DIST 3		192,923	0	0	192,923	233,232	—		
	DIST 4		120,591	0	0	120,591	155,418	—		
	DIST 5		155,391	0	0	155,391	197,273	—		
	DIST 6		147,525	0	0	147,525	161,570	—		
DISTRICT TOTALS	DIST 1	338,231	4,827,138	20,759	0	4,847,897	2,137,482	− 56,006	319,492	− 18,741
	DIST 2	9,724	160,234	1,984	0	162,218	199,375	− 88	8,421	− 1,303
	DIST 3	10,524	209,454	363	0	209,817	251,520	+ 39	9,169	− 1,355
	DIST 4	8,471	135,949	1,396	0	137,345	174,922	+ 120	5,841	− 2,630
	DIST 5	7,164	169,714	566	0	170,280	214,500	+ 111	4,937	− 2,227
	DIST 6	14,082	174,763	969	0	175,732	189,980	+ 98	13,977	− 105
GRAND TOTALS		388,198	5,677,252	26,037	0	5,703,289	3,167,779	− 55,726	361,837	− 26,361

*Housing matters are filed and disposed of as "general law" cases in Districts Two thru Five

**All paternity matters in District Five are filed and disposed of in District Four

(a) Cases filed prior to January, 1984, not included in totals as of February, 1986, due to installation of a computer-generated system producing monthly statistics

(b) Adjustment figures are a result of physical inventories which reflect end pending total

LAW

IN THE LAW DIVISION, COUNTY DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY STATISTICAL REPORT ON LAW CASES DURING 1986

AGE OF PENDING CASES ON DECEMBER 31, 1985

			1980 & Earlier	During 1981	During 1982	During 1983	During 1984	During 1985	Totals
LAW CASES OVER $15,000	Jury	Number Pending	9,533	2,725	6,206	10,657	17,177	14,922	61,220
		% of Total Pending Inventory	15.6%	4.5%	10.1%	17.4%	28.0%	24.4%	100%
	Non-Jury	Number Pending	454	438	624	1,183	3,193	10,002	15,894
		% of Total Pending Inventory	2.9%	2.8%	3.9%	7.4%	20.1%	62.9%	100%

AVERAGE TIME INTERVAL BETWEEN DATE OF FILING AND DATE OF DISPOSITION OF LAW JURY CASES

	Law Jury Cases Terminated by Verdict			
	Number of Verdicts Reached During the Period	Months Elapsed Between Date of Filing and Date of Verdict		
Calendar		Maximum	Minimum	Average
Standard	552	123	2	61.6
Special	3**	95	30	69.7
Total	555	123	2	61.7

*Reflects time case is handled in Jury Trial Section and does not include time on special calendars

**Indicates cases which at one time were on special calendar

	Law Jury Cases Disposed of by Any Means Including Verdict			
	Total Number of Cases Disposed of During the Period	Months Elapsed Between Date of Filing and Date of Disposition		
Calendar		Maximum	Minimum	Average
Total	20,534	138	1	35.4

CASES DISPOSED OF BY THE JUDGES IN THE JURY TRIAL SECTION DURING THE PERIOD

	Cases* Assigned for Trial or Pre-Trial						
		Method of Disposition				Total Cases Returned to Assignment Judge**	Total Cases Assigned
		Dismissed		Judgment on Finding	Judgment on Verdict		
Law Jury Trial Section	Total Cases Disposed of	For Want of Prosecution	By Agreement				
Total	1,555	33	962	363	197	435	1,823

*Includes law, tax, condemnation, and miscellaneous remedy suits heard and disposed of by Judges in the Jury Trial Section

**Includes mistrials

IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY DISTRICTS ONE THRU SIX, LAW JURY CASES DURING 1986

AVERAGE TIME INTERVAL BETWEEN DATE OF FILING AND DATE OF DISPOSITION OF LAW JURY_CASES

		Law Jury Cases Terminated by Verdict			
		Number of Verdicts Reached During the Period	Months Elapsed Between Date of Filing and Date of Verdict		
			Maximum	Minimum	Average
District One	—	130*	35	2	20.7*
District Two	—	2	36.6	27.6	32.1
District Three	—	24	34.3	1.4	13.1
District Four	—	2	35.5	22.4	29.0
District Five	—	11	30.3	6.4	19.8
District Six	—	14	31.1	1.6	14.1
TOTAL		162	36.6	1.4	19.2*

*Does not include 21 cases from month of January-figures were not available

		Law Jury Cases Disposed of by Any Means Including Verdict			
		Total Number of Cases Disposed of During the Period	Months Elapsed Between Date of Filing and Date of Disposition		
			Maximum	Minimum	Average
District One	—	96,869*	36.0	1.0	7.7*
District Two	—	301	72.5	0.2	12.7
District Three	—	400	57.8	0.5	12.0
District Four	—	272	65.2	0.9	17.7
District Five	—	252	34.9	0.6	11.7
District Six	—	418	84.5	0.1	11.8
TOTAL		98,512*	84.5	0.1	7.8

*Does not include number disposed of in January-figures were not available

LAW

TAX, CONDEMNATION, MISCELLANEOUS REMEDY

IN THE LAW DIVISION, COUNTY DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY

STATISTICAL REPORT ON CASES FILED DURING 1983-1986

Year	Number of Tax Cases Filed
1983	871
1984	618
1985	296
1986	328

Year	Number of Condemnation Cases Filed
1983	107
1984	158
1985	247
1986	145

Year	Number of Miscellaneous Remedy Cases Filed*
1983	3,318
1984	3,056
1985	1,415
1986	1,755

---

*Effective January 1, 1981, personal property tax cases no longer filed in the Law Division

*Includes such actions as matters of administrative review, declaratory judgments, writs of certiorari, mandamus, revivals of judgment over $15,000, registrations of foreign judgment over $15,000, forcible entry and detainer actions with damages over $15,000, worker's compensation cases, etc

LAW

IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICTS ONE THRU SIX DECEMBER 31, 1986

AGE OF PENDING LAW CASES

DISTRICT 1			1980 & Earlier	During 1982	During 1983	During 1984	During 1985	During 1986	Total
LAW CASES $15,000 OR LESS	Jury	Number Pending	—	—	—	1,277	3,519	4,435	9,231*
		% of Total Pending Inventory	—	—	—	13.8%	38.1%	48.1	100%
	Non-Jury	Number Pending	—	—	—	20,548	28,299	62,991	111,838*
		% of Total Pending Inventory	—	—	—	18.4%	25.3%	56.3%	100%

DISTRICT 2			1980 & Earlier	During 1981	During 1982	During 1983	During 1984	During 1985	Total
LAW CASES $15,000 OR LESS	Jury	Number Pending	2	6	10	33	123	267	441
		% of Total Pending Inventory	0.4%	1.4%	2.3%	7.5%	27.9%	60.5%	100%
	Non-Jury	Number Pending	10	10	8	28	157	469	682
		% of Total Pending Inventory	1.5%	1.5%	1.1%	4.1%	23.0%	68.8%	100%

DISTRICT 3			1980 & Earlier	During 1981	During 1982	During 1983	During 1984	During 1985	Total
LAW CASES $15,000 OR LESS	Jury	Number Pending	1	2	1	3	80	228	315
		% of Total Pending Inventory	0.3%	0.6%	0.3%	1.0%	25.4%	72.4%	100%
	Non-Jury	Number Pending	0	0	2	7	46	346	401
		% of Total Pending Inventory	—	—	0.5%	1.7%	11.5%	86.3%	100%

DISTRICT 4			1980 & Earlier	During 1981	During 1982	During 1983	During 1984	During 1985	Total
LAW CASES $15,000 OR LESS	Jury	Number Pending	1	3	13	42	33	154	246
		% of Total Pending Inventory	0.4%	1.2%	5.3%	17.1%	13.4%	62.6%	100%
	Non-Jury	Number Pending	0	0	2	17	69	326	414
		% of Total Pending Inventory	—	—	0.5%	4.1%	16.7%	78.7%	100%

DISTRICT 5			1980 & Earlier	During 1981	During 1982	During 1983	During 1984	During 1985	Total
LAW CASES $15,000 OR LESS	Jury	Number Pending	0	0	0	0	41	152	193
		% of Total Pending Inventory	—	—	—	—	21.2%	78.8%	100%
	Non-Jury	Number Pending	0	0	0	0	14	234	248
		% of Total Pending Inventory	—	—	—	—	5.6%	94.4%	100%

LAW

IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICTS ONE THRU SIX DECEMBER 31, 1986 — continued

AGE OF PENDING LAW CASES

DISTRICT 6

			1980 & Earlier	During 1981	During 1982	During 1983	During 1984	During 1985	Total
LAW CASES $15,000 OR LESS	Jury	Number Pending	0	0	1	20	86	228	335
		% of Total Pending Inventory	—	—	0.3%	6.0%	25.7%	68.0%	100%
	Non Jury	Number Pending	0	0	1	3	33	571	608
		% of Total Pending Inventory	—	—	0.2%	0.5%	5.4%	93.9%	100%

DISTRICT TOTALS

			1980 & Earlier	During 1981	During 1982	During 1983	During 1984	During 1985	Total
LAW CASES $15,000 OR LESS	Jury	Number Pending	4a	11a	24a	1,375	3,882	5,464	10,760b**
		% of Total Pending Inventory	0.04%	0.10%	0.22%	12.78%	36.08%	50.78%	100%
	Non Jury	Number Pending	10a	10a	12a	20,603	28,618	64,937	114,190b
		% of Total Pending Inventory	0.009%	0.009%	0.011%	18.041%	25.06%	56.87%	100%

*Totals are for years 1985 and 1986 only
(a)Includes Districts 2 thru 6 only
(b)Does not include District One for years 1983 & earlier

IN THE DOMESTIC RELATIONS DIVISION, COUNTY DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY DURING 1986

NATURE & NUMBER OF DISPOSITIONS OF SUPPORT CASES IN THE SUPPORT SECTION

PART I
TOTAL DIVORCE CASES TERMINATED

24,588

PART II
JUDGMENTS

TOTAL JUDGMENTS		19,052
1 Dissolution of Marriage	18,985	
2 Legal Separation	25	
3 Declaration of Invalidity	42	

PART III
CASES DISMISSED

TOTAL DISMISSALS		5,536
1 Dissolution of Marriage	5,536	
2 Legal Separation	—	
3 Declaration of Invalidity	—	

COUNTY

TREND OF ALL CASES IN THE COUNTY DIVISION, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY DURING 1986

Type of Case			Pending at Start	Filed	Disposed of	Pending at End
	(1) Special Assessments	a Chicago	744	30	62	712
		b Suburban	630	19	74	575
	(2) Tax Deeds		2,363	1,648	456	3,555
	(3) Scavenger Tax Deeds		766	228	144	850
	(4) Inheritance Tax Petitions		0	134	302	663*
	(5) Inheritance Tax Reassessments		229	49	24	254
	(6) Tax Refund Petitions		269	1	2	268
	(7) Tax Objections		37,472	4,334	9,793	32,013
	(8) Tax Condemnation (in conjunction with special assessments)		71	0	0	71
	(9) Other		859	252	231	880
	SUB-TOTAL		43,403	6,695	11,088	39,841*
(B) ADOPTIONS			949	2,027	1,940	1,036
	(1) Commitment Petitions	a Adults	270	5,837	5,910	197
		b Minors	0	33	31	2
	(2) Restoration Petitions	a Adults	0	0	0	0
		b Minors	0	0	0	0
	(3) Discharge Petitions	a Adults	0	0	0	0
		b Minors	0	0	0	0
	SUB-TOTAL		270	5,870	5,941	199
(D) MUNICIPAL CORPORATIONS & ELECTION MATTERS			291	26	13	304
(E) MARRIAGE OF MINORS			6	3	2	7
GRAND TOTAL			44,919	14,621	18,984	41,387*

*Audit done in June adding 831 to pending total

PROBATE

IN THE PROBATE DIVISION, COUNTY DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY DURING 1986

ANALYSIS OF PROBATE CASES AND COMPARISONS WITH PRECEDING YEARS

Year	Cases Filed	Disposed of
1980	9,199	14,151
1981	9,870	25,649
1982	10,048	12,099
1983	10,925	10,023
1984	11,001	10,123
1985	11,751	11,340
1986	11,329	10,523

Year	Inventories Filed			Wills		
	Personal	Real Estate	Total	Filed	Probated	% Probated
1980	5,533	687	6,220	13,072	4,905	37.5%
1981	5,282	736	6,018	13,149	4,812	36.7%
1982	5,526	688	6,214	12,437	4,635	37.3%
1983	5,031	611	5,642	12,115	4,852	40.1%
1984	4,136	871	5,007	11,792	4,966	42.1%
1985	3,609	601	4,210	11,915	5,131	43.1%
1986	3,811	626	4,437	12,224	5,116	41.8%

MOVEMENT OF CASES IN THE PROBATE DIVISION IN 1986

	Decedent Estates	Guardianships	Disabled Adults	Total
Number of Cases Filed	7,093	2,473	1,763	11,329
Number of Cases Disposed of	6,656	2,267	1,600	10,523

INVENTORIES FILED AND VALUE THEREOF IN THE PROBATE DIVISION IN 1986

INVENTORIES FILED AND VALUE THEREOF

Kind of Property	Inventories	
	Number	Value
Personal	3,811	$522,643,566
Real Estate	626	65,586,979
Total	4,437	$588,230,545

TAX

IN THE LAW DIVISION — TAX SECTION, COUNTY DIVISION — TAX SECTION, AND IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, DISTRICTS ONE THRU SIX

STATISTICAL REPORT ON CASES DURING 1986

TAX CASES DISPOSED OF DURING THE PERIOD

Dispositions Credited	Average Number of Judges Sitting	Number of Dispositions	Number of Dispositions Per Judge
Law Division — Tax Section	2	1,624	812
County Division — Tax Section	1	14,520	14,520
Municipal Department — District One	1	22,058	22,058
— District Two	1	781	781
— District Three	1	1,118	1,118
— District Four	1	195	195
— District Five	1	60	60
— District Six	1	102	102
— Sub-Total	6	24,314	4,052
TOTAL	9	40,458	4,495

JUVENILE

IN THE JUVENILE DIVISION, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY
STATISTICAL REPORT ON JUVENILE CASES
DURING 1986

CASES ASSIGNED DURING YEAR

New Cases	Reinstated	Abuse Neglect Dep	Total
17,924	58	17,471	35,451

PETITION RECOMMENDED CASES REFERRED TO THE JUVENILE DIVISION

Delinquent	Abuse Neglect Dep	Minor In Need of Supervision	Total
13,591	4,238	95	17,924

CASES REFERRED BUT DIVERTED

Delinquent	Abuse Neglect Dep	Minor in Need of Supervision	Total
1,967	42	124	2,111

JUVENILE

IN THE JUVENILE DIVISION, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY

TABLE OF OFFENSES COMMENCED BY JUVENILE PETITION IN THE JUVENILE DIVISION DURING 1986

DESCRIPTION OF CHARGES	MALE	FEMALE	TOTAL
DELINQUENT CHARGES			
Homicide/Manslaughter/Attempt	80	4	84
Rape/Sex Offenses/Attempt	486	13	499
Agg Assault/Battery/Kidnapping/Arson Aggravated	1,815	295	2,110
Armed Robbery/Attempt	314	23	337
SUB-TOTAL SERIOUS, VIOLENT CHARGES	2,695	335	3,030
Arson/Attempt	107	13	120
Robbery/Attempt	976	58	1,034
Burglary/Attempt	2,621	65	2,686
Theft Over $300/Attempt	388	67	455
Auto Theft/Attempt	485	17	502
SUB-TOTAL SERIOUS PROPERTY CHARGES	4,577	220	4,797
Weapons Charges/Attempt UUW	835	51	886
Lesser Charges/Attempts Against Persons	1,692	223	1,915
Lesser Theft Charges/Attempt	2,675	392	3,067
Lesser Property Charges/Attempt	3,685	226	3,911
Drug Charges	494	46	540
SUB-TOTAL LESSER DELINQUENT CHARGES	0	0	0
Other Charges	679	109	788
Misc Charges/Attempt	137	22	159
SUB-TOTAL			
TOTAL ALL DELINQUENT CHARGES	17,469	1,624	19,093
Runaway	23	61	84
Beyond Control/Ungovernable	4	6	10
Addicted to Drugs/Alcohol	1	—	1
Other Status Offenses	—	—	—
TOTAL STATUS OFFENDER CHARGES	28	67	95
Abuse	1,514	1,778	3,292
Neglect	1,847	1,723	3,570
Dependency	548	491	1,039
TOTAL ABUSE, NEGLECT DEPENDENCY	3,909	3,992	7,901
OTHER CHARGES	1	4	5
TOTAL ALL CHARGES	21,407	5,687	27,094

JUVENILE

IN THE JUVENILE DIVISION, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY
STATISTICAL REPORT ON JUVENILE CASES
DURING 1986

NATURE AND NUMBER OF DISPOSITIONS OF JUVENILE CASES IN THE JUVENILE DIVISION — 1986

	Method of Disposition							
	Dismissal				Transfer to Other Court			
	Without Prejudice	With Prejudice	Other	Sol	702 Hearings Granting Transfer Criminal Division	Other	Court Finding	Total
Total	1,650	0	3,760	5,740	21	243	10,002	21,416

TYPE OF COURT FINDING IMPOSED ON JUVENILE PETITIONS

Finding of Delinquency	Finding of M.R.A.I.	Finding of Dependency	Finding of Neglect	Finding of Supervision* Under Sec. 4-7	Total
4,855	58	461	1,702	2,926	10,002

TYPE OF DISPOSITIONAL ORDER IMPOSED ON JUVENILE PETITIONS — 1986

Institutional Commitment					Regular Probation or Conditional Discharge	Guardian Appointed	Placed on Supervision			Total
Ill. Dept. of Corr.	Ill. Dept. of Children & Family Services	Sub-Total	Local	Sub-Total			Sec. 4-7	Sec. 5-2	Sub-Total	
694	602	1,296	1,607	2,903	3,957	3,013	2,926	10	2,936	12,809

NATURE OF ACTIONS TAKEN IN THE JUVENILE DIVISION

Cases Disposed of	Continued Generally*	Wardships Closed
21,416	106,539	8,238

*Includes multiple continuances granted on the same petition

FELONY

IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICTS ONE THRU SIX DURING 1986

NATURE OF DISPOSITION OF PRELIMINARY HEARINGS*

	Method of Disposition									
DISTRICT	Transferred to Criminal Division or Superseded by Information or Indictment or Probable Cause Finding	No Probable Cause	Bond Forfeiture W/ or W/O Warrant	Dismissed for Want of Prosecution	Nolle Prosequi	Non-Suit	Stricken Off — Leave to Reinstate	Leave to File Denied	Off Call and Other Dismissal	Total
District 1	15,915	2,608	2,017	7	9,445	57	2,546	11	65	32,671
District 2	1,270	104	135	1	26	1	718	0	2	2,257
District 3	773	62	163	0	35	0	311	2	26	1,372
District 4	1,253	461	89	36	518	0	237	0	9	2,603
District 5	456	32	15	52	622	2	48	0	1	1,228
District 6	829	30	26	0	8	0	52	0	5	950
TOTAL	20,496	3,297	2,445	96	10,654	60	3,912	13	108	41,081

*Indicates the disposition of felony preliminary hearings on felony charges and not cases

FELONY

IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICT ONE DURING 1986

GUILTY PLEAS ACCEPTED AT PRELIMINARY HEARINGS (INFORMATIONS) AND SENTENCES IMPOSED ON THE DEFENDANTS*

		Local Imprisonment				Probation				Conditional Discharge				
	State Imprisonment Only (a)	Only	Periodic Imprisonment Only	With** Conditions	Sub-Total	Only	Some Jail Time	With** Conditions	Sub-Total	Only	Some Jail Time	With** Conditions	Sub-Total	Total
Total Pleas	74	0	0	0	0	640	96	691	1427	11	0	0	11	1512

FELONY

IN THE CRIMINAL DIVISION, COUNTY DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY

TREND OF CASES CHARGING DEFENDANTS WITH OFFENSES IN THE CRIMINAL DIVISION DURING 1986

Cases Pending at Start	Cases Filed	Cases Reinstated	Cases Disposed of	Cases Pending at End
8,893	16,876	3,517	16,526 —	9,025*

*Change in end pending is due to a pro-gramming restructure eliminating counting of AC, HC, MHC & PC cases

IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, - DISTRICTS ONE THRU SIX

TREND OF CASES CHARGING DEFENDANTS WITH OFFENSES IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, DISTRICTS ONE THRU SIX DURING 1986

District	Cases Pending at Start	Cases Filed	Cases Reinstated	Cases Disposed of	Cases Pending at End
District One	0	1,514	0	1,514	0
District Two	337	566	408	894	323*
District Three	222	591	38	612	286*
District Four	460	519	478	1,081	514*
District Five	144	420	133	627	120*
District Six	654	958	255	1,110	717*
Total	1,817	4,568	1,312	5,838	1,960

*Pending at end reflects adjustment due to physical inventory at end of year

FELONY

IN THE CRIMINAL DIVISION, COUNTY DEPARTMENT, AND IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICTS ONE THRU SIX

AGE OF PENDING CASES — DECEMBER 31, 1986

(Does Not Include Post-Trial Proceedings)

	Number of Felony Cases Pending						
	Indictments & Informations						
	Year Case Filed						Total
	1981 & prior	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	
Criminal Division*	22	15	22	82	705	8,179	9,025
Municipal District 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Municipal District 2	0	0	2	4	22	295	323
Municipal District 3	3	4	2	10	29	238	286
Municipal District 4	3	1	2	13	50	445	514
Municipal District 5	0	0	0	0	24	96	120
Municipal District 6	3	2	5	18	96	593	717
Totals	31	22	33	127	926	9,846	10,985

FELONY

IN THE CRIMINAL DIVISION, COUNTY DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DURING 1986

METHOD OF DISPOSITION OF DEFENDANTS[a] CHARGED BY INDICTMENT AND INFORMATION

Not Convicted									
Transferred, Reduced or Dismissed						Tried But Not Convicted			
Transferred For Trial, Etc.*	Stricken Off With Leave to Reinstate	Nolle Prosequi	Reduced To Misdemeanor	Other** Discharge	Total	Acquitted By Court	Acquitted By Jury	Total	Total Not Convicted
1,211	230	1,643	420	143	3,647	1,898	83	1,981	5,628

*Includes defendants whose cases have been transferred from the Criminal Division to a Municipal District for trial

**Includes defendants who have had their cases dismissed, those who have died during the trial process, those placed under supervision for treatment of drug addiction

METHOD OF DISPOSITION OF DEFENDANTS[a] CHARGED BY INDICTMENT AND INFORMATION — CONTINUED

Convicted				Found Unfit To[b] Stand Trial Or Adjudged To Be Sexually Dangerous	Total Defendants
Plea Of Guilty	Convicted By Court	Convicted By Jury	Total Convicted		
10,935	1,925	375	13,235	316	13,551

[a] Not necessarily different defendants

[b] Includes defendants transferred to the Criminal Division for competency hearings from the Municipal Department

TYPES OF SENTENCES IMPOSED* IN 1986 ON FELONY CONVICTIONS

Sentences																
	State Imprisonment[a]		Local Imprisonment				Probation				Conditional Discharge					
Death	Life Sentence	* Other	Only	Periodic Imprisonment Only	With*** Conditions	Total	Only	With Some Jail Time	With*** Conditions	Total	Only	With*** Conditions	Total	** Other	Unfit To Be Sentenced	Total
16	31	6,510	69	47	3	119	2,430	1,771	1,979	6,180	302	59	361	18	5	13,240

*Does include sentences imposed upon defendants found "guilty but mentally ill"

**Includes sentences of payment of fine only, etc

***Includes such conditions as a payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc

[a] Sentences to state imprisonment do include some orders reported with a condition to pay a fine and do include sentences imposed in absence

FELONY

IN THE CRIMINAL DIVISION, COUNTY DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DURING 1986

GUILTY PLEAS ACCEPTED BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS

	Sentences												
		State Imprisonment[a]		Local Imprisonment			Probation			Conditional Discharge			
Type of Felony	Death	Life Imprisonment	Other	Only	Periodic Imprisonment Only	With Other[b] Conditions	Only	With Some Jail Time	With Other[b] Conditions	Only	With Other[b] Conditions	* Other	Total
Murder	0	2	112									0	114
Class X		2	999									0	1,001
Class One			641	3	0	0	165	106	129	47	1	0	1,092
Class Two			1,230	15	8	0	718	511	370	84	19	3	2,958
Class Three			1,438	12	25	1	796	631	738	102	10	5	3,758
Class Four			607	5	6	0	455	339	533	45	18	4	2,012
Total Pleas	0	4	5,027	35	39	1	2,134	1,587	1,770	278	48	12	10,935

* Includes sentences of payment of fines, unfit to be sentenced, etc.

[a] Includes some orders reported with condition to pay fine.

[b] Includes such conditions as payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

CONVICTIONS BY COURT BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS

	Sentences												
		State Imprisonment[a]		Local Imprisonment			Probation			Conditional Discharge			
Type of Felony	Death	Life Imprisonment	Other	Only	Periodic Imprisonment Only	With Other[b] Conditions	Only	With Some Jail Time	With Other[b] Conditions	Only	With Other[b] Conditions	* Other	Total
Murder	5	4	108									0	117
Class X		2	317									1	320
Class One			198	6	3	0	36	26	34	3	2	0	308
Class Two			216	9	0	0	102	57	54	5	2	0	445
Class Three			240	12	2	0	102	67	65	6	2	2	498
Class Four			83	7	1	2	55	28	48	8	3	2	237
Total Bench Trials	5	6	1,162	34	6	2	295	178	201	22	9	5	1,925

* Includes sentences of payment of fines, unfit to be sentenced, etc.

[a] Includes some orders reported with condition to pay fine.

[b] Includes such conditions as payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

CONVICTIONS BY JURY BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS

Type of Felony	Sentences												
	Death	State Imprisonment[a]		Local Imprisonment			Probation			Conditional Discharge		*Other	Total
		Life Imprisonment	Other	Only	Periodic Imprisonment Only	With Other[b] Conditions	Only	With Some Jail Time	With Other[b] Conditions	Only	With Other[b] Conditions		
Murder	11	16	93									0	120
Class X		5	122									0	127
Class One			33	0	2	0	0	1	2	1	0	0	39
Class Two			35	0	0	0	0	2	4	0	1	0	42
Class Three			28	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	1	1	34
Class Four		–	10	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	13
Total Jury Trials	11	21	321	0	2	0	1	6	8	2	2	1	375

*Includes sentences of payment of fines, unfit to be sentenced, etc

[a] Includes some orders reported with condition to pay fine

[b] Includes such conditions as payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc

FELONY

IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICT TWO DURING 1986

METHOD OF DISPOSITION OF DEFENDANTS' CHARGED BY INDICTMENT AND INFORMATION

Not Convicted									
Transferred, Reduced or Dismissed						Tried But Not Convicted			
Transferred For Trial, Etc.*	Stricken Off With Leave To Reinstate/Judgment or Warrant Issued For Failure to Appear	Nolle Prosequi	Reduced To Misdemeanor	Other** Discharge	Total	Acquitted[b] By Court	Acquitted[c] By Jury	Total[a]	Total Not Convicted
3	88	9	108	3	211	34	2	36	247

*Includes defendants whose cases have been transferred from District Two to another district or to the Criminal Division for trial, competency hearings, case consolidations, etc.

**Includes defendants who have had their cases dismissed, those who have died during the trial process, those placed under supervision for treatment of drug addiction (Ch 91.5 Paragraph 120.1), etc.

[a] Not necessarily different defendants

[b] Includes defendants whose cases resulted in a finding of not guilty by reason of insanity

[c] Includes those defendants whose cases resulted in directed findings of not guilty

METHOD OF DISPOSITION OF DEFENDANTS' CHARGED BY INDICTMENT AND INFORMATION — CONTINUED

Convicted				Found Unfit To[b] Stand Trial Or Adjudged To Be Sexually Dangerous	Total Defendants
Plea Of Guilty	Convicted By Court	Convicted By Jury	Total Convicted		
778	22	2	802	—	802

[a] Not necessarily different defendants

[b] Defendants are transferred to the Criminal Division for competency hearings

TYPES OF SENTENCES IMPOSED* IN 1986 ON FELONY CONVICTIONS

Sentences																
Death	State Imprisonment[a]		Local Imprisonment				Probation				Conditional Discharge			** Other	Unfit To Be Sentenced	Total
	Life Sentence	Other	Only	Periodic Imprisonment Only	With*** Conditions	Total	Only	With Some Jail Time	With*** Conditions	Total	Only	With*** Conditions	Total			
0	0	284	1	2	1	4	139	165	182	486	21	7	28	0	0	802

*Does include sentences imposed upon defendants found "guilty but mentally ill".

**Includes sentences of payment of fine only, etc.

***Includes such conditions as a payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

[a] Sentences to state imprisonment do include some orders reported with a condition to pay a fine (3) and do include sentences (5) imposed in absentis.

FELONY

IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICT TWO DURING 1986

GUILTY PLEAS ACCEPTED BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS

Type of Felony	Sentences												
	Death	State Imprisonment[a]		Local Imprisonment			Probation			Conditional Discharge		* Other	Total
		Life Imprisonment	Other	Only	Periodic Imprisonment Only	With Other[b] Conditions	Only	With Some Jail Time	With Other[b] Conditions	Only	With Other[b] Conditions		
Murder													
Class X			13										13
Class One			42				18	17	20				97
Class Two			65				44	62	46	12	2		231
Class Three			105				44	35	57	7	3		251
Class Four			44	1	2	1	33	45	56	2	2		186
Total Pleas			269	1	2	1	139	159	179	21	7		778

*Includes sentences of payment of fines, unfit to be sentenced, etc

[a] Includes some orders reported with condition to pay fine

[b] Includes such conditions as payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc

CONVICTIONS BY COURT BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS

Type of Felony	Sentences												
	Death	State Imprisonment[a]		Local Imprisonment			Probation			Conditional Discharge		* Other	Total
		Life Imprisonment	Other	Only	Periodic Imprisonment Only	With Other[b] Conditions	Only	With Some Jail Time	With Other[b] Conditions	Only	With Other[b] Conditions		
Murder													
Class X			3										3
Class One			5					1					6
Class Two			2					3	1				6
Class Three			3						0				3
Class Four								2	2				4
Total Bench Trials			13					6	3				22

*Includes sentences of payment of fines, unfit to be sentenced, etc

[a] Includes some orders reported with condition to pay fine

[b] Includes such conditions as payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc

CONVICTIONS BY JURY BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS*

	Sentences												
		State Imprisonment		Local Imprisonment			Probation			Conditional Discharge			
Type of Felony	Death	Life Imprisonment	Other	Only	Periodic Imprisonment Only	With Other[1] Conditions	Only	With Some Jail Time	With Other[2] Conditions	Only	With Other[2] Conditions	* Other	Total
Murder			1										1
Class X			1										1
Class One													
Class Two													
Class Three													
Class Four													
Total Jury Trials			2										2

*Includes sentences of payment of fines, unfit to be sentenced, etc

[1] Includes some orders reported with condition to pay fine

[2] Includes such conditions as payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc

FELONY

IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT,
CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICT THREE
DURING 1986

METHOD OF DISPOSITION OF DEFENDANTS' CHARGED BY INDICTMENT AND INFORMATION

Not Convicted									
Transferred, Reduced or Dismissed						Tried But Not Convicted			
Transferred For Trial Etc.*	Stricken Off With Leave To Reinstate/Judgment or Warrant Issued For Failure to Appear	Nolle Prosequi	Reduced To Misdemeanor	Other** Discharge	Total	Acquitted By Court	Acquitted By Jury	Total	Total Not Convicted
19	25	32	46	3	125	25	4	29	154

*Includes defendants whose cases have been transferred from District Three to another district or to the Criminal Division for trial, competency hearings, case consolidations, etc

**Includes defendants who have had their cases dismissed, those who have died during the trial process, those placed under supervision for treatment of drug addiction (Ch 91.5 Paragraph 120.1), etc

Not necessarily different defendants

Includes defendants whose cases resulted in a finding of not guilty by reason of insanity

Includes those defendants whose cases resulted in directed findings of not guilty

METHOD OF DISPOSITION OF DEFENDANTS' CHARGED BY INDICTMENT AND INFORMATION — CONTINUED

Convicted				Found Unfit To Stand Trial Or Adjudged To Be Sexually Dangerous	Total Defendants
Plea Of Guilty	Convicted — By Court	Convicted By Jury	Total Convicted		
507	30	1	538		538

*Procedures in this District do not allow for the acceptance of pleas of guilty at the preliminary hearing stage

Not necessarily different defendants

Defendants are transferred to the Criminal Division for competency hearings

TYPES OF SENTENCES IMPOSED* IN 1986 ON FELONY CONVICTIONS

Sentences																	
	State Imprisonment		Local Imprisonment				Probation				Conditional Discharge						
Death	Life Sentence	Other	Only	Periodic Imprisonment Only	With*** Conditions	Total	Only	With Some Jail Time	With*** Conditions	Total	Only	With*** Conditions	Total	** Other	Unfit To Be Sentenced	Total
0	0	167	3	2	0	5	78	100	143	321	19	26	45	0	0	538

*Does include sentences imposed upon defendants found "guilty but mentally ill"

**Includes sentences of payment of fine only, etc

***Includes such conditions as a payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc

Sentences to state imprisonment do include some orders reported with a condition to pay a fine (6)

FELONY

IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICT THREE DURING 1986

GUILTY PLEAS ACCEPTED BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS

Type of Felony	Sentences												
	Death	State Imprisonment[a]		Local Imprisonment			Probation			Conditional Discharge		* Other	Total
		Life Imprisonment	Other	Only	Periodic Imprisonment Only	With Other[b] Conditions	Only	With Some Jail Time	With Other[b] Conditions	Only	With Other[b] Conditions		
Murder	0	0	0									0	0
Class X		0	19									0	19
Class One			24	0	0	0	1	5	3	0	0	0	33
Class Two			44	0	0	0	20	24	29	10	11	0	138
Class Three			39	3	2	0	37	45	58	6	9	0	199
Class Four			25	0	0	0	13	23	48	3	6	0	118
Total Pleas	0	0	151	3	2	0	71	97	138	19	26	0	507

*Includes sentences of payment of fines, unfit to be sentenced, etc

[a] Includes some orders reported with condition to pay fine

[b] Includes such conditions as payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc

CONVICTIONS BY COURT BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS

Type of Felony	Sentences												
	Death	State Imprisonment[a]		Local Imprisonment			Probation			Conditional Discharge		* Other	Total
		Life Imprisonment	Other	Only	Periodic Imprisonment Only	With Other[b] Conditions	Only	With Some Jail Time	With Other[b] Conditions	Only	With Other[b] Conditions		
Murder	0	0	0									0	0
Class X		0	5									0	5
Class One			2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Class Two			3	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	5
Class Three			4	0	0	0	6	2	4	0	0	0	16
Class Four			1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2
Total Bench Trials	0	0	15	0	0	0	7	3	5	0	0	0	30

*Includes sentences of payment of fines, unfit to be sentenced, etc

[a] Includes some orders reported with condition to pay fine

[b] Includes such conditions as payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc

CONVICTIONS BY JURY BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS

	Sentences												
		State Imprisonment[1]		Local Imprisonment			Probation			Conditional Discharge			
Type of Felony	Death	Life Imprisonment	Other	Only	Periodic Imprisonment Only	With Other[2] Conditions	Only	With Some Jail Time	With Other[2] Conditions	Only	With Other[2] Conditions	* Other	Total
Murder	0	0	0									0	0
Class X		0	0									0	0
Class One			1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Class Two			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Class Three			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Class Four			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Jury Trials	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1

*Includes sentences of payment of fines, unfit to be sentenced, etc

[1] Includes some orders reported with condition to pay fine

[2] Includes such conditions as payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc

FELONY

IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT,
CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICT FOUR
DURING 1986

METHOD OF DISPOSITION OF DEFENDANTS' CHARGED BY INDICTMENT AND INFORMATION

Not Convicted									
Transferred, Reduced or Dismissed						Tried But Not Convicted			Total Not Convicted
Transferred For Trial, Etc.*	Stricken Off With Leave To Reinstate/Judgment or Warrant Issued For Failure to Appear	Nolle Prosequi	Reduced To Misdemeanor	Other** Discharge	Total	Acquitted[b] By Court	Acquitted[c] By Jury	Total	
17	76	41	78	9	221	28	5	33	254

*Includes defendants whose cases have been transferred from District Three to another district or to the Criminal Division for trial, competency hearings, case consolidations, etc.

**Includes defendants who have had their cases dismissed, those who have died during the trial process, those placed under supervision for treatment of drug addiction (Ch. 91.5 Paragraph 120.1), etc.

[a] Not necessarily different defendants

[b] Includes defendants whose cases resulted in a finding of not guilty by reason of insanity

[c] Includes those defendants whose cases resulted in directed findings of not guilty

METHOD OF DISPOSITION OF DEFENDANTS' CHARGED BY INDICTMENT AND INFORMATION — CONTINUED

Convicted				Found Unfit To[b] Stand Trial Or Adjudged To Be Sexually Dangerous	Total Defendants
Plea Of Guilty	Convicted By Court	Convicted By Jury	Total Convicted		
836	38	8	882		882

*Procedures in this District do not allow for the acceptance of pleas of guilty at the preliminary hearing stage

[a] Not necessarily different defendants

[b] Defendants are transferred to the Criminal Division for competency hearings

TYPES OF SENTENCES IMPOSED* IN 1986 ON FELONY CONVICTIONS

Sentences																
	State Imprisonment[a]		Local Imprisonment				Probation				Conditional Discharge					
Death	Life Sentence	Other	Only	Periodic Imprisonment Only	With*** Conditions	Total	Only	With Some Jail Time	With*** Conditions	Total	Only	With*** Conditions	Total	** Other	Unfit To Be Sentenced	Total
0	0	379	4	1	0	5	251	119	103	473	20	5	25	0	0	882

*Does include sentences imposed upon defendants found "guilty but mentally ill"

**Includes sentences of payment of fine only, etc

***Includes such conditions as a payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc

[a] Sentences to state imprisonment do include some orders reported with a condition to pay a fine (6)

FELONY

IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT,
CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICT FOUR
DURING 1986

GUILTY PLEAS ACCEPTED BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS

Type of Felony	Sentences												
	Death	State Imprisonment[a]		Local Imprisonment			Probation			Conditional Discharge		* Other	Total
		Life Imprisonment	Other	Only	Periodic Imprisonment Only	With Other[b] Conditions	Only	With Some Jail Time	With Other[b] Conditions	Only	With Other[b] Conditions		
Murder	0	0	2									0	2
Class X		0	37									0	37
Class One			44	0	0	0	12	2	3	0	0	0	63
Class Two			81	0	0	0	62	53	13	3	0	0	214
Class Three			142	2	0	0	110	40	37	11	3	0	347
Class Four			41	2	0	0	60	20	48	6	2	0	181
Total Pleas	0	0	347	4	0	0	244	115	101	20	5	0	836

*Includes sentences of payment of fines, unfit to be sentenced, etc

[a] Includes some orders reported with condition to pay fine

[b] Includes such conditions as payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc

CONVICTIONS BY COURT BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS

Type of Felony	Sentences												
	Death	State Imprisonment[a]		Local Imprisonment			Probation			Conditional Discharge		* Other	Total
		Life Imprisonment	Other	Only	Periodic Imprisonment Only	With Other[b] Conditions	Only	With Some Jail Time	With Other[b] Conditions	Only	With Other[b] Conditions		
Murder	0	0	1									0	1
Class X		0	5									0	5
Class One			10	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	11
Class Two			1	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	3
Class Three			8	0	1	0	6	1	2	0	0	0	18
Class Four			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Bench Trials	0	0	25	0	1	0	6	4	2	0	0	0	38

*Includes sentences of payment of fines, unfit to be sentenced, etc

[a] Includes some orders reported with condition to pay fine

[b] Includes such conditions as payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc

CONVICTIONS BY JURY BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS

Type of Felony	Sentences												
	Death	State Imprisonment[a]		Local Imprisonment			Probation			Conditional Discharge		* Other	Total
		Life Imprisonment	Other	Only	Periodic Imprisonment Only	With Other[b] Conditions	Only	With Some Jail Time	With Other[b] Conditions	Only	With Other[b] Conditions		
Murder	0	0	2									0	2
Class X		0	1									0	1
Class One			3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
Class Two			1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2
Class Three			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Class Four			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Jury Trials	0	0	7	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	8

*Includes sentences of payment of fines, unfit to be sentenced, etc

[a] Includes some orders reported with condition to pay fine

[b] Includes such conditions as payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc

FELONY

IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICT FIVE DURING 1986

METHOD OF DISPOSITION OF DEFENDANTS' CHARGED BY INDICTMENT AND INFORMATION

Not Convicted									
Transferred, Reduced or Dismissed						Tried But Not Convicted			
Transferred For Trial, Etc *	Stricken Off With Leave To Reinstate Judgment or Warrant Issued For Failure to Appear	Nolle Prosequi	Reduced To Misdemeanor	Other** Discharge	Total	Acquitted' By Court	Acquitted' By Jury	Total	Total Not Convicted
302	5	28	11	1	358	9	2	11	369

*Includes defendants whose cases have been transferred from District Three to another district or to the Criminal Division for trial, competency hearings, case consolidations, etc

**Includes defendants who have had their cases dismissed, those who have died during the trial process, those placed under supervision for treatment of drug addiction (Ch 91 5 Paragraph 120 1), etc

'Not necessarily different defendants

"Includes defendants whose cases resulted in a finding of not guilty by reason of insanity

'Includes those defendants whose cases resulted in directed findings of not guilty

METHOD OF DISPOSITION OF DEFENDANTS' CHARGED BY INDICTMENT AND INFORMATION — CONTINUED

Convicted				Found Unfit To" Stand Trial Or Adjudged To Be Sexually Dangerous	Total Defendants
Plea Of Guilty*	Convicted By Court	Convicted By Jury	Total Convicted		
301	9	3	313		313

*Procedures in this District do not allow for the acceptance of pleas of guilty at the preliminary hearing stage

'Not necessarily different defendants

"Defendants are transferred to the Criminal Division for competency hearings

TYPES OF SENTENCES IMPOSED* IN 1986 ON FELONY CONVICTIONS

Sentences																
	State Imprisonment'		Local Imprisonment				Probation				Conditional Discharge					
Death	Life Sentence	Other	Only	Periodic Imprisonment Only	With*** Conditions	Total	Only	With Some Jail Time	With*** Conditions	Total	Only	With*** Conditions	Total	** Other	Unfit To Be Sentenced	Total
0	0	54	0	0	0	0	115	79	65	259	0	0	0	0	0	313

*Does include sentences imposed upon defendants found "guilty but mentally ill"

**Includes sentences of payment of fine only, etc

***Includes such conditions as a payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc

FELONY

IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICT FIVE DURING 1986

GUILTY PLEAS ACCEPTED BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS

Type of Felony	Sentences												
	Death	State Imprisonment[a]		Local Imprisonment			Probation			Conditional Discharge		* Other	Total
		Life Imprisonment	Other	Only	Periodic Imprisonment Only	With Other[b] Conditions	Only	With Some Jail Time	With Other[b] Conditions	Only	With Other[b] Conditions		
Murder	0	0	0									0	0
Class X		0	0									0	0
Class One			0	0	0	0	6	0	5	0	0	0	11
Class Two			5	0	0	0	34	14	13	0	0	0	66
Class Three			30	0	0	0	35	35	11	0	0	0	11
Class Four			16	0	0	0	37	24	36	0	0	0	113
Total Pleas	0	0	51	0	0	0	112	73	65	0	0	0	301

*Includes sentences of payment of fines, unfit to be sentenced, etc

[a] Includes some orders reported with condition to pay fine

[b] Includes such conditions as payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc

CONVICTIONS BY COURT BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS

Type of Felony	Sentences												
	Death	State Imprisonment[a]		Local Imprisonment			Probation			Conditional Discharge		* Other	Total
		Life Imprisonment	Other	Only	Periodic Imprisonment Only	With Other[b] Conditions	Only	With Some Jail Time	With Other[b] Conditions	Only	With Other[b] Conditions		
Murder	0	0	0									0	0
Class X		0	1									0	1
Class One			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Class Two			0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
Class Three			1	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	3
Class Four			1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	3
Total Bench Trials	0	0	3	0	0	0	2	4	0	0	0	0	9

*Includes sentences of payment of fines, unfit to be sentenced, etc

[a] Includes some orders reported with condition to pay fine

[b] Includes such conditions as payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc

CONVICTIONS BY JURY BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS

Type of Felony	Sentences												
	Death	State Imprisonment[a]		Local Imprisonment			Probation			Conditional Discharge		* Other	Total
		Life Imprisonment	Other	Only	Periodic Imprisonment Only	With Other[b] Conditions	Only	With Some Jail Time	With Other[b] Conditions	Only	With Other[b] Conditions		
Murder	0	0	0									0	0
Class X		0	0									0	0
Class One			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Class Two			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Class Three			0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Class Four			0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
Total Jury Trials	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	3

*Includes sentences of payment of fines, unfit to be sentenced, etc

[a] Includes some orders reported with condition to pay fine

[b] Includes such conditions as payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc

FELONY

IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICT SIX DURING 1986

METHOD OF DISPOSITION OF DEFENDANTS' CHARGED BY INDICTMENT AND INFORMATION

Not Convicted[a]									
Transferred, Reduced or Dismissed						Tried But Not Convicted			Total Not Convicted
Transferred For Trial, Etc *	Stricken Off With Leave To Reinstate/Judgment or Warrant Issued For Failure to Appear	Nolle Prosequi	Reduced To Misdemeanor	Other** Discharge	Total	Acquitted[b] By Court	Acquitted[c] By Jury	Total	
3	1	36	13	6	59	52	4	56	115

*Includes defendants whose cases have been transferred from District Three to another district or to the Criminal Division for trial, competency hearings, case consolidations, etc

**Includes defendants who have had their cases dismissed, those who have died during the trial process, those placed under supervision for treatment of drug addiction (Ch 91 5 Paragraph 120 1), etc

[a] Not necessarily different defendants

[b] Includes defendants whose cases resulted in a finding of not guilty by reason of insanity

[c] Includes those defendants whose cases resulted in directed findings of not guilty

METHOD OF DISPOSITION OF DEFENDANTS' CHARGED BY INDICTMENT AND INFORMATION — CONTINUED

Convicted				Found Unfit To[b] Stand Trial Or Adjudged To Be Sexually Dangerous	Total Defendants
Plea Of Guilty	Convicted By Court	Convicted By Jury	Total Convicted		
947	71	25	1,043		1,043

*Procedures in this District do not allow for the acceptance of pleas of guilty at the preliminary hearing state

[a] Not necessarily different defendants

[b] Defendants are transferred to the Criminal Division for competency hearings

TYPES OF SENTENCES IMPOSED* IN 1986 ON FELONY CONVICTIONS

Sentences																
	State Imprisonment[a]		Local Imprisonment				Probation				Conditional Discharge					
Death	Life Sentence	Other	Only	Periodic Imprisonment Only	With*** Conditions	Total	Only	With Some Jail Time	With*** Conditions	Total	Only	With*** Conditions	Total	** Other	Unfit To Be Sentenced	Total
0	2	499	0	2	0	2	210	188	99	497	19	24	43	0	0	1,043

*Does include sentences imposed upon defendants found "guilty but mentally ill".

**Includes sentences of payment of fine only, etc.

***Includes such conditions as a payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc.

[a] Sentences to state imprisonment do include some orders reported with a condition to pay a fine (6).

FELONY

IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICT SIX DURING 1986

GUILTY PLEAS ACCEPTED BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS

Type of Felony	Sentences												
	Death	State Imprisonment[a]		Local Imprisonment			Probation			Conditional Discharge		Other*	Total
		Life Imprisonment	Other	Only	Periodic Imprisonment Only	With Other[b] Conditions	Only	With Some Jail Time	With Other[b] Conditions	Only	With Other[b] Conditions		
Murder	0	0	1									0	1
Class X		0	41									0	41
Class One			91	0	0	0	5	3	3	0	1	0	103
Class Two			98	0	0	0	60	64	21	6	13	0	262
Class Three			117	0	1	0	65	55	16	8	3	0	265
Class Four			93	0	0	0	66	48	56	5	7	0	275
Total Pleas	0	0	441	0	1	0	196	170	96	19	24	0	947

*Includes sentences of payment of fines, unfit to be sentenced, etc

[a] Includes some orders reported with condition to pay fine

[b] Includes such conditions as payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc

CONVICTIONS BY COURT BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS

Type of Felony	Sentences												
	Death	State Imprisonment[a]		Local Imprisonment			Probation			Conditional Discharge		Other*	Total
		Life Imprisonment	Other	Only	Periodic Imprisonment Only	With Other[b] Conditions	Only	With Some Jail Time	With Other[b] Conditions	Only	With Other[b] Conditions		
Murder	0	0	0									0	0
Class X		0	8									0	8
Class One			8	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	11
Class Two			7	0	0	0	6	7	0	0	0	0	20
Class Three			15	0	1	0	2	7	2	0	0	0	27
Class Four			2	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	5
Total Bench Trials	0	0	40	0	1	0	12	15	3	0	0	0	71

*Includes sentences of payment of fines, unfit to be sentenced, etc

[a] Includes some orders reported with condition to pay fine

[b] Includes such conditions as payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc

CONVICTIONS BY JURY BY TYPE OF FELONY & SENTENCES IMPOSED ON DEFENDANTS

	Sentences												
		State Imprisonment[a]		Local Imprisonment			Probation			Conditional Discharge			
Type of Felony	Death	Life Imprisonment	Other	Only	Periodic Imprisonment Only	With Other[b] Conditions	Only	With Some Jail Time	With Other[b] Conditions	Only	With Other[b] Conditions	* Other	Total
Murder	0	1	1									0	2
Class X		1	5									0	6
Class One			2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Class Two			2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	3
Class Three			6	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	8
Class Four			2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	4
Total Jury Trials	0	2	18	0	0	0	2	3	0	0	0	0	25

*Includes sentences of payment of fines, unfit to be sentenced, etc

[a] Includes some orders reported with condition to pay fine

[b] Includes such conditions as payment of a fine, restitution, community service work, etc

MISDEMEANOR, ORDINANCE & CONSERVATION VIOLATIONS
IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY,
DISTRICTS ONE THRU SIX
DURING 1986

NATURE AND NUMBER OF DISPOSITIONS OF MISDEMEANOR, ORDINANCE & CONSERVATION VIOLATIONS*

	Method of Disposition																		
	Not Convicted										Convicted								
District	Bond Forfeiture With or Without Warrant	Dismissed For Want of Prosecution	Nolle Prosequi	Non-Suit	Stricken Off With Leave to Reinstate	Leave to File Denied	Other Dismissal	Discharge Speedy Trial Statute	Found Not Guilty	Sub-Total	Imprisonment/ Periodic Imprisonment		Probation	Conditional Discharge	Supervision	Fine Only and Ordered to Pay	Sub-Total	Total
											State	Local						
District One	50,341	6,359	5,749	57,539	109,823	307	3,583	0	3,129	236,830	33	6,095	2,959	1,885	8,881	6,861	36,714	273,544
District Two	1,028	4	96	137	2,494	2	11	0	290	4,062	1	306	252	168	1,866	1,145	3,738	7,800
District Three	1,236	2	195	510	3,122	1	227	0	351	5,644	20	305	296	235	2,889	2,939	6,684	12,328
District Four	2,085	29	148	273	3,194	7	78	0	1,523	7,337	4	343	244	289	2,716	1,331	4,927	12,264
District Five	1,003	76	319	457	3,353	9	22	0	670	5,909	1	492	249	225	3,678	2,451	7,096	13,005
District Six	2,521	98	291	900	5,396	0	308	0	690	10,204	2	453	40	610	4,162	782	6,049	16,253
TOTAL	58,214	6,568	6,798	59,816	127,382	326	4,229	0	6,653	269,986	61	7,994	4,040	3,412	34,192	15,509	65,208	335,194

*Indicates the disposition of misdemeanor, ordinance, and conservation violation charges and not cases

TRAFFIC

IN THE MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, DISTRICTS ONE THRU SIX DURING 1986

NATURE AND NUMBER OF DISPOSITIONS OF TRAFFIC VIOLATION CASES*

DISTRICT		Method of Disposition													
		Not Convicted							Convicted						Total
											Fine Only and Ordered to Pay				
		Dismissed For Want of Prosecution	Nolle Prosequi	Non-Suit	Stricken Off With Leave to Reinstate	Leave to File Denied	Found Not Guilty**	Sub-Total	Local Imprisonment/ Periodic Imprisonment	Probation and Conditional Discharge	Pre-Paid	Paid In Court	Suspended	Sub-Total	
District One	Personal Service	53,348	133	25,859	15,822	98	410,129	505,389	2,151	1,071	110,740	42,995	46,549	203,506	708,895
	Hang-On	5,629	0	263,791	23,728	0	119,752	412,900	1	0	538.956	58,336	0	597,293	1,010,193
District Two	Personal Service	121	38	5,850	33,598	1,016	54,566	95,189	278	748	22.408	53,408	2,779	79,621	174,810
	Hang-On	12	3	7,468	1,319	18	2,070	10,890	0	0	1,323	1,474	34	1,831	12,721
Sub-Total		133	41	13,318	34,917	1,034	56,636	106,079	278	748	23,731	54,882	2,813	82,452	188,531
District Three	Personal Service	1,028	66	11,421	34,303	1,107	20,335	68,260	421	376	33,329	74,022	3,887	112,035	180,295
	Hang-On	18	4	4,919	2,821	0	1,879	9,641	0	0	11,286	1,563	112	12,968	22,602
Sub-Total		1,046	70	16,340	37,124	1,107	22,214	77,901	421	376	44,615	75,585	3,999	124,996	202,897
District Four	Personal Service	12	17	5,476	20,000	22	58,013	83,540	305	766	19,067	42,393	1,139	63,670	147,210
	Hang-On	0	3	6,395	752	0	1,361	8,511	0	0	1,635	1,049	77	2,761	11,272
Sub-Total		12	20	11,871	20,725	22	59,374	92,051	305	766	20,702	43,442	1,216	66,431	158,482
District Five	Personal Service	746	47	3,375	27,207	561	65,215	97,214	442	816	21,011	64,739	1,131	88,139	185,353
	Hang-On	131	1	12,135	3,020	159	2,660	18,106	3	0	2,176	1,569	61	3,809	21,915
Sub-Total		877	48	15,510	30,290	720	67,875	115,320	445	816	23,187	66,308	1,192	91,948	207,268
District Six	Personal Service	1,761	264	20,703	17,341	339	48,937	89,345	735	1,564	25,514	36,133	2,615	66,561	155,906
	Hang-On	253	18	14,720	704	114	2,057	17,866	25	0	2,655	2,307	55	5,042	22,908
Sub-Total		2,014	282	35,423	18,045	453	50,994	107,211	760	1,564	28,169	38,440	2,670	71,603	178,814
Total		63,059	594	382,112	180,678	3,434	786,974	1,416,851	4,361	5,341	790,100	379,988	58,439	1,238,229	2,655,080

APPENDIX A

CONSTITUTION OF 1970
ARTICLE VI — THE JUDICIARY

Section 1. Courts

The judicial power is vested in a Supreme Court, an Appellate Court and Circuit Courts.

Section 2. Judicial Districts

The State is divided into five Judicial Districts for the selection of Supreme and Appellate Court Judges. The First Judicial District consists of Cook County. The remainder of the State shall be divided by law into four Judicial Districts of substantially equal population, each of which shall be compact and composed of contiguous counties.

Section 3. Supreme Court—Organization

The Supreme Court shall consist of seven Judges. Three shall be selected from the First Judicial District and one from each of the other Judicial Districts. Four Judges constitute a quorum and the concurrence of four is necessary for a decision. Supreme Court Judges shall select a Chief Justice from their number to serve for a term of three years.

Section 4. Supreme Court—Jurisdiction

(a) The Supreme Court may exercise original jurisdiction in cases relating to revenue, mandamus, prohibition or habeas corpus and as may be necessary to the complete determination of any case on review.

(b) Appeals from judgments of Circuit Courts imposing a sentence of death shall be directly to the Supreme Court as a matter of right. The Supreme Court shall provide by rule for direct appeal in other cases.

(c) Appeals from the Appellate Court to the Supreme Court are a matter of right if a question under the Constitution of the United States or of this State arises for the first time in and as a result of the action of the Appellate Court, or if a division of the Appellate Court certifies that a case decided by it involves a question of such importance that the case should be decided by the Supreme Court. The Supreme Court may provide by rule for appeals from the Appellate Court in other cases.

Section 5. Appellate Court—Organization

The number of Appellate Judges to be selected from each Judicial District shall be provided by law. The Supreme Court shall prescribe by rule the number of Appellate divisions in each Judicial District. Each Appellate division shall have at least three judges. Assignments to divisions shall be made by the Supreme Court. A majority of a division constitutes a quorum and the concurrence of a majority of the division is necessary for a decision. There shall be at least one division in each Judicial District and each division shall sit at times and places prescribed by rules of the Supreme Court.

Section 6. Appellate Court—Jurisdiction

Appeals from final judgments of a Circuit Court are a matter of right to the Appellate Court in the Judicial District in which the Circuit Court is located except in cases appealable directly to the Supreme Court and except that after a trial on the merits in a criminal case, there shall be no appeal from a judgment of acquittal. The Supreme Court may provide by rule for appeals to the Appellate Court from other than final judgments of Circuit Courts. The Appellate Court may exercise original jurisdiction when necessary to the complete determination of any case on review. The Appellate Court shall have such powers of direct review of administrative action as provided by law.

Section 7. Judicial Circuits

(a) The State shall be divided into Judicial Circuits consisting of one or more counties. The First Judicial District shall constitute a Judicial Circuit. The Judicial Circuits within the other Judicial Districts shall be as provided by law. Circuits composed of more than one county shall be compact and of contiguous counties. The General Assembly by law may provide for the division of a circuit for the purpose of selection of Circuit Judges and for the selection of Circuit Judges from the circuit at large.

(b) Each Judicial Circuit shall have one Circuit Court with such number of Circuit Judges as provided by law. Unless otherwise provided by law, there shall be at least one Circuit Judge from each county. In the First Judicial District, unless otherwise provided by law, Cook County, Chicago, and the area outside of Chicago shall be separate units for the selection of Circuit Judges, with at least twelve chosen at large from the area outside Chicago and at least thirty-six chosen at large from Chicago.

(c) Circuit Judges in each circuit shall select by secret ballot a Chief Judge from their number to serve at their pleasure. Subject to the authority of the Supreme Court, the Chief Judge shall have general administrative authority over his court, including authority to provide for divisions, general or specialized, and for appropriate times and places of holding court.

Section 8. Associate Judges

Each Circuit Court shall have such number of Associate Judges as provided by law. Associate Judges shall be appointed by the Circuit Judges in each circuit as the Supreme Court shall provide by rule. In the First Judicial District, unless otherwise provided by law, at least one-fourth of the Associate Judges shall be appointed from, and reside, outside Chicago. The Supreme Court shall provide by rule for matters to be assigned to Associate Judges.

Section 9. Circuit Courts—Jurisdiction

Circuit Courts shall have original jurisdiction of all justiciable matters except when the Supreme Court has original and exclusive jurisdiction relating to redistricting of the General Assembly and to the ability of the Governor to serve or resume office. Circuit Courts shall have such power to review administrative action as provided by law.

Section 10. Terms of Office

The terms of office of Supreme and Appellate Court Judges shall be ten years; of Circuit Judges, six years; and of Associate Judges, four years.

Section 11. Eligibility For Office

No person shall be eligible to be a Judge or Associate Judge unless he is a United States citizen, a licensed attorney-at-law of this State, and a resident of the unit which selects him. No change in the boundaries of a unit shall affect the tenure in office of a Judge or Associate Judge incumbent at the time of such change.

Section 12. Election And Retention

(a) Supreme, Appellate and Circuit Judges shall be nominated at primary elections or by petition. Judges shall be elected at general or judicial elections as the General Assembly shall provide by law. A person eligible for the office of Judge may cause his name to appear on the ballot as a candidate for Judge at the primary and at the general or judicial elections by submitting petitions. The General Assembly shall prescribe by law the requirements for petitions.

(b) The office of a Judge shall be vacant upon his death, resignation, retirement, removal, or upon the conclusion of his term without retention in office. Whenever an additional Appellate or Circuit Judge is authorized by law, the office shall be filled in the manner provided for filling a vacancy in that office.

(c) A vacancy occurring in the office of Supreme, Appellate or Circuit Judge shall be filled as the General Assembly may provide by law. In the absence of a law, vacancies may be filled by appointment by the Supreme Court. A person appointed to fill a vacancy 60 or more days prior to the next primary election to nominate Judges shall serve until the vacancy is filled for a term at the next general or judicial election. A person appointed to fill a vacancy less than 60 days prior to the next primary election to nominate Judges shall serve until the vacancy is filled at the second general or judicial election following such appointment.

(d) Not less than six months before the general election preceding the expiration of his term of office, a Supreme, Appellate or Circuit Judge who has been elected to that office may file in the office of the Secretary of State a declaration of candidacy to succeed himself. The Secretary of State, not less than 63 days before the election, shall certify the Judge's candidacy to the proper election officials. The names of Judges seeking retention shall be submitted to the electors, separately and without party designation, on the sole question whether each Judge shall be retained in office for another term. The retention elections shall be conducted at general elections in the appropriate Judicial District, for Supreme and Appellate Judges, and in the circuit for Circuit Judges. The affirmative vote of three-fifths of the electors voting on the question shall elect the Judge to the office for a term commencing on the first Monday in December following his election.

(e) A law reducing the number of Appellate or Circuit Judges shall be without prejudice to the right of the Judges affected to seek retention in office. A reduction shall become effective when a vacancy occurs in the affected unit.

Section 13. Prohibited Activities

(a) The Supreme Court shall adopt rules of conduct for Judges and Associate Judges.

(b) Judges and Associate Judges shall devote full time to judicial duties. They shall not practice law, hold a position of profit, hold office under the United States or this State or unit of local government or school district or in a political party. Service in the State militia or armed forces of the United States for periods of time permitted by rule of the Supreme Court shall not disqualify a person from serving as a Judge or Associate Judge.

Section 14. Judicial Salaries And Expenses — Fee Officers Eliminated

Judges shall receive salaries provided by law which shall not be diminished to take effect during their terms of office. All salaries and such expenses as may be provided by law shall be paid by the State, except that Appellate, Circuit and Associate Judges shall receive such additional compensation from counties within their district or circuit as may be provided by law. There shall be no fee officers in the judicial system.

Section 15. Retirement — Discipline

(a) The General Assembly may provide by law for the retirement of Judges and Associate Judges at a prescribed age. Any retired Judge or Associate Judge, with his consent, may be assigned by the Supreme Court to judicial service for which he shall receive the applicable compensation in lieu of retirement benefits. A retired Associate Judge may be assigned only as an Associate Judge.

(b) A Judicial Inquiry Board is created. The Supreme Court shall select two Circuit Judges as members and the Governor shall appoint four persons who are not lawyers and three lawyers as members of the Board. No more than two of the lawyers and two of the non-lawyers appointed by the Governor shall be members of the same political party. The terms of Board members shall be four years. A vacancy on the Board shall be filled for a full term in the manner the original appointment was made. No member may serve on the Board more than eight years.

(c) The Board shall be convened permanently, with authority to conduct investigations, receive or initiate complaints concerning a Judge or Associate Judge, and file complaints with the Courts Commission. The Board shall not file a complaint unless five members believe that a reasonable basis exists (1) to charge the Judge or Associate Judge with willful misconduct in office, persistent failure to perform his duties, or other conduct that is prejudicial to the administration of justice or that brings the judicial office into disrepute, or (2) to charge that the Judge or Associate Judge is physically or mentally unable to perform his duties. All proceedings of the Board shall be confidential except the filing of a complaint with the Courts Commission. The Board shall prosecute the complaint.

(d) The Board shall adopt rules governing its procedures. It shall have subpoena power and authority to appoint and direct its staff. Members of the Board who are not Judges shall receive per diem compensation and necessary expenses; members who are Judges shall receive necessary expenses only. The General Assembly by law shall appropriate funds for the operation of the Board.

(e) A Courts Commission is created consisting of one Supreme Court Judge selected by that Court, who shall be its chairman, two Appellate Court Judges selected by that Court, and two Circuit Judges selected by the Supreme Court. The Commission shall be convened permanently to hear complaints filed by the Judicial Inquiry Board. The Commission shall have authority after notice and public hearing, (1) to remove from office, suspend without pay, censure or reprimand a Judge or Associate Judge for willful misconduct in office, persistent failure to perform his duties, or other conduct that is prejudicial to the administration of justice or that brings the judicial office into disrepute, or (2) to suspend, with or without pay, or retire a Judge or Associate Judge who is physically or mentally unable to perform his duties.

(f) The concurrence of three members of the Commission shall be necessary for a decision. The decision of the Commission shall be final.

(g) The Commission shall adopt rules governing its procedures and shall have power to issue subpoenas. The General Assembly shall provide by law for the expenses of the Commission.

Section 16. Administration

General administrative and supervisory authority over all courts is vested in the Supreme Court and shall be exercised by the Chief Justice in accordance with its rules. The Supreme Court shall appoint an administrative director and staff, who shall serve at its pleasure, to assist the Chief Justice in his duties. The Supreme Court may assign a Judge temporarily to any court and an Associate Judge to serve temporarily as an Associate Judge on any Circuit Court. The Supreme Court shall provide by rule for expeditious and inexpensive appeals.

Section 17. Judicial Conference

The Supreme Court shall provide by rule for an annual judicial conference to consider the work of the courts and to suggest improvements in the administration of justice and shall report thereon annually in writing to the General Assembly not later than January 31.

Section 18. Clerks Of Courts

(a) The Supreme Court and the Appellate Court Judges of each Judicial District, respectively, shall appoint a clerk and other non-judicial officers for their Court or District.

(b) The General Assembly shall provide by law for the election, or for the appointment by Circuit Judges, of clerks and other non-judicial officers of the Circuit Courts and for their terms of office and removal for cause.

(c) The salaries of clerks and other non-judicial officers shall be as provided by law.

Section 19. State's Attorneys— Selection, Salary

A State's Attorney shall be elected in each county in 1972 and every fourth year thereafter for a four year term. One State's Attorney may be elected to serve two or more counties if the governing boards of such counties so provide and a majority of the electors of each county voting on the issue approve. A person shall not be eligible for the office of State's Attorney unless he is a United States citizen and a licensed attorney-at-law of this State. His salary shall be provided by law.

APPENDIX B

ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE ILLINOIS COURTS

Historical Development

The predecessor of the present Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts was a statutory creature into which the General Assembly breathed life in 1959. The entity was known as the Court Administrator's Office, and it so existed until 1964. The office in those past years was chiefly concerned with studying caseloads to determine the needs of particular courts for assistance and to provide a statistical background for further studies.

The 1964 Judicial Article directed that the "Supreme Court shall appoint an administrative director and staff, who shall serve at its pleasure, to assist the Chief Justice in his administrative duties." That provision was retained, virtually intact, by Section 16, Article VI of the 1970 Constitution. Thus, the fledgling administrator's office of 1959 was continued and conferred with constitutional dignity in 1964 and 1970. Two Illinois constitutional commentators, Messrs. Braden and Cohn, in analyzing this section have stated that "only five (states) have a constitutional office similar to the administrative director provided by Illinois . . .", and the authors noted that the constitutional grant of administrative power to the Supreme Court as exercised by the Chief Justice through the Administrative Director is an excellent "mechanism for a coordinated and efficient administration of the judicial system." Braden and Cohn, *The Illinois Constitution: An Annotated and Comparative Analysis*, on page 333.

During the years that it has been in existence, the Administrative Office has matured, and correspondingly it has taken on and has been assigned by the Supreme Court, greater duties and responsibilities. The growth of the office has been carefully nurtured by a succession of highly qualified and distinguished men: Henry P. Chandler, former administrator of the federal court system; Albert J. Harno, former dean of the University of Illinois College of Law; Hon. John C. Fitzgerald, a retired Circuit Judge and former dean of the School of Law of Loyola University, Chicago; John W. Freels, former general counsel of the Illinois Central Railroad; and Roy O. Gulley, former Chief Judge of the Second Judicial Circuit; and acting Director William M. Madden.

Today, the administrative office has more than 100 employees who serve the Supreme Court and supervise the activities of all the courts in the State and court-related personnel. Acting Director William Madden is working closely with the National Center for State Courts in the completion of a study designed to assist the Supreme Court in structuring the future role of the administrative office.

APPENDIX C

JUDICIAL SALARY STRUCTURE

Supreme Court Justices—$85,000
Appellate Court Judges—$80,000
Circuit Court Judges—$73,500
Associate Judges—$68,500

APPENDIX D

GENEALOGY OF JUDGES OF THE ILLINOIS SUPREME COURT


CONSTITUTION OF 1818
Joseph Phillips 1818-1822
Thomas Reynolds 1822-1825
Theophilus W. Smith 1825-1842
Richard M. Young 1843-1847
Jesse B. Thomas 1847-1848
Thomas C. Browne 1818-1848
William P. Foster 1818-1819
William Wilson 1819-1848
John Reynolds 1818-1825
Samuel D. Lockwood 1825-1848
David M. Woodson 1848
Samuel H. Treat 1841-1855
Thomas Ford 1841-1842
John D. Caton 1842-1843
John M. Robinson 1843
John D. Caton 1843-1864
Sidney Breese 1841-1843
James Semple 1843
James Shields 1843-1845
Gustavus P. Koerner 1845-1848
Walter B. Scates 1841-1847
William Denning 1847-1848
Stephen A. Douglas 1841-1843
Jesse B. Thomas 1843-1845
Norman H. Purple 1845-1848
CONSTITUTION OF 1848
Lyman Trumbull 1848-1853
William B. Scates 1853-1857
Sidney Breese 1857-1878
Corydon Beckwith 1864
Charles B. Lawrence 1864-1873
Onias C. Skinner 1855-1858
Pinckney H. Walker 1858-1885
CONSTITUTION OF 1870
Alfred M. Craig 1873-1900
John P. Hand 1900-1913
Charles C. Craig 1913-1918
Clyde E. Stone 1918-1948
Joseph E. Daily 1948-1965
David J. Baker 1878-1879
John H. Mulkey 1879-1888
David J. Baker 1888-1897
Carroll C. Boggs 1897-1906
Alonzo K. Vickers 1906-1915
Albert Watson 1915
Warren W. Duncan 1915-1933
Paul Farthing 1933-1942
Charles H. Thompson 1942-1951
Ralph L. Maxwell 1951-1956
Byron O. House 1957-1969
Damon G. Tunnicliff 1885
Simeon P. Shope 1885-1894
Joseph N. Carter 1894-1903
Guy C. Scott 1903-1909
George A. Cooke 1909-1919
Floyd E. Thompson 1919-1928
Cyrus Dietz 1928-1929
Paul Samuell 1929-1930
Warren H. Orr 1930-1939
Loren E. Murphy 1939-1948
Albert M. Crampton 1948-1953
Ray I. Klingbiel 1953-1969
Anthony Thornton 1870-1873
John Scholfield 1873-1893
Jesse J. Phillips 1893-1901
James B. Ricks 1901-1906
William M. Farmer 1906-1931
Norman L. Jones 1931-1940
June C. Smith 1941-1947
Jesse L. Simpson 1947-1951
Harry B. Hershey 1951-1966
John M. Scott 1870-1888
Jacob W. Wilkin 1888-1907
Frank K. Dunn 1907-1933
Lott R. Herrick 1933-1937
Walter T. Gunn 1938-1951
George W. Bristow 1951-1961
Robert C. Underwood 1962-1984
Benjamin R. Sheldon 1870-1888
Joseph M. Bailey 1888-1895
James H. Cartwright 1895-1924
Oscar E. Heard 1924-1933
Elwyn R. Shaw 1933-1942
William J. Fulton 1942-1954
Charles H. Davis 1955-1960
Roy J. Solfisburg, Jr. 1960-1969
W. K. McAllister 1870-1875
T. Lyle Dickey 1875-1885
B. D. Magruder 1885-1906
Orrin N. Carter 1906-1924
Frederic R. DeYoung 1924-1934
Francis S. Wilson 1935-1951
Walter V. Schaefer 1951-1976
JUDICIAL ARTICLE AMENDMENT OF 1962
Daniel P. Ward 1966 -
Caswell J. Crebs 1969-1970
Joseph H. Goldenhersh 1970
John T. Culbertson, Jr. 1969-1970
Howard C. Ryan 1970 -
Thomas E. Kluczynski 1966-1976
Marvin F. Burt 1969-1970
Charles H. Davis 1970-1975
CONSTITUTION OF 1970
William G. Clark 1976 -
Ben K. Miller 1984 -
Caswell J. Crebs 1975-1976
Thomas J. Moran 1976 -
James A. Dooley 1976 - 1978
Thomas E. Kluczynski 1978 - 1980
Seymour Simon 1980 -

(Printed by Authority of the State of Illinois)
(69179—1,500—4/89)